On the rate of convergence in singular perturbations
of obstacle problems

小池　茂昭　（早稲田大学理工学部）

Shigeaki Koike

§0 Introduction

In this paper we present some results on singular perturbation of obstacle problems of variational inequalities. We investigate the following singular perturbation problems;

$$\max \{L^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon - f, u^\varepsilon - \psi\} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

(1)$_\varepsilon$

$$u^\varepsilon = \phi \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, $\Omega \subset R^n$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ and L^ε is a second order elliptic operator of the form

$$L^\varepsilon u = -\varepsilon^2 a_{ij} u_{ij} + \varepsilon b_i u_i + cu.$$

Here and later we use the usual summation convention and the notation: $u_i = \partial u/\partial x_i$, $u_{ij} = \partial^2 u/\partial x_i \partial x_j$.

We note that the above problem is derived from a variational inequality. For the details of its derivation and motivation we refer [1] and [12].

The work of J.L.Lions [10] is important to the considerations in singular perturbations of obstacle problems. However, his results were obtained in a Hilbert space setting. Therefore, we have not known any results on the rate of pointwise convergence estimates for solutions of obstacle problems. The purpose here is to get the optimal rate of pointwise convergence in singular perturbations of obstacle problems. Our method is due to comparison principle for viscosity solutions.

It is convenient to formulate the notion of viscosity solutions of $(1)_\varepsilon$ in the following manner.

<u>Definition</u> We call a function $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ a viscosity solution of $(1)_\varepsilon$ if the following three conditions hold;

(D.1) $u = \phi$ on Γ and $u \leqq \psi$ in Ω.

(D.2)   If whenever $\zeta \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $u - \zeta$ attains its local maximum at $x \in \Omega$, then

$$\max\{ - \varepsilon^2 a_{ij}\zeta_{ij} + \varepsilon b_i \zeta_i + cu - f, u - \psi\} \leqq 0 \quad \text{at } x.$$

(D.3)   If whenever $\zeta \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $u - \zeta$ attains its local minimum at $x \in \Omega$, then

$$\max\{ - \varepsilon^2 a_{ij}\zeta_{ij} + \varepsilon b_i \zeta_i + cu - f, u - \psi\} \geqq 0 \quad \text{at } x.$$

In section 1 we shall deal with the problem $(1)_\varepsilon$ under some

assumptions, which make a boundary layer phenomenon disappear.

In section 2 we shall investigate the problem $(1)_\varepsilon$ under another

assumption, which makes a boundary layer of large deviation type

arise.

§1 Singular perturbation without boundary layer

In this section we study the following singular perturbations of

the obstacle problem:

$$\max\{-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij}u_{ij}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon b_i u_i^\varepsilon + cu^\varepsilon - f, \ u^\varepsilon - \psi\} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

$(2)_\varepsilon$

$$u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

where a_{ij}, b_i, c, f and ψ are given functions for $i,j = 1,\ldots,n$.

For simplicity we assume

(A.1) a_{ij}, b_i, c, f, $\psi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ for $i, j = 1,2,\ldots,n$

and that there is a positive number θ such that

(A.2) $a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geqq \theta |\xi|^2$

for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $\xi = (\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n) \in R^n$.

The boundary condition in $(2)_\varepsilon$ yields the compatibility

condition;

(A.3) $\psi \geqq 0$ on Γ .

In order to use comparison principle we also assume that there
is a positive number τ such that

(A.4) $c \geqq \tau$ in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Now we shall state our first result:

<u>Theorem 1</u> Assume hypotheses (A.1)-(A.4). For each $\varepsilon > 0$ let u^ε
be the unique solution of $(2)_\varepsilon$. If we assume $\min\{f/c, \psi\} = 0$ on
Γ , then we find that there exists a positive constant C such
that

$(3)_\varepsilon$ $\qquad$ $\mid u^\varepsilon(x) - \min\{f/c, \psi\}(x) \mid \leqq C\varepsilon$

for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and small $\varepsilon > 0$.

<u>Remark</u>(1) It is known that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ $(2)_\varepsilon$ has the unique
solution in $W^{2 \cdot \infty}(\Omega)$; see e.g. [7].

(2) J.L.Lions proved that $\| u^\varepsilon - \min\{f/c, \psi\} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqq C\varepsilon$ under
the same assumptions; see p.124 in [11]. Moreover, remarking
Proposition 2.3 in [6], we obtain that $u^\varepsilon \to \min\{f/c, \psi\}$
uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

(3) Recently N.Yamada [13] has proved that u^ε is the unique
viscosity solution of $(2)_\varepsilon$; see also [3] and [5].

<u>Proof of Theorem 1</u> Without loss of generality we may suppose

that c = 1 in $\overline{\Omega}$. Put $u^\theta = \min\{f, \psi\}$.

At first we shall obtain the one-sided inequality: $u^\varepsilon(x) - u^\theta(x)$

$\leq C\varepsilon$. Define $\Phi: \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega} \to R$ by $\Phi(x,y) = u^\varepsilon(x) - u^\theta(y) -$

$|x - y|^2/\varepsilon - \mu\varepsilon$ for $x, y \in \overline{\Omega}$, where $\mu > \max\{\|Df\|_\infty,$

$\|D\psi\|_\infty\}$ is a positive number to be fixed later on. Assume that

Φ attains its positive maximum over $\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}$ at a point (x_θ, y_θ).

Using $\Phi(x_\theta, y_\theta) \geq \Phi(x_\theta, x_\theta)$, we have

$$(4) \qquad |x_\theta - y_\theta| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Here and in the sequel C stands for a various positive constant

independent of ε.

If we suppose that $x_\theta \in \Gamma$, then we obtain that $\Phi(x_\theta, y_\theta)$

≤ 0 for sufficiently large μ. Indeed, (A.1), (4) and the

assumption that $u^\theta(x_\theta) = 0$ imply $\Phi(x_\theta, y_\theta) \leq (C_\theta - \mu)\varepsilon$, where

$C_\theta = \max\{\|Df\|_\infty, \|D\psi\|_\infty\}$.

Hence, since u^ε is a viscosity solution of $(2)_\varepsilon$, we get

$$0 \geq \max\{-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij}\zeta_{ij} + \varepsilon b_i\zeta_i + u^\varepsilon - f, u^\varepsilon - \psi\}$$

at x_θ. Here we take $\zeta(x) = u_\theta(y_\theta) + |x - y_\theta|^2/\varepsilon + \mu\varepsilon$ in

(D.2). Using $\zeta_i(x_\theta) = 2(x_\theta - y_\theta)_i/\varepsilon$ and $\zeta_{ij}(x_\theta) = 2\delta_{ij}/\varepsilon$, we

have

$$0 \geqq u^{\varepsilon} + \max\{- 2\varepsilon a_{ii} + 2b_i(x-y_0)_i - f, - \psi\} \text{ at } x_0.$$

Remembering $\Phi(x_0,y_0) > 0$ and (A.1), we get

$$0 > u_0(x_0) + \mu \varepsilon - C \varepsilon - u_0(y_0).$$

This implies contradiction for sufficiently large μ.

Therefore, Φ can not attain its positive maximum over $\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}$.

Hence we obtain that for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x) - u^0(x) \quad \leqq \quad \sup \Phi + \mu \varepsilon \leqq \mu \varepsilon.$$

If we proceed as above with $\Phi(x,y) = u^0(x) - u^{\varepsilon}(y) - \mu \varepsilon$

$- |x - y|^2/\varepsilon$, then we obtain the converse inequality. Indeed,

remarking that Φ can not take its positive maximum over $\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}$

at $(x_0.y_0) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \Gamma$, we have

$$0 \leqq \max\{-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij}\zeta_{ij} + \varepsilon b_i\zeta_i + u^{\varepsilon} - f, u^{\varepsilon} - \psi\} \text{ at } y_0.$$

This implies contradiction for some large μ by the same

calculation as in the above.   Q.E.D.

Remark(4) We can use the above tequenique to more general

singular perturbation problems of obstacle type. More general

results will be discussed in the forthcoming paper [9].

§ 2 Singular perturbation with a boundary layer

In this section we study the following special singular

perturbations of the obstacle problem:

$$\max\{-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij}u^\varepsilon_{ij} + u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon - \psi\} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

$(5)_\varepsilon$

$$u^\varepsilon = 1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

We must assume another compatibility condition instead of(A.3):

(A.5) $\psi \geqq 1$ on Γ.

Theorem 2 Assume hypotheses (A.1), (A.2) and (A.5). For each ε

> 0 let u^ε be the unique solution of $(5)_\varepsilon$. Then, we find that

there is a positive constant C and a Lipschitz function $w(x)$ such

that for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$

(6) $- C\varepsilon \leqq u^\varepsilon(x) - u^0(x) \leqq \exp\{-w(x)+o(1)\}/\varepsilon$.

Remark(4) Roughly speaking, $w(x)$ is a distance from the boundary;

$w = 0$ on Γ and $w > 0$ in Ω.

Before the proof of Theorem 2 we state the following lemma:

Lemma (L.C.Evans and H.Ishii [4]) Assume hypotheses (A.1) and

(A.2). For each $\varepsilon > 0$ let v^ε be the unique solution of the

linear equation:

$$-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij} v^\varepsilon_{ij} + v^\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$(7)_\varepsilon$

$$v^\varepsilon = 1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

Then, we find

$$v^\varepsilon(x) = \exp\{-w(x)+o(1)\}/\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega},$$

where w is the same function in Remark(4).

Remark(5) We also refer [6] and [8] for the details.

(6) In case that $\min\{\psi(x) \mid x \in \overline{\Omega}\} > 0$ we note that $u^\varepsilon = v^\varepsilon$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ for small ε.

Proof of Theorem 2 In order to obtain $-C\varepsilon \leqq u^\varepsilon - u^\theta$, we can apply the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 1. So we leave the proof to the reader.

Now let us show the upper estimates.

At first we shall prove $u^\varepsilon - u^\theta \leqq \exp\{-w+o(1)\}/\varepsilon$. Let v^ε be the unique solution of $(7)_\varepsilon$. Assume that $u^\varepsilon - u^\theta - v^\varepsilon$ attains its positive maximum over $\overline{\Omega}$ at x_θ. We can assume that x_θ does not belong to the set $\Omega_\theta = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} \mid \psi(x) \leqq 0\}$. Indeed, remarking that $u^\varepsilon - u^\theta = u^\varepsilon - \psi \leqq 0$ on Ω_θ and $v^\varepsilon \geqq 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, we have $u^\varepsilon - u^\theta - v^\varepsilon \leqq 0$ on Ω_θ.

Hence, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood $N_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ of x_θ

such that $\psi > 0$ in N_ε. Since $u^0 = 0$ in N_ε, $u^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon$ attains its positive maximum over N_ε at x_0. Bony's maximum principle ([2]) implies that

$$0 \leq \lim_{x \in N_\varepsilon, x \to x_0} \text{ess sup}[-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij}(x)\{u^\varepsilon_j(x) - v^\varepsilon_j(x)\}]$$

Remembering that $-\varepsilon^2 a_{ij} u^\varepsilon_j + u^\varepsilon \leq 0$ a.e. in Ω, we get contradiction.

Hence, we have $u^\varepsilon - u^0 \leq v^\varepsilon$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Combining this with Lemma, we obtain the estimates (6). Q.E.D.

References

[1] A. Bensoussan et J. L. Lions; "Applications des Inéquations Variationnelles en Contrôle Stochastique", Dunod, Paris, 1978.

[2] J. - M. Bony; "Principe du maximum dans les espaces de Sobolev", C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 265 (1967), 333-336.

[3] L. C. Evans; "A convergence theorem for solutions of nonlinear second order elliptic equations", Indiana Univ. Math. J., 27 (1978), 875-887.

172

[4] L. C. Evans and H. Ishii; "A PDE approach to some asymptotic problems concerning random differetial equations with small noise intensities", Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare 2 (1985), 1-20.

[5] H. Ishii; "On uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second order elliptic PDE's", to appear.

[6] H. Ishii and S. Koike; "Remarks on elliptic singular perturbation problems", to appear.

[7] R. Jensen; "Boundary regularity for varitional inequalities", Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980), 495-504.

[8] S. Koike; "An asymptotic formula for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations", Nonlinear Anal. Theo. Meth. Appl. 11 (1987), 429-436.

[9] S. Koike; "On the rate of convergence of solutions in singular perturbation problems", in preparation.

[10] J. L. Lions; "Singular perturbations and singular layers in variational inequalities", Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Academic Press, New York-London, 1971, 523-564.

[11] J. L. Lions; "Perturbations Singulières dans les Problèmes aux Limites et en Contrôle Optimal", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 323, 1973.

[12] J. - F. Rodrigues; "Obstacle Problems in Mathematical

Physics", North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo,

1987.

[13] N. Yamada; "The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation with a

gradient constraint", to appear.