

Linear codes and t -spreads

Fumikazu Tamari

Department of Mathematics
Fukuoka University of Education
Munakata, Fukuoka 811-41
Japan

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of flats in a t -dimensional finite projective geometry $PG(t,s)$ where s is a prime or prime power. Let ℓ (≥ 2) be a positive integer. A family \mathcal{A} is said to be an ℓ intersectional empty set (or ℓ -IE set) if the intersection of any ℓ flats A_1, A_2, \dots, A_ℓ in \mathcal{A} is empty but the intersection of some $(\ell - 1)$ flats $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\ell-1}$ in \mathcal{A} is not empty. \mathcal{A} is also said to be a regular ℓ -IE set if all flats in \mathcal{A} have the same dimension, i.e., $\dim(A) = v$ for all A in \mathcal{A} . Furthermore, \mathcal{A}_0 is said to be a maximal (regular) ℓ -IE set if $|\mathcal{A}_0| \geq |\mathcal{A}|$ for all (regular) ℓ -IE sets \mathcal{A} in $PG(t,s)$ where $|\mathcal{A}|$ denotes the cardinality of \mathcal{A} .

Let $V(n;s)$ denote an n -dimensional vector space over a Galois field $GF(s)$. A k -dimensional subspace C of $V(n;s)$ is called an s -ary linear code with code length n , k information symbols and the minimum distance d if the minimum distance (Hamming distance) of the code C is equal to d , and is denoted by $(n,k,d;s)$ -ccde.

We now consider the following problem.

Problem A. Find a linear codes C (called an optimal linear code) whose code length n is minimum among $(*,k,d;s)$ -codes for given integers k , d and s .

In this paper, we shall construct optimal linear codes using ℓ -IE sets.

2. Preliminary results

We shall give some properties of flats in $PG(n,s)$ in this section.

Let W be a μ -flat in $PG(n,s)$ and let b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu+1$) be a basis of the μ -flat W . The $(n - \mu - 1)$ -flat W^* defined by $W^* = \{h \in PG(n,s) : \underline{h}b_i^T = 0 \text{ over } GF(s) \text{ (} i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu+1 \text{)}\}$ is called the dual space of the μ -flat W where \underline{a}^T

denotes the transpose of \underline{a} . Especially the empty set will be defined as the dual space of the whole space and vice versa. Then we can easily prove the following :

Proposition 1. Let V and W be any flats in $PG(n,s)$ and let V^* and W^* be the dual space of V and W , respectively. Then

- (i) $V \subset W$ if and only if $V^* \supset W^*$
- (ii) $V^* \cap W^* = (V \oplus W)^*$ and $(V \cap W)^* = V^* \oplus W^*$

where $V \oplus W$ denotes the flats generated by V and W .

A family of t -flats $\{V_i\}$ in $PG(n,s)$ is called a t -spread if every point in $PG(n,s)$ belong to one and only one t -flat $\{V_i\}$.

Let α be a primitive element of $GF(s^{n+1})$. Then every point in $PG(n,s)$ is represented by the power α^i of α for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, v_{n+1} - 1$ where $v_{n+1} = (s^{n+1} - 1)/(s - 1)$. If $t + 1$ divides $n + 1$, then a family of cyclically generated

t -flats in $PG(n,s)$, represented by

$$V_i = \{\alpha^{0+i}, \alpha^{\theta+i}, \dots, \alpha^{(w-1)\theta+i}\} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \theta - 1)$$

is a t -spread in $PG(n,s)$ where $w = (s^{t+1} - 1)/(s - 1)$ and $\theta = (s^{n+1} - 1)/(s^{t+1} - 1)$

Since α is a primitive element of $GF(q)$, $q = s^{t+1}$, every nonzero element of $GF(q)$ may be represented by α^j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, q - 2$). Moreover, the set of points

α^i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, \theta - 1$) may be regarded as that of $PG(k,q)$ where $k + 1 =$

$(n + 1)/(t + 1)$. This implies that $\{V_i\}$ defined above can also be regarded as

the set of all points of $PG(k,q)$. Thus we have

Proposition 2 (cf.[2]). There exists a t -spread in $PG(n,s)$ if and only if $t + 1$ divides $n + 1$. Furthermore, there exists a t -spread $\{V_i\}$ such that $\{V_i\}$ can be regarded as the set of all points of $PG(k,q)$ where $k + 1 = (n + 1)/(t + 1)$.

A set L of vectors $\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_m$ in $V(r;s)$ such that no t vectors of L are linearly dependent, is called a t -linearly independent set and a t -linearly independent set L_0 is said to be maximal if there exists no t -linearly independent set such that $|L| > |L_0|$. The cardinality of a maximal t -linearly independent set L_0 is denoted by $M_t(r,s)$.

Attempts of obtaining $M_t(r,s)$ have been made by many research workers. But, unfortunately, $M_t(r,s)$ are partially obtained for some t, r and s but not yet completely.

Proposition 3. Let m be a nonnegative integer. Then, there exists a set of $\{(\ell - 1)m + (\ell - 2)\}$ -flats Y_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$) in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ such that $\dim(Y_{i_1} \cap Y_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap Y_{i_r}) = (\ell - r)m + (\ell - r - 1)$ for any flats Y_{i_j} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) in $\{Y_k\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq \pi$) where $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ and $\pi = M_\ell(\ell, s^{m+1})$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2 that there exists an m -spread $\{W_i^*\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \zeta$) in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ where $\zeta = (s^{\ell(m+1)} - 1)/(s^{m+1} - 1)$. Since each m -flat W_i^* can be regarded as a point in $PG(\ell-1, s^{m+1})$, there exists a maximal ℓ -linearly independent set $\{Y_k^*\}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$) in $\{W_i^*\}$, i.e., $\dim(Y_{i_1}^* \oplus Y_{i_2}^* \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{i_r}^*) = rm + r - 1$ for any flats $\{Y_{i_j}^*\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) in $\{Y_k^*\}$. Let Y_k be the dual space of Y_k^* in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$. Then, it follows from Proposition 1 that $\{Y_k\}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$) is a required set. This completes the proof.

Corollary. There exists a regular ℓ -IE set with the cardinality π in $PG(\ell(m+1) - 1, s)$ where π is an integer given in Proposition 3.

Proposition 4. A necessary condition for $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell$ that there exist μ_i -flats W_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$) in $PG(k-1, s)$ such that $W_1 \cap W_2 \cap \dots \cap W_\ell = \phi$, is that $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_\ell \leq (\ell - 1)k - \ell.$$

Proof. Let W_i^* ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$) be the dual space of W_i in $PG(k-1, s)$. Then, it is easily shown that $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \{\dim(W_i^*) + 1\} \geq k$. Since $\dim(W_i^*) = k - 2 - \mu_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, we have required result.

3. Linear codes and linear programmings

Let $N = \|\|n_{ij}\|\|$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, v_k, j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$) be the incidence matrix of v_k hyperplanes H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$) and v_k points Q_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$) in $PG(k-1, s)$ defined by

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i\text{th hyperplane } H_i \text{ contains the } j\text{th point } Q_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $v_k = (s^k - 1)/(s - 1)$.

It is known that Problem A is equivalent ^{to} the following Problem B (cf. Theorem 2.2 in [3]).

Problem B. Find a set $\{x_j\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq v_k$) of nonnegative integers $\{x_j\}$ that minimizes $\sum_{j=1}^{v_k} x_j$ subject to the inequalities:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{v_k} (1 - n_{ij})x_j \geq d \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, v_k) \quad (3.1)$$

for given integers k, d and s .

Let d be a positive integer. It is easy to see that d can be expressed uniquely by

$$d = 1 + \theta_0 + \theta_1 s + \dots + \theta_{k-2} s^{k-2} + \theta_{k-1} s^{k-1} \quad (3.2)$$

where θ_i 's are integers satisfying $0 \leq \theta_i \leq s - 1$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$ and $\theta_{k-1} \geq 0$.

Proposition 5 (cf. Theorem 2.2 in [3]). If $\{X_j\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$) is a set of nonnegative integers satisfying the inequalities (3.1) and d is expressed as (3.2), then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{v_k} x_j \geq k + \theta_0 v_1 + \theta_1 v_2 + \dots + \theta_{k-1} v_k \quad (3.3)$$

where $v_i = (s^i - 1)/(s - 1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

We now give a general construction of a solution of Problem B, that is, a set of nonnegative integers satisfying the inequalities (3.1) and attaining in the lower bound (3.3).

Let $\epsilon_i = s - 1 - \theta_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$ and let β be a set which consists of ϵ_μ μ -flats V_i^μ ($0 \leq \mu \leq k - 2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon_\mu$) where V_i^μ 's are not necessarily distinct. Given ϵ_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$), let $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ be the family of all such β 's and let $\tau_j(\beta)$ denote the number of flats in β which contain the point Q_j in $PG(k-1, s)$.

Proposition 6 (cf. Theorem 3.1 in [3]). Let d be an integer given by (3.2). If there exists a set β in $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ such that $\max\{\tau_j(\beta) : 1 \leq j \leq v_k\} \leq \theta_{k-1} + 1$, then a set $\{x_j\}$ of nonnegative integers which is given by

$$\{x_j = \theta_{k-1} + 1 - \tau_j(\beta) : j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k\}$$

is a solution of Problem B.

Note that there exists a set β in $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ such that $\max\{\tau_j(\beta) : 1 \leq j \leq v_k\} = \ell - 1$ if and only if there exists an ℓ -IE set β in $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$. It is known in [3] that if there exists an ℓ -IE set β in $\mathcal{F}(0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$, then there exists an ℓ -IE set β in $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ (cf. Lemma 4.1 in [3]). Therefore, in this paper we shall investigate about ℓ -IE sets of $\mathcal{F}(0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ in details.

Let $E(k, s)$ be a collection of ordered sets $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ of integers such that $0 \leq \epsilon_i \leq s - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$. Consider a subset $E_t(k, s)$ of $E(k, s)$ for some $t = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$ satisfying the following condition:

$$(a) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \leq t + 1$$

or

(3.4)

$$(b) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \geq t + 2 \text{ and } \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{t+2} \leq (t + 1)(k - 1) - 1$$

where β_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t + 2$) are the first $t + 2$ integers in the following series:

$$\underbrace{\epsilon_{k-2}}_{k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2}; \underbrace{\epsilon_{k-3}}_{k-3, k-3, \dots, k-3}; \dots; \underbrace{\epsilon_1}_{1, 1, \dots, 1}$$

Proposition 7. A necessary condition for ϵ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$) that there exists an ℓ -IE set β in $\mathcal{F}(0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ for a given positive integer ℓ (≥ 2) is that $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2}) \in E_{\ell-2}(k, s) - E_{\ell-3}(k, s)$ where $E_{-1}(k, s) = \phi$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.1 in [3].

In the following, let ℓ be an integer such that $2 \leq \ell \leq k - 2$. Let $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ be any element in $E_{\ell-2}$ where $k = \ell(m + 1) - q$ ($m \geq 0, 0 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$). Then it follows from (3.4) that $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ must be an ordered set satisfying the condition:

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (3.5)$$

where $\delta = \lceil (\ell k - k - \ell) / \ell \rceil = (\ell - 1)m + \ell - 2 - q$ and $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x .

Now, we shall describe main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 1. Let $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ be an element in $E_{\ell-2} - E_{\ell-3}$ such that $\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i = 0$. If an ordered set $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \leq M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1}),$$

then there exists an ℓ -IE set β in $\mathbb{F}(0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$.

Proof. Two cases must be considered (i.e., $q = 0$ and $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$).

Case (I) when $q = 0$ (i.e., $k = \ell(m+1)$). Let Y_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$) be $\{(\ell - 1)m + \ell - 2\}$ -flats obtained in Proposition 3. Consider a μ -flat V_j^{μ} ($1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon_{\mu}$) in Y_{t+j} where $t = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} \epsilon_i$ and $\epsilon_0 = 0$. Then $\beta = \{V_j^{\mu}\}$ ($1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon_{\mu}$) is a required set.

Case (II) when $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$ (i.e., $k = \ell(m+1) - q$). Let G be any $\{\ell(m+1) - q - 1\}$ -flat in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$. Let $V_j^{\mu+q}$ ($1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon_{\mu+q}$) be a set of $(\mu+q)$ -flats in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ which were obtained in Case (I) of this theorem. Since $\dim(G \cap V_j^{\mu+q}) \geq \mu$, we can obtain μ -flats U_j^{μ} ($1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon_{\mu}$) contained in $G \cap V_j^{\mu+q}$. Let $\beta = \{U_j^{\mu}\}$. Then, β is required set, because G can be identified with $PG(\ell(m+1)-q-1, s)$.

This completes the proof.

In the case $\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i = p \geq 1$, let us denote by $\delta + e_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$)

p integers such that

$$\underbrace{\epsilon_{\delta+1}}_{\delta+1, \delta+1, \dots, \delta+1; \delta+2, \delta+2, \dots, \delta+2; \dots; k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2} \quad \underbrace{\epsilon_{\delta+2}} \quad \underbrace{\epsilon_{k-2}}$$

where $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_p \leq k-2$. Put $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p = e$.

Theorem 2. Let $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$ be an element in $E_{\ell-2} - E_{\ell-3}$ such that

$$1 \leq \sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq \ell - 2. \text{ If an ordered set } (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}) \text{ satisfies the follow-}$$

ing condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1})$$

and

$$\sum_{i=\delta-e+1}^{\delta} \varepsilon_i \leq \min\{M_{\ell-p}(\ell-p, s^{\tau}), M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1}) - p\}$$

where $\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i = p$ and $\tau = [e/(\ell - p)] (\geq 1)$, then there exists an ℓ -IE set β in

$$\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}).$$

Theorem 3. Let $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$ be an element in $E_{\ell-2} - E_{\ell-3}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i = \ell - 1. \text{ If an ordered set } (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}) \text{ satisfies the following}$$

condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1}),$$

then there exists an ℓ -IE set β in $\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$

In order to prove Theorems 2 and 3, we prepare two lemmas.

For simplicity, Put $(\ell - 1)m + \ell - 2 = u$. Let V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) and V_j ($j = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, \ell$) are $(u + e_i)$ -flats and $(u - e_j)$ -flats in $\text{PG}(\ell(m+1) - 1, s)$, respectively, such that $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_p \cap V_{p+1} \cap \dots \cap V_{\ell} = \phi$.

Then it follows from proposition 4 that e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$) must be integers satisfying the condition:

$$e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p \leq e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_\ell. \quad (3.6)$$

Let e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$) be integers such that $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_p \leq m$ and $0 \leq e_{p+1} \leq e_{p+2} \leq \dots \leq e_{\ell-1}$. Put $e_\ell = \max\{(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p) - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}), e_{\ell-1}\}$. Then it is easy to see that e_1, e_2, \dots, e_ℓ are integers which satisfy the inequality (3.6) and $e_{p+1} \leq e_{p+2} \leq \dots \leq e_{\ell-1} \leq e_\ell$. Put $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p = e$ and $[e/(\ell - p)] = \tau$. Then we have

Lemma 1. If $\tau \geq 1$ and $\ell - p \geq 2$, then there exists an ℓ -IE set \mathcal{B} consists of $(u + e_1)$ -flats V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$), $(u - e_j)$ -flats Q_j ($j = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, \ell - 1$), $(u - e_\ell)$ -flats R_k ($k = \ell, \ell + 1, \dots, \lambda + p$) and $(u - e)$ -flats T_n ($n = \lambda + p + 1, \lambda + p + 2, \dots, \pi$) in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ where $\pi = M_\ell(\ell, s^{m+1})$ and $\lambda = \min\{\pi - p, M_{\ell-p}(\ell-p, s^\tau)\}$.

Proof. Let Y_j^* ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$) be m -flats given in the proof of Proposition 3. Let U_i and V_i^* be an $(e_i - 1)$ -flat and an $(m - e_i)$ -flat in Y_i^* , respectively, such that $U_i \cap V_i^* = \phi$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Let W be the flat generated by U_1, U_2, \dots, U_p , i.e., $W = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_p$. Then, it is easy to see that W is an $(e - 1)$ -flat where $e = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p$, because $\dim(Y_{i_1}^* \oplus Y_{i_2}^* \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{i_\ell}^*) = \ell m + \ell - 1$ for any flats $Y_{i_j}^*$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$) in $\{Y_k^*\}$. Let $e = (\ell - p)\tau + f$ ($0 \leq f < \ell - p$). Then we can choose an $(e - f - 1)$ -flat W_1 and an $(f - 1)$ -flat W_2 in W such that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \phi$. Then we can obtain a set of $(\tau - 1)$ -flats D_i ($i = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, \xi + p$) in W_1 such that $\dim(D_{i_1} \oplus D_{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus D_{i_{\ell-p}}) = e - f - 1 = (\ell - p)\tau - 1$ for any flats $D_{i_1}, D_{i_2}, \dots, D_{i_{\ell-p}}$ in $\{D_i\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \xi$) where $\xi = M_{\ell-p}(\ell-p, s^\tau)$

We now prove this lemma by separating two cases.

Case (I) $e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) \geq e_{\ell-1}$ (i.e., $e_{\ell} = e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1})$).

(i) Case $0 \leq e_j \leq \tau - 1$ for $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, g$ where $p+1 \leq g \leq \ell - 1$.

Let B_j and F_j be an $(e_j - 1)$ -flat and a $(\tau - 1 - e_j)$ -flat in D_j , respectively, such that $B_j \cap F_j = \phi$ and put $Q_j^* = B_j \oplus Y_j^*$ for $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, g$.

(ii) Case $e_j = \tau$ for $j = g+1, g+2, \dots, r$ where $g+1 \leq r \leq \ell - 1$. Let $Q_j^* = D_j \oplus Y_j^*$ for $j = g+1, g+2, \dots, r$.

(iii) Case $\tau + 1 \leq e_j \leq u$ for $j = r+1, r+2, \dots, \ell$.

Let F_j be a $(\tau - 1 - e_j)$ -flat obtained in (i) and let $\underline{a}_{(\sigma_j + n)}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, \tau - e_j$) be a basis of F_j for $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, g$ where $\sigma_{p+1} = 0$ and $\sigma_j =$

$\sum_{i=p+1}^{j-1} (\tau - e_i)$ ($p+2 \leq j \leq g$). Since $e_{\ell} = e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) =$

$(\ell - p)\tau + f - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1})$ and $e_j = \tau$ ($j = g+1, g+2, \dots, r$),

$(\tau - e_{p+1}) + \dots + (\tau - e_g) + (\tau - e_{g+1}) + \dots + (\tau - e_r) + (\tau - e_{r+1}) + \dots + (\tau - e_{\ell-1}) + (\tau - e_{\ell}) = (\ell - p)\tau - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) - e_{\ell}$

implies

$$\sum_{i=p+1}^g (\tau - e_i) = (e_{\ell} - f - \tau) + \sum_{i=r+1}^{\ell-1} (e_i - \tau).$$

Put $K_i = \underline{a}_{(\sigma_i+1)} + \underline{a}_{(\sigma_i+2)} + \dots + \underline{a}_{(\sigma_i+e_i-\tau)}$ for $i = r+1, r+2, \dots, \ell - 1$

and put $K_{\ell} = \underline{a}_{(\sigma_{\ell}+1)} + \underline{a}_{(\sigma_{\ell}+2)} + \dots + \underline{a}_{(\sigma_{\ell}+e_{\ell}-f-\tau)}$ where $\sigma_{r+1} = 0$ and $\sigma_i =$

$\sum_{j=r+1}^{i-1} (e_j - \tau)$ ($r+2 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$).

Let $Q_j^* = D_j \oplus K_j \oplus Y_j^*$ for $j = r+1, r+2, \dots, \ell-1$ and let $R_k^* = D_k \oplus K_\ell \oplus W_2 \oplus Y_k^*$ for $k = \ell, \ell+1, \dots, \lambda+p$ and let $T_n^* = Y_n^* \oplus W$ for $n = \lambda+p+1, \lambda+p+2, \dots, \pi$.

Let V_i, Q_j, R_k and T_n be the dual space of V_i^*, Q_j^*, R_k^* and T_n^* , respectively, for each i, j, k , and n . Let $\beta = \{V_i\} \cup \{Q_j\} \cup \{R_k\} \cup \{T_n\}$. Then β is a required set.

Case (II) $e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) < e_{\ell-1}$ (i.e., $e_\ell = e_{\ell-1}$).

Similar; it can be shown that Lemma also holds in this case. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2. There exists an ℓ -IE set β consists of $(u + e_i)$ -flats V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell-1$), $(u - e_j)$ -flats Q_j ($j = \ell, \ell+1, \dots, \pi$) in $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ where π is an integer which is given in Lemma 1.

Proof of this lemma is similar to that of lemma 1 and hence we omit the proof of this lemma.

[Proof of Theorem 2]. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we shall prove that of this theorem by separating two cases.

Case (I) when $q = 0$. From Lemma 1, we can obtain $(\delta + e_i)$ -flats V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) and μ -flats V_j^μ ($1 \leq \mu \leq \delta, j = 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon_\mu$) such that

$$V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_p \cap U_{p+2} \cap \dots \cap U_\ell = \phi$$

for any flats $U_{p+1}, U_{p+2}, \dots, U_\ell$ in $\{V_j^\mu\}$. Let $\beta = \{V_j^\mu\} \cup \{V_i\}$. Then it is easy to see that β is a required set.

Case (II) when $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$. Similar to case (II) in the proof of Theorem 1, we can easily prove this theorem. This completes the proof.

[Proof of Theorem 3]. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we can easily prove this theorem and hence the proof of this theorem is omitted.

References

- [1] B. I. Belov, V. N. Logachev, and V. P. Sadimirov, Construction of a class of linear binary codes achieving the Varshamov-Griesmer bound, Problems of Info. Transmission, 10 (3) (1974), 211-217.
- [2] P. Dembowski, Finite Geometries (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968).
- [3] N. Hamada and F. Tamari, Construction of optimal codes and optimal fractional factorial designs using linear programming, Annals of Discrete Mathematics 6 (1980) 175-188.
- [4] N. Hamada and F. Tamari, Construction of optimal codes using flats and spreads in a finite projective geometry, European Journal of Combinatorics 3, (1982), 129-141.
- [5] G. Solomon and J. J. Stiffler, Algebraically punctured cyclic codes, 170-179.