8

# Stochastically stable diffeomorphisms and Takens conjecture Akihiko Morimoto

Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University

### § Introduction.

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$  be a homeomorphism of a metric space (M, d) with distance function d. A (double) sequence  $\left\{x_i\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of points  $x_i \in \mathbb{M}$  (i  $\in$  Z) is called, by definition, a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\varphi$  iff  $d(\ \varphi(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta$ 

for every  $i\in Z$ , where  $\delta>0$  is a constant (cf. [2]). Given  $\epsilon>0$ , a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{x_i\}$  is called to be  $\epsilon$ -traced by a point  $\gamma\in M$  iff  $d(\ \varphi^i(\gamma),\ x_i)\leq \epsilon$ 

for every  $i \in Z$ . We shall call  $\varphi$  stochastically stable, iff for any  $\xi > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit of  $\varphi$  can be  $\xi$ -traced by some point  $y \in M$ .

R. Bowen [2] proves that every Anosov diffeomorphism  ${\pmb g}$  of a compact manifold is stochastically stable.

In this note we shall first prove that every topologically stable homeomorphism  $\varphi$  (cf. Def. 1) of a compact manifold (or euclidean space) M is stochastically stable in case dim M  $\geq$  3 (Th. 1). Using this result we give a positive answer to the conjecture of F. Takens in

tolerance stability [9] (Th. 2). By virtue of these results it seems to be significant to give necessary and/or sufficient conditions for diffeomorphisms to be stochastically stable and to clarify the relations with other stabilities of diffeomorphisms.

We shall in fact characterize linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. group automorphisms of a torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ ) to be stochastically stable (Th. 3 and 4). Moreover, we shall see that every isometry of a compact connected Riemannian manifold M (dim M  $\geq$  1) is not stochastically stable.

We shall further show a result due to H. Urakawa which says that if there is a stochastically stable group automorphism  $\phi$  of a compact connected Lie group G, then G is necessarily a torus.

Let  $\mathfrak{P}: \mathbb{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$  be a homeomorphism of a metric space (M, d). We denote by  $H(\mathbb{M})$  the group of all homeomorphisms of M.

Definition 1. We call  $\varphi$  topologically stable iff for any  $\xi > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the property that for any  $\psi \in H(M)$  with  $d(\varphi(x), \psi(x)) < \delta$  for every  $x \in M$  there is a continuous map  $h: M \longrightarrow M$  such that

i) 
$$h \cdot \psi = g \cdot h$$
,

ii)  $d(h(x), x) < \xi$  for every  $x \in M$ .

Definition 2. A sequence of points  $\left\{x_i\right\}_{i\in(a,b)}$   $(-\infty \le a < b \le +\infty)$  is called a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit of  $\phi$  iff

$$d(\varphi(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta$$

for i  $\in$  (a+1, b-2). If a  $> -\infty$  and b  $< \infty$  , this sequence will be called a finite  $\delta$  -pseudo-orbit of  $\phi$  and if a =  $-\infty$  and b =  $+\infty$  , the

sequence will be (sometimes) called an (infinite)  $\delta$  -pseudo-orbit of  $\varphi$  .  $\{x_i\}$  is called to be  $\xi$  -traced by  $x \in M$  iff  $d(\varphi^i(x), x_i) \leq \xi$ 

holds for  $i \in (a, b)$ .

 $\varphi$  is called stochastically stable iff for any  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists  $\delta>0$  such that any (infinite)  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit of  $\varphi$  can be  $\varepsilon$ -traced by some point  $x\in M$ . We shall call such  $\varphi$  also a Bowen homeomorphism.

Definition 3. We denote by  $\operatorname{Orb}^{\delta}(\varphi)$  the set of all (finite or infinite)  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit of  $\varphi$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}^{\xi}(\left\{x_{i}\right\},\varphi)=\operatorname{Tr}(\left\{x_{i}\right\})$  the set of all  $y\in\mathbb{M}$  such that  $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$  is  $\xi$ -traced by y.

Assumption. In the sequel we assume that every bounded subset of M is relatively compact unless otherwise stated.

We shall now state several lemmas, some of whose proofs will be omitted, since the proofs will be more or less standard.

Lemma 1. Let  $h \in H(M)$  be a homeomorphism of M such that h and  $h^{-1}$  are both uniformly continuous. Take  $\mathcal{G} \in H(M)$  and set  $\psi = h \circ \mathcal{G} \circ h^{-1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Bowen homeomorphism if and only if  $\psi$  is.

Lemma 2. Let  $\varphi \in H(M)$  be stochastically stable. Then, for any integer k>0,  $\varphi^k$  is also stochastically stable.

Lemma 3. Let  $\varphi \in H(M)$  be uniformly continuous, and fix an integer N > 0. Then for any  $\xi > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\left\{x_i\right\}_{i=0}^N \in$ 

Orb<sup>$$\delta$$</sup>( $\varphi$ ) then  $x_0$   $\xi$ -traces  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$ .

Lemma 4. Let  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{M})$  be uniformly continuous. If  $\varphi$  is a Bowen homeomorphism, then  $\varphi^{-1}$  is.

Lemma 5. Let  $\varphi \in H(M)$  be uniformly continuous. If  $\varphi^k$  is a Bowen homeomorphism for some integer k>0, then  $\varphi$  is.

Lemma 6. Let  $\mathfrak{G} \in H(\mathbb{M})$ , and  $\psi \in H(\mathbb{M}')$ . The direct product  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}'$  is a metric space by the distance function  $d((x, y), (x', y')) = \mathbb{M} \times \{d(x, x'), d(y, y')\}$  for  $x, x' \in \mathbb{M}$  and  $y, y' \in \mathbb{M}'$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} \times \psi$  is a Bowen homeomorphism if and only if  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\psi$  are both Bowen.

Lemma 7. Let  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{M})$  and assume that for any  $\xi > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any integer k > 0 and any  $\left\{ x_i \right\}_{i=0}^k \in \operatorname{Orb}^\delta(\varphi)$  we have  $\operatorname{Tr}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\left\{ x_i \right\}_0^k$ ,  $\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a Bowen homeomorphism.

Lemma 8. Let  $\varphi$  be a Bowen diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold M. Then  $\varphi$  is Bowen with respect to any Riemannian metric on M.

Lemma 9. Let M be a differentiable manifold of  $\dim M \geq 3$ . Let  $X_i = \{p_i, q_i\}$  (  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ ) be a subset of M consisting of at most two points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  with  $d(p_i, q_i) < \delta$ . Suppose  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then there is a diffeomorphism  $\gamma: M \longrightarrow M$  such that  $d(\gamma(x), x) < \delta$  for  $x \in M$  and that  $\gamma(p_i) = q_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ .

Lemma 10. Let  $\boldsymbol{9}: \mathbb{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$  be a homeomorphism of a manifold  $\mathbb{M}$  with  $\dim \mathbb{M} \geq 1$  and suppose  $\mathbb{M} - \mathrm{Fix}(\boldsymbol{9})$  is dense in  $\mathbb{M}$ . Take and fix a constant  $\delta_1 > 0$  and an integer k > 0. Then for any  $\left\{x_i\right\} \in \mathrm{Orb}^{\delta_1}(\boldsymbol{9})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 > 0$ , there is  $\left\{x_i'\right\} \in \mathrm{Orb}^{3\delta_1}(\boldsymbol{9})$  such that i)  $\mathrm{d}(x_i, x_i') < \boldsymbol{\xi}_1$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k$  and ii)  $X_i = \left\{\boldsymbol{9}(x_i'), x_{i+1}'\right\}$   $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1)$  are disjoint.

Proof. We can assume  $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1 < \mathbf{\mathcal{S}}_1$ . For this  $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1$ , there is  $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1' > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1 > \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1'$  and that  $d(x, y) < \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1'$  implies  $d(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}(x), \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}(y)) < \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_1$ . First, we can find  $x_1' \in \mathbb{M}$  (i = 0,1,..., k) such that  $x_1' \neq x_1'$  (i \neq j)

and that  $d(x_i, x_i') < \mathcal{E}_1'$  (i = 0,1,..., k). Next, we shall show by induction that  $X_0, \ldots, X_{k-1}$  are disjoint by taking  $x_i'$  suitably. For that, suppose  $X_i = \left\{ \mathcal{G}(x_i'), x_{i+1}' \right\}$  (i = 0,1,..., k-2) are disjoint. We shall show that, by changing  $x_{k-1}'$  and  $x_k'$ , if necessary,  $X_i$  (i = 0,1,..., k-1) are disjoint.

Consider the point  $\varphi(x_{k-1}')$  and suppose  $\varphi(x_{k-1}') \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-2} X_i$ . Then there is a unique  $i \le k-1$  such that  $\varphi(x_{k-1}') = x_i'$ , since  $x_{k-1}' \ne x_j'$   $(j \le k-2)$  implies  $\varphi(x_{k-1}') \ne \varphi(x_j')$ . If  $i \le k-2$ , we can find  $x_{k-1}''$  near  $x_{k-1}'$  such that  $\varphi(x_{k-1}'') \ne x_i'$ . If i = k-1 i.e.  $\varphi(x_{k-1}') = x_{k-1}'$ , then we can find  $x_{k-1}''$  near  $x_{k-1}'$  such that  $\varphi(x_{k-1}'') \ne x_{k-1}''$ , since  $\mathbb{M} - \text{Fix}(\varphi)$  is dense and open in  $\mathbb{M}$ . We denote  $x_{k-1}''$  by  $x_{k-1}'$  again. Then we can assume that  $x_k' \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-2} X_i$ , since  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-2} X_i$  is a finite set.

Thus we have proved that  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}$  are disjoint.

For i < 0 (resp. i > k) we define  $x_i' = \varphi^{-1}(x_0')$  (resp.  $x_i' = \varphi^{1-k}(x_k')$ ). Then we see that  $\{x_i'\} \in \text{Orb}^3 \delta_1(\varphi)$ . For, we have

$$d(\varphi(x_{i}^{!}), x_{i+1}^{!}) \leq d(\varphi(x_{i}^{!}), \varphi(x_{i})) + d(\varphi(x_{i}), x_{i+1}) + d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}^{!})$$

$$< \epsilon_{1} + \delta_{1} + \epsilon_{1}^{'} < 3 \delta_{1}$$

for i = 0,1,..., k-1. This completes the proof of Lemma 10.

Lemma 11. Let  $\varphi \in H(M)$ , where M is a differentiable manifold of dimension  $\geq 1$ . Assume  $\varphi$  is topologically stable. Then for any integer k > 0, M - Fix( $\varphi^k$ ) is dense in M, where Fix( $\varphi^k$ ) =  $\left\{x \in M \middle| \varphi^k(x) = x\right\}$ .

Proof. Induction on k. First, we prove the lemma for k = 1.

To prove that  $M - Fix(\varphi)$  is dense in M, we assume that there is an open set  $U \neq \emptyset$  such that  $U \subset Fix(\varphi)$ . We can suppose that U is a coordinate neighborhood of a point  $x_0 \in U$  with coordinate system

 $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ . Take  $\xi_1>0$  such that  $Q_{\xi_1}\subset U$ , where  $Q_{\xi_1}=Q_{\xi_1}(x_0)$  means the cubic neighborhood with center  $x_0$  and of breadth  $2\xi_1$ . Take  $\xi>0$  such that  $4\xi<\xi_1$ . For this  $\xi>0$ , we can find  $\delta>0$  with the property in Definition 1. Now, take a differentiable function  $\alpha$  on M such that  $\alpha(x)=1$  for  $x\in Q_{3\xi}$ ,  $\alpha(x)=0$  for  $x\notin Q_{4\xi}$ . Define a differentiable vector field Y on M by

$$Y(x) = \begin{cases} \delta_1 \cdot \alpha(x) & (\frac{\delta}{\delta x_1})_x & x \in Q_{\epsilon_1} \\ 0 & x \notin Q_{\epsilon_1} \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta_1>0$  is a constant. Let  $\left\{ \gamma_t \right\}$  be the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms  $\gamma_t$  of M generated by Y and put  $\gamma=\gamma_1$ . It is clear that if  $\delta_1<\delta$  is sufficiently small, then we have  $d(\gamma(x),x)<\delta$  for  $x\in M$ . Set  $\psi=\gamma\circ\varphi$ , then we have  $d(\varphi(x),\psi(x))<\delta$  for  $x\in M$  and hence there is a continuous map  $h:M\longrightarrow M$  such that  $h\circ\psi=\varphi\circ h$  and  $d(h(x),x)<\xi$  for  $x\in M$ . Since  $\alpha=1$  on  $\alpha_{3\xi}$ , we see that there is a sufficiently large integer k>0 such that  $\psi^k(x_0)=\gamma^k(x_0)\notin \alpha_{3\xi}$  and hence  $h(\psi^k(x_0))\notin \alpha_{2\xi}$ . On the other hand, since  $d(h(x),x)<\xi$  we have  $h(x_0)\in \alpha_{\xi}\subset U\subset \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$ , and so we have  $h(\psi^k(x_0))=\varphi^k(h(x_0))=h(x_0)\in \alpha_{\xi}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have proved the lemma for k=1.

Assume that  $k \geq 2$  and that the Lemma is true for any  $k' \leq k-1$ . Suppose that  $M - \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi^k)$  is not dense. Then there will be a non-empty open set  $U \subset \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi^k)$ . Since  $M - \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi^i)$  is dense in M for  $i \leq k-1$  there exists  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $\varphi^i(x_0) \neq x_0$  for any  $i \leq k-1$ . Hence we can assume that U is a coordinate neighborhood of  $x_0$  with coordinate system  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ ,  $n = \dim M$  and that  $\{\varphi^i(U)\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$  is disjoint. Take  $\mathcal{E}_1$  such that  $U \supset \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x_0)$ , and take  $\mathcal{E}_2 \supset \mathcal{E}_3$  with the property in

Definition 1. For this  $\delta > 0$  we can find a diffeomorphism  $\gamma: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M}$  such that  $\gamma(\mathbb{U}) = \mathbb{U}$ ,  $d(\gamma(\mathbb{X}), \mathbb{X}) \leq \delta$   $(\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{M})$ ,  $\gamma(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X}$   $(\mathbb{X} \notin \mathbb{U})$  and that  $\gamma \mid_{\mathbb{Q}_{4} \epsilon}$  is a parallel translation along the  $\mathbb{X}_{1}$ -axis as in the proof of the Lemma for k = 1. Define  $g \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{M})$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & x \notin \varphi^{k-1}(U) \\ \gamma \circ \varphi(x) & x \in \varphi^{k-1}(U). \end{cases}$$

Since  $U=\varphi^k(U)$ , g is in fact a homeomorphism of M and  $d(g,\varphi)\leq \delta$  holds. Therefore, there is a continuous map  $h:M\longrightarrow M$  such that

$$h \circ g = \phi \circ h$$
 and  $d(h(x), x) < \varepsilon$   $(x \in M)$ 

holds. We see easily that  $g^k(x) = \gamma(x)$  for  $x \in U$ . Hence we can find a sufficiently large integer m > 0 such that  $g^{km}(x_0) = \gamma^m(x_0) \notin \mathbb{Q}_{3\xi}$ . On the other hand, we get  $h \circ g^{km}(x_0) = \varphi^{km}(h(x_0)) = h(x_0) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\xi}$  since  $h(x_0) \in U$  and  $d(h(x_0), x_0) < \xi$ . Hence we have  $g^{km}(x_0) \in \mathbb{Q}_{2\xi}$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 11.

Remark. The author does not know whether the topological stability of  $\pmb{\phi}$  implies that of  $\pmb{\phi}^k$  for  $k\neq 0$ .

 $\S$ 2. Topological and stochastic stabilities, and Takens conjecture.

Theorem 1. Let M be a differentiable (metric) manifold of dim  $M \geq 3$  and assume that there exists  $\mathcal{E}_o > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_o$ -neighborhood  $U_{\mathcal{E}_o}(x)$  of any point  $x \in M$  is relatively compact. Let  $\mathcal{P}: M \longrightarrow M$  be a topologically stable homeomorphism of M. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is stochastically stable. In particular, if M is compact or  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  is the euclidean space then the topological stability implies the stochastic stability.

Remark. The author has a proof of Theorem 1 in case  $M = S^1$  ( the circle). However since the proof is quite different, he will treat it in a future paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since  $\varphi$  is topologically stable, for any  $\xi>0$  there is  $\delta>0$  with the property in Definition 1. We can assume  $\delta<\text{Min}(\xi\,,\,\xi_0)$ .

First, we shall prove, for any  $\left\{x_i\right\} \in \operatorname{Orb}^{\delta/6\pi}(\mathfrak{G})$  and any integer k > 0, that  $\operatorname{Tr}^{2\mathcal{E}}(\left\{x_i\right\}_0^k, \mathfrak{G}) \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 10, ll we can find  $\left\{x_i'\right\} \in \operatorname{Orb}^{\delta/2\pi}(\mathfrak{G})$  such that  $\operatorname{d}(x_i, x_i') < \delta$  (  $i = 0, \ldots, k$ ) and that the sets  $\left\{\mathfrak{G}(x_i'), x_{i+1}'\right\}$  are disjoint for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ . By Lemma 9, there is a  $\mathfrak{J} \in \operatorname{H}(M)$  such that  $\operatorname{d}(\mathfrak{J}(x), x) < \delta$  for  $x \in M$  and  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{G}(x_i')) = x_{i+1}'$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ . Put  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J} \circ \mathfrak{G}$ , then  $\operatorname{d}(\mathfrak{G}(x), \mathfrak{J}(x)) < \delta$ . Hence by the property for  $\delta > 0$ , we can find a continuous map  $h : M \longrightarrow M$  such that  $h \circ \mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{G} \circ h$  and  $\operatorname{d}(h(x), x) < \mathcal{E}$  for  $x \in M$ . Put  $y = h(x_0')$ . Now we have for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ ,

$$d(\varphi^{i}(y), x_{i}) = d(\varphi^{i}(h(x_{0}')), x_{i}) = d(h(\psi^{i}(x_{0}')), x_{i})$$

 $\leq d(h(x_{i}'), x_{i}') + d(x_{i}', x_{i}) < \xi + \delta < 2\xi$ 

which shows y  $\in \operatorname{Tr}^{2}^{\varepsilon}(\{x_{i}^{!}\}_{0}^{k}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ . Thus we have proved that  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  satisfies the condition in Lemma 7, which concludes that  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  is stochastically stable.

Now we shall recall the notion of extended orbits of a homeomorphism of a compact metric space (cf. [9]).

Let  $\mathfrak{g}:\mathbb{M}\longrightarrow\mathbb{M}$  be a homeomorphism of a compact metric space (M, d). The set of all non-empty closed subsets of M will be a compact metric space by the distance function  $\overline{d}$  defined by

$$\overline{d}(A, B) = Max \left\{ Max d(A, b), Max d(a, B) \right\}$$

$$b \in B$$

$$a \in A$$

for A, B  $\in$  C(M), where d(A, b) = inf d(a, b) (cf. [5]). We denote by a  $\in$  A Orb  $\circ$  ( $\circ$ ) the set of all A  $\in$  C(M), for which there is  $\{x_i\} \in \circ$  Orb  $\circ$  ( $\circ$ )

such that  $A = Cl\{x_i \mid i \in Z\}$ , Cl denoting the closure.

Definition 5. We denote by  $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$  the set of all  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{M})$  such that for any  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} > 0$  there is  $\mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Orb}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}})$  with  $\overline{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}) < \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ . An element  $\mathbf{A}$  of  $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$  is called an <u>extended orbit</u> of  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}$ .

On the other hand, we define  $O_{\mathbf{g}} = \operatorname{Cl}\left\{O_{\mathbf{g}}(x) \mid x \in M\right\} \subset \operatorname{C}(M)$ , where  $O_{\mathbf{g}}(x) = \operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Orb}_{\mathbf{g}}(x))$  with  $\operatorname{Orb}_{\mathbf{g}}(x) = \left\{\varphi^{\mathbf{i}}(x) \mid i \in Z\right\}$ . We can easily see that  $E_{\mathbf{g}}$  is closed in  $\operatorname{C}(M)$  and  $O_{\mathbf{g}} \subset E_{\mathbf{g}}$  holds for any  $\mathbf{g} \in \operatorname{H}(M)$ .

Lemma 12. If  $\varphi \in H(M)$  is stochastically stable, then  $O_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi}$  holds. Proof omitted.

Now, we shall give an affirmative answer to a conjecture by F. Takens[9].

Theorem 2 (Conjecture of Takens). Let  $\varphi$  be a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism of a compact connected manifold M with dim M  $\geq$  1. Assume that  $\varphi$  is an AS-diffeomorphism, i.e.,  $\varphi$  satisfies the Axiom A and the strong transversality condition. Then  $O_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi}$  holds.

Proof. Consider the direct product  $\varphi \times \varphi \times \varphi$ , a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}$  onto itself. Since  $\varphi$  is an AS-diffeomorphism, we see that  $\varphi \times \varphi \times \varphi$  is also AS. By a result of Nitecki[6],  $\varphi \times \varphi \times \varphi$  is topologically stable. Hence by Theorem 1  $\varphi \times \varphi \times \varphi$  is a Bowen homeomorphism. Now Lemma 6 says that  $\varphi$  is also a Bowen homeomorphism and so by Lemma 12,  $\mathbb{G}_{\varphi} = \mathbb{F}_{\varphi}$  holds.

Definition 4.  $\varphi \in H(M)$  is called <u>expansive</u>, iff there exists  $\varepsilon_o > 0$  (called an expansiveness constant of  $\varphi$  ) with the property that for any  $x, y \in M$  with  $x \neq y$ , there is  $n \in Z$  such that

$$d(\mathbf{g}^n(x), \mathbf{g}^n(y)) \geq \mathcal{E}_0$$
.

The following Proposition is essentially proved in [2].

Proposition 1. Let M be a metric space such that every bounded set is relatively compact. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$  be a stochastically stable homeomorphism of M. If  $\varphi$  is expansive, then  $\varphi$  is topologically stable.

# iggle 3. Stochastic stability of linear and toral automorphisms.

In this section we shall characterize affine transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and toral automorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  to be stochastically stable.

Proposition 2. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\varphi$  is stochastically stable if and only if  $\varphi$  is hyperbolic, i.e., if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\varphi$  then  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ .

Proof. Assume  $\mathcal{G}$  is stochastically stable. Consider the complexification  $\mathcal{G}^C: \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . Identifying  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can identify  $\mathcal{G}^C$  with  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ . By virtue of Lemma 6 and 8,  $\mathcal{G}$  is stochastically stable if and only if  $\mathcal{G}^C$  is. Since a linear map is uniformly continuous, it follows from Lemma 1 that  $\mathcal{G}^C$  is stochastically stable if and only if every factor of the Jordan canonical form of  $\mathcal{G}^C$  is stochastically stable.

Now, it suffices to show that if  $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^1 \\ \lambda_2^1 \end{pmatrix}$ :  $c^n \longrightarrow c^n$  (resp.  $\psi_0 = \lambda_1 \cdot 1_{c^n}$ ) is stochastically stable, then  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . Suppose  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Set  $z_j = j \cdot \lambda^j \cdot \delta$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since

 $\operatorname{d}( \ \psi_0(\mathbf{z_j}), \ \mathbf{z_{j+1}}) = \left| \ \psi_0(\mathbf{z_j}) - \mathbf{z_{j+1}} \right| = \left| \ \mathbf{j}.\lambda^{j+1}\delta - (\mathbf{j+1})\,\lambda^{j+1}\delta \right| = \delta,$  we have  $\left\{ \mathbf{z_j} \right\} \in \operatorname{Orb}^{\delta}(\ \psi_0)$ . However, since

 $d(\psi_0^n(\xi), z_n) = |\lambda^n \xi - \lambda^n n \delta| = |\xi - n \delta|,$ 

there is no  $\xi$  such that  $d(\psi_0^n(\xi), z_n)$  is bounded for any small  $\delta > 0$ . In particular, for any  $\delta > 0$  we have  $\mathrm{Tr}^1(\{z_j\}, \psi_0) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\psi_0$  is not stochastically stable.

Similarly, consider the vector  $v_j=(0,\ldots,0,z_j)$  for  $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ , we see that  $\{v_j\}\in \mathrm{Orb}^{\delta}(\psi)$  and that  $\mathrm{Tr}^1(\{v_j\},\psi)=\emptyset$ , which means  $\psi$  is not stochastically stable. Thus we have proved that  $\psi$  is hyperbolic.

Conversely, assume that  $\varphi$  is hyperbolic. Then it is well known that there are subspaces E<sup>S</sup> and E<sup>u</sup> of R<sup>n</sup> and constants C>0,0< $\lambda$ <1 such that

i) 
$$R^n = E^s \oplus E^u$$

ii) 
$$\varphi(E^{\sigma}) = E^{\sigma}, \quad \sigma = s, u,$$

iii) 
$$\| \mathcal{G}^n \mathbf{v} \| \le c \lambda^n \| \mathbf{v} \| \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{E}^s$$
  
  $\| \mathcal{G}^{-n} \mathbf{w} \| \le c \lambda^n \| \mathbf{w} \| \qquad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{E}^u$ 

for  $n \geq 0$ . Set  $\psi = \mathcal{G}|_{E}$ s and  $\eta = \mathcal{G}|_{E}$ u, then identifying  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we can identify  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $\psi \times \gamma$ . By virtue of Lemma 6, it suffices to show that  $\psi$  and  $\eta$  are stochastically stable.

First consider  $\gamma: E^u \to E^u$  and take  $\xi > 0$ . Put  $\delta = (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{E}/C$  We assert that  $\{x_i\} \in \mathrm{Orb}^{\delta}(\gamma)$  implies  $\mathrm{Tr}^{\xi}(\{x_i\}, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we set  $\alpha_k = x_{k+1} - \gamma(x_k) \in E^u$ . Then we have  $\|\alpha_k\| \leq \delta$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By induction we see that for k > 0

$$x_{k} = \gamma^{k}(x_{0}) + \gamma^{k-1}(\alpha_{0}) + \gamma^{k-2}(\alpha_{1}) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}$$

holds. Put  $\xi=\gamma^{-1}$ . Then we have  $\|\xi^k\| \le c \lambda^k$  for k>0 (cf. iii) ). We have also :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k} &= \mathbf{\gamma}^{k} (\ \mathbf{x}_{0} + \mathbf{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_{0}') + \mathbf{\xi}^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{1}') + \ldots + \mathbf{\xi}^{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}')) = \mathbf{\gamma}^{k} (\mathbf{x}_{0} + \mathbf{v}_{k}'), \\ \text{where we put } \mathbf{v}_{k} &= \mathbf{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_{0}') + \mathbf{\xi}^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{1}') + \ldots + \mathbf{\xi}^{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}') \quad \text{for } k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. In fact, for any p > k > 0, we have

$$\begin{split} \| \, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}} \| &= \| \, \sum_{\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{p}} \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathbf{i}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{1}}) \, \| \, \leq \, \sum_{\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{p}} \| \, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{-\mathbf{i}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{1}}) \, \| \\ &\leq \, \mathbf{c} \, \, \sum_{\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{j}} \, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathbf{i}} \, \| \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{1}} \| \leq \, \, \mathbf{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}} / (\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \, \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{0} \, \, (\mathbf{k} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \boldsymbol{\omega}). \end{split}$$

Hence there is  $\beta \in E^{u}$  such that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} v_{k} = \beta$ . Put  $y = x_{0} + \beta$ . Then

we have

and hence we have

$$d(\gamma^{k}(y), x_{k}) = \| \gamma^{k}(y) - x_{k} \| \leq \sum \| \xi^{i-k} \| \delta \leq c \delta / (1 - \lambda) = \epsilon.$$

By Lemma 12, we see that  $\eta$  is stochastically stable. Similarly we conclude that  $\psi^{-1}$  is stochastically stable. By Lemma 4,  $\psi$  is also stochastically stable. Thus we have proved that  $\phi$  is stochastically stable.

Theorem 3. Let  $\mathfrak{P}:\mathbb{R}^n{\longrightarrow}\mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear automorphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\Phi$  is hyperbolic
- 2)  $\phi$  is expansive
- 3) 9 is structurally stable
- 4)  $\phi$  is stochastically stable
- 5) **9** is topologically stable.

Proof. Equivalence  $1)\longleftrightarrow 3$ ) was proved by Hartman (see Theorem 2.3[7] for details).

- $1) \longleftrightarrow 2)$  is standard.
- 1) $\longleftrightarrow$ 4) is by Proposition 2.
- 5)  $\rightarrow$  4) for  $n \ge 2$  is by Theorem 1. For n = 1,  $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{R}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  is given by  $\mathcal{G}(x) = \lambda \cdot x$  for some  $\lambda \ne 0$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is topologically stable, then  $\lambda \ne \pm 1$ . For if  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , then  $\mathcal{G}^2 = 1_{\mathbb{R}^1}$  and  $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{G}^2) = \mathbb{R}^1$ , which contradicts Lemma 11. Thus  $|\lambda| \ne 1$ , which means  $\mathcal{G}$  is hyperbolic and so stochastically stable. Finally  $(4) \longrightarrow (5)$ , since  $(4) \longrightarrow (2)$  and so we can apply Theorem 2. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Proposition 3. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear automorphism and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a fixed vector. Define the affine transformation  $\mathfrak{P}: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\varphi(x) = f(x) + \xi$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\varphi$  is stochastically stable if and only if f is.

Proof. Let 
$$\{x_i\} \in Orb^{\delta}(\mathcal{G})$$
. Put 
$$x_i' = x_i - (f^{i-1}(\xi) + f^{i-2}(\xi) + \dots + \xi)$$

for  $i \in Z$ . We see that  $\{x_i^i\} \in Orb^{\delta}(f)$ . It is easy to verify that  $\{x_i^i\} \rightarrow \{x_i^i\}$  is a one-one correspondence between  $Orb^{\delta}(f)$  and  $Orb^{\delta}(f)$  and that  $Tr^{\delta}(\{x_i^i\}, f) = Tr^{\delta}(\{x_i^i\}, f)$  for every  $\delta > 0$ . Thus f is stochastically stable if and only if f is.

Proposition 4. Let M and  $\widetilde{M}$  be metric spaces and  $\pi:\widetilde{M} \longrightarrow M$  be a locally isometric covering map of  $\widetilde{M}$  onto M. Assume that M is compact and that every  $\mathcal{E}$ -neighborhood  $U_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$  of  $x \in M$  is connected for small  $\mathcal{E} > 0$ . Let  $f \in H(\widetilde{M})$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in H(M)$  such that  $\pi \circ f = \mathcal{G} \circ \pi$ . Then, f is stochastically stable if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is.

Proof omitted.

Lemma 13. Let f be a linear automorphism and  $\mathfrak{F}: \mathbb{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  be a group automorphism of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  such that  $\pi \circ f = \mathfrak{F} \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  is the projection. Then f is expansive if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is.

Proof omitted.

Theorem 4. Let  $\mathfrak{P}: T^n \longrightarrow T^n$  be a group automorphism of the torus  $T^n = R^n / Z^n$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\phi$  is an Anosov diffeomorphism,
- 2)  $\varphi$  is expansive,
- 3)  $\phi$  is structurally stable,
- 4)  $\varphi$  is stochastically stable,

- 5) \$\Phi\$ is topologically stable,
- 6)  $\phi$  satisfies Axiom A and the strong transversality condition.

Proof. 1)  $\rightarrow$  5) is proved by Walters [10].

5)  $\rightarrow$  4) is proved by Theorem 1 for case  $n \ge 2$ . In case n = 1, if  $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^1$  is a group automorphism  $\mathbf{g}^2 = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{T}^1}$  and so  $\mathbf{g}$  is not topologically stable by Lemma 11.

To prove 4)  $\longrightarrow$  1), we denote by  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  the linear automorphism covering  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\pi \circ f = \varphi \circ \pi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is stochastically stable, f is also so by Proposition 4. Hence by Theorem 3, f is hyperbolic. Then  $\varphi$  is clearly an Anosov diffeomorphism.

- 1)  $\rightarrow$  3) is proved by Anosov [1].
- 3)  $\longrightarrow$  1), since  $T_0 \varphi$  (the differential of  $\varphi$  at the neutral element 0 of  $T^n$ ) is hyperbolic by a result of Franks [3], and hence  $\varphi$  is an Anosov diffeomorphism.
  - 1)  $\rightarrow$  2) is proved also by Anosov [1].
- 2)  $\longrightarrow$  1), since f is expansive by Lemma 13, and hence f is hyperbolic by Theorem 3 and so  $\varphi$  is Anosov.
  - 1)  $\rightarrow$  6) is verified by the very definition and a result of Anosov [1].
  - 6)  $\longrightarrow$  3) is proved by Robbin [8].

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

 $\xi\mu$ . Isometries of compact Riemannian manifolds.

In this section we shall prove that any isometry of a compact connected Riemannian manifold M with dim M  $\geq$  1 is not stochastically stable.

Lemma 14. Let M be a compact connected Riemannian manifold. Suppose  $\phi \in H(M) \text{ is an isometry of M. Then, M} \in E_{\phi}.$  Proof omitted.

Theorem 5. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$  be an isometry of a compact connected Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}$  with dim  $\mathbb{M} \ge 1$ . Then  $\varphi$  is not stochastically stable.

Proof. Suppose  $\varphi$  is stochastically stable. Since M is compact, the non-wandering set  $\Omega(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$  is not empty. Take and fix a point  $p_0 \in \Omega(\varphi)$ . For  $\mathcal{E} = \text{diameter}(\mathbb{M})/7$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{x_i\} \in \text{Orb}^{\delta}(\varphi)$  implies  $\text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}}(\{x_i\}, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $U = U_{\delta/2}(p_0)$ . Then, since  $p_0 \in \Omega(\varphi)$ , there is an integer k > 0 such that  $\varphi^k(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . We can assume that  $\varphi^i(U) \cap U = \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ . Take a point  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $\varphi^k(x_0) \in U$ . Now, set  $x_{nk+1} = \varphi^i(x_0)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \le i < k$ . We see easily that  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \text{Orb}^{\delta}(\varphi)$ . Hence we can find a point  $y \in \mathbb{M}$  such that  $d(\varphi^i(y), x_i) \le \mathcal{E}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, we have  $d(\varphi^{nk}(y), x_{nk}) \le \mathcal{E}$  and hence  $d(\varphi^{nk}(y), x_0) \le \mathcal{E}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Put  $\psi = \varphi^k$  and  $y_n = \psi^n(y)$ . We have  $y_n \in U_{\mathcal{E}}(x_0)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, since  $\psi$  is an isometry, we have  $M \in E_{\psi}$  by Lemma 14. Since  $\psi$  is stochastically stable by Lemma 2, we have  $E_{\psi} = 0_{\psi}$  by Lemma 12. Therefore,  $M \in 0_{\psi}$  and so there is  $z \in M$  such that

 $\overline{d}(O_{\psi}(z), M) \langle \mathcal{E}.$ 

Since  $y \in M$ , there is  $m \in Z$  such that  $y \in U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^m(z))$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  is an isometry we have  $\boldsymbol{\psi}^m(z) \in U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(y)$ , and hence  $\boldsymbol{\psi}^n(\boldsymbol{\psi}^m(z)) \in U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^n(y))$   $\subset U_{2\boldsymbol{\xi}}(x_0)$  and finally we get

 $(4. 2) Orb_{\psi}(z) \subset U_{2\varepsilon}(x_0).$ 

Now (4.1) and (4.2) imply  $M \subset U_{\epsilon}(O_{\psi}(z)) \subset U_{3\epsilon}(x_{0})$  and we have diam(M)  $\leq 6\epsilon$ , which is a contradiction.

Proposition 5. Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Suppose that there is a group automorphism  $\phi: G \longrightarrow G$ , which is stochastically stable with respect to some Riemannian metric on G. Then, G is a torus.

Proof. Let A (resp. S) be the maximal abelian (resp. semi-simple) normal subgroup of G, and set  $Z = A \cap S$ . Then we know (cf. [4]) that  $G = A \cdot S$  and Z is a finite group. It is well known that  $\mathcal{G}(A) = A$  and  $\mathcal{G}(S) = S$ . Put  $\mathbf{\xi} = \mathbf{G}_A$  and  $\mathbf{G}(S) = S$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$ 

## § 5. Final remarks

Remark 1. The author has examples of diffeomorphisms, which are stochastically stable but not structurally stable.

Remark 2. In case  $M = S^1$  (the circle), we can prove that a  $C^2$ -diffeomorphism  $\varphi: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$  is stochastically stable if and only if there exists an integer k > 0 such that  $\varphi^k$  is topologically stable. The author does not know whether we can take k = 1 in the above statement.

Remark 3. The author has a characterization for projective transformations to be stochastically stable.

#### References

- [1] D.V. Anosov, Geodesic flows on closed Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 90 (1967).
- [2] R. Bowen,  $\omega$ -limit sets for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, J. Diff. Eq. 18 (1975), 333-339.

- [3] J. Franks, Necessary conditions for stability of diffeomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 301-308.
- [4] G. Hochschild, The structure of Lie groups, Holden-Day, 1965.
- [5] C. Kuratowski, Topologie, Vol.II, Warsaw 1961.
- [6] Z. Nitecki, On semi-stability for diffeomorphisms, Inv. Math. 14 (1971), 83-122.
- [7] J. Robbin, Topological conjugacies and structural stability for discrete dynamical systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 923-952.
- [8] J. Robbin, A structural stability theorem, Ann. of Math. 94 (1971), 447-493.
- [9] F. Takens, Tolerance stability, Dyn. Sys.-Warwick, Springer Lecture Notes No. 468, 1975.
- [10] P. Walters, Anosov diffeomorphisms are topologically stable, Topology 9 (1970), 71-78.