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#### Abstract

． In the present paper，we consider the multiple existence of T － periodic solutions of semilinear parabolic equations．


## 1．Introduction．

Let $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ ．Let $L$ be a second order uniformly strongly elliptic operator of the form

$$
L u=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right)
$$

where the cofficient functions $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ are real valued functions in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$
\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{\supset} \geq C|\xi|^{2} \quad \text { for all } \xi \in R^{n} \text { and } x \in \Omega
$$

for some $C>0$ ．We impose the Dirichlet boundary condition on $L$ ． That is

$$
D(L)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega): L u \in L^{2}(\Omega), \quad u(x)=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}
$$

Our purpose in note is to report on the multiple existence result of solutions for the problem of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u}{d t}+L u-g(u)=f(t), \quad t>0  \tag{P}\\
& u(0)=u(T),
\end{align*}
$$

Here $T>0, f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ is a T-periodic function and $g: R \rightarrow$ $R$ is a continuous function with $g(0)=0$.

The existence of periodic solutions for problems of this kind has been studied by many authors.(See Amann[1] which also contains many references.) For the multiple existence of the periodic solutions, Amann[1] established a multiplicity result for the problem (P). To find a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$, we can make use of two approaches. One way is to work with Poincare map and find fixed points. Another way is to find sub- and supersolutions of the problem ( P ). If one can find a subsolution $\underline{u}$ and a supersolution $\bar{u}$ of (P) satisfying $\underline{u}<\bar{u}$, there exists a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ between $\underline{u}$ and $\bar{u}$. The method employed in [1] is based on the super-subsolution method. In [6], the author considered the multiple exitstence of solutions of (P) by usig the Schauder's fixed point theorem and results for multiple solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations(cf. [2], [3], and [4]). In the present paper, we study the multiplicity of solutions for ( P ) by using the argument in $[6]$ and the degree theory for compact mappings.

To state our result, we need some notations. We denote by $|\cdot|$ the norm of $L^{2}(\Omega) .0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \cdots$ stand for the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint realization in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of $L$. The norm of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$
\|v\|^{2}=\langle L v, v\rangle \quad \text { for } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

The norm defined above is an equivalent norm with the usual norm of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. $W^{1, p}(0, T ; X)(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ stands for the space of functions $u \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$ with $d u / d t \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$, where $d u / d t$ is the derivative in the sence of distribution.

We can now state our main result.
Theorem . Suppose that g satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in R} g^{\prime}(t)<\lambda_{2}, \tag{g1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}( \pm \infty)<\lambda_{1}<g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{2} \tag{g2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{\prime}( \pm \infty)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} g^{\prime}(t)$. Then there exists $M>0$ such that for each T-periodic function

$$
f \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \quad \text { satisfying } \quad \sup \{|f(t)|: t \in[0, T]\} \leq M,
$$

problem $(P)$ possesses at least three solutions in $W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
Remark. For the existence of a perioidic solution of (P), we do not need ( g 2 ). In fact, the existence of periodic solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ is known under much more weaker conditions than (g1).

## 2. Preliminaries.

In the following we assume that (g1) and (g2) hold. we set $H=L^{2}(\Omega)$, $V=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and $V^{*}=H^{-1}(\Omega)$. We denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the pairing of $V$ and $V^{*} .\|\cdot\|_{*}$ stands for the norm of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. For each subset $A \subset V$, $\operatorname{int}(A)$ denotes the set of interior point of $A$. For each $i \geq 1, V_{i}$ denotes the subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ spanned by the eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{i}\right\}$, and $\varphi_{i}$ is a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{i}$. Then $\varphi_{1} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $V_{1}=\left\{k \varphi_{1}: k \in R\right\} . P_{i}$ is the projection from $H$ onto $V_{i}$ for each $i \geq 1$.

We define a functional $F: V \rightarrow R$ by

$$
F(v)=\frac{1}{2}\langle L v, v\rangle-\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{v(x)} g(\tau) d \tau d x \quad \text { for each } v \in V .
$$

We set

$$
A_{c}=\left\{v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): F(v) \leq c\right\} \quad \text { for each } c \in R
$$

Then the problem ( P ) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+F^{\prime}(u)=f(t), \quad u(0)=u(T) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 1.

(1)

The set $\left\{s \in R: F\left(s \varphi_{1}\right)<0\right\}$ consists of at least two intervals : There exists $\omega>0$ such that for each $w \in V_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<F^{\prime}\left(v_{1}+w\right)-F^{\prime}\left(v_{2}+w\right), v_{1}-v_{2}>\geq \omega\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{1}^{\perp}$.

Proof. Since $\lambda_{1}<g^{\prime}(0)$, we can see from the definition of $F$ that if $|s|$ is sufficiently small, $F\left(s \varphi_{1}\right)<0(=F(0))$. This implies that the set $A_{0}=\left\{s \in R: F\left(s \varphi_{1}\right)<0\right\}$ is nonempty. It is easy to see from the continuity of $F$ that $D$ consists of open intervals. Then since $F(0)=0$, the assertion (1) follows.

We put $\omega=1-g^{\prime}(0) / \lambda_{2}$. Then since $\|v\| \geq \lambda_{2}|v|$ for $v \in V_{1}^{\perp}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
<F^{\prime}\left(v_{1}+w\right)-F^{\prime}\left(v_{2}+w\right), v_{1}-v_{2}> & \geq\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|^{2}-g^{\prime}(0)\left|v_{1}-v_{2}\right|^{2} \\
& \geq \omega\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{1}^{\perp}$.
Remark. The inequality (2.2) implies that for each $w \in V_{1}$, the functional $F(\cdot+w): V_{1}^{\perp} \rightarrow R$ is strictly convex.

Let $u_{-}$and $u_{+}$be elements of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
F\left(u_{-}\right)=\min \left\{F(v): v \in V,<P_{i} v, \varphi_{1}><0\right\},
$$

and

$$
F\left(u_{+}\right)=\min \left\{F(v): v \in V,<P_{i} v, \varphi_{1} \gg 0\right\} .
$$

From Lemma $1, u_{-}$and $u_{+}$are well defined and there exist open intervals $\left(a_{-}, b_{-}\right)$and $\left(a_{+}, b_{+}\right)$such that

$$
P_{1} u_{-} \in\left\{c \varphi_{1}: a_{-}<c<b_{-}\right\}, \quad P_{1} u_{+} \in\left\{c \varphi_{1}: a_{+}<c<b_{+}\right\}
$$

and

$$
F(c)<0 \quad \text { for } c \in\left\{c \varphi_{1}: a_{-}<c<b_{-}\right\} \cup\left\{c \varphi_{1}: a_{+}<c<b_{+}\right\} .
$$

Here we define subsets $A^{ \pm}$of $V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{ \pm}=\left\{v \in V: F(v)<0,<P_{1} v, \varphi_{1}>\in\left(a_{ \pm}, b_{ \pm}\right)\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. We put

$$
c_{ \pm}=\min \left\{F\left(s \varphi_{1}\right): \operatorname{sgn} s= \pm 1\right\}
$$

For each $i \geq 1$, we denote by $F_{i}(v)$ the restriction of $F$ to $V_{i}$, and by $A(i)_{c}$ the intersection of level set $A_{c}$ with $V_{i}$. That is

$$
A(i)_{c}=\left\{v \in V_{i}: F(v) \leq c\right\} .
$$

We put

$$
A_{c}^{ \pm}=\overline{A^{ \pm}} \cap A_{c} \quad \text { for each } c>0
$$

Lemma 2. Let $c<0$ such that $c_{ \pm}<c$. Then
$A_{c}^{ \pm}$are nonempty bounded and closed.

Proof. Since $g^{\prime}( \pm \infty)<\lambda_{1}$, we have that $F(v) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $A_{c}$ is bounded. It is obvious from the definition of $A_{c}^{ \pm}$that $A_{c}^{ \pm}$are closed.

For each $i \geq 1$, we denote by $A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}$the restriction of $A_{c}^{ \pm}$to the subspace $V_{i}$. We set

$$
K(i)_{ \pm}=\overline{c o} A(i)_{c}^{ \pm} \quad \text { and } \quad K_{ \pm}=\overline{c o} A_{c}^{ \pm}
$$

Since $A(i)_{c}^{ \pm} \subset A^{ \pm}$, we have by (2.3) that

$$
K(i)_{+} \cap K(i)_{-}=\phi
$$

Then we have that
Lemma 3. There exist $c_{ \pm}, \bar{c}_{ \pm}<0$ with $c_{ \pm}<\bar{c}_{ \pm}$and $d>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|L v-g(v)\|_{*} \geq d \quad \text { for all } v \in A_{c_{+}}^{+} \backslash A_{c_{+}}^{+} \cup A_{c_{-}}^{+} \backslash A_{c_{-}}^{-} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We choose $c_{ \pm}$and $\bar{c}_{ \pm}$such that $\operatorname{cl}\left(A_{\bar{c}_{ \pm}}^{ \pm} \backslash A_{c_{ \pm}}^{ \pm}\right)$are disjoint from the set of critical points of $F$. It is well known that the functional $F$ satisfies Palais- Smale condition, i.e., any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $\left\{F\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ contains a convergent subsequence. If (2.4) does not hold for any $d>0$, there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
x_{n} \in D=A_{\bar{c}}^{+} \backslash A_{c}^{+} \cup A_{\bar{c}}^{-} \backslash A_{c}^{-}
$$

and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $A_{\bar{c}}^{ \pm}$are bounded, by Palais-Smale condition, we have that there exists a convergence subsequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Let $v \in V$ such that $x_{m} \rightarrow v$. Then we have that $v \in D$ and $\nabla F(v)=0$. This contradicts the definition of $c_{ \pm}$and $\bar{c}_{ \pm}$.

For simplicity of notations, we put $c=c_{ \pm}$and $\bar{c}=\bar{c}_{ \pm}$.
Lemma 4. For each $i \geq 1$, there exist mappings $Q(i)_{ \pm}: K(i)_{ \pm} \rightarrow$ $A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}$such that $Q(i)_{ \pm}$are continuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(i)_{ \pm} x=x \quad \text { for each } x \in A(i)_{c}^{ \pm} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $i \geq 1$. Let $x \in K(i)_{+}$. Then $x$ is uniquely decomposed as $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$, where $x_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in V_{1}^{\perp} \cap V_{i}$. Then since

$$
C_{x_{1}}=\left\{v \in V_{1}^{\perp} \cap V_{i}: F\left(x_{1}+v\right) \leq c\right\}
$$

is nonempty and strictly convex by Lemma 2 , we have that there exists an unique element $\widetilde{x} \in C_{x_{1}}$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{2}-\tilde{x}\right\|=\min \left\{\left\|x_{2}-y\right\|: y \in C_{x_{1}}\right\} .
$$

We put $Q(i)_{+} x=x_{1}+\widetilde{x}$. Then from the definition, it is obvious that $Q(i)_{+} x \in A(i)_{c}^{+}$and that (2.5) holds. The mapping $Q(i)_{-}$is defined by the same way. It is easy to see that $Q(i)_{ \pm}$are continuous on $K(i)_{ \pm}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem .

We consider initial value problems of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u}{d t}-\Delta u-g(u)=f(t), \quad t>0  \tag{I}\\
& u(0)=u_{0}, \quad\left(u_{0} \in V\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d v}{d t}-\Delta v-P_{i} g(v)=P_{i} f(t), \quad t>0  \tag{i}\\
& v(0)=v_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i \geq 1$ and $v_{0} \in V_{i}$.
We define mappings $T_{f}: V \rightarrow V$ and $T_{f, i}: V_{i} \rightarrow V_{i}$ by

$$
T_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)=u(T), \quad \text { and } \quad T_{f, i}\left(v_{0}\right)=v(T)
$$

Then it is easy to verify that $T_{f}$ and $T_{f, i}$ and continuous on $V$ and $V_{i}$. From the definition of $T_{f}$, each fixed point $u$ of $T_{f}$ is a periodic solution of (P). To prove Theorem, we need a few lemmas.

Lemma 5. There exists a positive number $M$ and such that if $\sup \{\mid$ $f(t) \mid: t \in[0, T]\}<M$, then

$$
F_{i}\left(v_{i}(t)\right)<F_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)
$$

for all $i \geq 1, v_{i} \in D$ and $t>0$ satisfying

$$
v_{i}(s) \in D \quad \text { for all } s \in[0, t],
$$

where $v_{i}(\cdot)$ is the solution of $\left(I_{i}\right)$ with $v_{0}=v_{i}$. and $D=A_{\bar{c}}^{+} \backslash A_{c}^{+} \cup$ $A_{\bar{c}}^{-} \backslash A_{c}^{-}$.

Proof. We choose $M>0$ such that $M<d / 2$. Let $i \geq 1$ and $v_{i}$ be the solution of $\left(I_{i}\right)$ with $v_{i}(0)=v_{i} \in D$ and suppose that there exists
$t>0$ and $v_{i}(s) \in D$ for all $s \in[0, t]$. Then by Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i}\left(v_{i}(s)\right)- & F_{i}\left(v_{i}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{s}<F^{\prime}\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right), u_{t}(\tau)>d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{s}<L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right),-L v_{i}(\tau)+g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right)+f(\tau)>d \tau \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{s}\left(-\left|L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right)\right|^{2}+\left|L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right) \| f(\tau)\right|\right) d \tau \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{s}\left|L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right)\right|\left(-\left|L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right)\right|+|f(\tau)|\right) d \tau \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{s}\left\|L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right)\right\|_{*}\left(-\left\|L v_{i}(\tau)-g\left(v_{i}(\tau)\right)\right\|_{*}+|f(\tau)|\right) \\
& \leq-(d / 2)^{2} s+(d / 2) \cdot \sup \{|f(t)|: t \in[0, T]\} s<0
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 5, we have the following lemma.

## Lemma 6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f, i}\left(A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}\right), \quad \text { for each } i \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $i \geq 1$ and $v \in A(i)_{c}^{+}$. Let $v_{i}$ be the solution of the problem $\left(I_{i}\right)$ with $v_{0}=v$. If there exists an interval $[0, t]$ such that

$$
v_{i}(s) \in D \cap V_{i} \quad \text { for all } s \in[0, t]
$$

then by Lemma 5 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}\left(v_{i}(s)\right)<F_{i}(v) \leq c \quad \text { for all } s \in[0, t] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $A(i)_{c}^{+}$, this implies that $v_{i}(s) \in A(i)_{c}^{+}$for all $s \in[0, t]$. Recalling that the boundary $\left\{v \in V_{i}: F_{i}(v)=c\right\} \cap A(i)_{c}$ of $A(i)_{c}$ is contained in $D$, we obtain from the observation above that

$$
F_{i}\left(v_{i}(s)\right)<F_{i}(v) \leq c \quad \text { for all } s>0
$$

Thus we find that $v_{i}(s) \in \operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{c}^{+}\right)$for all $s>0$. Then from the definition of $T_{f, i}$, this implies that $T_{f, i} v \in \operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{c}^{+}\right)$. By the same argument, we have that $T_{f, i}\left(A(i)_{c}^{-}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{c}^{-}\right)$.

Lemma 7. For each $i \geq 1$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-T_{f, i}, K(i)_{ \pm}, 0\right)=1
$$

Proof. Fix $i \geq 1$. We set

$$
G_{ \pm}(v)=T_{f, i} Q(i)_{ \pm} v \quad \text { for } v \in K(i)_{ \pm}
$$

Then by Lemma 6, we have that

$$
G_{ \pm}(v) \in \operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}\right) \quad \text { for all } v \in K(i)_{ \pm}
$$

Since $G_{ \pm}$are continuous mappings on bounded closed convex sets in a finite dimensional space and $G_{ \pm}$have no fixed point on the boundary of $K(i)_{ \pm}$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G_{ \pm}, K(i)_{ \pm}, 0\right)=1
$$

From the definition of $G_{ \pm}$and Lemma 6, we have that the sets of fixed points of $G_{ \pm}$are contained in $\operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}\right)$, respectively. Then it follows that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G_{ \pm}, A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G_{ \pm}, K(i)_{ \pm}, 0\right)=1
$$

Since $G_{ \pm}=T_{f, i}$ on $A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}$, we find that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-T_{f, i}, A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G_{ \pm}, A(i)_{c}^{ \pm}, 0\right)=1
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 8. There exists $e>0$ such that $A_{c}^{+} \cup A_{c}^{-} \subset A_{e}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-T_{f, i}, A(i)_{e}, 0\right)=1 \quad \text { for all } i \geq 1
$$

Proof. Let $e>0$ such that the set of critical points of $F$ is contained in the interior of $A_{e}$. Fix $i \geq 1$. Then since $A_{c}^{+} \cup A_{c}^{-} \subset A_{e}$, we have
by Lemma 5 that $T_{f, i}\left(A(i)_{e}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(A(i)_{e}\right)$. On the other hand, by the same argument as in Lemma 4, we can define a continuous mapping $Q_{e}: \overline{c o} A(i)_{e} \rightarrow A(i)_{e}$ such that $Q_{e} v=v$ for all $v \in A(i)_{e}$. Then from the same argument as in Lemma 7 with $Q_{ \pm}$replaced by $Q_{e}$, we can see that the assertion follows.

Proof of Theorem. Let $i \geq 1$. Then by Lemma 7, there exist fixed points $v_{i}^{+} \in A(i)_{c}^{+}$and $v_{i}^{+} \in A(i)_{c}^{+}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we have that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-T_{f, i}, A(i)_{e} \backslash\left(A_{c}^{+} \cup A_{c}^{-}\right), 0\right)=-1 .
$$

This implies that there exists a fixed point $v_{i}^{0} \in A(i)_{e} \backslash\left(A_{c}^{+} \cup A_{c}^{-}\right)$. Now let $\left\{v_{i}^{ \pm}\right\}$and $\left\{v_{i}^{0}\right\}$ be sequences obtained by the argument above. Then since $\left\{v_{i}^{ \pm}\right\}$and $\left\{v_{i}^{0}\right\}$ are bounded in $V$, we may assume that $v_{i}^{ \pm}$ and $v_{i}^{0}$ converge weakly to $v_{ \pm}$and $v_{0} \in V$, respectively. Then it is easy to verify that $v_{ \pm} \in K_{ \pm}$and $v_{0} \in V \backslash\left(K_{+} \cup K_{-}\right)$are fixed points of $T_{f}$. This completes the proof.
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