

(Restricted) Quantized Enveloping Algebras
 of Simple Lie superalgebras
 and Universal R-Matrices

Hiroyuki Yamane
 (Osaka University)

In this note, we define a (Jimbo type) quantized enveloping superalgebras $U_q(G)$ of complex simple Lie superalgebras G of types A, B, C, D (all types) and types F_4 and G_3

(distinguished types). We can get a defining relations of $U_q(G)$, which are consist of q -Serre relations and *additional relations*. They were unknown even if $q=1$. Moreover we define a restricted quantum groups $u_\zeta(G)$ at a root of unity ζ .

Finally, we consider a *Hopf algebrization* of the Hopf superalgebra $u_\zeta(G)$, and construct the universal R-matrix of $u_\zeta(G)^\sigma$. Our construction is due to Drinfeld's quantum double construction. By using quantum double construction, we can also show a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type theorem for $U_q(G)$ and $u_\zeta(G)$.

In [Y1-2], we introduced the (Drinfeld type) quantized enveloping superalgebras $U_h(G)$, showed $U_h(G)$ is an h -adic topologically free $C[[h]]$ -Hopf algebra, and gave an explicit formula of universal R-matrix of $U_h(G)^\sigma$. The arguments used in this note are the essentially same arguments as we used in [Y2].

Here I would like to express my thanks to Professor E. Date, Professor M. Noumi, Professor T. Tanisaki, Professor J. Murakami, Professor M. Okado for their encouragement and valuable communication. I also thanks Professor K. Nishiyama for giving me the opportunity of speaking in this symposium.

§1. Quantum double construction.

Let K be a field. Suppose $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Let $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$ is K -Hopf algebras with coproduct $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, antipode, $S : A \rightarrow A$ and counit $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow K$.

Moreover we assume that there is a symmetric Hopf-pairing $\langle , \rangle : A \otimes A \rightarrow K$, namely \langle , \rangle is a symmetric K -bilinear form such that

- (1) $\langle \Delta(x), y \otimes z \rangle = \langle x, yz \rangle$,
- (2) $\langle S(x), y \rangle = \langle x, S(y) \rangle$,
- (3) $\langle 1, x \rangle = \varepsilon(x)$

where $x, y, z \in A$.

We call a Hopf-algebra $A^{\text{op}} = (A, \Delta^{\text{op}}, S, \varepsilon)$ the opposite Hopf-algebra of A where $\Delta^{\text{op}} = \tau \circ \Delta$ and $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$.

Proposition 1.1. (Quantum double) There is a unique \mathbf{K} -Hopf algebra $(D = D(A), \Delta_D, S_D, \varepsilon_D)$ satisfying:

- (1) As \mathbf{K} -vector spaces, $D \cong A \otimes A$.
- (2) The \mathbf{K} -linear maps $A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ ($x \rightarrow x \otimes 1$) and $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow A \otimes A$ ($x \rightarrow 1 \otimes x$) are homomorphisms of Hopf-algebras.
- (3) The product of D is defined as follows; if $x, y \in A$ and $\Delta^{(2)}(x) = \sum_i x_i^{(1)} \otimes x_i^{(2)} \otimes x_i^{(3)}$ and $\Delta^{(2)}(y) = \sum_j y_j^{(1)} \otimes y_j^{(2)} \otimes y_j^{(3)}$, then

$$(v \otimes x) \cdot (y \otimes w) = \sum_{i,j} \langle x_i^{(1)}, y_j^{(3)} \rangle \langle x_i^{(3)}, S(y_j^{(1)}) \rangle (v y_j^{(2)} \otimes x_i^{(2)} w).$$

Proposition 1.2. (Universal R-matrix of $D(A)$) Assume that $\dim A < \infty$ and \langle, \rangle is non-degenerate. Let $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e^i\}$ are two bases of A such that $\langle e_i, e^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Then $R = \sum_i (e_i \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes e^i) \in D \otimes D$ satisfies:

- (0) $R^{-1} = (1 \otimes S^{-1})(R)$.
- (1) $R \Delta_D(a) R^{-1} = \Delta_D^{\text{op}}(a)$ ($a \in D$).
- (2) $(1 \otimes \Delta_D)(R) = R_{13} R_{12}$, $(\Delta_D \otimes 1)(R) = R_{23} R_{13}$.

Remark. From (1) and (2), we can easily see that R satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation :

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}.$$

Therefore R is called the universal R-matrix of D .

§2. Quantized enveloping (super)algebras.

Here we give an abstract definition of Quantized enveloping (super)algebras by using the Quantum double construction.

Let \mathbb{E} be an N -dimensional \mathbf{K} -vector space. Assume that there is a non-degenerate bi-linear form $(,) : \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ with a basis $\{\underline{\varepsilon}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ such that $(\underline{\varepsilon}_i, \underline{\varepsilon}_j) = 0$ ($i \neq j$), $(\underline{\varepsilon}_i, \underline{\varepsilon}_i) \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{E} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the set of linearly independent elements. Suppose that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in (1/4)\mathbf{Z}$. Let $p : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = \{0, 1\}$ be the function. Write $p(i)$ for $p(\alpha_i)$. We call p the *parity function*. Put $P_+ = \mathbf{Z}\underline{\varepsilon}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}\underline{\varepsilon}_N$.

Let $q \in \mathbf{K}^\times$. Let $U_q^{\sim} b_+^{\sigma}$ be a \mathbf{K} -algebra with generators $\{E_i (1 \leq i \leq n), K_\lambda (\lambda \in P_+), \sigma\}$ and defining relations:

$$(U^{\sim}.1) \quad \sigma^2 = 1, \sigma E_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(i)} E_i, \sigma K_\lambda \sigma = K_\lambda,$$

$$(U^{\sim}.2) \quad K_0 = 1, K_\lambda K_\mu = K_{\lambda+\mu} \quad (\lambda, \mu \in P_+),$$

$$(U^{\sim}.3) \quad K_\lambda E_i K_\lambda^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_i, \lambda)} E_i.$$

Moreover $U_q^{\sim} b_+^{\sigma}$ has a \mathbf{K} -Hopf algebra such that

$$(U^{\sim}.4) \quad \Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma, S(\sigma) = \sigma, \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1,$$

$$(U^{\sim}.5) \quad \Delta(K_\lambda) = K_\lambda \otimes K_\lambda, S(K_\lambda) = K_\lambda^{-1}, \varepsilon(K_\lambda) = 1,$$

$$(U^{\sim}.6) \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_{\alpha_i} \sigma^{p(i)} \otimes E_i, S(E_i) = -K_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \sigma^{p(i)} E_i, \varepsilon(E_i) = 0.$$

Let $U_q^{\sim} b_+$ (resp. $U_q^{\sim} n_+, \mathbb{T}$) be an unital subalgebra generated by the elements $\{E_i (1 \leq i \leq n), K_\lambda (\lambda \in P_+)\}$ (resp. $\{E_i (1 \leq i \leq n)\}, \{K_\lambda (\lambda \in P_+)\}$).

Let \mathbb{I} be the set of finite sequences of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Put $E_I = E_{i_1} E_{i_2} \dots E_{i_p}$ for $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p) \in \mathbb{I}$ and put $E_\emptyset = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. As a \mathbf{K} -vector space, $U_q^{\sim} b_+^{\sigma}$ has a basis elements such that

$E_I K_\lambda \sigma^c$ ($I \in \mathbb{I}, \lambda \in P_+, c \in \{0, 1\}$). In particular, we have

$$U_q^{\sim} b_+^{\sigma} \cong U_q^{\sim} n_+ \otimes \mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbf{K}\langle \sigma \rangle \text{ as } \mathbf{K}\text{-vector spaces.}$$

Proposition 2.2. There is a symmetric Hopf-pairing

$\langle , \rangle : U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sim} b_{+}^{\sigma} \otimes U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sim} b_{+}^{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ such that

$$(P.1) \quad \langle \sigma, E_{\mathbf{I}} K_{\lambda} \sigma^c \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{I}\phi} (-1)^c,$$

$$(P.2) \quad \langle K_{\mu}, E_{\mathbf{I}} K_{\lambda} \sigma^c \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{I}\phi} q^{(\mu, \lambda)},$$

$$(P.3) \quad \langle E_i, E_{\mathbf{I}} K_{\lambda} \sigma^c \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{I}}(i).$$

We put $I_{b_{+}}^{\sigma} = \text{Ker} \langle , \rangle$ and put $u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma} = U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sim} b_{+}^{\sigma} / I_{b_{+}}^{\sigma}$.

Let $D(u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma})$ be the quantum double of $u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma}$ with respect to \langle , \rangle . For $X \in u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma}$, we write X, X^{op} for $X \otimes 1, 1 \otimes X \in D(u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma})$ respectively.

Lemma 2.3. In $D(u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma})$, the following equations hold:

$$(D \sim .1) \quad \sigma \cdot \sigma^{\text{op}} = \sigma^{\text{op}} \cdot \sigma, \quad \sigma K_{\lambda}^{\text{op}} \sigma = K_{\lambda}^{\text{op}}, \quad \sigma E_i^{\text{op}} \sigma = (-1)^{p(i)} E_i^{\text{op}},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{op}} K_{\lambda} \sigma^{\text{op}} = K_{\lambda}, \quad \sigma^{\text{op}} E_i \sigma^{\text{op}} = (-1)^{p(i)} E_i,$$

$$(D \sim .2) \quad K_{\lambda} \cdot K_{\mu}^{\text{op}} = K_{\mu}^{\text{op}} \cdot K_{\lambda},$$

$$K_{\lambda} E_i^{\text{op}} K_{\lambda}^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha_i, \lambda)} E_i^{\text{op}}, \quad K_{\lambda}^{\text{op}} E_i K_{\lambda}^{\text{op}-1} = q^{-(\alpha_i, \lambda)} E_i,$$

$$(D \sim .3) \quad E_i \cdot E_j^{\text{op}} - E_j^{\text{op}} \cdot E_i = \delta_{ij} (K_{\alpha_i}^{\text{op}} \sigma^{\text{op} p(i)} - K_{\alpha_i} \sigma^{p(i)}).$$

Let L be an ideal of \mathbf{K} -algebra $D(u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma})$ generated by $\sigma \cdot \sigma^{\text{op}} - \sigma^{\text{op}} \cdot \sigma$ and $K_{\lambda} \cdot K_{\lambda}^{\text{op}} - K_{\lambda}^{\text{op}} \cdot K_{\lambda}$ ($\lambda \in P_{+}$). It is clear that L is a Hopf-ideal. Put

$$u_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sigma} = u_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{E}, \Pi, \rho) = D(u_{\mathfrak{q}} b_{+}^{\sigma}) / L.$$

Put $u_{\mathfrak{q}} n_{+} = U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sim} n_{+} / (I_{b_{+}}^{\sigma} \cap U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\sim} n_{+})$, $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbf{T} / (I_{b_{+}}^{\sigma} \cap \mathbf{T})$.

Lemma 2.4. (1) As \mathbf{K} -vector spaces,

$$u_q^\sigma \cong u_{q^{n_+}} \otimes \mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbf{K}\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes u_{q^{n_+}} (Xt\sigma^c Y^{op} \leftarrow X \otimes \mathfrak{t} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y). \\ (c=0,1)$$

(2) For $1 \leq i \leq N$, let $\gamma_i = \min\{\gamma \mid K_{\underline{\epsilon}_i}^\gamma = 1\} \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. Then the elements $K_{\underline{\epsilon}_1}^{\delta_1} \dots K_{\underline{\epsilon}_N}^{\delta_N}$ ($0 \leq \delta_i < \gamma_i$) form a \mathbf{K} -basis of \mathfrak{t} .

(3) Let u_q be an unital subalgebra of u_q^σ generated by the elements $\{E_i, \dot{F}_i = E_i^{op} \sigma^{p(i)} (1 \leq i \leq n), K_\lambda (\lambda \in P_+)\}$. Then there is a Hopf-superalgebra structure on u_q with coproduct $\dot{\Delta}$ defined by

$$\dot{\Delta}(K_\lambda) = K_\lambda \otimes K_\lambda, \dot{\Delta}(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_{\alpha_i} \otimes E_i, \dot{\Delta}(\dot{F}_i) = \dot{F}_i \otimes K_{\alpha_i}^{-1} + 1 \otimes \dot{F}_i.$$

Theorem 2.5. Assume that q is an indeterminate and $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}(q)$. Suppose that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) > 0$, $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \leq 0$ and $2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra defined for $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ and Π . Then u_q is isomorphic to the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\underline{\mathbf{G}})$.
(Jimbo type)

Theorem 2.6. Let $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ be the simple \mathbf{C} -Lie algebra. Suppose that Π is the set of the simple roots of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$. Assume that $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$. Let ζ be an m -th root of unity such that $m \gg 1$. Then u_ζ is isomorphic to the Lusztig's ^{primitive} quantum group at root of unity $u_\zeta(\underline{\mathbf{G}})$.

Theorem 2.5 can be immediately proved by Proposition 2.4.1 in [T]. Theorem 2.6 also seems to be well-known. For example, see [R].

§3. Root Systems of Simple Lie Superalgebras.

Let \mathbb{G} be simple Lie superalgebras of types $A_{N-1}, B_N, C_N, D_N, F_4, G_3$.

Let (\mathbb{E}, Π, p) be a triple related to a root system of \mathbb{G} . From now on, we only

of

treat triples (E, Π, p) following Dynkin diagrams.

In the following diagrams, the element under i -th dot denotes the i -th simple root $\alpha_i \in \Pi$. The i -th dot \times stands for \circ (resp. \otimes) if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \neq 0$ (resp. $= 0$). If i -th dot is \circ , \otimes or \bullet , then we define $p(\alpha_i) = 0, 0, 1$ respectively. We also define a diagonal matrix $\mathbb{D} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ such that $A = \mathbb{D}^{-1}((\alpha_i, \alpha_j))$ is a Cartan matrix of G .

$$(A_{N-1}) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} & 1 & 2 & \dots & N-1 \\ \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2 & & \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N \end{array}, \quad (\xi_j, \xi_j) = \pm 1, \quad \mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1),$$

$$(B_N) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} & 1 & 2 & \dots & N-1 & N \\ \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times \rightleftharpoons & \circ \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2 & & \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N & \xi_N \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{cccc} & 1 & 2 & \dots & N-1 & N \\ \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times \rightleftharpoons & \bullet \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2 & & \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N & \xi_N \end{array}, \quad (\xi_j, \xi_j) = \pm 1, \quad \mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 1/2),$$

$$(C_N) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} & 1 & 2 & \dots & N-1 & N \\ \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times \longleftarrow & \circ \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2 & & \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N & 2\xi_N \end{array}, \quad (\xi_j, \xi_j) = \pm 1, \quad \mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2),$$

$$(D_N) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} & & & & N-1 \\ & & & & \circ \\ & & & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \circ \\ & & & & \xi_N \\ \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times & \text{---} & \times \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2 & & \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N & & \xi_{N-1} + \xi_N & & \xi_1 - \xi_2 & & \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_{N-1} - \xi_N & & \xi_{N-1} + \xi_N \end{array}, \quad (\xi_j, \xi_j) = \pm 1, \quad \mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 1),$$

$$(F_4) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} & 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ \rightleftharpoons & \circ & \text{---} & \otimes \\ \xi_2 - \xi_3 & & \xi_3 - \xi_4 & & \xi_1 & (\xi_1 - \xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4)/2 \end{array}$$

$$(\xi_1, \xi_1) = 6, (\xi_2, \xi_2) = (\xi_3, \xi_3) = (\xi_4, \xi_4) = -2, \quad \mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(2, 1, 1, 2),$$

$$(G_3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ & \otimes & \text{---} & \circ < \equiv \equiv \equiv \circ & , \\ \underline{\underline{x}}_1 & - \underline{\underline{x}}_2 & (\underline{\underline{x}}_2 - \underline{\underline{x}}_3)/2 & \underline{\underline{x}}_3 \end{array}$$

$$(\underline{\underline{x}}_1, \underline{\underline{x}}_1) = -2, (\underline{\underline{x}}_2, \underline{\underline{x}}_2) = 2, (\underline{\underline{x}}_3, \underline{\underline{x}}_3) = -6, \mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(1, 3, 1).$$

§4. Defining relations of $u_q^\sigma(\mathbb{E}, \Pi, p)$ of Simple Lie Superalgebras \mathbb{G} .

Here we give defining relations of $u_{q^{n_+}}$ of $u_q^\sigma(\mathbb{E}, \Pi, p)$ (see Lemma 2.4) when q is not a root of unity.

Put $P_+ = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_N$. We extend p to $p : P_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ additively.

For $\delta = m_1\alpha_1 + \dots + m_N\alpha_N \in P_+$, let $(u_{q^{n_+}})_\delta$ be a \mathbb{K} -subspace of $u_{q^{n_+}}$ spanned by elements $E_{i_1} E_{i_2} \dots E_{i_p}$ ($\#\{i_a = i\} = m_i$). Then we have $u_{q^{n_+}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in P_+} (u_{q^{n_+}})_\delta$.

For $\delta, \nu \in P_+$ and $X_\delta \in (u_{q^{n_+}})_\delta, X_\nu \in (u_{q^{n_+}})_\nu$, put

$$\text{ad}_{\tau, \tau} X_\delta (X_\nu) = [X_\delta, X_\nu] = X_\delta X_\nu - (-1)^{p(\delta)p(\nu)} q^{-(\delta, \nu)} X_\nu X_\delta.$$

Theorem 4.1. Let (\mathbb{E}, Π, p) be a triple introduced in §3. Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let $u_{q^{n_+}}$ be of be of $u_q^\sigma(\mathbb{E}, \Pi, p)$ (see Lemma 2.4). Then, as \mathbb{K} -algebra, $u_{q^{n_+}}$ is defined with the generators E_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and the relations:

(r1) $[E_i, E_j] = 0$ if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$,


(r2) $(\text{ad}_{\tau, \tau} E_i)^{m_{ij}}(E_j) = 0$ if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0$ and $m_{ij} = 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

(r3) $(\text{ad}_{\tau, \tau} E_N)^3(E_{N-1}) = 0$ if $\begin{array}{ccc} & N-1 & N \\ & \times & \equiv \equiv \equiv \bullet \end{array}$,

(r4) $[[[E_i, E_j], E_k], E_j] = 0$


if $\begin{array}{ccc} i & j & k \\ \times & \text{---} \otimes & \text{---} \times \end{array}$, $\begin{array}{ccc} i & j & k \\ \times & \text{---} \otimes \equiv \equiv \bullet & \end{array}$ or $\begin{array}{ccc} i & j & k \\ \times & \text{---} \otimes \equiv \equiv \bullet & \end{array}$,

$$(r5) \quad [[E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}], E_N] = [[E_{N-2}, E_N], E_{N-1}]$$




$$(r6) \quad [[[[E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}], [E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}], E_N], E_{N-1}] = 0$$

N-2 N-1 N



$$(r7) \quad [[[[[[E_{N-3}, E_{N-2}], E_{N-1}], E_N], E_{N-1}], E_{N-2}], E_{N-1}] = 0$$

N-3 N-2 N-1 N



§5. Root vectors of $u_q^\sigma(\mathbb{E}, \Pi, p)$ of Simple Lie Superalgebras \mathbb{G} .

Here we assume that there is $m \gg 1$ satisfying $q^{\underline{m}} \neq 1$ for $1 \leq \underline{m} \leq m$. Assume that (\mathbb{E}, Π, p) is the triple in §3. Let Φ be the set of roots of \mathbb{G} and Φ_+ the set of positive roots with respect to Π . Let Φ_+^{red} be the set of positive roots defined by

$\Phi_+^{\text{red}} = \{\beta \in \Phi_+ \mid \beta/2 \notin \Phi_+\}$. For $\beta = c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_N\alpha_N \in P_+$, put $ht(\beta) = c_1 + \dots + c_N$, $g(\beta) = \min\{i \mid i \neq 0\}$ and $c_\beta = c_{g(\beta)}$.

Define a half integer $\underline{ht}(\beta)$ by $\underline{ht}(\beta) = ht(\beta)/c_\beta$. For $\alpha, \beta \in P_+$, we say that $\alpha < \beta$ if they satisfy one of the following

$\in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$

(1) $g(\alpha) < g(\beta)$,

(2) $g(\alpha) = g(\beta)$ and $\underline{ht}(\alpha) < \underline{ht}(\beta)$,

(3) Π is of type D_N , $p(\underline{\epsilon}_i - \underline{\epsilon}_N) = 0$ and $\alpha = \underline{\epsilon}_i - \underline{\epsilon}_N$, $\beta = 2\underline{\epsilon}_i$ or

$$\alpha = 2\underline{\varepsilon}_i, \beta = \underline{\varepsilon}_i + \underline{\varepsilon}_N \text{ or } \alpha = \underline{\varepsilon}_i - \underline{\varepsilon}_N, \beta = \underline{\varepsilon}_i + \underline{\varepsilon}_N.$$

We define q -root vectors E_β ($\beta \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}$) of $u_{q^{\sigma}}(\mathbb{E}.\Pi.p)$ as follows.

Definition 5.1. For $\beta \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}$, we define the element $E_\beta \in u_{q^{\sigma}}$ as follows. (For type F_4 , (resp. G_3), we write E_{abcd} and \dot{E}_{abcd} (resp. E_{abc} and \dot{E}_{abc}) for $E_{\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2}$ and $\dot{E}_{\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2}$ (resp. $E_{\alpha_1 + b\alpha_3 + c\alpha_2}$ and $\dot{E}_{\alpha_1 + b\alpha_3 + c\alpha_2}$).

(1) We put $E_{\alpha_i} = E_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

(2) Let $\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ be such that $g(\beta) < i$ and $\alpha + \alpha_i \in \Phi$. Put $\dot{E}_{\alpha + \alpha_i} = [\dot{E}_\alpha, E_i]$. If Π is of type B_N , $i = N$ and $\alpha = \underline{\varepsilon}_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$), let $E_{\alpha + \alpha_N} = (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})^{-1} \dot{E}_{\alpha + \alpha_N}$. If Π is of type D_N , $i = N$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{N-1}$, let $E_{\alpha + \alpha_N} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1} \dot{E}_{\alpha + \alpha_N}$. If Π is of type F_4 , let $E_{1120} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1} \dot{E}_{1120}$ and $E_{1232} = (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})^{-1} \dot{E}_{1232}$. If Π is of type G_3 , let $E_{121} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1} \dot{E}_{121}$, $E_{021} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1} \dot{E}_{021}$ and $E_{031} = (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})^{-1} \dot{E}_{031}$. Otherwise, put $E_{\alpha + \alpha_i} = \dot{E}_{\alpha + \alpha_i}$.

(3) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}$ such that $g(\alpha) = g(\beta)$, $\alpha < \beta$, $\underline{\text{ht}}(\beta) - \underline{\text{ht}}(\alpha) \leq 1$ and $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}$. Put $\dot{E}_{\alpha + \beta} = [\dot{E}_\alpha, \dot{E}_\beta]$. If Π is of type C_N (resp. D_N, F_4 or G_3), then $E_{\alpha + \beta}$ is defined by $(q + q^{-1})^{-1} \dot{E}_{\alpha + \beta}$ (resp. $(q + q^{-1})^{-1} \dot{E}_{\alpha + \beta}$, $(q^2 + q^{-2})^{-1} \dot{E}_{\alpha + \beta}$ or $(q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})^{-1} \dot{E}_{\alpha + \beta}$).

By using similar computations in [Y2], we have

Proposition 5.2. (1) As a K -vector space, $u_q n_+$ is spanned by the elements

$$\left\langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \right\rangle \quad (n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ if } (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0, n_\alpha = 0, 1 \text{ if } (\alpha, \alpha) = 0).$$

Here $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}}$ denote a product taken with a total order on Φ_+^{red}

compatible with the partial order $<$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \right\rangle, \left\langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha^{m_\alpha} \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} \delta_{n_\alpha m_\alpha} \psi(n_\alpha; (-1)^{p(\alpha)} q^{(\alpha, \alpha)}) \langle E_\alpha, E_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\psi(n; t) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(t^i - 1)/(t - 1)\}$.

§6. Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type Theorem \checkmark $u_q^{\sigma(\mathbb{E}, \Pi, p)}$ of Simple Lie Superalgebras \mathbb{G} .

Define $d_\alpha \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}_+$ by $d_\alpha = |(\alpha, \alpha)|/2$ if $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$, $d_\alpha = 2$ if Π is of type G_3 and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3 + c\alpha_2$, $d_\alpha = 1$ otherwise.

For $\alpha = c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_N\alpha_N \in P_+$, put

$$b(\alpha) = (q^{d\alpha} - q^{-d\alpha}) \langle E_\alpha, E_\alpha \rangle / \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i})^{c_i} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\gamma_\alpha = \min\{\gamma \mid \psi(\gamma; (-1)^{p(\alpha)} q^{(\alpha, \alpha)}) = 0\} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}.$$

Lemma 6.1. $b(\alpha)$ can be written as $(-1)^a q^b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. (For the precise value of $b(\alpha)$, see [Y2; Lemma 10.3.1]).

By Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 6.1, we have:

Theorem 6.2. (PBW-type theorem) The elements

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha^{\delta_\alpha} \quad (0 \leq \delta_\alpha < \gamma_\alpha)$$

form a \mathbb{K} -basis of $u_{\mathfrak{q}^+}$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $m > 10$ and ζ a primitive m -th root of unity. Then, as \mathbb{K} -algebra, $u_\zeta \mathfrak{q}^+$ is defined with the generators E_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and the relations (r1-7) in Theorem 4.1 and relations

$$(rr1) \quad E_\alpha^{\gamma_\alpha} = 0 \quad (\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}).$$

§7. Universal R -matrix $\overset{\text{of}}{\check{u}_\zeta^\sigma}$ ($\mathbb{E} \cdot \Pi \cdot p$) of Simple Lie Superalgebra \mathbb{G} .

Keep notation in §3-6. For $\alpha = c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + c_N \alpha_N \in P_+$, put

$$F_\alpha = (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (q^{-d_i} - q^{d_i})^{c_i})^{-1} (E_\alpha)^{\text{op}_{\sigma^p(\alpha)}} \quad (\text{see Lemma 4.2}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$u(\alpha) = (-1)^{\text{ht}(\alpha)} / b(\alpha).$$

Theorem 7.1. (Universal R -matrix of \check{u}_ζ^σ) Keep notation in Proposition 6.3.

The Universal R-matrix R of $u_{\zeta}^{\sigma} = u_{\zeta}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{E}, \Pi, p)$ is given by

$$R = \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} \left(\sum_{0 \leq \delta_{\alpha} < \gamma_{\alpha}} \frac{((q^{d_{\alpha}} - q^{-d_{\alpha}})u(\alpha)E_{\alpha} \otimes F_{\alpha} \sigma^{p(\alpha)})^{\delta_{\alpha}}}{\psi(n_{\alpha}; (-1)^{p(\alpha)} q^{(\alpha, \alpha)})} \right) \right\}$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq c, d \leq 1} (-1)^{cd} \sigma^c \otimes \sigma^d \right\} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ (1/\gamma_i) \sum_{0 \leq \delta_i, \phi_i < \gamma_i} \zeta^{-(\underline{\epsilon}_i, \underline{\epsilon}_i) \delta_i \phi_i} K_{\underline{\epsilon}_i}^{\delta_i} \otimes K_{\underline{\epsilon}_i}^{\phi_i} \right\}$$

References.

- [T] T. Tanisaki: Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R-matrices for quantum algebras, to appear in Proc. of Project 91, RIMS.
- [R] M. Rosso: A Lecture in 19-th summer research institute at Penn State Univ. (1991).
- [Y1] H. Yamane: Universal R-matrices for quantum groups associated to simple Lie superalgebras, Proc. Japan Acad. 67 (1991), 385-386.
- [Y2] H. Yamane: Universal R-matrices for quantized enveloping algebras associated to simple Lie superalgebras, (preprint) (1991).