

On the b-Function of Nonisolated Hypersurface Singularities

Morihiko Saito 斎藤 盛彦
RIMS Kyoto University, Kyoto 606 Japan

Let f be a germ of holomorphic function of n variables, and $b_f(s)$ the b-function (i.e. Bernstein polynomial) of f . It is the monic generator of the ideal consisting of polynomials $b(s)$ which satisfy the relation

$$(0.1) \quad b(s)f^s = Pf^{s+1} \quad \text{in } \underline{Q}_X[f^{-1}][s]f^s$$

for $P \in \underline{D}_X[s]$, where \underline{D}_X denotes the germs of holomorphic differential operators on $X := (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, and $\underline{D}_X[s] = \underline{D}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[s]$. Substituting $s = -1$, we can check easily that $b_f(s)$ is divisible by $s + 1$. Let $\tilde{b}_f(s) = b_f(s)/(s+1)$, R_f the roots of $\tilde{b}_f(-s)$, $\alpha_f = \min R_f$, and $m_\alpha(f)$ the multiplicity of a root α of $\tilde{b}_f(-s)$. By Kashiwara [7], we have

(0.2) **Theorem.** $\alpha_f > 0$, and $R_f \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

Assume f has isolated singularity and $n > 1$. Let $H_f'' = \Omega_X^n / df \wedge d\Omega_X^{n-2}$, following Brieskorn [2]. Then H_f'' is a free $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ -module of rank μ (the Milnor number of f), and has a regular singular meromorphic connection. Let $\tilde{H}_f'' = \sum_{i \geq 0} (t\partial_t)^i H_f'' \subset H_f''[t^{-1}]$ (the saturation of H_f''). By Malgrange [13], we have

(0.3) **Theorem.** $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ is the minimal polynomial of the action of $-\partial_t$ on $\tilde{H}_f'' / t\tilde{H}_f''$.

Combined with a result of Varchenko [29] (and [26]), this implies (see also [17]):

(0.4) **Theorem.** $R_f \subset [\alpha_f, n - \alpha_f]$.

(0.5) **Theorem.** $m_\alpha(f) \leq n - \alpha_f - \alpha + 1$ ($\leq n - 2\alpha_f + 1$).

In the isolated singularity case, we proved also (see [16]):

(0.6) **Proposition.** $Y = f^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularity if and only if $\alpha_f > 1$.

Using the theory of mixed Hodge Modules [18] [19] [20], we extend these to the nonisolated singularity case (see [23] [24]), i.e.

(0.7) **Theorem.** (0.4–6) are valid also in the nonisolated singularity case, where we assume Y reduced in (0.6).

Note that (0.5) is an improvement of $m_\alpha(f) \leq n - \delta_{\alpha,1}$ (where $\delta_{\alpha,1}$ is Kronecker's delta) which is shown in [14] as a corollary of the relation with Deligne's vanishing cycle sheaf

$\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$ [3]. See also [8]. This relation implies for example that $\exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ for $\alpha \in R_f$ are the eigenvalues of the monodromy on $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$. But $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$ cannot be replaced with the reduced cohomology of a Milnor fiber at the origin as in the isolated singularity case, because we have to take the Milnor fibration at several points of $\text{Sing } f^{-1}(0)$ even when we consider the b-function of f at the origin.

For the proof of the generalization of (0.4-5), we introduce the notion of microlocal b-function (1.1), and show an assertion (1.2) which may be viewed as a generalization of (0.3). Using this, we can prove the Thom-Sebastiani type theorem for b-function in some case (2.8). In the nondegenerate Newton boundary case [12], we get an estimate of α_f by the Newton polyhedron (2.7). Note that the b-function is also related with the spectrum [27] of f , and with a result of Deligne-Dimca [5]. See [23].

§1. Microlocal b-Function

(1.1) Let $\delta(t-f)$ denote the delta function on $X' := X \times (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with support $\{f=t\}$, where t is the coordinate of \mathbb{C} . Then, setting $s = -\partial_t$, f^s and $\delta(t-f)$ satisfy the same relation (see for example [13]). So f^s in (0.1) can be replaced by $\delta(t-f)$, and f^{s+1} by $t\delta(t-f)$. We define the *microlocal b-function* $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ by the monic generator of the ideal consisting of polynomials $b(s)$ which satisfy the relation

$$(1.1.1) \quad b(s)\delta(t-f) = P\partial_t^{-1}\delta(t-f) \quad \text{in } \underline{\mathcal{O}}_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]\delta(t-f)$$

for $P \in \underline{\mathcal{D}}_X[\partial_t^{-1}, s]$. Here we can also allow for P a microdifferential operator [7] [9] [10] [25] satisfying a condition on the degree of t and ∂_t (see [24, (1.4)]).

We can show (see [24, (1.5)]) :

$$(1.2) \text{ Proposition. } b_f(s) = (s+1)\tilde{b}_f(s).$$

(1.3) Let $R_X = \underline{\mathcal{D}}_X[t, \partial_t]$, $\tilde{R}_X = \underline{\mathcal{D}}_X[t, \partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]$, and

$$(1.3.1) \quad \underline{B}_f = \underline{\mathcal{O}}_X[\partial_t]\delta(t-f), \quad \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = \underline{\mathcal{O}}_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]\delta(t-f),$$

where $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_X[\partial_t]\delta(t-f)$ is a free module of rank one over $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_X[\partial_t]$ with a basis $\delta(t-f)$ (similarly for $\tilde{\underline{B}}_f$). Then $\underline{B}_f, \tilde{\underline{B}}_f$ have naturally a structure of R_X -module and \tilde{R}_X -module respectively.

Let V be the filtration on R_X, \tilde{R}_X by the differences of the degrees of t and ∂_t i.e.,

$$(1.3.2) \quad V^p R_X = \sum_{i-j \geq p} \underline{\mathcal{D}}_X t^i \partial_t^j \quad (\text{same for } \tilde{R}_X).$$

We define a decreasing filtration G on $\underline{B}_f, \tilde{\underline{B}}_f$ by

$$(1.3.3) \quad G^p \underline{B}_f = V^p R_X \delta(t-f), \quad G^p \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = V^p \tilde{R}_X \delta(t-f),$$

and an increasing filtration F by

$$(1.3.4) \quad F_p \underline{B}_f = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p} \underline{O}_X \partial_t^i \delta(t-f), \quad F_p \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} \underline{O}_X \partial_t^i \delta(t-f).$$

Then we have

$$(1.3.5) \quad \partial_t^i : G^p \underline{B}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{p-i} \tilde{\underline{B}}_f, \quad \partial_t^i : F_p \tilde{\underline{B}}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{p+i} \tilde{\underline{B}}_f$$

$$(1.3.6) \quad \underline{D}_X[s](F_p \tilde{\underline{B}}_f) \subset G^{-p} \tilde{\underline{B}}_f.$$

(1.4) *Remark.* $b_f(s)$ and $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ are the minimal polynomial of the action of $s := -\partial_t$ on $\text{Gr}_G^0 \underline{B}_f$ and $\text{Gr}_G^0 \tilde{\underline{B}}_f$ respectively, because s belongs to the center of $\text{Gr}_G^0 R_X = \text{Gr}_G^0 \tilde{R}_X = \underline{D}_X[s]$.

§2. Filtration V

(2.1) Let V denote the filtration of Kashiwara [8] and Malgrange [14] on \underline{B}_f indexed by \mathbb{Q} . Here we index V decreasingly so that the action of $\partial_t - \alpha$ on $\text{Gr}_V^\alpha \underline{B}_f$ is nilpotent, where $\text{Gr}_V^\alpha = V^\alpha / V^{>\alpha}$ with $V^{>\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha} V^\beta$. By [7] (see also (0.2) above), we have

$$(2.2.1) \quad F_0 \underline{B}_f \subset V^{>0} \underline{B}_f.$$

We can show (see [24, (2.2) and (2.4)]):

(2.2) *Lemma.* We have a decreasing filtration V on $\tilde{\underline{B}}_f$ such that

$$(2.2.1) \quad V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = V^\alpha \underline{B}_f + \underline{O}_X [\partial_t^{-1}] \partial_t^{-1} \delta(t-f) \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq 1,$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \partial_t^j : V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\alpha-j} \tilde{\underline{B}}_f \quad \text{for any } j, \alpha.$$

(2.3) *Proposition.* We have

$$(2.3.1) \quad \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = \underline{D}_X(F_p \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \underline{B}_f) \quad \text{if } F_{-p-1} \text{Gr}_V^{n-\alpha} \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = 0.$$

(2.4) *Proof of (0.4) in the general case.* We have $G^1 \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f \supset \underline{D}_X(F_{-1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \underline{B}_f)$ by (1.3.6). So it is enough to show $\text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = \underline{D}_X(F_{-1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \underline{B}_f)$ for $\alpha > n - \alpha_f$ by (1.4), because it implies $\text{Gr}_G^0 \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \text{Gr}_G^0 \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = 0$. By definition of α_f , we have

$$(2.4.1) \quad F_0 \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = G^0 \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha < \alpha_f$$

using (1.3.6). So the assertion follows from (2.3) applied to $p = -1$.

By a similar argument, we prove (0.5) using also the monodromy filtration W . Here W is uniquely characterized by the properties (see [4]):

$$(2.4.1) \quad N W_i \subset W_{i-2}, \quad N^j : \text{Gr}_j^W \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{-j}^W \quad (j > 0),$$

where $N = s + \alpha$ on $\text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f$. See [24, (2.8)] for the details. We can show also the following:

(2.5) *Remark.* Let $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$ be Deligne's vanishing cycle sheaf [3], and T_u, T_s denote respectively the unipotent and semisimple part of the monodromy T on $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$. Let $\varphi_f^\alpha \mathbb{C}_X = \text{Ker}(T_s - \exp(-2\pi i \alpha))$ (as a shifted perverse sheaf [1]), and $N = \log T_u / 2\pi i$. Then we have $N^{r+1} = 0$ on $\varphi_f^\alpha \mathbb{C}_X$ for $\alpha \in [\alpha_f, \alpha_f + 1)$ and $r = [n - \alpha_f - \alpha]$. In particular, $N^{r+1} = 0$ on $\varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$ for $r = [n - 2\alpha_f]$. See [24, (0.6)].

(2.6) *Remark.* If $\text{Sing } f^{-1}(0)$ is isolated and f is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of weight (w_1, \dots, w_n) (i.e. f is a linear combination of monomials $x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$ such that $m_1 w_1 + \dots + m_n w_n = 1$), then it is well-known that $m_\alpha(f) = 1$ for $\alpha \in R_f$, and α belongs to R_f if and only if the coefficient of t^α in

$$(2.6.1) \quad \prod_i (t^{w_i} - t) / (1 - t^{w_i})$$

is nonzero. This follows for example from Steenbrink [28] (using [13] [29]) and also from Brieskorn or Kashiwara (unpublished). In particular, we have $\max R_f = n - \alpha_f$ in this case.

(2.7) *Remark.* If f has nondegenerate Newton boundary, we can show $\alpha_f \geq 1/t$ for $(t, \dots, t) \in \partial \Gamma_+(f)$ (see [24, (3.3)]), where $\Gamma_+(f)$ is the Newton polygon of f . In the isolated singularity case, it is known that the equality holds. (See also [22].)

(2.8) *Remark.* Let g be a holomorphic function on a germ of complex manifold Y . Let $Z = X \times Y$, and $h = f + g \in \underline{O}_Z$. We define R_g, R_h as in the introduction. Then $R_f R_g \subset R_h + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $R_h \subset R_f R_g + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Furthermore, if there is a holomorphic vector field ξ such that $\xi g = g$, then $R_f R_g = R_h$, and $m_Y(h) = \max_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \{m_\alpha(f) + m_\beta(g) - 1\}$. See [24, (4.3-4)]. The last assertion is proved in [30] if f and g have isolated singularities.

§3. Rational Singularity

(3.1) Let Y be a reduced complex analytic space. We say that Y has *rational singularity*, if the natural morphism

$$(3.1.1) \quad \underline{O}_Y \rightarrow R\pi_* \underline{O}_{Y'}$$

is an isomorphism for a resolution of singularity $\pi : Y' \rightarrow Y$. If Y is Cohen-Macaulay and pure dimensional, it is equivalent to the bijectivity of the trace morphism

$$(3.1.2) \quad \pi_* \omega_{Y'} \rightarrow \omega_Y$$

by duality [15], because $R^i \pi_* \omega_{Y'} = 0$ for $i > 0$ by [6] (this follows also from [11] [21]) where π is assumed projective. Here ω_Y denotes the dualizing sheaf (i.e., the dualizing complex [15] shifted by the dimension to the right). The trace morphism (3.1.2) is injective, and its image is independent of the choice of resolution, because (3.1.2) is an isomorphism if

Y is smooth. We will denote by $\tilde{\omega}_Y$ the image of (3.1.2).

(3.2) Assume Y is a reduced divisor D on the germ of complex manifold X in the introduction. Let f be a reduced defining equation of D .

Using the coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) of X , we have the involution of \underline{D}_X such that $(PQ)^* = Q^*P^*$, $(x_i)^* = x_i$, $(\partial/\partial x_i)^* = -\partial/\partial x_i$. So the right \underline{D} -module ω_X is identified with the left \underline{D} -module \underline{Q}_X using the basis $dx = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ of ω_X , and we get isomorphisms

$$(3.2.2) \quad \underline{B}_f = \omega_X[\partial_t]\delta(t-f), \quad \tilde{\underline{B}}_f = \omega_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]\delta(t-f).$$

We can show (see [23]) :

(3.3) **Theorem.** We have a commutative diagram

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \tilde{\omega}_D & \rightarrow & \omega_D & \rightarrow & \omega_D/\tilde{\omega}_D \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & F_0 W_0 \text{Gr}_V^1 \underline{B}_f & \rightarrow & F_0(\underline{B}_f/V^{>1} \underline{B}_f) & \rightarrow & F_0(\tilde{\underline{B}}_f/V^{>1} \tilde{\underline{B}}_f) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

such that the vertical morphisms are isomorphisms.

(3.4) *Remark.* The horizontal short exact sequences correspond to the short exact sequence of mixed Hodge modules [19] :

$$(3.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_D^H[n-1] \rightarrow \psi_f \mathbb{Q}_X^H[n] \rightarrow \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X^H[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact, taking Gr_V of (3.3.1), we get F_{1-n} of the underlying filtered \underline{D} -module of (3.4.1) (using (2.2.1)), because the underlying filtered \underline{D} -modules $\psi_f \omega_X, \varphi_f \omega_X$ of $\psi_f \mathbb{Q}_X^H[n], \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X^H[n]$ are defined by

$$(3.4.2) \quad \psi_f \omega_X = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \underline{B}_f, \quad \varphi_f \omega_X = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{\underline{B}}_f.$$

Here we have a shift of the filtration F coming from the transformation of left and right filtered \underline{D} -modules (see [23]). Furthermore, $\tilde{\omega}_D$ is F_{1-n} of the underlying filtered \underline{D} -module of the intersection complex $\text{IC}_D \mathbb{Q}^H$ which is a quotient of $\mathbb{Q}_D^H[n-1]$.

As a corollary of (3.3), we get (0.6) and the following

(3.5) **Corollary.** We have a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.5.1) \quad F_{1-n}(\varphi_f \omega_X) = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha(\omega_D/\tilde{\omega}_D),$$

such that $\text{Gr}_V^\alpha(\omega_D/\tilde{\omega}_D)$ corresponds to the $\exp(-2\pi i \alpha)$ -eigenspace of $\varphi_f \omega_X$ with respect to the action of monodromy.

References

- [1] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.

- [2] E. Brieskorn, Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, *Manuscripta Math.* 2 (1970), 103–161.
- [3] P. Deligne, Le formalisme des cycles évanescents, in *SGA7 XIII and XIV*, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 340, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 82–115 and 116–164.
- [4] _____, Conjecture de Weil II, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 52 (1980), 137–252.
- [5] P. Deligne and A. Dimca, Filtrations de Hodge et par l'ordre du pôle pour les hypersurfaces singulières, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (4) 23 (1990), 645–656.
- [6] H. Grauert and O. Riemenschneider, Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf Komplexen Räumen, *Inv. Math.* 11 (1970), 263–292.
- [7] M. Kashiwara, B-function and holonomic systems, *Inv. Math.* 38 (1976), 33–53.
- [8] _____, Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 136–142.
- [9] M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, Second microlocalization and asymptotic expansions, *Lect. Note in Phys.*, 126, Springer Berlin, 1980, pp. 21–76.
- [10] _____, On the holonomic system of microdifferential equations III, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 17 (1981), 813–979.
- [11] J. Kollár, Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves, I, II, *Ann. of Math.* 123 (1986), 11–42; 124 (1986), 171–202
- [12] A. Kouchnirenko, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, *Inv. Math.* 32 (1976), 1–31.
- [13] B. Malgrange, Le polynôme de Bernstein d'une singularité isolée, in *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 459, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 98–119.
- [14] _____, Polynôme de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescence, *Astérisque*, 101–102 (1983), 243–267.
- [15] J.-P. Ramis, G. Ruget and J.-L. Verdier, Dualité relative en géométrie analytique complexe, *Inv. Math.* 13 (1971) 261–283.
- [16] M. Saito, On the exponents and the geometric genus of an isolated hypersurface singularity, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 40 (1983), Part 2, 465–472.
- [17] _____, On the structure of Brieskorn lattice, *Ann. Institut Fourier*, 39 (1989), 27–72.
- [18] _____, Modules de Hodge polarisables, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 24 (1988), 849–995.
- [19] _____, Mixed Hodge Modules, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [20] _____, Duality for vanishing cycle functors, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 25 (1989), 889–921.
- [21] _____, On Kollár's conjecture, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 52 (1991), Part 2, 509–517.
- [22] _____, Exponents and Newton polyhedra of isolated hypersurface singularities, *Math. Ann.* 281 (1988), 411–417.
- [23] _____, On b-function, spectrum and rational singularity, preprint RIMS-803, 1991.
- [24] _____, On microloacl b-function, preprint RIMS-857, 1992.
- [25] M. Sato, T. Kawai, M. Kashiwara, Microfunctions and pseudodifferential equations, in *Lect. Notes in Math.* vol. 287, Springer, Berlin (1973), 264–529.
- [26] J. Steenbrink, Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in *Real and Complex Singularities (Proc. Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976)* Alphen a/d Rijn: Sijthoff & Noordhoff 1977, pp. 525–563.

- [27] _____, The spectrum of hypersurface singularity, *Astérisque* 179-180 (1989), 163-184.
- [28] _____, Intersection form for quasi-homogeneous singularities, *Compo. Math.* 34 (1977), 211-223.
- [29] A. Varchenko, The asymptotics of holomorphic forms determine a mixed Hodge structure, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 22 (1980) 772-775.
- [30] T. Yano, On the theory of b-functions, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 14 (1978), 111-202.