

On one dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity

筑波大学数学系 柴田良弘 (Yoshihiro Shibata)

In this note, I would like to report recent works by the author and R. Racke, Bonn Univ. ([3], [5]), concerning a global existence of small and smooth solutions to one dimensional nonlinear thermoelastic equations in the case of a bounded reference configuration. Let us recall the equations of one dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity. Let $(0,1)$ be a unit interval in \mathbb{R} , which is identified with the reference configuration R . The thermoelastic motion is described by the deformation map: $x \in (0,1) \mapsto X(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and the absolute temperature $T(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}$ of the material point of coordinate $X(t,x)$, where t denotes time variable. Then, the equations of balance of linear momentum and balance of energy are given by (cf. [1]):

$$(B.M) \quad X_{tt} = \tilde{S}_x + \rho_R b,$$

$$(B.E) \quad (\tilde{\epsilon} + (\rho_R/2)X_t^2)_t = (\tilde{S}X_t)_x + \tilde{q}_x + \rho_R r,$$

where we use the following notation: The subscripts t and x denote differentiations with respect to t and x , respectively. ρ_R is the material density. The b and r are specific body force and heat supply, respectively. For simplicity, I assume that $\rho_R = 1$ and that $b = r = 0$, below. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is the specific internal energy. \tilde{q} is the heat flux. \tilde{S} is the Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor. According to 2nd Law of Thermodynamics and Coleman's

theorem [2], I make the following assumptions.

Assumptions: (1) There exists a so called Helmholtz energy function $\psi(F,T)$ which is real-valued and in $C^\infty(G(B))$ such that

$$(A.1) \quad \tilde{S} = S(X_x(t,x), T(t,x)) \text{ and } \tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon(X_x(t,x), T(t,x)) \text{ where}$$

$$(A.2) \quad S(F,T) = (\partial\psi/\partial F)(F,T), \quad \varepsilon(F,T) = \psi(F,T) - T(\partial\psi/\partial T)(F,T) \quad (F = X_x),$$

$$G(B) = \{ (F,T) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |F-1| + |T-T_0| < B, T > T_0/2 \}.$$

T_0 is a positive constant denoting the natural temperature of the reference body R and B is another positive constant. Moreover, I assume that

$$(A.3) \quad (\partial^2\psi/\partial F^2)(F,T) > 0, \quad (\partial^2\psi/\partial T^2)(F,T) < 0, \quad (\partial^2\psi/\partial F\partial T)(F,T) \neq 0$$

for $(F,T) \in G(B)$.

(2) There exists a positive function $Q(F,T) \in C^\infty(G(B))$ such that

$$(A.4) \quad \tilde{q} = Q(X_x(t,x), T(t,x))T_x(t,x).$$

And then, (B.M) and (B.E) are rewritten as follows: for $t > 0$ and $x \in (0,1)$,

$$(B.M)' \quad X_{tt} = S(X_x, T)_x,$$

$$(B.E)' \quad (\varepsilon(X_x, T) + \frac{1}{2}X_t^2)_t = (S(X_x, T)X_t)_x + (Q(X_x, T)T_x)_x.$$

If you use the entropy: $N(F,T) = -(\partial\psi/\partial T)(F,T)$, (B.E)' can be rewritten by:

$$(B.E)'' \quad TN(X_x, T)_t = (Q(X_x, T)T_x)_x.$$

In fact, multiplying (B.M)' by X_t implies that $\frac{1}{2}(X_t^2)_t = S_x X_t$. Using the constitutive relations (A.2), you have the identity: $\varepsilon(X_x, T)_t = TN(X_x, T)_t + S(X_x, T)X_{tx}$. Since $(S(X_x, T)X_t)_x = S(X_x, T)X_t + S(X_x, T)X_{tx}$, (B.E)'' follows from (B.M)' and (B.E)'. Obviously, (B.E)' follows also from (B.M)' and (B.E)'. And then, the system (B.M)' and (B.E)' is equivalent to the system (B.M)' and (B.E)''.

Put $u = X - x$ and $\theta = T - T_0$. As boundary conditions, I consider here

the following four type: for $t > 0$ and $x = 0$ and 1 ,

$$(D.D) \quad u = 0 \text{ and } \theta = 0,$$

$$(D.N) \quad u = 0 \text{ and } \theta_x = 0,$$

$$(N.D) \quad u_x = 0 \text{ and } \theta = 0,$$

$$(N.N) \quad S = 0 \text{ and } \theta_x = 0.$$

Since S can be represented by using the Taylor expansion as follows:

$$S = S_1 u_x + N_1 \theta, \quad (N.D) \text{ is equivalent to what } S = 0 \text{ and } \theta = 0 \text{ at } x = 0 \text{ and } 1.$$

In (N.N) case, in addition to (A.1)-(A.3), I assume that

$$(A.5) \quad S(1, T_0) = 0.$$

In other cases, you may assume without loss of generality that (A.5) is

valid. In fact, you can consider

$$(B.M)'' \quad X_{tt} = [S(X_x, T) - S(1, T_0)]_x$$

instead of (B.M)' if (A.5) is not satisfied. But, in (N.N) case, if you

consider (B.M)'' instead of (B.M)', you must consider the boundary condition:

$$S(X_x, T) - S(1, T_0) = 0 \text{ at } x = 0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ instead of (N.N)} \quad \text{Since it is in-}$$

homogeneous, in general you can not expect to get the decay properties of

solutions to linearized equations, and then the global existence theorem

can not be expected in general.

As initial conditions, I put

$$(I.C) \quad X(0, x) = x + u_0(x), \quad X_t(0, x) = u_1(x), \quad T(0, x) = T_0 + \theta_0(x) \text{ in } (0, 1),$$

where u_0 , u_1 and θ_0 are given functions. In cases of (N.D) and (N.N), we

assume that

$$(A.6) \quad \int_0^1 u_1(x) dx = 0.$$

In fact, if you integrate (B.M)' under the boundary condition (N.D) or

$$(N.N), \text{ you have } \int_0^1 X_t(t, x) dx = \int_0^1 u_1(x) dx. \quad \text{Since what } X_t(t, x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

is expected, (A.6) is needed. Since X does not appear in (B.M)' and (B.E)'',

if you put $X' = X - (\int_0^1 u_1(x) dx)t$, then X' and T satisfy (B.M)', (B.E)'',

boundary conditions (N.D) or (N.N) and

$$(I.C)' \quad X'(0,x) = x + u_0(x), \quad X'_t(0,x) = u_1(x) - \int_0^1 u_1(x) dx,$$

$$T(0,x) = T_0 + \theta_0(x).$$

Moreover, you have $\int_0^1 X'_t(t,x) dx = 0$. So, (A.6) is not an essential assumption.

Now, let us discuss the equilibrium state. In all the cases, $X = x$ and $T = T_0$ are solutions for initial data: $u_0 = u_1 = \theta_0 = 0$. In cases of

(D.N) and (N.N), integrating (B.E)' on $(0,t) \times (0,1)$, you get

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^1 \{ \varepsilon(X_x(t,x), T(t,x)) + \frac{1}{2} X_t^2(t,x) \} dx = c(u_0, u_1, \theta_0) \text{ where}$$

$$c(u_0, u_1, \theta_0) = \int_0^1 \{ \varepsilon(1+u'_0(x), T_0+\theta_0(x)) + \frac{1}{2} u_1(x)^2 \} dx, \quad u'_0 = du_0/dx,$$

as long as the solutions exist. If you expect that $X_t \rightarrow 0$, $X_x \rightarrow X_\infty$ and $T \rightarrow T_\infty$, X_∞ and T_∞ being constants, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.1), you see that X_∞ and T_∞ should satisfy:

$$(1.2.a) \quad (X_\infty, T_\infty) = c(u_0, u_1, \theta_0),$$

$$(1.2.b) \quad (X_\infty, T_\infty) \in G(B).$$

In (N.N) case, in addition to (1.2.a) and (1.2.b), what $S = 0$ at $x = 0$ and 1 implies the condition:

$$(1.21c) \quad S(X_\infty, T_\infty) = 0.$$

On the other hand, if you consider the map: $(1, T) \in G(B) \mapsto \varepsilon(1, T) \in \mathbb{R}$ in (D.N) case and the map: $(F, T) \in G(B) \mapsto (S(F, T), \varepsilon(F, T)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in (N.N) case, respectively, the implicit function theorem tells you the unique existence of (X_∞, T_∞) satisfying (1.2) provided that $|u_0(x)|$, $|u_1(x)|$ and $|\theta_0(x)|$ are sufficiently small, especially $X_\infty = 1$ in (D.N) case.

Because, $(\partial \varepsilon / \partial T)(1, T_0) = -T_0 (\partial^2 \psi / \partial T^2)(1, T_0) \neq 0$ in (D.N) case and the Jacobian $\partial(\varepsilon, S) / \partial(F, T)$ is equal to

$$-T_0 (\partial^2 \psi / \partial T^2)(1, T_0) (\partial^2 \psi / \partial F^2)(1, T_0) + T_0 (\partial^2 \psi / \partial F \partial T)(1, T_0)^2 \neq 0$$

under the assumption (A.5) in (N.N) case.

I shall say that X and T will be global smooth solutions if X and T satisfy (B.M)', (B.E)' for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $x \in (0, 1)$, one of the boundary conditions: (D.D), (D.N), (N.D) and (N.N) for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $x = 0$ and 1 , and the initial condition (I.C) for $x \in (0, 1)$, and if X and T belong to $C^2([0, \infty) \times [0, 1])$ and $(X_x(t, x), T(t, x)) \in G(B)$ for all $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$.

Roughly spoken, the main result of my talk is the following.

Theorem: If initial data u_0 , u_1 and θ_0 are sufficiently small and smooth and satisfy the suitable compatibility conditions, then there exists a unique pair of global smooth solutions $(X(t, x), T(t, x))$. Moreover, the following asymptotic behaviours hold true:

$$(D.D) \quad X_t(t, x) \rightarrow 0, X_x(t, x) \rightarrow 1, T(t, x) \rightarrow T_0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(D.N) \quad X_t(t, x) \rightarrow 0, X_x(t, x) \rightarrow 1, T(t, x) \rightarrow T_\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(N.D) \quad X_t(t, x) \rightarrow 0, X_x(t, x) \rightarrow 1, T(t, x) \rightarrow T_0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(N.N) \quad X_t(t, x) \rightarrow 0, X_x(t, x) \rightarrow T_\infty, T(t, x) \rightarrow T_0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark. The theorem was proved by M. Slemrod [4] in (D.N) and (N.D) cases, by R. Racke and the auther [3] in (D.D) case and by the auther in (N.N) case.

References.

- [1] D.E. Carlson: Linear thermoelasticity, Handbuch der Physik, VIa/2, 297-346, Springer-Verlag, Berlin at al. (1977).
- [2] B.D. Coleman: Thermodynamics of materials with memory, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 17 (1964), 1-46.
- [3] R. Racke and Y. Shibata : Global smooth solutions and asymptotic stability in one dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity, to appear in

Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.

- [4] M. Slemrod : Global existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic stability of classical smooth solutions in one-dimensional non-linear thermoelasticity, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 76 (1981), 97-133.
- [5] Y. Shibata : Neumann problem for one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity, to appear in Banach Center publication.