## A levelsurface approach to motion of hypersurfaces

## 九大工 後藤俊- (Shun'ichi Goto)

We consider the motion of a hypersurface whose speed locally depends on the normal vector field and its derivatives. Let  $D_t$  be a open set in  $R^N(N \ge 2)$  and  $\Gamma_t = \partial D_t$  (generally a closed set in  $R^N \setminus D_t$  containing  $\partial D_t$ ). Let  $\vec{n}$  denote the unit exterior normal vector field to  $\Gamma_t$ . It is convenient to extend  $\vec{n}$  to a vector field (still denote by  $\vec{n}$ ) on a tubular neighburhood of  $\Gamma_t$  such that  $\vec{n}$  is constant in the normal direction of  $\Gamma_t$ . Let V = V(t, x) denote the speed of  $\Gamma_t$  at  $x \in \Gamma_t$  in the exterior normal direction. The family  $\{(\Gamma_t, D_t)\}_{t\ge 0}$  satisfies the initial value problem

(1a) 
$$V = f(\vec{n}, \nabla \vec{n})$$
 on  $\Gamma_t$ ,

(1b) 
$$(\Gamma_t, D_t)|_{t=0} = (\Gamma_0, D_0).$$

Here f is a given function and  $\nabla$  stands for spatial derivatives. More generally, the equation is

$$V = f(t, x, \vec{n}, \nabla \vec{n}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t.$$

A typical example is the mean curvature flow equation

$$(2) V = -\operatorname{div} \vec{n}.$$

A fundamental analytic question to (1a,b) is to construct a global-intime unique solution family  $\{(\Gamma_t, D_t)\}_{t \ge 0}$  for a given initial data  $(\Gamma_0, D_0)$ . In material science  $\Gamma_t$  is an interface bounding two phases of materials. It is also important to consider anisotropic properties of materials. A typical model (see [Gu1, 2]) is

$$\beta(\vec{n})V = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}(\vec{n})) + c,$$

where  $\beta$  is a positive function on a unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , H is convex and positively homogeneous of degree one and c is a constant. This equation includes (2) as a particular example with  $\beta = 1$ , H(p) = |p| and c = 0.

For the mean curvature flow equation (2) Huisken [H] constructed a unique smooth solution which shrinks to a point in a finite time provided that  $N \ge 3$  and  $\Gamma_0$  is uniformly convex,  $C^2$  and compact. A similar result was proved by Gage and Hamilton [GH] when N = 2. Moreover, Grayson [Gr1] proved that any embedded closed curve moved by (2) never becomes singular unless it shrinks to a point. However, for  $N \ge 3$  even embedded surface may develop singularities before it shrinks to a point. For example, a barbell with a long and thin handle actually becomes singular in the middle in short time (see [Gr2]).

Therefore, Chen, Giga and the author [CGG] introduced a weak notion to construct a unique evolution family even after the time when there appear singularities (see also [GG] and for the special case (2) [ES]). When the initial data ( $\Gamma_0$ ,  $D_0$ ) are bounded, the problem has been studied in [CGG]. In this note, we discuss the evolution for unbounded initial data.

Our approach is to describe a surface  $\Gamma_t$  as a level set of a function u

satisfying an initial value problem

(3a) 
$$\partial_t u + F(\nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,

(3b) 
$$u(t,x)|_{t=0} = a(x).$$

Here F is determined by f and a is a function denoted  $\Gamma_0$  as a level set. We use the viscosity solution to construct a solution of (3a,b). The method of viscosity solutions was introduced for weak solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations and extended to fully nonlinear degenarate elliptic equations (for example, see [I]).

Let u be a real valued function on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$  such that u > 0 in  $D_t$ and u = 0 on  $\Gamma_t$ . We call u a definition function of  $(\Gamma_t, D_t)$ . If u is  $C^2$  and  $\nabla u \neq 0$  near  $\Gamma_t$ , we see

(4) 
$$\vec{n} = -\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \quad \nabla \vec{n} = -\frac{Q_{\bar{p}}(\nabla^2 u)}{|\nabla u|} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t.$$

Here  $\bar{p} = \nabla u / |\nabla u|$  and  $Q_{\bar{p}}(X) = R_{\bar{p}} X R_{\bar{p}}$  with  $R_{\bar{p}} = I - \bar{p} \otimes \bar{p}$ , and X is an  $N \times N$  real symmetric matrix and I denotes the identity matrix. It follows from (4) and  $V = \partial_t u / |\nabla u|$  that (1a) is formally equivalent to (3a) on  $\Gamma_t$  with

(5) 
$$F(p,X) = -|p|f(-\bar{p}, -\frac{Q_{\bar{p}}(X)}{|p|}), \quad \bar{p} = \frac{p}{|p|},$$

where p is a nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We note that the equation (3a) is singular at  $\nabla u = 0$ . A direct caluculation shows that F has the scaling invariance

(6) 
$$F(\lambda p, \lambda X + p \otimes y + y \otimes p) = \lambda F(p, X) \text{ for } \lambda > 0, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We say F is strongly geometric if F satisfies (6). Recently, Giga and the author shown f is (essentially) uniquely determined by F (see [GG]).

We define  $a \in B_0$  if  $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and there are a constant  $K_0 > 0$  and a modulus function  $m_0$  such that

$$|a(x) - a(y)| \le K_0(|x - y| + 1), \quad |a(x) - a(y)| \le m_0(|x - y|) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Here we say a function m a modulus function if  $m : R \to R$ , m(0) = 0 and m is nondecreasing. Similarly, we also define  $u \in B$  if  $u \in C([0, \infty) \times R^N)$  and for any T > 0 there are a constant  $K_T > 0$  and a modulus function  $m_T$  such that

$$|u(t,x) - u(t,y)| \leq K_T(|x-y|+1)$$
  
for  $0 \leq t \leq T, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .  
$$|u(t,x) - u(t,y)| \leq m_T(|x-y|)$$

DEFINITION: Let  $D_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a open set and  $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus D_0$  a closed set containing  $\partial D_0$ . Let  $a \in B_0$  be a definition function of  $(\Gamma_0, D_0)$ . A family of closed sets and open sets  $\{(\Gamma_t, D_t)\}_{t \ge 0}$  is a "weak solution" of (1a,b) if there is a definition function  $u \in B$  of  $(\Gamma_t, D_t)$  and u is a viscosity solution of (3a,b).

First, we discuss the initial value problem (3a,b). We assume the following conditions (F1)-(F6).

(F1) 
$$F: \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbf{S}_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous,}$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_N$  denotes the space of real  $N \times N$  symmetric matrices.

(F2) 
$$F$$
 is degenerate elliptic, i.e.,  $F(p, X) \leq F(p, Y)$  for  $X \geq Y$ .

(F3) 
$$-\infty < F_*(0, O) = F^*(0, O) < \infty,$$

where  $F_*$  and  $F^*$  are the lower and upper semi-continuous relaxation of F, respectively, i.e.,

$$F_*(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \inf_{\substack{|w-z| < \varepsilon \\ w \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbf{S}_N}} F(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}_N$$

and  $F^* = -(-F_*)$ .

(F4) 
$$\sup\{|F(p,X)|; 0 < |p| \leq R, |X| \leq R\} < \infty$$
 for every  $R > 0$ .

(F5)

F is geometric, i.e.,  $F(\lambda p, \lambda X + \sigma p \otimes p) = \lambda F(p, X)$  for  $\lambda > 0, \sigma \in R$ .

(F6) 
$$F_*(p,-I) \leq \nu_0 |p|, \quad F^*(p,I) \geq -\nu_0 |p| \text{ for some } \nu_0 > 0.$$

Then we have the following

THEOREM 1. Suppose that (F1)-(F6) hold. Let  $a \in B_0$ . Then there is a unique viscosity solution  $u \in B$  of (3a,b).

Assumptions (F1)-(F4) needs to prove the following comparison principle, which is an important tool in the notion of viscosity solutions.

LEMMA 2([GGIS]). Suppose that F satisfies (F1)-(F4). Let u and v be, respectively, viscosity sub- and supersolutions of (3a) in  $Q = (0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$  (T > 0). Assume that

(A1)  $u(t,x) \leq K(|x|+1)$ ,  $v(t,x) \geq -K(|x|+1)$  on Q for some K > 0;

(A2) 
$$u^*(0,x) - v_*(0,y) \leq K(|x-y|+1)$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $K > 0$ ;

there is a modulus function  $m_T$  such that

(A3) 
$$u^*(0,x) - v_*(0,y) \leq m_T(|x-y|) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then there is a modulus function m such that

$$u^{*}(t,x) - v_{*}(t,y) \leq m(|x-y|) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T, \ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$

In particular  $u^* \leq v_*$  on  $\overline{Q}$ .

We recall one of equivalent definitions of viscosity sub- and supersolutions of (3a). A function  $u: Q \to R$  is called a viscosity sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a) in Q if  $u^* < \infty$  (resp.  $u_* > -\infty$ ) on  $\overline{Q}$  and

 $\begin{aligned} \tau+F_*(p,X)&\leqslant 0 \quad \text{for all } (\tau,p,X)\in \mathcal{P}_Q^{2,+}u^*(t,x), \ (t,x)\in Q\\ (\text{resp. } \tau+F^*(p,X)&\geqslant 0 \quad \text{for all } (\tau,p,X)\in \mathcal{P}_Q^{2,-}u_*(t,x), \ (t,x)\in Q). \end{aligned}$ Here  $\mathcal{P}_Q^{2,+}u^*(t,x)$  is the set of  $(\tau,p,X)\in R\times R^N\times \mathbf{S}_N$  such that  $u^*(s,y)\leqslant u^*(t,x)+\tau(s-t)+\langle p,y-x\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\langle X(y-x),y-x\rangle$  $o(|s-t|+|y-x|^2) \quad \text{as } (s,y)\longrightarrow (t,x) \text{ in } Q, \end{aligned}$  where  $\langle , \rangle$  denotes the Euclidean innerproduct; similarly,  $\mathcal{P}_Q^{2,-}u_*(t,x) = -\mathcal{P}_Q^{2,+}(-u_*(t,x)).$ 

We construct viscosity sub- and supersolutions of (3a,b), which leads to existence of a viscosity solution of (3a,b) by Perron's method. Using assumptions (F5)-(F6) and some properties of viscosity solutions we show an outline of construction of sub- and supersolutions (in detail, see §6 in [CGG]).

We set

$$u^{\pm}(t,x) = \pm (t + \frac{|x|^2}{2\nu_0}).$$

A direct caluculation shows that  $u^-$  (resp.  $u^+$ ) is a  $C^2$  viscosity sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a) in  $R \times R^N$ . For  $u^{\pm}$  we set

$$U^{\pm}_{\xi h}(t,x) = h(u^{\pm}(t,\xi-x)), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where h is a continuous nondecreasing function in R. Then  $U_{\xi h}^-$  (resp.  $U_{\xi h}^+$ ) is a sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a) in  $R \times R^N$ .

Since  $u^-$  (resp.  $-u^+$ ) is decreasing in |x| and t, for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the continuity of a guarantees that there is a continuous nondecreasing function  $h = h_{\xi} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $h(0) = a(\xi)$  such that  $U_{\xi h}^- \leq a(x)$  (resp.  $U_{\xi h}^+(t, x) \geq a(x)$ ) for  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $U_{\xi h}^-$  (resp.  $U_{\xi h}^+$ ) is a sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a), we see the function

 $\begin{aligned} v^{-}(t,x) &= \sup\{U^{-}_{\xi h}(t,x); h = h_{\xi}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\} \leqslant a(x) \\ (\text{resp.} \quad v^{+}(t,x) &= \inf\{U^{+}_{\xi h}(t,x); h = h_{\xi}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\} \geqslant a(x)) \end{aligned}$ 

is again a sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a) in  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , which is lower (resp. upper) semi-continuous and satisfies

$$v^- \leq a \leq v^+$$
 for  $t \geq 0$  and  $v^{\pm} = a$  at  $t = 0$ .

To apply Lemma 2 we introduce "barrier functions"

$$\phi^{\pm}(t,x) = \pm K(|x| + 1 + \nu_0 t).$$

We see  $\phi^-$  (resp.  $\phi^+$ ) is a sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a). We set

$$f = \max(v^-, \phi^-), \quad g = \min(v^+, \phi^+).$$

Then f (resp. g) is a sub- (resp. super-) solution of (3a,b). By Perron's method there is a viscosity solution  $u_a$  of (3a,b) with  $f \leq u_a \leq g$ . Since  $u_a$  satisfies (A1)-(A3), we apply Lemma 2 and see that  $u_a$  uniquely solves (3a,b) and  $u_a \in B$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

We set

$$\Gamma_t = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N ; u_a(t, x) = 0 \}, \quad D_t = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N ; u_a(t, x) > 0 \}.$$

Then  $\{(\Gamma_t, D_t)\}_{t \ge 0}$  is a weak solution of (1a,b). Our goal is to show that  $\{(\Gamma_t, D_t)\}_{t \ge 0}$  is uniquely determined by  $(\Gamma_0, D_0)$ . To do this we need the comparison lemma (Theorem 5.2 in [CGG]; if u is a viscosity sub- (super-) solution then  $\theta(u)$  is so, provided that  $\theta$  is continuous and nondecreasing) and the following

LEMMA 3. Let  $a, b \in B_0$  be definition functions of  $(D_0, \Gamma_0)$ . If b satisfies

(7) 
$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty, x\in D_0, x\notin\Gamma_0^{\sigma}} b(x) > 0 \quad \text{for every } \sigma > 0,$$

where  $\Gamma_0^{\sigma} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma_0) < \sigma\}$ . Then there is a continuous (strictly) increasing function  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$a(x) \leq \theta(b(x))$$
 in  $D_0$  with  $\theta(0) = 0$ .

This lemma is proved similar to one of Lemma 7.2 in [CGG]. We set, for  $r \ge 0$ ,

$$a_1(r) = \sup\{a(x); x \in D_0, \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma_0) \leq r\},$$
  
$$b_1(r) = \inf\{b(x); x \in D_0, \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma_0) \geq r\}$$

or

$$\bar{a}(r) = a_1(r) + r, \quad \bar{b}(r) = b_1(r) \frac{r}{r+1},$$

which are increasing and satisfy

$$\bar{a}(0) = b(0) = 0, \quad \bar{a}(r), b(r) > 0 \quad \text{for } r > 0,$$
$$a(x) \leq \bar{a}(r), \quad b(x) \geq \bar{b}(r) \quad \text{for } x \in D_0, \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma_0) = r.$$

The property  $\bar{b}(r) > 0$  for r > 0 follows from (7). The function  $\theta = \bar{a} \circ \bar{b}^{-1}$  is increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then we proved Lemma 3.

We note that our definition function a of  $(\Gamma_0, D_0)$  satisfies (7) if a is the signed distance function, i.e.,

$$a(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma_0) & \text{for } x \in D_0 \\ -\operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma_0) & \text{for } x \in R^N \setminus D_0 \end{cases}$$

Finally, we state the existence theorem for the initial value problem (1a,b). We rewrite our conditions in terms of f where F is of the form (5) (see [GG]). The condition (F1) is equivalent to

(f1)  $f: E \longrightarrow R$  is continuous,

where  $E = \{(\bar{p}, Q_{\bar{p}}(X)); \bar{p} \in S^{N-1}, X \in \mathbf{S}_N\}$ . The condition (F2) is clearly equivalent to

(f2) 
$$f(-\bar{p}, -Q_{\bar{p}}(X)) \ge f(-\bar{p}, -Q_{\bar{p}}(Y))$$
 for  $X \ge Y, \bar{p} \in S^{N-1}$ 

This condition means that -f is degenerate elliptic. The conditions (F3), (F4) and (F6) follow from

(f3)  
$$-\inf_{0<\rho<1}\rho\inf_{|\bar{p}|=1}f(-\bar{p},\frac{I-\bar{p}\otimes\bar{p}}{\rho})<\infty,$$
$$-\sup_{0<\rho<1}\rho\sup_{|\bar{p}|=1}f(-\bar{p},\frac{-I+\bar{p}\otimes\bar{p}}{\rho})>-\infty.$$

This condition is fulfilled if  $f(\bar{p}, \lambda Z) = \lambda f(\bar{p}, Z)$  for  $\lambda > 0, (\bar{p}, Z) \in E$ . The condition (F5) holds automatically. Then we have the following

THEOREM 4. Suppose that (f1)-(f3) hold. Let  $D_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a open set and  $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus D_0$  a closed set containing  $\partial D_0$ . Then there is a unique weak solution  $\{(\Gamma_t, D_t)\}_{t \ge 0}$  of (1a,b).

## REFERENCES

[CGG] Y.-G.Chen, Y.Giga and S.Goto, Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.

- [ES] L.C.Evans and J.Spruck, Motion of level sets by mean curvature I, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
- [GH] M.Gage and R.Hamilton, The heat equation shrinking of convex plane curves, J. Diff. Geom. 23 (1986), p. 69–96.
- [GG] Y.Giga and S.Goto, Motion of hypersurfaces and geometric equations, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [GGIS] Y.Giga, S.Goto, H.Ishii and M.-H.Sato, Comparison principle and convexity preserving properties for singular degenerate parabolic equations on unbounded domains, to appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J.
- [Gr1] M.Grayson, The heat equation shrinks embedded plane curves to round points, J. Diff. Geom. 26 (1987), p. 285–314.
- [Gr2] \_\_\_\_\_, A short note on the evolution of a surface by its mean curvature, Duke Math. J. 58 (1989), 555–558.
- [Gu1] M.Gurtin, Towards a nonequilibrium thermodynamics of two phase materials, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 100 (1988), 275–312.
- [Gu2] \_\_\_\_\_, Multiphase thermomechanics with interfacial structure.
- 1. Heat conduction and the capillary balance law, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 104 (1988), 195–221.
- [H] G.Huisken, Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres,J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984), p. 237-266.
- [I] H.Ishii, On uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second order elliptic PDE's, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 42 (1989), 15-45.