## VANISHING CYCLE SHEAVES AND HOLONOMIC SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

By

## M. Kashiwara Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University

1. Let X be a complex manifold and f a holomorphic function on X. Then, for a complex of sheaves  $\underline{F}$  on X, we can define a "vanishing cycle sheaf"  $\mathbb{R}\Psi\underline{F}$  (in Deligne's notation) on  $f^{-1}(0)$ (See [3], [1]). The purpose of this paper is to give a corresponding holonomic system when  $\underline{F}$  is given as a de Rham complex of a regular holonomic system.

2. Let X be a smooth complex manifold and Y a smooth submanifold of X. We denote by  $\boldsymbol{0}_X$  and  $\underline{I}_Y$  the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X and the defining Ideal of Y. We denote by A the graded  $\boldsymbol{0}_X$ -Algebra  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \underline{I}_Y^{k} t^{-k} \subset \boldsymbol{0}_X[t,t^{-1}]$ . Here,  $\underline{I}_Y^{k}$  stands for  $\boldsymbol{0}_X$ if  $k \leq 0$ . We denote by  $\pi: \tilde{X} \to X$  the space Specan A over X. Then  $\tilde{X}$  is smooth and t defines a hypersurface of  $\tilde{X}$  isomorphic to the normal bundle  $T_yX$  of Y.

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  be the real manifold  $(\mathbf{C}-\{0\}) \mathbf{U} S^1$  with the boundary  $S^1 = \mathbf{C}^{\times}/\mathbf{R}^+$ , with the obvious projection  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} \neq \mathbf{C}$ . For a complex of sheaves  $\underline{\mathbf{F}}^{\cdot}$ , we define

(2.1)  $v_{\gamma}(\underline{F}^{\cdot}) = i^{-1} R j_{*} p^{-1} \underline{F}^{\cdot}.$ 

Here p is the projection  $\tilde{X} - T_Y X = (C - \{0\}) \times \tilde{X} \to X$  and j:  $\tilde{X} - T_Y X \hookrightarrow \tilde{C} \times \tilde{X}$ , which are given by t:  $\tilde{X} \to C$ . The map i is the inclusion  $T_Y X \hookrightarrow S^1 \times T_Y X \hookrightarrow \tilde{C} \times \tilde{X}$  given by (1 mod  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ) $\epsilon S^1$ .

By using a local coordinate system  $(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell, \ldots, x_n)$  of X such that Y is  $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_\ell) = 0$ , the stalks of  $v_Y(\underline{F})$  are described as follows. For  $(x_0, v) \in T_Y X$   $(x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-\ell}, v \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell})$ , we have

(2.2) 
$$H^{j}(v_{Y}(\underline{F}^{\cdot}))(x_{o},v) = \underline{\lim} H^{j}(U;\underline{F}^{\cdot}).$$

Here, U runs over the set of open subsets of X which contain  $\{x=(x^{\prime},x^{\prime})\in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}\times\mathbb{C}^{n+\ell}; |x^{\prime}|<\varepsilon, |x^{\prime\prime}-x_{\circ}|<\varepsilon, x^{\prime}\in\Gamma\}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an open cone  $\Gamma \ni v$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ .

3. Let  $\mathbf{D}_{\chi}$  be the sheaf of differential operators on X and M a regular holonomic  $\mathbf{D}_{\chi}$ -Module. We shall then construct a regular holonomic  $\mathbf{D}_{T_v \chi}$ -Module  $\mathbf{M}$ ' such that

$$v_{Y}(\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \mathfrak{p}_{X}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{O}_{X})) = \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \mathfrak{p}_{T_{V}X}(\mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{O}_{T_{Y}X}).$$

If such an  $\mbox{$\mathfrak{M}$}^{\prime}$  exists, it is unique up to an isomorphism. We shall denote it by  $\nu_v(\mbox{$\mathfrak{M}$}).$ 

4. Keeping X and Y as in the preceding section, we shall define the filtration F' = F'( $\mathbf{p}_{\chi}$ ) of  $\mathbf{p}_{\chi}$  by

(4.1) 
$$F^{k}(\mathfrak{p}_{\chi}) = \{ P \in \mathfrak{p}_{\chi}; P(\underline{I}_{\Upsilon}^{j}) \subset \underline{I}_{\Upsilon}^{j+k} \text{ for any } j \}.$$

Then, one can show easily the following

<u>Proposition 1</u> (1)  $F^{k}(\mathfrak{p}_{\chi})/F^{k+1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\chi})$  is isomorphic to the sheaf of

5. Now, let **m** be a coherent  $\mathbf{p}_X$ -Module. A filtration  $F_I^*$  of **m** is called a good filtration of **m** with respect to  $F^*(\mathbf{p}_X)$  if it satisfies

(5.1)  $F^{k}(\mathbf{p}_{\chi})F^{j}_{I} \subset F^{k+j}_{I}$  for any k and j

(5.2)  $F^{k}(\mathfrak{p}_{\chi})F^{j}_{I} = F^{k+j}_{I}$  if  $j \gg 0$  and  $k \ge 0$ or if  $j \ll 0$  and  $k \le 0$ .

(5.3) 
$$F_{I}^{j}$$
 is a coherent  $F^{0}(\mathfrak{p}_{\chi})$ -Module.

$$(5.4) \qquad \mathbf{ft} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{J}}.$$

The following proposition is proved in [2].

Proposition 2. Let  $\mathbf{m}$  be a regular holonomic system. Then there exist locally a coherent  $\mathbf{0}_X$  sub-Module  $\mathbf{F}$  of  $\mathbf{m}$  and a non-zero polynomial  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{\theta}$ ) such that

(5.5) 
$$b(\theta) \mathbf{F} \subset (\mathbf{p}_{\chi}(\deg b) \wedge \mathbf{F}^{1}(\mathbf{p}_{\chi})) \mathbf{F}$$

 $(5.6) \qquad \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_{\chi} \mathcal{F} .$ 

Here  $\mathfrak{p}_{\chi}(m)$  denotes the sheaf of differential operators of order  $\leq m$ , and  $\theta$  is the one given in Proposition 1.

6. Let **R** be the abelian category of coherent  $p_{\chi}$ -Modules satisfying the conclusion in Proposition 2. Let G be a subset of **C** satisfying the following condition:

(6.1) For any  $a \in C$ ,  $G \cap (a+Z)$  consists of a single point.

Then we have the following

Theorem 1. (1) For any  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{R}$ , there exists a good filtration  $F_{G}^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{m})$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  satisfying the following condition: there exists a polynomial  $b(\theta)$  such that  $b^{-1}(0) \subset G$  and  $b(\theta-k)F_{G}^{k}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset F_{G}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{m})$ for any k.

Moreover such a filtration is unique.

(2) For  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\operatorname{gr}_{F_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathfrak{m})$  does not depend on the choice of G as a (not graded)  $\operatorname{gr}_{F}(\mathfrak{p})$ -Module. We shall denote it by  $\operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{m}$ . (3)  $\mathfrak{m} \mapsto \operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{m}$  is an exact functor from  $\mathfrak{R}$  into the category of coherent  $\operatorname{gr}_{F}(\mathfrak{p})$ -Modules.

 $(4) \quad \nu_{Y}(\mathbb{R} \ \underline{\operatorname{Hom}} \ \mathfrak{p}_{X}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{O}_{X})) = \mathbb{R} \ \underline{\operatorname{Hom}} \ \mathfrak{p}_{T_{Y}X}(\mathfrak{p}_{T_{Y}X} \ \underline{\operatorname{gr}} \mathfrak{p}_{X} \ \operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{O}_{T_{Y}X})$ 

$$v_{Y}(\mathbb{R} \ \underline{\text{Hom}} \ \mathfrak{p}_{X}(\mathfrak{O}_{X}, \mathfrak{m})) = \mathbb{R} \ \underline{\text{Hom}} \ \mathfrak{p}_{T_{Y}X}(\mathfrak{O}_{T_{Y}X}, \mathfrak{p}_{T_{Y}X} \otimes \text{gr}\mathfrak{p}_{X}).$$

(5) If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is regular holonomic, so is  $\mathfrak{p}_{T_YX} \otimes \mathfrak{gr}\mathfrak{m}$ .

We shall indicate the proof of the theorem. <u>Proof of (1)</u>. By using Proposition 2, there exists a good filtration  $F_{I}^{\cdot}$  of **m** and a non-zero polynomial b such that

(6.2) 
$$b(\theta-k)F_{I}^{k} \leq F_{I}^{k+1}$$
 for any k.

In fact, setting  $F_I^k = F^k(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{F}$  we apply the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For any  $f(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}[\theta]$  and  $P \in F^{k}(\mathfrak{p})$ ,  $f(\theta)P - Pf(\theta+k) \in F^{k+1}(\mathfrak{p})$ .

Now, assume that  $b(\theta)$  in (6.2) is a product of two polynomials  $b_1(\theta)$  and  $b_2(\theta)$  and we set  $F_{II}^k = F_I^{k+1} + b_1(\theta-k)F_I^k$ . Then  $F_{II}$  is a good filtration satisfying  $b_1(\theta-k-1)b_2(\theta-k)F_{II}^k \subset F_{II}^{k+1}$ . Repeating this procedure, we can show the existence of  $F_G^{\cdot}$ .

The uniqueness of  $F_{G}^{*}$  is proved as follows.

Let  $F_I$  and  $F_{II}$  be two good filtrations and  $b_I(\theta)$  and  $b_{II}(\theta)$  two polynomials satisfying  $b_J(\theta-k)F_J^k \subset F_J^{k+1}$  and  $b_J^{-1}(0) \subset G$ for J = I, II. There exists  $N \ge 1$  such that  $F_I^k \subset F_{II}^{k-N}$  for any k. Then  $b_I(\theta-k)F_I^k \subset F_I^{k+1} \subset F_{II}^{k-N+1}$  and  $b_{II}(\theta-k+N)F_I^k \subset b_{II}(\theta-k+N)F_{II}^{k-N} \subset F_{II}^{k-N+1}$ . Since  $b_I(s-k)$  and  $b_{II}(s-k+N)$  have no common root,  $F_I^k \subset F_{II}^{k-N+1}$ . Repeating this, we finally obtain  $F_I^k \subset F_{II}^k$ . (3) is proved by a similar discussion.

<u>Proof of (2)</u>. Let G and G' be two subsets of C satisfying (6.1). We shall show gr  $F_G \simeq$  gr  $F_G$ . We may assume G  $\ni \lambda$  and G' = (G-{ $\lambda$ }) U { $\lambda$ +1}. We write gr  $F_G$  for gr $_{F_G}$  **m**.

Let b( $\theta$ ) be a polynomial such that  $b^{-1}(0) \subset G$  and  $b(\theta-k)F_G^k \subset F_G^{k+1}$ . Set b( $\theta$ ) =  $(\theta-\lambda)^m a(\theta)$  with  $a(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Then  $F_G^k$ , =  $(\theta-\lambda-k)^m F_G^k + F_G^{k+1}$ . Let us take  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{C}[\theta]$  satisfying

(6.3)  $\begin{aligned} \varphi &\equiv 0 \mod (\theta - \lambda)^m (\theta - \lambda - 1)^m, \\ \varphi &\equiv 1 \mod a(\theta), \\ \psi &\equiv 0 \mod a(\theta)a(\theta - 1), \\ \psi &\equiv 1 \mod (\theta - \lambda)^m. \end{aligned}$ 

We shall define f: gr  $F_G \rightarrow$  gr  $F_G$ , and g: gr  $F_G$ ,  $\rightarrow$  gr  $F_G$  as follows.

(6.4) f: gr 
$$F_G = \oplus F_G^k / F_G^{k+1} \ni [u_k \mapsto [v_k \in gr F_G], = \oplus F_G^k, / F_G^{k+1}]$$

$$v_k = \Psi(\theta - k)u_k + \psi(\theta - k - 1)u_{k+1}$$

(6.5) g: gr 
$$F_G$$
,  $\ni [v_k \mapsto [u_k \in gr F_G]$ 

by 
$$u_k = v_k + \psi(\theta - k)v_{k-1}$$
.

Then one can easily show that f and g are inverses to each other.

(4) is shown by reducing the problem to the following special case, which is easy to prove.

<u>Proposition 2.</u> Let  $b(\theta)$  be a non-zero polynomial of degree m with  $b^{-1}(0) \subset G$  and P an N × N matrix of differential operators in  $F^{1}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathfrak{p}(m)$ .

Set  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{p}^{N} / \mathbf{p}^{N}(\mathbf{b}(\theta) - \mathbf{P})$ . Then (4) in Theorem 1 is true for  $\mathbf{m}$ .

(5) is proved in [2].

7. Suppose that Y is a smooth hypersurface of X given by f = 0. Then, for a complex of sheaves F' whose cohomology groups are constructible, one can define  $\mathbb{R}\Psi$  and  $\mathbb{R}\varphi$  and can:  $\mathbb{R}\Phi \to \mathbb{R}\Psi$  and Var:  $\mathbb{R}\Psi \to \mathbb{R}\Phi$  (See [3]). If we take a vector field  $\vartheta$  such that  $\vartheta f \equiv 1 \mod \underline{I}_Y$ , then  $\theta = f\vartheta$  and  $\operatorname{gr}_F^0(\mathfrak{P})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{P}_Y$ . Suppose  $\underline{F}' = \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom} \mathfrak{p}_X(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{O}_X)$  for a regular holonomic  $\mathfrak{P}_X$ -Module  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then we have the following

<u>Theorem 2.</u> Assume  $G \subset C$  satisfies (6.1) and contains 0.

(0)  $\operatorname{gr}_{G}^{k} \mathfrak{m} \quad \underline{\operatorname{is}} \ \underline{\operatorname{a}} \ \underline{\operatorname{regular}} \ \underline{\operatorname{holonomic}} \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\operatorname{Y}} - \underline{\operatorname{Module}}.$ (1)  $\mathbb{R} \Psi = \mathbb{R} \quad \underline{\operatorname{Hom}} \ \mathfrak{p}_{\operatorname{Y}} (\operatorname{gr}_{G}^{0} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{O}_{\operatorname{Y}}) \quad \underline{\operatorname{and}} \quad \mathbb{R} \Phi = \mathbb{R} \quad \underline{\operatorname{Hom}} \ \mathfrak{p}_{\operatorname{Y}} (\operatorname{gr}_{\operatorname{G}}^{-1} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{O}_{\operatorname{Y}}).$ (2) can  $\underline{\operatorname{is}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ f: \ \operatorname{gr}_{\operatorname{G}}^{-1} \mathfrak{m} \ \mapsto \ \operatorname{gr}_{\operatorname{G}}^{0} \mathfrak{m} \quad \underline{\operatorname{and}} \quad \operatorname{Var} \quad \underline{\operatorname{is}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{sis}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{and}} \quad \underline{\operatorname{var}} \ \underline{\operatorname{is}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{sis}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{sis}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{sis}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{sis}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{by}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}}} \ \underline{\operatorname{given}} \$ 

<u>Remark 1</u>. We can replace in (2), f and  $\partial \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta}-1}{\theta}$  with  $\frac{e^{2\pi i\theta}-1}{\theta}$  f and  $\partial$ .

<u>Remark 2</u>. If we replace  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \mathbf{p}_{\chi}(*, \mathbf{0}_{\chi})$  with  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \mathbf{p}_{\chi}(\mathbf{0}_{\chi}, *)$ , then (1) holds by replacing  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \mathbf{p}_{\chi}(*, \mathbf{0}_{\chi})$  with  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \mathbf{p}_{\chi}(\mathbf{0}_{\chi}, *)$ . Accordingly, (2) holds by exchanging Var and can.

<u>Sketch of proof</u>. The theorem is essentially equivalent to the following one-dimensional case. Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$  and  $Y = \{0\}$ . Let  $V_0$  and  $V_{-1}$  be two vector spaces and let  $A: V_0 \to V_{-1}$  and  $B: V_{-1} \to V_0$  be two homomorphisms. Let **m** be a  $P_X$ -Module generated by  $V_0 \oplus V_{-1}$  with the fundamental relation:

xu = Bu for 
$$u \in V_{-1}$$
  
 $\partial v = Av$  for  $v \in V_0$ .

If we assume the eigen-values of AB are contained in G, then  $gr_G^k \blacksquare = V_k$  for k = 0, -1.

Let U be a non-empty convex cone in  ${\mathbb C}$  such that U ightarrow 0. Then we have

$$\mathbb{R}^{\Psi} = \operatorname{Hom} \mathfrak{p}_{X}^{(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{O}_{X}^{(U)})} \text{ and}$$
$$\mathbb{R}^{\Phi} = \operatorname{Hom} \mathfrak{p}_{X}^{(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{O}_{X}^{(U)})} \mathfrak{O}_{X}^{(\mathfrak{C})}.$$

The homomorphism can is given by  $\mathbf{0}_{\chi}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_{\chi}(\mathbf{U}) / \mathbf{0}_{\chi}(\mathbf{C})$ . The homomorphism Var is given as follows: for  $\mathbf{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{0}_{\chi}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}(\mathbf{U}) / \mathbf{0}(\mathbf{X}))$ and  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{m}$ , let us choose a representative  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{0}(\mathbf{U})$  of  $\mathbf{\varphi}(\mathbf{s})$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}$  can be continued to a multi-valued holomorphic function on  $\mathbf{C}$ -{0}, so that we can obtain the holomorphic function Tu defined on  $\mathbf{U}$  by the analytic continuation of  $\mathbf{u}$  along a path around the origin. Then Tu-u does not depend on the choice of a representative  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \mathrm{Tu}-\mathbf{u}$  gives a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{m}$ to  $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{U})$ . This is the homomorphism Var.

Now,  $\mathbb{R}\Psi$  and  $\mathbb{R}\Phi$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{V}_0^*$  and  $\mathbb{V}_{-1}^*$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{V}_{0}^{*} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{o}_{\chi}(\mathbb{U})), \quad \mathbb{V}_{1}^{*} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{o}_{\chi}(\mathbb{U})/\mathfrak{o}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{c}))$$

by  $V_0^* \ni \alpha \mapsto \varphi$  and  $V_{-1}^* \ni \beta \mapsto \psi$ , where  $\varphi(u) = \langle \alpha, x^{BA-1}Bu \rangle$ ,  $\varphi(v) = \langle \alpha, x^{BA}v \rangle$  and  $\psi(u) = \langle \beta, x^{AB-1}\Gamma(1-AB)u \rangle$ ,  $\psi(v) = -\langle \beta, Ax^{BA}\Gamma(-BA)v \rangle$  for  $u \in V_{-1}$  and  $v \in V_0$ .

Remark that  $x^{\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda)$  defines well an element of  $\mathbf{0}(\mathbf{U})/\mathbf{0}(\mathbf{C})$ by the analytic continuation on  $\lambda$  (e.g.  $x^{\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda) = \log x$  at  $\lambda = 0$ and  $x^{\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda) = \log x + ((\log x)^2/2 - \gamma \log x)N + ((\log x)^3/6 - \gamma(\log x)^2/2 + (\pi^2/3 + \gamma^2/2)\log x)N^2$  at  $\lambda = N$  with  $N^3 = 0$ ;  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant).

Thus with these identification, can is given by  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha B(\Gamma(1-AB))^{-1}$ and Var is given by  $\beta \mapsto \beta(2\pi iAe^{\pi iBA}/\Gamma(1+BA))$ . Finally it is enough to note that x,  $\partial$ ,  $\theta$  correspond to B, A and BA (or AB-1) and  $(\Gamma(1-AB))^{-1}$  is invertible under the condition on the eigenvalues of AB.

## References

- [1] J. L. Verdier, in this volume.
- [2] M. Kashiwara, T. Kawai, Second microlocalization and asymptotic expansions, Lecture Notes in Physics, 126, pp.21-76, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1980.
- [3] P. Deligne, Le formalisme des cycles evanescents, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 340, pp.82-115, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1973.