

Problems in Finite Extremal Set Theory

Ko-Wei Lih

Institute of Mathematics
Academia Sinica
Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.

We will discuss three groups of problems in finite extremal set theory in this synoptic. Henceforth, we assume everything finite unless otherwise stated.

1. A partially ordered set P is ranked if there exists a function $r : P \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $r(x) = 0$ for minimal elements x in P and $r(y) = r(x) + 1$ if y covers x in P . We call $r(x)$ the rank of x . Let P_k denote the set of all rank k elements. P is said to be Sperner if $\max_k |P_k| = \max \{ |A| : A \text{ is an antichain in } P \}$. The common value is called the Sperner number. An order-filter $F = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$ generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k is the set of elements b above some a_i . We are now only interested in the case when $r(a_1) = r(a_2) = \dots = r(a_k) = t$.

Let B^n denote the Boolean algebra of order n , which consists of all 2^n subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ordered by inclusion. In B^n , if $r(a_1) = \dots = r(a_k) = 1$, then we denote $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ by $C(n, k)$.

Lih [4] generalizes Sperner's classical result to show

Theorem 1. $C(n, k)$ is Sperner and its Sperner number is

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} - \binom{n-k}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

A ranked partially ordered set P has the LYM property if every antichain A in P satisfies the inequality:

$$\sum_{x \in A} (1/|P_{r(x)}|) \leq 1.$$

LYM is stronger than Sperner. Griggs [2] strengthens Lih's results to show, among other things, the following theorem.

Theorem 2. $C(n,k)$ is LYM and the maximum-sized antichains in $C(n,k)$ are

1. $C_{\lfloor 1/2n \rfloor}$,
2. $C_{1/2(n-1)}$, for odd n and $i \geq 1/2(n+3)$, and
3. $C_{1/2(n+2)}$, for even n and $k = 1$.

Lih [4] also gives the conjecture that if $F = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \subset B^n$ and all a_i 's are of a fixed rank t , then F is Sperner.

Griggs [2] shows that this conjecture is false. However, the most sweeping counterexamples are given by Zha [5], which shows that Lih's conjecture is false for every $t \geq 4$. Nevertheless, Zhu [6] establishes the truth when $t = 2$ and n is odd.

Problem 1. Is Lih's conjecture true when (i) $t = 3$, n odd, and (ii) $t = 2, 3$ and n even?

Zha [5] proves several positive partial results. For instance, the conjecture holds if $t = 2$, n even, and $a_i \cap a_j \neq \emptyset$ for any i and j .

Problem 2. Characterize those F 's which make Lih's conjecture true when $t \geq 4$.

2. Let P be a partially ordered set, not necessarily ranked. A subset $S \subset P$ is called a cutset if every maximal chain has nonempty intersection with S .

Problem 3. Relate maximum and minimum sizes of a minimal cutset, in the sense of set inclusion, with other parameters of P .

The most concrete example is to let P be B^n . Here the minimum is trivial, which is 1. However, it seems rather difficult to answer the following.

Problem 4. Find the maximum size of a minimal cutset in B^n .

We originally conjectured that the answer was 2^{n-1} . The minimal cutset attaining this number consists of all subsets containing either 1 or 2, but not both.

Recently the following counterexample of 33 elements was found for $n = 6$.

$S = 5, 6, 12, 14, 24, 35, 36, 45, 46, 123, 125, 126, 135, 136, 145, 146, 235, 236, 245, 246, 345, 346, 1234, 1256, 1345, 1346, 1456, 2345, 2346, 2356, 2456, 12456, 13456.$

3. Covering a polygon with the minimum number of rectangles is a computationally difficult problem. Its practical applications include the creation of a mask for etching an integrated circuit.

We assume polygons and rectangles are aligned with the coordinate axes, and are finite subsets of unit squares in a grid, with integer vertices. A rectangular cover for a polygon R is a collection of rectangles contained within R , whose union is R . A minimum cover is one with the minimum number of rectangles.

Chvátal originally conjectured that the number of rectangles in a minimum cover of R is equal to the maximum number of squares in R with no two in a common rectangle. This is false. The strongest positive result is that the duality holds when the polygon is vertically convex. This is done by Györi [3], who reduced this duality to a duality concerning intervals on the real line. Franzblau and Kleitman [1] reproves Györi's results by an algorithmic argument, which considers only intervals with integer endpoints. This prompts us to formulate similar problems for sets.

Let S and G be families of nonempty subsets of X . We say that G generates S if every element of S is the union of some elements of G . Trivially, S generates S . The interesting question is how small can a generating set of S be? On the other hand, if S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m is a sequence of elements of S such that $S_k \setminus \bigcup\{S_j : j = 1, \dots, k-1\} \neq \emptyset$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, m$, then the sequence is called an increasing sequence. Obviously this length is smaller than the size of a generating set.

Problem 5. Characterize S such that the minimum size of a generating set is equal to the length of a longest increasing sequence in S .

Without a full characterization, interesting sufficient conditions for S are nice to know. Franzblau and Kleitman's result can be regarded as the case when every element of S is of the form $\{i, i+1, \dots, i+j\}$.

References

1. D. F. Franzblau and D. Kleitman, An algorithm for covering polygons with rectangles, *Information and Control*, 63(1984), 164 - 189.
2. J. R. Griggs, Collections of subsets with the Sperner property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 269(1982), 575 - 591.
3. E. Györi, A minimax theorem on intervals, *J. Combin. Theory, Series B*, 37(1984), 1 - 9.
4. K.-W. Lih, Sperner families over a subset, *J. Combin. Theory, Series A*, 29(1980), 182 - 185.
5. X. Zha, On a conjecture of Sperner property.
6. Y. Zhu, Sperner families over a subset.