

**Non-Cohen-Macaulay symbolic blow-ups for space monomial
curves and counterexamples to Cowsik's question**

明大・理工 後藤四郎 (Shiro Goto)

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in a commutative Noetherian ring A and put

$$R_S(\mathfrak{p}) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{p}^{(n)} t^n \subseteq A[t],$$

where t denotes an indeterminate over A . Let me call it the symbolic Rees algebra of \mathfrak{p} . In my lecture, I'm interested in their ring-theoretic properties and especially, in the following two questions:

- Questions** (1) When is $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ a Noetherian ring, that is, when is $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ a finitely generated A -algebra?
(2) When is $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ a Cohen-Macaulay or Gorenstein ring, provided that it is Noetherian?

Today I will answer these questions in the following special situation, that is,

Let k be a field, and let $n_1, n_2,$ and n_3 be positive integers with $\text{GCD}(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$. Let $A = A_k := k[[X, Y, Z]]$ be a formal power series ring over k and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow k[[t]]$ be the k -algebra map defined by

$$\varphi(X) = t^{n_1}, \quad \varphi(Y) = t^{n_2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(Z) = t^{n_3}.$$

Let me denote by $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ the kernel of φ .

Then A is a regular local ring of dimension 3 and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A of height 2. So in some sense, this is the simplest non-trivial case for the above questions. And my answer is

Theorem 1 (with Nishida and Watanabe). Let m and n be positive integers such that $n \geq 4$ and $2m > n + 1$. Let $n_1 = 7m - 3$, $n_2 = 5mn - m - n$, and $n_3 = 8n - 3$. Assume that $\text{GCD}(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$ and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3)$. Then the symbolic Rees algebra $R_s(\mathfrak{p})$ of \mathfrak{p} is a Noetherian ring if and only if the characteristic of the ground field k is positive. When this is the case, $R_s(\mathfrak{p})$ is not a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

The simplest example obtained by this theorem is the ideal

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(18, 53, 29) \quad (\text{here } m = 3, n = 4)$$

$$= I_2 \left[\begin{array}{ccc} X^4 & Y^2 & Z^5 \\ Y & Z^3 & X^7 \end{array} \right]$$

$$= (Z^8 - X^7Y^2, X^{11} - YZ^5, Y^3 - X^4Z^3)_{A_k}.$$

Therefore, if we consider the same prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (Z^8 - X^7Y^2, X^{11} - YZ^5, Y^3 - X^4Z^3)_B$ inside of the polynomial ring $B = k[X, Y, Z]$, the symbolic Rees algebra $R_s(\mathfrak{p})$ is a finitely generated k -algebra but not a Cohen-Macaulay ring if $\text{ch } k$ is positive, and if $\text{ch } k = 0$, say $k = \mathbf{Q}$, then it is not a finitely generated \mathbf{Q} -algebra.

Let me add one question:

Question What about the prime ideal

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(11, 25, 21)$$

$$= I_2 \begin{bmatrix} X^3 & Y^2 & Z^3 \\ Y & Z^2 & X^5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(that is, choose $m = 2$ and $n = 3$)? Of course, this ideal doesn't satisfy my condition. But by a theorem of Cutkosky you can easily check that $R_s(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring, if $\text{ch } k > 0$. However I couldn't know whether it is a Noetherian ring or not in the case where $\text{ch } k = 0$, though I believe that the answer is negative.

Now let me give a sketch of proof of the theorem. To do this I need a theorem due to Craig (Huneke). For a moment, let me assume that (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a regular local ring of dimension 3 and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A of $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = 1$.

Theorem 2 (C. Huneke). If there exist two elements $f \in \mathfrak{p}^{(k)}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{p}^{(l)}$ with positive integers k, l such that the equality

$$l_A(A/(x, f, g)) = kl \cdot l_A(A/\mathfrak{p} + xA)$$

holds for some (and hence for any) element $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, then the symbolic Rees algebra $R_s(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring. If the field A/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, the converse is also true.

By this theorem, Huneke showed that $R_s(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring for $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, if $\min\{n_1, n_2, n_3\} \leq 4$.

If $R = R_S(\mathbf{p})$ is a Noetherian ring, then you can easily get an isomorphism $K_R \cong R(-1)$. Therefore R is a Gorenstein ring, once it is Cohen-Macaulay. To check the Cohen-Macaulay property of R , you have the following

Theorem 3 (____, Nishida and Shimoda). Let f and g be the elements in the above theorem. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The symbolic Rees algebra $R_S(\mathbf{p})$ is a Gorenstein ring.
- (2) For any integer $1 \leq n \leq k + l - 2$, the ring $A/(f, g) + \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

When this is the case, the rings $A/(f) + \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$, $A/(g) + \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$, and $A/(f, g) + \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ are Cohen-Macaulay for all $n \geq 1$, and we have the equality

$$R_S(\mathbf{p}) = A[\{\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}t^n\}_{1 \leq n \leq k+l-2}, ft^k, gt^l].$$

Using this criterion, you can show that $R_S(\mathbf{p})$ is a Gorenstein ring for $\mathbf{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, if $\min\{n_1, n_2, n_3\} \leq 4$. But in general, the Cohen-Macaulay property of $R_S(\mathbf{p})$ depends on the characteristic of the ground field. Let me give one example:

Example Let $\mathbf{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(7, 11, 13)$. Then $R_S(\mathbf{p})$ is always a Noetherian ring, but it is a Gorenstein ring if and only if $\text{ch } k \neq 2, 3$.

Now let's start the proof of the theorem. In what follows, let m and n be positive integers such that $n \geq 4$ and $2m > n$

+ 1. Let $n_1 = 7m - 3$, $n_2 = 5mn - m - n$, and $n_3 = 8n - 3$. We assume that $\text{GCD}(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p} &= \mathbf{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= I_2 \begin{bmatrix} X^n & Y^2 & Z^{2m-1} \\ Y & Z^m & X^{2n-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = Z^{3m-1} - X^{2n-1}Y^2$, $b = X^{3n-1} - YZ^{2m-1}$, and $c = Y^3 - X^nZ^m$. Then $\mathbf{p} = (a, b, c)$ and we have two equations

$$\begin{aligned} X^n a + Y^2 b + Z^{2m-1} c &= 0, \\ Y a + Z^m b + X^{2n-1} c &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

I claim that

Lemma There exist elements $d_2 \in \mathbf{p}^{(2)}$, and $d_3, d_3' \in \mathbf{p}^{(3)}$ such that $d_2 \equiv Z^{5m-2}$, $d_3 \equiv Z^{7m-2}$, $d_3' \equiv Y^8 Z^{2m-2} \pmod{(X)}$, and

$$X d_3 + Y b c^2 + Z d_3' = 0.$$

Proof. First of all, consider two expressions of $-Y^2 ab$:

$$\begin{aligned} -Y^2 ab &= Yb(-Ya) = Yb(Z^m b + X^{2n-1} c) \\ &= a(-Y^2 b) = a(X^n a + Z^{2m-1} c). \end{aligned}$$

And you get

$$X^n(a^2 - X^{n-1}Ybc) = Z^m(Yb^2 - Z^{m-1}ac);$$

hence there exists an element d_2 of A such that

$$X^n d_2 = Yb^2 - Z^{m-1}ac, \text{ and}$$

$$Z^m d_2 = a^2 - X^{n-1} Ybc.$$

Of course, d_2 is in $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$. To get Yd_2 , consider

$$\begin{aligned} -Yad_2 &= d_2(-Ya) \\ &= d_2(Z^m b + X^{2n-1}c) \\ &= b \cdot Z^m d_2 + X^{n-1}c \cdot X^n d_2 \\ &= b(a^2 - X^{n-1}Ybc) + X^{n-1}c(Yb^2 - Z^{m-1}ac) \\ &= -a(-ab + X^{n-1}Z^{m-1}c^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Yd_2 = -ab + X^{n-1}Z^{m-1}c$ and we have two equations:

$$Yd_2 = -ab + X^{n-1}Z^{m-1}c^2,$$

$$Z^m d_2 = a^2 - X^{n-1}Ybc.$$

We compare two expressions of a^2b :

$$\begin{aligned} a^2b &= b(Z^m d_2 + X^{n-1}Ybc) \\ &= a(-Yd_2 + X^{n-1}Z^{m-1}c^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$Z^{m-1}(-Zbd_2 + X^{n-1}ac^2) = Y(a d_2 + X^{n-1}bc^2).$$

and so we get an element $d_3 \in \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}$ such that

$$Yd_3 = -Zbd_2 + X^{n-1}ac^2.$$

As $Yd_2 \equiv -ab \pmod{(X)}$, we know

$$Yd_2 \equiv -Z^{3m-1}(-YZ^{2m-1});$$

hence $d_2 \equiv Z^{5m-2} \pmod{(X)}$. As $Yd_3 \equiv -Zbd_2 \pmod{(X)}$, we get

$$Yd_3 \equiv -Z(-YZ^{2m-1})Z^{5m-2} \pmod{(X)};$$

hence $d_3 \equiv Z^{7m-2} \pmod{(X)}$. Notice that $Yd_3 \equiv X^{n-1}ac^2 \equiv X^{n-1}(-X^{2n-1}Y^2)(Y^3)^2 \pmod{(Z)}$ and we have

$$d_3 \equiv -X^{3n-2}Y^7 \pmod{(Z)},$$

so that

$Xd_3 + Ybc^2 \equiv X \cdot (-X^{3n-2}Y^7) + Y \cdot X^{3n-1} \cdot (Y^3)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{(Z)}$. Thus there is an element d_3' of $\mathfrak{p}^{(3)}$ such that

$$Xd_3 + Ybc^2 + Zd_3' = 0.$$

Clearly $d_3' \equiv Y^8Z^{2m-2} \pmod{(X)}$. This proves the lemma.

Proposition $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{p}^2 + (d_2)$, $\mathfrak{p}^{(3)} = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{(2)} + (d_3, d_3')$, and $\mathfrak{p}^{(4)} = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{(3)} + (\mathfrak{p}^{(2)})^2$.

For example, let $I = \mathfrak{p}^2 + (d_2)$. Then as $(X) + I = (X) + (Z^{3m-1}, YZ^{2m-1}, Y^3)^2 + (Z^{5m-2})$, you have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_A(A/(X) + I) &= 3 \cdot (7m - 3) \\ &= 3 \cdot \iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, because $\iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}^{(2)}) = e_{XA}(A/\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}) = \iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}) \cdot \iota_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^2A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 3 \cdot \iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p})$, you get that

$\ell_A(A/(X) + I) = \ell_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}^{(2)})$; hence $(X) + I = (X) + \mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$, because $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$. Consequently $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)} = I + (X) \cap \mathfrak{p}^{(2)} = I + X \mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$. Thus we have $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)} = I$ by Nakayama's lemma. Similarly you can show that $\mathfrak{p}^{(3)} = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{(2)} + (d_3, d_3')$. As

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}^{(4)}) &= e_{XA}(A/\mathfrak{p}^{(4)}) \\ &= \ell_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}) \cdot \ell_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^4 A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &= 10 \cdot \ell_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}) \\ &< \ell_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{(3)} + (\mathfrak{p}^{(2)})^2), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(4)} \neq \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{(3)} + (\mathfrak{p}^{(2)})^2.$$

Corollary The ring $A/(c) + \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

In fact, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(A/(X, c) + \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}) &= 3 \cdot (7m - 3) + 1 \\ &> e_{XA}(A/(c) + \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}) \\ &= 3 \cdot (7m - 3); \end{aligned}$$

hence $A/(c) + \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}$ cannot be a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Now let me assume that $\text{ch } k = p > 0$. First of all, assume that $p \geq 3$ and write $p = 2q + 1$ (hence $q \geq 1$). Then by the equations

$$Xd_3 + Ybc^2 + Zd_3' = 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X^p d_3^p + Y^p b^p c^{2p} \pmod{(Z^p)} \\ &= X^p d_3^p + (Y^2 b)^q Y b^{q+1} c^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

As $X^n a + Y^{2b} + Z^{2m-1} c = 0$, we furthermore have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X^p d_3^p + (-1)^q \sum_{i=0}^q \binom{q}{i} X^{n(q-i)} YZ^{(2m-1)i} a^{q-i} b^{q+1} c^{2p+i} \\ &= X^p d_3^p + \\ &\quad (-1)^q \sum_{(2m-1)i < p} \binom{q}{i} X^{n(q-i)} YZ^{(2m-1)i} a^{q-i} b^{q+1} c^{2p+i} \end{aligned}$$

mod (Z^p) .

Now recall that $2m > n + 1$ and $n \geq 4$. Then we have

$n(q - i) \geq p$ or $(2m - 1)i \geq p$ for each $0 \leq i \leq q$.

(In fact, if $n(q - i) < p$ and $(2m - 1)i < p$, then we get $n(q - i) \leq 2q$ and $(2m - 1)i \leq 2q$ so that $nq + (2m - n - 1)i \leq 4q$. Hence we must have $n = 4$ and $i = 0$ and so $n(q - i) = 4q \leq 2q$, which is impossible.) Thus

$$0 = X^p \left\{ d_3^p + (-1)^q \sum_{(2m-1)i < p} \binom{q}{i} X^{n(q-i)-p} YZ^{(2m-1)i} a^{q-i} b^{q+1} c^{2p+i} \right\}$$

mod (Z^p) and thus we have an element $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{(3p)}$ such that

$$Z^p h = d_3^p + (-1)^q \sum_{(2m-1)i < p} \binom{q}{i} X^{n(q-i)-p} YZ^{(2m-1)i} a^{q-i} b^{q+1} c^{2p+i} \Big\}.$$

As $Z^p h = d_3^p = Z^{(7m-2)p}$ mod (X, c) , we get $h = Z^{(7m-3)p}$

mod (X, c) . Thus we have the following

Lemma There exists an element $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{(3p)}$ such that $h \equiv z^{(7m-3)p} \pmod{(X, c)}$.

(You can prove this lemma also in the case $p = 2$.)

Now recall Huneke's theorem. First we take $f = c$ and $g = h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_A(A/(X, c, h)) &= \iota_A(A/(X, c, z^{(7m-3)p})) \\ &= \iota_A(A/(X, Y^3, z^{(7m-3)p})) \\ &= 3p \cdot (7m-3) \\ &= 1 \cdot 3p \cdot \iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring by Theorem 2. Because $A/(c) + \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}$ is not a Cohen-Macaulay ring, $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ cannot be Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 3.

To study the case of $\text{ch } k = 0$, we need further information in the case where $\text{ch } k = p > 0$. Let $\mathbf{F} = \{ 0 < \iota \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \exists g \in \mathfrak{p}^{(\iota)} \text{ such that } \iota_A(A/(X, c, g)) = \iota \cdot \iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p}) \}$. Then $3p \in \mathbf{F}$. Let $\iota_0 = \min \mathbf{F}$ and choose $g_0 \in \mathfrak{p}^{(\iota_0)}$ so that $\iota_A(A/(X, c, g_0)) = \iota_0 \cdot \iota_A(A/(X) + \mathfrak{p})$. Then we have

- Lemma** (1) $\iota_0 \mid \iota$ for all $\iota \in \mathbf{F}$.
 (2) $R_S(\mathfrak{p}) = A[\{\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}t^n\}_{1 \leq n \leq \iota_0 - 1}, \text{ ct. } g_0t^{\iota_0}]$.
 (3) $g_0t^{\iota_0}$ is not contained in $A[\{\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}t^n\}_{1 \leq n \leq \iota_0 - 1}]$.

Let me use this lemma without proof. First, we have by (1) that $\iota_0 \mid 3p$; hence $\iota_0 = 1, 3, p, \text{ or } 3p$. But if $\iota_0 \neq p, 3p$, then

we have by (2) that $R_S(\mathbf{p}) = A[\mathbf{p}t, \mathbf{p}^{(2)}t^2, \mathbf{p}^{(3)}t^3]$, which is impossible because $\mathbf{p}^{(4)} \neq \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}^{(3)} + (\mathbf{p}^{(2)})^2$. Thus $l_0 \geq p$ and by (3) we get $g_0 t^{l_0}$ is not contained in $A[\{\mathbf{p}^{(n)}t^n\}_{1 \leq n \leq l_0 - 1}]$. This means, to generate the A -algebra $R_S(\mathbf{p})$, you need at least one new element of degree $\geq p$, depending on the characteristic $p = \text{ch } k$. On the other hand, if $R_S(\mathbf{p})$ were a Noetherian ring in the case where $\text{ch } k = 0$, say $k = \mathbf{Q}$, then because everything is defined over \mathbf{Z} , you can find a system of generators for the algebra $R_S(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ so that passing to the field $k = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ for $p \gg 0$, the system still generates the algebra $R_S(\mathbf{p}_k)$ (see the theorem below). This is impossible, because you need at least one new element of degree $\geq p$. Thus $R_S(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ cannot be a Noetherian ring for our example \mathbf{p} .

Let me state the required theorem more explicitly.

Theorem Let $C = \mathbf{Z}[X, Y, Z]$ and let $I = \text{Ker}(\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[t])$ where $\varphi(X) = t^{n_1}$, $\varphi(Y) = t^{n_2}$, and $\varphi(Z) = t^{n_3}$. Then if $R_S(\mathbf{p})$ is a Noetherian ring for the prime ideal $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{Q}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ in $\mathbf{Q}[[X, Y, Z]]$, there exist positive integers l and N and elements f and g of $I^{(l)}$ such that for all prime numbers $p \geq N$, we have

$$(1) \quad I^{(l)} A_k = \mathbf{p}_k^{(l)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad l_{A_k}(A_k/(X, f, g)A_k) = l^2 \cdot l_{A_k}(A_k/(X) + \mathbf{p}_k),$$

where $k = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

Here $A_k = k[[X, Y, Z]]$ and $\mathbf{p}_k = \mathbf{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3)$.

Before closing my talk, let me give a few open problems.

- Problems** Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ and $n = \min\{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$.
- (1) $\text{ch } k = p > 0 \Rightarrow R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring?
 - (2) $\text{ch } k = 0$ and $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is Noetherian $\Rightarrow R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Gorenstein ring?
 - (3) $n \leq 8, n \neq 7 \Rightarrow R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Gorenstein ring? (For $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(9, 10, 13)$ you can show that $R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring but not Cohen-Macaulay, if $\text{ch } k = 2, 3, 7$.)
 - (4) $n = 5 \Rightarrow R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Noetherian ring?
 - (5) $n = 6 \Rightarrow R_S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a Gorenstein ring? (The Noetherian property of this case was guaranteed by Cutkosky.)
 - (6) $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k(11, 16, 13) \Rightarrow \text{?????}$

References

- [1] S. D. Cutkosky, Symbolic algebras of monomial primes, J. reine angew. Math., **416** (1991), 71-89.
- [2] S. Goto and K. Nishida, On the structure of Noetherian Rees algebras of filtrations, preprint 1992.
- [3] S. Goto, K. Nishida, and Y. Shimoda, The Gorensteinness of symbolic Rees algebras for space curves, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **43** (1991), 465-481.
- [4] S. Goto, K. Nishida, and K. Watanabe, Non-Cohen-Macaulay symbolic Rees algebras for space monomial curves and counterexamples to Cowsik's question, preprint 1991.
- [5] C. Huneke, On the finite generation of symbolic blow-ups, Math. Z., **179** (1982), 465-472.
- [6] C. Huneke, Hilbert functions and symbolic powers, Michigan Math. J., **34** (1987), 293-318.
- [7] M. Morales, Noetherian symbolic blow-ups, J. Alg., **140** (1991), 12-

25.

- [8] M. Morimoto and S. Goto, Non-Cohen-Macaulay symbolic blow-ups for space monomial curves, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [9] P. Roberts, An infinitely generated symbolic blow-up in a power series ring and a new counterexample to Hilbert's fourteenth problem, J. Alg., **132** (1990), 461-473.
- [10] P. Roberts, A prime ideal in a polynomial ring whose symbolic blow-up is not Noetherian, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **94** (1985), 589-592.
- [11] W. V. Vasconcelos, Symmetric algebras and factoriality, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, **15** (1989), 467-496.