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## 1．Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces．There are two famous finiteness theorems related to this problem．Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $>1$ ．Then one is that，for fixed compact Riemann surface $X$ of genus＞1，the number of nonconstant holomorphic maps $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is finite， and another is that there are only finitely many compact Riemann surfaces $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ of genus $>1$ such that，for each $X_{i}$ ，there exists a nonconstant holomorphic map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X_{i}$ ．The first assertion is due to de Franchis，and second one is due to Severi．

Let $S(\widetilde{X})=\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ ，where $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is as in Severi＇s theorem．Let

$$
n=\sum_{X \in S(\tilde{X})} \#\{h: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X \mid \text { nonconstant holomorphic }\}
$$

Then，by the theorems above，we see $n<\infty$ at once．Howard and Sommese［2］showed that there is a bound on $n$ which depends only on the genus of $\tilde{X}$ ，by giving an explicit estimate．

Here we will give some theorems related to rigidity of holomorphic maps between com－ pact Riemann surfaces，and show that we may take an explicit bound on $n$ depending only on the genus of $\widetilde{X}$ smaller than one in［2］．

## 2．Preliminaries

Let $\widetilde{X}, X$ be compact Riemann surfaces of genera $\tilde{g}, g(>1)$ ．We denote by $H_{1}(X)$ the first homology group（ with integer coefficients）of $X$ ．Any basis of $H_{1}(X)$ with intersection matrix

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & E \\
-E & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

will be called a canonical homology basis，where $E$ is the identity matrix of $g \times g$ sized．Sim－ ilarly for $\widetilde{X}$ ．Let $\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\chi}_{2 \tilde{g}}\right\}\left(\left\{\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{2 g}\right\}\right)$ be a canonical homology basis for $H_{1}(\widetilde{X})$ （ $H_{1}(X)$ respectively）．Let $\left\{\tilde{w}^{1}, \ldots, \tilde{w}^{\tilde{g}}\right\}$ and $\left\{w^{1}, \ldots, w^{g}\right\}$ be dual bases for holomor－ phic differentials on $\widetilde{X}, X$（i．e． $\int_{\chi_{j}} w^{k}=\delta_{j k}$ where $\delta_{j k}$ is Kronecker＇s delta），and $\widetilde{\Pi}=$ $(\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{Z}), \Pi=(E, Z)$ be the associated period matrices．Let $h: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a noncon－ stant holomorphic map．Then $h$ induces a homomorphism $h_{*}: H_{1}(\widetilde{X}) \rightarrow H_{1}(X)$ ．Let $M=\left(m_{k j}\right) \in M(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{Z})$ ，where $h_{*}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{2 g} m_{k j} \chi_{k}$ ．（We denote by $M(m, n ; K)$ the set of $m \times n$ matrices with $K$－coefficients．）We will call $M$ the matrix representation of $h$ with respect to $\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\chi}_{2 \tilde{g}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{2 g}\right\}$ ．The integral $\int_{h_{*}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{j}\right)} w^{i}$ may be evaluated
in two ways; by expressing $h_{*}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{j}\right)$ in $H_{1}(X)$ or by expressing the pull back of $w^{i}$ in terms of the holomorphic differentials on $\tilde{X}$. This leads us to the Hurwitz relation

$$
A \Pi=\widetilde{\Pi} M
$$

where $A \in M(g, \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{C})$. The set of $M \in M(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{Q})$ such that there exists $A \in M(g, \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{C})$ with $A \Pi=\widetilde{\Pi} M$ will be called the space of Hurwitz relations. It is easy to see that it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space.
Lemma[4]. In the space of Hurwitz relations, $\langle M, N\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{J}^{t} M J^{-1} N\right)$ defines an inner product ( ${ }^{t} M$ denotes transposition of $M$ ).

In particular, when $M$ is a matrix representation of a holomorphic map $h: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, $<M, M>=2 d g$, where $d$ is the degree of the holomorphic map $h$.

The Jacobian variety of $X$ is $J(X)=\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is the lattice (over $\mathbb{Z}$ ) generated by 2 g -columns of $\Pi$. Similarly for $J(\widetilde{X})$. For any holomorphic map $h: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$, there exists a homomorphism $H: J(\widetilde{X}) \rightarrow J(X)$ with $\kappa \circ h=H \circ \tilde{\kappa}$, where $\tilde{\kappa}, \kappa$ are canonical injections.

By an underlying real structure for $J(X)$, we mean the real torus $\mathbb{R}^{2 g} / \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$ together with a map $\mathbb{R}^{2 g} / \mathbb{Z}^{2 g} \rightarrow J(X)$ induced by a linear map $\mathbb{R}^{2 g} \ni x \mapsto \Pi x \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$. It is wellknown that for any homomorphism $H: J(\widetilde{X}) \rightarrow J(X)$, there are $A \in M(g, \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{C})$ and $M \in M(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that the following diagram is commutative (precicely, apart from an additive constant).


In particular, if $h$ is induced by a holomorphic map $h: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, M$ is the matrix representation of $h$.

Giving a nonconstant holomorphic map $h: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, we dnote by $h^{*}(Q) \subset \tilde{X}$ a divisor of the preimages of $Q \in X$ with multiplicities. Defining $\tilde{\kappa}\left(h^{*}(Q)\right)$ by linearity, we get a holomorphic map $X \rightarrow J(\tilde{X})$, which can be extended to a homomorphism $H^{*}: J(X) \rightarrow$ $J(\tilde{X}) . H^{*}$ is called the Rosati adjoint of $H . H^{*}$ is induced by the matrix $M^{*}=\tilde{J}^{t} M J^{-1}$ acting on the underlying real tori[4].

## 3. Statements

Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{X}, X$ be compact Riemann surfaces of genera $\tilde{g}, g(>1)$. Let $h_{i}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant holomorphic map, and $M_{i} \in M(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; \mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix representation of $h_{i}(i=1,2)$. Suppose that there is an integer $l>\sqrt{8(\tilde{g}-1)}$ with $M_{1} \equiv M_{2}$ (mod. $l$ ). Then $h_{1}=h_{2}$.

Let $\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{j}$ denote the $j$-th row vector of $M_{i}(i=1,2)$.
Theorem 2. Let $h_{1}, h_{2}$, and $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be as in Theorem 1. Suppose that there is an integer $l>8(\tilde{g}-1)$ with $\mathfrak{m}_{1}^{j} \equiv \mathfrak{m}_{2}^{j}(\bmod . l)$ for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, g\}$. Then $h_{1}=h_{2}$.

It is already known that $M_{1}=M_{2}$ implies $h_{1}=h_{2}$ (see [3]).

Theorem 3. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g>1$. Let $h_{i}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X_{i}$ be a nonconstant holomorphic map, and $M_{i}$ be a matrix representation of $h_{i}(i=1,2)$. Suppose that there is an integer $l>\sqrt{8}(\tilde{g}-1)$ with $M_{1} \equiv M_{2}$ (mod. l). Then $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are conformally equivalent and there exists a conformal map $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ with $f \circ h_{1}=h_{2}$.

Only outlines of the proofs are given here. For complete proofs, see [5] which will be published elsewhere.

As we have seen in the lemma before, we have an inner product in the space of Hurwitz relations. Therefore, we may induce a distance in it. Using this distance, we have Theorem 1 and 2 . To get Theorem 3 , we use the Rosati adjoint. Let $G_{i}=M_{i}^{*} M_{i}=\widetilde{J}^{t} M_{i} J^{-1} M_{i}(i=$ 1,2 ). Then we have endmorphisms of $J(\widetilde{X})$ with the matrices $G_{1}, G_{2}$ acting on the underlying real tori. If $G_{1}=G_{2}$, then the targets $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are conformally equivalent. Using the distance induced by the inner product, we have Theorem 3.

Next we will give an bound on $n$ which was defined in section 1. Let

$$
S_{g}=\{X \in S(\tilde{X}) \mid \text { genus } g\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hol}_{g}(\tilde{X})=\bigcup_{X \in S_{g}}\{h: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \mid \text { nonconstant holomorphic }\}
$$

Let $F_{l}=\mathbb{Z} /(l)$, where $l$ is a prime number $>\sqrt{8}(\tilde{g}-1)$. By Theorem 1 and 3 , we have an injection $\mathrm{Hol}_{g}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow M\left(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; F_{l}\right)$. Thus we consider each matrix representation in $M\left(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; F_{l}\right)$, for the convenience of calculation. Let $h_{i}$ be an element of $\operatorname{Hol}_{g}(\widetilde{X})$ and $M_{i} \in M\left(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; F_{l}\right)$ a matrix representation of $h_{i}(i=1,2)$. If there exists $S \in S p\left(2 g ; F_{l}\right)$ with $M_{2}=S M_{1}$, then targets of $h_{1}, h_{2}$, say $X_{1}, X_{2}$, are conformally equivalent and there is a conformal map $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ with $f \circ h_{1}=h_{2}$ ( $S p$ denotes symplectic groups). $M_{i}$ satisfies $M_{i} \tilde{J}^{t} M_{i}=d_{i} J$, where $d_{i}$ is the degree of $h_{i}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\# \operatorname{Hol}_{g}(\tilde{X}) \leq \sum_{d} \#\left\{M \in M\left(2 g, 2 \tilde{g} ; F_{l}\right) \mid M \tilde{J}^{t} M=d J\right\} \times 84(g-1) / \# S p\left(2 g ; F_{l}\right)
$$

where $d$ runs through all considerable numbers as degrees of holomorphic maps. We have

$$
\# S p\left(2 g ; F_{l}\right)=l^{g^{2}}\left(l^{2}-1\right)\left(l^{4}-1\right) \ldots\left(l^{2 g}-1\right)
$$

(see [1]), and we may take $l$ with $\sqrt{8}(\tilde{g}-1)<l<2 \sqrt{8}(\tilde{g}-1)$. Consequently,

$$
n \leq 42(\tilde{g}-1)(\tilde{g}-2) 2^{2 \tilde{g}}(4 \sqrt{2}(\tilde{g}-1))^{\tilde{g}^{2}+\tilde{g} / 2}+84(\tilde{g}-1) .
$$

Howard and Sommese [2] showed that

$$
n \leq(2 \sqrt{6}(\tilde{g}-1)+1)^{2 \tilde{g}^{2}+2} \tilde{g}^{2}(\tilde{g}-1)(\sqrt{2})^{\tilde{g}(\tilde{g}-1)}+84(\tilde{g}-1)
$$

It is easy to see that our bound is smaller for every $\tilde{g}>1$.
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