## Unconditioned strong d-sequences

Kikumichi Yamagishi (Science Univ. of Tokyo)

A sequence  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_s$  of elements in a commutative ring A is called a d-sequence if the equality

 $[(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}): a_i a_i] = [(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}): a_i]$ holds for all  $1 \le i \le j \le s$  and is called a strong d-sequence if  $a_1^{n_1}$ , ...,  $a_s^{n_s}$  is a d-sequence for all  $n_1$ , ...,  $n_s > 0$ . Moreover if  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_s$  is a (strong) d-sequence in any order, we will say that a1, a2, ..., a is an unconditioned (strong) d-sequence ([9]).

The behaviour of parameter ideals in Buchsbaum rings are studied by S. Goto and many others (cf., [5] and [6]). The aim of this lecture is to give a new approach to them in terms of unconditioned strong d-sequences. This motif is suggested by Prof. S. Goto, and the writer wishes to thank him and the members of his seminar for helpful suggestions.

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  be a sequence of elements in a commutative ring A and put I =  $(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ . Our first result is stated as follows

Let a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>s</sub> be an unconditioned strong d-sequence. Then the following equalities hold:

(1) 
$$\left[ (a_1^{n_1+m_1}, \dots, a_s^{n_s+m_s}) : \prod_{i=1}^s a_i^{m_i} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^s \left[ (a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_i^{n_i}, \dots, a_s^{n_s}) : a_i^{n_i} + (a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_s^{n_s}) \right] + (a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_s^{n_s})$$
 for all

(2) 
$$(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k}) \cap I^n = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^{n_i} \cdot I^{n-n_i}$$
 for all

$$\begin{split} &1 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{s} \text{ , } \mathbf{n_1}, \cdots, \mathbf{n_k} > 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ ;} \\ &(3) \quad \left[ (\mathbf{a_1}^n \mathbf{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a_{k-1}}^n \mathbf{k-1}) \colon \mathbf{a_k} \right] \bigcap \mathbf{I}^n = \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{a_i}^n \mathbf{i} \colon \mathbf{I}^{n-n} \mathbf{i} + \sum_{\substack{F \subset \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ f \in F}} \prod_{f \in F} \mathbf{a_f}^n \mathbf{f}^{-1} \cdot \left[ (\mathbf{a_f} \big| \mathbf{f} \in F) \colon \mathbf{a_k} \right] \\ &\sum_{f \in F} (\mathbf{n_f} - 1) \geq \mathbf{n} \end{split}$$
 for all  $1 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{s}$ ,  $\mathbf{n_1}, \dots, \mathbf{n_{k-1}} > 0$  and  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $G_{I}(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^{n}/I^{n+1}$  and  $R_{I}(A) = \bigoplus_{n > 0} I^{n}$  denote the associated graded ring of I and the Rees algebra of I, respectively.  $H_{\rm I}^{\bf i}(.)$  (resp.  $H_{\rm M}^{\bf i}(.)$  and  $H_{\rm N}^{\bf i}(.)$ ) stands for the ith local cohomology defined by the direct limit of Koszul cohomology relative to I (resp.  $\underline{M} = G_{\underline{I}}(A)_{+}$  and  $\underline{N} = I \cdot R_{\underline{I}}(A) +$  $R_{I}(A)_{+}$ ). With this terminology we also have the following

Theorem 2. Let a1, a2, ..., a be an unconditioned strong d-sequence. At Then were a same as an an analysis as the war

(1) 
$$= (1 \cdot H_I^i(A/(a_1^n), \dots, a_j^n)) = (0)$$
 for all  $= 0 \le i + j \le s$  and  $= n_1, \dots, n_j > 0$ .

(2) 
$$\left[H_{\underline{\underline{M}}}^{\mathbf{i}}(G_{\underline{I}}(A))\right]_{n} = H_{\underline{\underline{I}}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A) \quad (n = -i),$$

$$= (0) \quad (n \neq -i)$$
for every  $0 \leq i < s$  and
$$\left[H_{\underline{\underline{M}}}^{\mathbf{S}}(G_{\underline{I}}(A))\right]_{n} = (0) \quad (n > -s).$$

$$\left[H_{M}^{S}(G_{I}(A))\right]_{n} = (0) \qquad (n > -s).$$

(3) 
$$\left[ H_{\underline{N}}^{0}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)) \right]_{n} = H_{\underline{I}}^{0}(A) \quad (n = 0) ,$$

$$= (0) \quad (n \neq 0) ,$$

$$\left[ H_{\underline{N}}^{1}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)) \right]_{n} = H_{\underline{I}}^{1-1}(A) \quad (2 - i \leq n \leq -1) ,$$

$$= (0) \quad (n \leq 1 - i \text{ or } n \geq 0)$$

for every  $1 \le i \le s$  and

$$\left[H_{\underline{N}}^{S+1}(R_{\underline{I}}(A))\right]_{n} = (0) \quad (n \ge 0) .$$

(4) 
$$H_{\underline{N}}^{\underline{i}}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)) = (0)$$
 for all  $i > s + 1$ .

All conclusions of our theorems are led by Lemma 4 which is given by S. Goto and another powerful application of this lemma can be found in [18]. So we believe that this lemma plays very important roles in the whole of our research.

In order to discuss an application of our results, let us recall some definition. For a while let A be a Noetherian local ring of dim A = d > 0 and m the maximal ideal of A. Then A is called a Buchsbaum ring if the difference

$$1_{\Lambda}(\Lambda/q) - e_{\Lambda}(q)$$

is an invariant I(A) of A not depending on the particular choice of a parameter ideal q of A, where  $l_A(A/q)$  and  $e_A(q)$  denote the length of the A-module A/q and the multiplicity of A relative to q, respectively. This is equivalent to saying that every system  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$  of parameters for A is a weak-sequence, i.e., the equality  $[(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}): a_i] = [(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}): m]$  holds for all  $1 \le i \le d$  ([14]). C. Huneke showed in [9, (1.7)] that A is Buchsbaum if and only if every system of parameters for A forms a d-sequence. The theory of Buchsbaum rings (and modules) has rapidly developed and nowadays much is known about them (cf., e.g., [1], [3], [4], [7], [8], [0], [11], [12], [13], [15], [16], [20]). Let q be a parameter ideal of A and put

$$G_q(A) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} q^n / q^{n+1}$$
 and  $R_q(A) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} q^n$ 

, the associated graded ring of  $\,q\,$  and the Rees algebra of  $\,q\,$ , respectively. As an application of our results we give an affirmative answer to the question posed by S. Goto in [2] as follows: is  $R_q(A)$  a Buchsbaum ring if so is A? Our answer

is stated as follows

Theorem 3. Suppose that depth A>0. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A is a Buchsbaum ring;
- (2)  $G_q(A)_{\underline{M}}$  is a Buchsbaum ring for every parameter ideal q of A;
- (3)  $R_q(A)_{\underline{N}}$  is a Buchsbaum ring for every parameter ideal q of A ,

here  $\underline{M}$  and  $\underline{N}$  denote the unique graded maximal ideal of  $G_q(A)$  and  $R_q(A)$  respectively.

## 2. Sketch of Proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  be a sequence of elements in a commutative ring A and put  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ . Then

Lemma 4 (S. Goto). If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence modulo bA, then the equality  $\left[(a_1^{\ n}1, \dots, a_s^{\ n}s) \colon b\right] = \sum_{F \subset \{1, \dots, s\}} \prod_{f \in F} a_f^{\ n}f^{-1} \cdot \left[(a_f \mid f \in F) \colon b\right]$  holds for all  $n_1, \dots, n_s > 0$ .

Lemma 5 ([6, Theorem (2.4)]). If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  is a d-sequence, then

 $\left[(a_1,\ \dots,\ a_{i-1})\colon a_i\right] \cap I^n = (a_1,\ \dots,\ a_{i-1}).\ I^{n-1}$  for all  $1 \le i \le s$  and n > 0.

Lemma 6. If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence, then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) 
$$(a_f^n f | f \in F) \cap I^n = \sum_{f \in F} a_f^n f \cdot I^{n-n} f$$

for all  $F \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ ,  $n_f > 0$  ( $f \in F$ ) and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2) 
$$(a_f^n f \mid f \in F) \cap I^n = \sum_{f \in F} a_f^n f \cdot I^{n-n} f$$

for all  $F \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ ,  $n_f = 1, 2 \ (f \in F)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) 
$$(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_s^{n_s}) \cap I^n = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i^{n_i} \cdot I^{n-n_i}$$

for all  $n_i = 1$ ,  $2 (1 \le i \le s)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Lemma 7. If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence such that

$$(a_1^2, \dots, a_{s-1}^2, a_s) \cap I^n = (a_1^2, \dots, a_{s-1}^2) \cdot I^{n-2} + a_s \cdot I^{n-1}$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the equality

$$([(a_{1}^{2}, \dots, a_{s-1}^{2}): a_{s}] + a_{s}^{A}) \cap I^{n} = (a_{1}^{2}, \dots, a_{s-1}^{2}) \cdot I^{n-2} + a_{s} \cdot I^{n-1} + \sum_{\substack{F \subset \{1, \dots, s-1\} \\ \#F \ge n}} \prod_{f \in F} a_{f} \cdot [(a_{f} | f \in F): a_{s}]$$

holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) Use induction on s and apply
Lemma 4. (2) By Lemma 6, we must show that

$$(a_1^{n_1}, ..., a_s^{n_s}) \cap I^n = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i^{n_i} \cdot I^{n-n_i}$$

for all  $n_i = 1$ , 2 ( $1 \le i \le s$ ) and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Case 1:  $n_i = 1$  for some i. Use induction on s and apply Lemma 5. Case 2:  $n_i = 2$  for all i. Apply Lemma 7 and Case 1. (3) Use induction on n and apply Lemma 4 and the above assertion (2).

3. Sketch of Proof of Theorem 2.

Let J be an ideal of A. A sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  is called a <u>weak-sequence</u> with respect to J if the equality

$$[(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}): a_i] = [(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}): J]$$

holds for all  $1 \le i \le s$ . We will use the words "strong" and "unconditioned" with the same meaning as d-sequences.

Lemma 8. Let J be an ideal of A which contains I. Then a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>s</sub> is an unconditioned strong weak-sequence with respect to J if and only if it is an unconditioned strong d-sequence and an unconditioned weak-sequence w.r.t. J.

Proof of (1) of Theorem 2. By Lemma 8, we see that I.  $H_T^0(A/(a_1^n1, ..., a_j^nj)) = (0)$ 

for every  $0 \le j < s$  ,  $n_1$ , ... ,  $n_j > 0$  . The assertion comes at once by descending induction on j and the similar discussion as in [20, Lemma 2].

We put  $h_i = a_i \mod I^2$   $(1 \le i \le s)$ .

Proposition 9. h<sub>1</sub>, h<sub>2</sub>, ..., h<sub>s</sub> is an unconditioned strong d-sequence if so is a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>s</sub>.

Lemma 10. If a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>s</sub> is an unconditioned strong d-sequence, then

$$(1) \qquad \left[ H_{\underline{M}}^{O}(G_{\underline{I}}(A)) \right]_{n} = H_{\underline{I}}^{O}(A) \quad (n = 0) ,$$

$$= (0) \quad (n \neq 0) ;$$

(2) 
$$\left[H_{\underline{M}}^{\underline{i}}(G_{\underline{I}}(A))\right]_{n} = (0)$$
  $(n \neq -i)$  for every  $1 \leq i < s$ ;

(3) 
$$\left[H_{\underline{M}}^{S}(G_{I}(A))\right]_{n} = (0)$$
 for all  $n > -s$ .

We put  $a = a_1$ . As  $aA \cap I^n = a \cdot I^{n-1} (n \in \mathbb{Z})$  oby Theorem 1, we get the following diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 : a \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) \xrightarrow{a} R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) \longrightarrow R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) / a \cdot R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 : a \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) / a \cdot R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 : a \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) / a \cdot R_{\mathbf{I}}(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

Lemma 11. If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence, then

(1) 
$$\left[H_{\underline{N}}^{O}(R_{\underline{I}}(A))\right]_{n} = H_{\underline{I}}^{O}(A) \quad (n = 0),$$
  
= (0)  $(n \neq 0);$ 

- (2) the canonical map  $H_{\underline{N}}^{O}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)) \longrightarrow H_{\underline{N}}^{O}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)/a.R_{\underline{I}}(A))$  is an isomorphism;
- (3)  $\left[ H_{\underline{N}}^{\underline{i}}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)) \right]_{n} = \text{(0)} \quad (n \leq -i \text{ or } n \geq 0) \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } \left[ H_{\underline{N}}^{S+1}(R_{\underline{I}}(A)) \right]_{n} = \text{(0)} \quad (n \geq 0) .$

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{I}(A)_{+} \longrightarrow R_{I}(A) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{T}(A)_{+}(1) \longrightarrow R_{T}(A) \longrightarrow G_{T}(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Use these sequences, and apply Lemma 10 and 11.

## 4. Sketch of Proof of Theorem 3.

Let A be a Noetherian local ring of dim A = d > 0 and m the maximal ideal of A. We say that A has finite local cohomology if the local cohomology  $H_m^i(A)$  of A are finitely generated (i.e., the length  $l_A(H_m^i(A))$  are finite) for all i  $\neq$  d. Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$  be a system of parameters for A and put  $q = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ . We define that

$$I(a_1, ..., a_d; A) = I_A(A/q) - e_A(q)$$

, where  $\textbf{l}_A(\textbf{A}/\textbf{q})$  and  $\textbf{e}_A(\textbf{q})$  denote the length of an A-module A/q and the multiplicity of A relative to q , respectively.

Lemma 12. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence.
- (2)  $I(a_1, ..., a_d; A) = I(a_1^2, ..., a_d^2; A)$ .

(3) A has finite local cohomology and  $I(a_1, \ldots, a_d; A) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} {d-1 \choose i} \cdot 1_A(H_m^i(A)) .$ 

Let G denote the associated graded ring  $G_q(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} q^n/q^{n+1}$  of q and h, the initial form of a,  $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ .

Lemma 13.  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence if and only if so is  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d$ .

In the 4<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Commutative Algebra at Karuizawa in Japan (Nov. 3-6, 1982), N. V. Trung introduces a standard system of parameters for an A-module E which is a system  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_d$  of parameters for E satisfying the same condition as (3) (and (2)) of Lemma 12, i.e.,  $H_m^i(E)$  is finitely generated for all  $i \neq \dim_A E$  and  $l_A(E/(a_1, \ldots, a_d).E) - e_E(a_1, \ldots, a_d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i}. \ l_A(H_m^i(E))$ , where  $d = \dim_A E$ . Hence a system of parameters for A is an unconditioned strong d-sequence if and only if it is standard ([19]).

Let us recall that A is called a quasi-Buchsbaum ring if  $m \cdot H_m^i(A) = (0)$  for all  $i \neq d$ . This is equivalent saying that at least one (and hence every) system of parameters for A is a weak-sequence (By a weak-sequence we mean a weak-sequence with respect to the maximal ideal of the local ring A), see ([17]).

Proposition 14 ([5], also [19]). The following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $G_{M}$  is a Buchsbaum ring, where  $\underline{M} = m \cdot G + G_{+}$ .
- (2)  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d$  is an unconditioned strong weak-sequence. In this case, A is a quasi-Buchsbaum ring and  $I(G_M) = I(A)$ .

Theorem 15. Let A be a quasi-Buchsbaum ring. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $G_{\underline{M}}$  is a quasi-Buchsbaum ring with  $I(G_{\underline{M}}) = I(A)$ .
- (2) The equality

$$(a_1^2, \dots, a_d^2) \cap q^n = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i^2 \cdot q^{n-2}$$

holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Lemma 16. Suppose that depth A > 0 and  $\operatorname{Proj} R_{\mathbf{q}}(A)$  is Cohen-Macaulay. If  $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{d-1}$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence modulo  $a_d^n A$  for almost all n>0, then the equality

$$[(a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}): a_d^2] = [(a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}): a_d]$$
 holds.

Proposition 17 ( $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$ ). Suppose that depth A > 0. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1) a, a, ..., ad is an unconditioned strong d-sequence.
- (2) Proj  $R_{(a_1^n_1, \dots, a_d^n_d)}(A)$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $n_1, \dots, n_d > 0$ .

Proof of Theorem 3. The equivalence of (1) and (2) comes at once from Proposition 14. (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1) follows from Proposition 17 and the converse is proved by Surjective Criterion in [13] and [15].

Finally we also have the following

Proposition 18 ([5]). If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d$  is an unconditioned strong d-sequence, then

ditioned strong d-sequence, then 
$$e_A(\textbf{q}) \; \geq \; \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \; (^{d-1}_{i-1}) \cdot \; \mathbf{1}_A(\textbf{H}^i_m(\textbf{A})) \quad .$$

## References

- [1] S. Goto, On Buchsbaum rings, J. Alg., 67(1980), 272-279.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Blowing-up characterization for local rings, R.I M.S. Kôkyuroku, 400(1980), 42-50.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Buchsbaum rings with multiplicity 2, J. Alg., 74(1982), 494-508.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Buchsbaum rings of maximal embedding dimension, J. Alg., 76(1982), 383-399.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, On the associated graded rings of parameter ideals in Buchsbaum rings, to appear in J. Alg.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Blowing-up of Buchsbaum rings, to appear in The Proc. Durham Symposium on Commutative Algebra.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Noetherian local rings with Buchsbaum associated graded rings, to appear in J. Alg.
- [8] S. Goto and Y. Shimoda, On Rees algebras over Buchsbaum rings, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 20(1980), 691-708.
- [9] C. Huneke, The theory of d-sequences and powers of ideals, Ad. Math., 46(1982), 249-279.
- [10] S. Ikeda, Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras of local rings, preprint.
- [11] B. Renschuch, J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, Weitere Bemerkungen zu einem Problem der Schnittheorie und über ein Maß von A. Seidenberg für die Imperfektheit, J. Alg., 37(1975), 447-471.
- [12] P. Schenzel, Applications of dualizing complexes to Buchsbaum rings, Ad. Math., 44(1982), 61-77.
- [13] J. Stückrad, Über die kohomologische Charakterisierung von Buchsbaum-Moduln, Math. Nachr., 95(1980), 265-272.
- [14] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Cohen-Macaulay Ringe und Anwendungen auf ein Problem Multiplizitätstheorie, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 13(1973), 513-528.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Toward a theory of Buchsbaum singularities, Amer. J. Math., 100(1978), 727-746.
- [16] N. Suzuki, On the Koszul complex generated by a system of parameters for a Buchsbaum module, I and I, the Bulletin of Department of General Education of Sizuoka College of

- Pharmacy, 8(1979), 27-38 and 10(1981), 67-70.
- [17] N. Suzuki, On a basic theorem for quasi-Buchsbaum modules, the Bulletin of Department of General Education of Shizuoka College of Pharmacy, 11(1982), 33-40.
- [18] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Canonical duality for Buchsbaum modules An application of Goto's lemma on Buchsbaum modules, in preparation.
- [19] N. V. Trung, Standard systems of parameters of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules, Report of the 4th Symposium on Commutative Algebra, at Karuizawa in Japan, Nov. 3-6, 1982, to appear.
- [20] W. Vogel, A nonzero-divisor characterization of Buchsbaum modules, Michigan Math. J., 28(1981), 147-152.