GLOBAL INTUITIONISTIC LOGIC AND ITS SEMANTIC COMPLETENESS

TOKAI-GAKUEN WOMEN'S COLLEGE(東海学園女子短期大学) HIROSHI AOYAMA(青山広)*

GI, Global Intuitionistic logic, is an intuitionistic modal predicate logic which was first studied in the form of a sequent calculus in Takeuti-Titani[2]. Later another version of GI was studied in Titani[3]. The goal of this paper is to prove the semantic completeness of Titani's GI with respect to complete Heyting algebras with a unary operation \square called a "globalization."

We note here that Ono[1] contains completeness theorems for several propositional sequent calculi similar to the propositional part of Titani's GI.

- 1 Syntax of GI
- 1.1 Language L of GI
- 1.1.1 Symbols of L
 - (1) Individual constants: $c_0, c_1, c_2,...$
 - (2) Free variables: $a_0, a_1, a_2,...$
 - (3) Bound variables: $x_0, x_1, x_2,...$
 - (4) Predicate constants with n argument places (n=1,2,3,...): $R^{n}_{0}, R^{n}_{1}, R^{n}_{2},...$
 - (5) Logical symbols: \neg , \land , \lor , \rightarrow , \forall , \exists , \square
 - (6) Punctuation symbols: (,), ,(comma)
- 1.1.2 Well-formed formulas (wffs) of L

^{*}The author is very grateful to Professor Satoko Titani for her valuable comments on earlier drafts of this paper.

Individual constants and free variables are called "terms."

- (1) If $t_1,...t_n$ are terms and R^n is a predicate constant with n argument places, then $R^n(t_1,...t_n)$ is a wff.
- (2) If A and B are wffs, so are $(A \land B)$, $(A \lor B)$, $(A \to B)$, $\neg A$, and $\square A$.
- (3) If A(t) is a wff with a term t and x is a bound variable, then $\forall x A(x)$ and $\exists x A(x)$ are wffs, where A(x) is obtained from A(t) by replacing each occurrence of t in A(t) with x.
- (4) Wffs are obtained only by the above (1) (3).

As usual, sentences are those wffs with no free variables. In what follows, we will consider only sentences.

1.1.3 -closed sentences of L

- (1) If A is a sentence, then \square A is a \square -closed sentence.
- (2) If A and B are \square -closed sentences, so are (A \wedge B), (A \vee B), (A \rightarrow B), \neg A.
- (3) If A(c) is a \square -closed sentence with an individual constant c, then $\forall x A(x)$ and $\exists x A(x)$ are \square -closed sentences, where $\forall x A(x)$ and $\exists x A(x)$ are formed as in 1.1.2,(3).
- (4) □-closed sentences are obtained only by the above (1)-(3)

1.1.4 Sequents of L

If $A_1, A_2,..., A_m, B_1, B_2,..., B_n$ are sentences, then $A_1, A_2,..., A_m \Rightarrow B_1, B_2,..., B_n \ (m,n \ge 0)$

is a sequent of L.

We use Greek capital letters $\Gamma, \Delta, \Pi, \Lambda, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1,...$ to denote finite sequences of sentences separated by commas. We also use $\overline{\Gamma}, \overline{\Delta},...$ to denote finite sequences of \square -closed sentences separated by commas.

1.2 Formal proofs in GI

The system GI contains axioms and a group of rules of inference, which consists of (1) structural rules and (2) logical rules.

1.2.1 Axioms of GI: any sequents of the form: $A \Rightarrow A$, where A is a sentence.

1.2.2 The structural rules of GI

Thinning:
$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$
, $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A}$ Contraction: $\frac{A, A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$, $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A, A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A}$
Interchange: $\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, B, A, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta}$, $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A, B, \Lambda}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, B, A, \Lambda}$ Cut: $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A, A, \Pi \Rightarrow \Lambda}{\Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Lambda}$

1.2.3 The logical rules of GI

$$\Rightarrow \forall \colon \frac{\Gamma \mathop{\Rightarrow} \overline{\Delta}\,, A(c)}{\Gamma \mathop{\Rightarrow} \overline{\Delta}\,, \, \forall_X A(x)} \;\; \text{ where c is an individual constant not occurring in the lower sequent.}$$

$$\exists \Rightarrow: \frac{A(c), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\exists_{x} A(x), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{, where c is an individual constant not occurring in the lower sequent.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists : \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A(c)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \exists_{x} A(x)}$$
, where c is an arbitrary individual constant.

$$\square \Rightarrow : \quad \frac{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\square A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad \Rightarrow \square : \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma} \Rightarrow \overline{\Delta}, A}{\overline{\Gamma} \Rightarrow \overline{\Delta}, \square A}$$

When a sequent $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in GI, we write $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

1.3 Theorems (i.e., Provable sequents) in GI

- $(1) \Rightarrow \Box A \lor \neg \Box A$
- (2) $\square A \Rightarrow A$
- $(3) \quad \Box(A \rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
- $(4) \quad \Box \neg A \Rightarrow \neg \Box A$
- $(5) \quad \Box (A \land B) \Rightarrow (\Box A \land \Box B)$
- (6) $(\Box A \land \Box B) \Rightarrow \Box (A \land B)$
- $(7) \quad \Box A \lor \Box B \Rightarrow \Box (A \lor B)$
- (8) $\overline{A} \Rightarrow \overline{A}$, for any \Box -closed sentence \overline{A}
- (9) $\neg \neg \overline{A} \Rightarrow \overline{A}$, for any \square -closed sentence \overline{A}
- (10) $\neg \overline{A} \rightarrow B \Rightarrow \overline{A} \vee B$, for any \square -closed sentence \overline{A}
- $(11) \Rightarrow \overline{A} \vee \neg \overline{A}$, for any \square -closed sentence \overline{A}
- $(12) \square (A \rightarrow B) \wedge \square (B \rightarrow C) \Rightarrow \square (A \rightarrow C)$
- $(12) \; (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B) \Rightarrow \Box (\Box A \rightarrow B)$
- $(13) \square (\square A \rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \square (\square A \rightarrow \square B)$
- $(14) \, \Box \forall x (A {\rightarrow} B(x)) \Rightarrow \Box (A {\rightarrow} \forall x B(x))$
- $(15) \square \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \square (\exists x A(x) \rightarrow B)$
- $(16) \ \forall x \square A(x) \Rightarrow \square \forall x A(x).$

2 Semantics of GI

We now introduce structures for the language L, which we will call "complete <u>H</u>eyting <u>a</u>lgebras with a globalization (cHags, for short)."

2.1 cHag interpretations

Let Θ be a nonempty set and L(Θ) be the extended language obtained from L by adding a new individual constant \overline{d} for each member d of Θ . By a <u>cHag interpretation for L(Θ)</u>, we mean a triple $<\Theta$, H, \square > such that:

(1) H is a complete Heyting algebra with a globalization \square :

$$H = \langle H, \land, \lor, \rightarrow, \neg, \Box, 0, 1, \land, \lor \rangle,$$

where \square is a unary operation on H satisfying the following conditions: for each $a,b \in H$ and for each indexed set $\{a_i\}_i \subseteq H$,

- G1 □a≤a
- G2 $(\Box a \rightarrow \Box b) \leq \Box (\Box a \rightarrow b)$
- $G3 \wedge_i \square a_i \leq \square \wedge_i a_i$
- G4 If $\square a \leq b$, then $\square a \leq \square b$
- G5 $\square a \vee \neg \square a = 1$.
- (2) [] is a map from the constants of L(Đ) such that
 - (i) $\[\] c \] \in D$ for each individual constant c of L
 - (ii) $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{d} \end{bmatrix} = d \in D$ for each $d \in D$
 - (iii) $\mathbb{L} R^n \mathbb{J}$ is a function: $\mathfrak{D}^n \to H$ for each predicate constant R^n with n argument places.
- (3) The symbol
 ☐ ☐ is also used to denote the truth value of a sentence of L(Đ):
 - (i) Let R^n be a predicate constant with n argument-places and let $t_1, ..., \, t_n \ \text{be individual constants of L(D)}. \ Then$

(ii) For sentences of L(Đ) containing logical symbols, their truth values are determined by:

$$\llbracket A \land B \rrbracket \triangleq \llbracket A \rrbracket \land \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
A \lor B
\end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix}
A
\end{bmatrix} \lor \begin{bmatrix}
B
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
A \to B
\end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix}
A
\end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix}
B
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\neg A
\end{bmatrix} \triangleq \neg \begin{bmatrix}
A
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\forall x A(x)
\end{bmatrix} \triangleq \land d \in D [A(\bar{d})]$$

$$[\exists x A(x)
] \triangleq \lor d \in D [A(\bar{d})]$$

$$[\Box A
] \triangleq \Box [A
]$$

where $\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \neg, \land, \lor$, and \Box in the right-hand side of \triangleq are the operations on H.

Note: When c is an individual constant of L and \mathbb{L} c \mathbb{L} = d \in D, we have \mathbb{L} A(c) \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L} A(\overline{d}) \mathbb{L} .

2.2 Validity

- (1) A sentence A of L(Đ) is valid in a cHag interpretation $\langle \bullet, H, [] \rangle$, if [A] = 1 for every [].
- (2) The truth value of a sequent of L(D) is defined as follows:

Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m \Rightarrow B_1, B_2, ..., B_n$ be a sequent of L(Θ). Then it is <u>valid</u> in a cHag interpretation $\langle \Theta, H, []] \rangle$, if $[A_1, A_2, ..., A_m \Rightarrow B_1, B_2, ..., B_n]$ = 1 for every []].

Also, sequent A_1 , A_2 , ..., $A_m \Rightarrow B_1$, B_2 ,..., B_n of L is <u>valid</u>, in symbol, $\models A_1,A_2,...,A_m \Rightarrow B_1,B_2,...,B_n$ if $A_1,A_2,...,A_m \Rightarrow B_1,B_2,...,B_n$ is valid in every cHag interpretation.

Now the following two propositions are immediate:

Proposition 2.2.1. Let $H = \langle H, \land, \lor, \rightarrow, \neg, \Box, 0, 1, \land, \lor \rangle$ be a cHag.

Then the following hold: for each $a,b \in H$ and each indexed set $\{a_i\}_i \subseteq H$,

(1) If
$$a \le b$$
, then $\Box a \le \Box b$

(2)
$$\square a = \square \square a$$

(3)
$$\square a \wedge \square b = \square (\square a \wedge \square b)$$

(4)
$$\square$$
(a \land b) = \square a \land \square b

$$(5) \quad \Box \mathbf{a} \vee \Box \mathbf{b} = \Box (\Box \mathbf{a} \vee \Box \mathbf{b})$$

(6)
$$\square a \vee \square b \leq \square (a \vee b)$$

$$(7) \quad \Box \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \Box \mathbf{b} = \Box (\Box \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \Box \mathbf{b})$$

$$(8) \quad \Box(a \rightarrow b) \le (\Box a \rightarrow \Box b)$$

(9)
$$\neg \Box a = \Box \neg \Box a$$

(10)
$$\bigwedge_i \square a_i = \square \bigwedge_i \square a_i$$

(11)
$$\bigvee_{i} \Box a_{i} = \Box \bigvee_{i} \Box a_{i}$$

(12)
$$\Box 0 = 0$$
 and $\Box 1 = 1$.

Proposition 2.2.2. For each cHag interpretation and for each \Box -closed sentence \overline{A} of L(\overline{D}),

$$(1) \quad \Box \boxed{\boxed{A}} \quad \boxed{\boxed{}} = \boxed{\boxed{A}} \quad \boxed{\boxed{}}$$

(2)
$$\llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \lor \neg \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket = 1$$

(3) If
$$[\![\overline{A} \]\!] \le [\![B \]\!]$$
, then $[\![\overline{A} \]\!] \le [\![\overline{B} \]\!]$, where B is a sentence of L(Đ).

Theorem 2.2.3.(The Soundness Theorem for GI) Let $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ be a sequent of L such that $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$. Then $\models \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

Proof: Induction on the length of the proof $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

Theorem 2.2.4.(The Completeness Theorem for GI) Let $\Rightarrow \Gamma$ be a sequent of L such that $\models \Rightarrow \Gamma$. Then $\models \Rightarrow \Gamma$.

Proof: We prove that $\not\vdash \overline{\Gamma}_1 \Rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_1$ implies $\not\vdash \overline{\Gamma}_1 \Rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_1$. Then this shows

as a special case that $\not\models \Rightarrow \Box A$ implies $\not\models \Rightarrow \Box A$, where A is the disjunction of all the sentences in Γ . Since $(\vdash \Rightarrow \Box A \text{ iff } \vdash \Rightarrow A)$ and $(\vdash \Rightarrow \Box A \text{ iff } \models \Rightarrow A)$, we can obtain : $\not\models \Rightarrow A$ implies $\not\models \Rightarrow A$, i.e., $\not\models \Rightarrow \Gamma$ implies $\not\models \Rightarrow \Gamma$.

We now show in three steps that $\not\vdash P \Rightarrow \overline{Q}$ implies $\not\models P \Rightarrow \overline{Q}$, where \overline{P} and \overline{Q} are respectively the conjunction of all the sentences in $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ and the disjunction of all the sentences in $\overline{\Delta}_1$. Let D be the set of all individual constants of L and L(D) be the same as L. We sometimes regard L(D) as the set of sentences of L(D).

Step 1: The construction of a Ha (Heyting algebra)

Definition 1: Let A,B = L(D). Set

- $(1) A \leq B \Leftrightarrow \vdash A, \overline{P}, \neg \overline{Q} \Rightarrow B$
- (2) $A \equiv B \Leftrightarrow (A \le B \text{ and } B \le A)$
- $(3) \quad \llbracket A \rrbracket \triangleq \{B \in L(D) : A \equiv B\}$
- $(4) H \triangleq \{ [[A]] : A \in L(D) \}$
- (5) $\llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket \Leftrightarrow A \leq B$.

Then the relation \equiv is an equivalence relation on L(D) and the relation \leq on H is well-defined. The following three lemmas are immediate:

Lemma 2: For each $A,B \in L(D)$,

- (1) $A \in [A]$
- (2) $A \equiv B \text{ iff } \llbracket A \rrbracket = \llbracket B \rrbracket$
- (3) $A \not\equiv B \text{ iff } \llbracket A \rrbracket \cap \llbracket B \rrbracket = \phi$
- $(4) \quad \llbracket B \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rightarrow A \rrbracket = \llbracket \overline{P} \rrbracket$
- $(5) \quad \llbracket A \land \neg A \rrbracket = \llbracket \overline{Q} \rrbracket \le \llbracket B \rrbracket$
- (6) $\llbracket \overline{P} \rrbracket \neq \llbracket \overline{Q} \rrbracket$.

Lemma 3: Let $\llbracket A \rrbracket$, $\llbracket B \rrbracket \in H$. Then the g.l.b of $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket B \rrbracket$, i.e. $\llbracket A \rrbracket \land \llbracket B \rrbracket$ exists and equals $\llbracket A \land B \rrbracket$. The l.u.b. of $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket B \rrbracket$, i.e. $\llbracket A \rrbracket \lor \llbracket B \rrbracket$ exists and equals $\llbracket A \lor B \rrbracket$. The pseudo-complement of $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ relative to $\llbracket B \rrbracket$, i.e. $\llbracket A \rrbracket \to \llbracket B \rrbracket$ exists and equals $\llbracket A \to B \rrbracket$. Also $0 = \llbracket \overline{Q} \rrbracket = \llbracket A \land \neg A \rrbracket$ and $1 = \llbracket \overline{P} \rrbracket = \llbracket A \to A \rrbracket$ for any sentence A of L(\overline{E}). Thus $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and A

Lemma 4: For each $\forall x A(x), \exists x A(x) \in L(D),$

Definition 5: Set $\square \llbracket A \rrbracket \triangleq \llbracket \square A \rrbracket$ for each $\llbracket A \rrbracket \in H$.

From this definition we can obtain

Lemma 6: For every A, B, A(c), \overline{A} (\square -closed) in L(\overline{D}), the following hold:

- $(1) \quad \Box \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket = \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket$
- (2) $\square 1 = 1$ and $\square 0 = 0$
- (3) $[\![\overline{A}]\!] \wedge [\![\overline{A}]\!] = 0$ and $[\![\overline{A}]\!] \vee [\![\overline{A}]\!] = 1$
- $(4) \ \mathrm{G1}_{\mathrm{H}} \colon \square \llbracket \ \mathrm{A} \, \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \ \mathrm{A} \, \rrbracket$

$$\operatorname{G2}_{\operatorname{H}} \colon \square \llbracket \ A \ \rrbracket {\to} \square \llbracket \ B \ \rrbracket {\leq} \square (\ \square \llbracket \ A \ \rrbracket {\to} \llbracket \ B \ \rrbracket)$$

$$G3_H \colon \textstyle \bigwedge_{c \,\in\, D} \square \,\, \big[\![\ A(\!c\!) \, \big]\!] \,\, \leq \,\, \square \textstyle \bigwedge_{c \,\in\, D} \,\, \big[\![\ A(\!c\!) \, \big]\!] \,\, ,$$

i.e.,
$$\llbracket \forall x \Box A(x) \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \Box \forall x A(x) \rrbracket$$

$$G4_{H} \colon If \ \square \llbracket \ A \ \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \ B \ \rrbracket, \ then \ \square \llbracket \ A \ \rrbracket \leq \square \llbracket \ B \ \rrbracket.$$

$$G5_H: \square \llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \neg \square \llbracket A \rrbracket = 1$$
.

Thus < H, \land , \lor , \rightarrow , \neg , \square , 0, 1 > is a Ha with a globalization in the sense that G3 of a cHag holds in the form of G3_H.

Step 2: The construction of a new Ha

Definition 7: Let $\Box H \triangleq \{ [\overline{A}] : \overline{A} \text{ is a } \Box \text{-closed sentence of L(D)} \}.$

Then $< \Box H$, \wedge^H , \vee^H , \rightarrow^H , \neg^H , \Box^H , 0^H , 1^H >, or simply $\Box H$, is a sublattice of H and a Ba (Boolean algebra) since $\Box H$ is a distributive lattice with 0 and 1 and for each $\llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \in \Box H$, $\llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \wedge \neg \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket = 0$ and $\llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \vee \neg \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket = 1$. It also holds that

Definition 8: Let B be a Ba and let (Q) be a set of infinite joins and meets in B as follows:

$$a_s = \bigvee_{t \in Ts'}^{B} a_{s,t} \quad (s \in S') \text{ and}$$

$$b_s = \bigwedge_{t \in Ts'}^{B} b_{s,t} \quad (s \in S''),$$

where two sets S' and S" are at most countable.

Lemma 9 (Rasiowa & Sikorski's Theorem): Let B and (Q) be as in Definition 8. Then there exists a maximal filter ∇ of B such that

$$\forall s \in S' \ (a_s \in \overrightarrow{\Gamma} \Rightarrow \exists t \in Ts' \ a_{s,t} \in \overrightarrow{\Gamma}) \ and$$

$$\forall s \in S'' \ ((\forall t \in Ts'' \ b_{s,t} \in \overrightarrow{\Gamma}) \Rightarrow b_s \in \overrightarrow{\Gamma}).$$

Definition 10: For each [A], [B] = H, set

- (1) $[A] \leq [B]$ iff $([A] \hookrightarrow [B]) \in V$, where $[A] \hookrightarrow [B] \triangleq ([A] \rightarrow [B])$
- (2) $[A] \sim [B]$ iff $([A] \leq [B]$ and $[B] \leq [A]$).

Then the following lemma is immediate:

Lemma 11: For each [A], [B], $[C] \in H$,

$$(1) \quad \llbracket A \rrbracket \sim \llbracket B \rrbracket \quad \text{iff} \quad (\llbracket A \rrbracket \rightrightarrows \llbracket B \rrbracket \land \llbracket B \rrbracket \rightrightarrows \llbracket A \rrbracket) \in \overline{V}$$

- $(2) \quad \llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$
- (3) $\llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket B \rrbracket \leq \llbracket C \rrbracket$ implies $\llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket C \rrbracket$
- (4) \sim is an equivalence relation on H.

Definition 12: For each [A]∈H, let

$$| [A] | \triangleq \{ [B] \in H : [A] \sim [B] \}$$
 and

 $H^* \triangleq H/\sim \triangleq \{ | [A] | : [A] \in H \}.$

Then for each | [A]|, $| [B]| \in H^*$, set

 $|\llbracket A \rrbracket| \lesssim |\llbracket B \rrbracket| \, \hat{\Leftrightarrow} \, \llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket \, .$

Note that $| [A]| = | [B]| \text{ iff } [A] \sim [B]$ and that \leq is well-defined and is a partial order on H^* . We now list two easy lemmas.

Lemma 13: Let $| \llbracket A \rrbracket |$, $| \llbracket B \rrbracket | \in H^*$. Then the g.l.b. of $| \llbracket A \rrbracket |$ and $| \llbracket B \rrbracket |$, i.e. $| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \wedge^{H^*} | \llbracket B \rrbracket |$ exists and equals $| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \wedge^{\llbracket B} \rrbracket |$. The l.u.b. of $| \llbracket A \rrbracket |$ and $| \llbracket B \rrbracket |$, i.e. $| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \vee^{H^*} | \llbracket B \rrbracket |$ exists and equals $| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \vee^{\llbracket B} \rrbracket |$. The pseudo-complement of $| \llbracket A \rrbracket |$ relative to $| \llbracket B \rrbracket |$, i.e. $| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \rightarrow^{H^*} | \llbracket B \rrbracket |$ exists and equals $| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \rightarrow^{\llbracket B} \rrbracket |$. Also | G | H | and | G | H | had | G | H | and | G | H | had | G |

Lemma 14: Let \overline{A} be a \square -closed sentence of L(\overline{D}). Then

- $(1) \quad \llbracket \neg \overline{A} \rrbracket \in \overline{\Gamma} \text{ iff } \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \notin \overline{\Gamma}$
- (2) $|[\overline{A}]| = 1^{H^*} \text{ iff } [\overline{A}] = \overline{V}$
- (3) $| \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket | = 0^{H^*} \text{ iff } \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \notin \overline{V}.$
- (4) $\llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \in \overline{V}$ or $\neg \llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \in \overline{V}$, but not both.

Lemma 15: For each $\bigwedge^H c \in D \ [A(c)\]$ and $\bigvee^H c \in D \ [A(c)\] \in H$,

- (1) $| \bigwedge^{H}_{c \in \mathcal{D}} \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket | = \bigwedge^{H^{*}_{c \in \mathcal{D}}} | \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket |$
- (2) $| \bigvee^{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}} \llbracket \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{c}) \rrbracket | = \bigvee^{\mathrm{H}^{\star}}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}} | \llbracket \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{c}) \rrbracket |$.

Proof: Since $\vdash \forall x A(x) \Rightarrow A(c)$, we have $\vdash \Rightarrow \Box (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow A(c))$. Then $\in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\wedge \Box H_{c} \in \mathbb{D}$ ($[B] \Box \Box \Box A(c) \Box$) $\in \mathbb{Z}$, since \mathbb{Z} is a Q-filter. Now since $\bigwedge^{\square H}_{c \in \mathcal{D}} ([B] \subseteq A(c)]) = \bigwedge^{H}_{c \in \mathcal{D}} ([B] \subseteq A(c)])$, we can obtain $\bigwedge^{H} c \in \mathcal{D}$ ($[B] \subseteq [A(c)]$) $\in V$, from which we can also obtain $\square(B \rightarrow \forall x A(x))$, we obtain $\square(\llbracket B \rrbracket \rightarrow \bigwedge^{H_c} \vdash D \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket) \vdash [\neg A(c) \rrbracket] \vdash [\neg A(c) \rrbracket]$. This means $|[B]| \leq |[V \times A(x)]|$. The proof of (2) is similar. Definition 16: Set $\square^{H^*} | \llbracket A \rrbracket | \triangleq | \square \llbracket A \rrbracket |$. Now we can obtain the following three lemmas: Lemma 17: For each | [A]|, | [B]|, $| [A(c)]| \in H^*$, $G1_{H^*}: \square^{H^*}| \llbracket A \rrbracket | \lesssim | \llbracket A \rrbracket |$ $G2_{H^*}: \square^{H^*} | \llbracket A \rrbracket | \xrightarrow{H^*} \square^{H^*} | \llbracket B \rrbracket | \lesssim \square^{H^*} (\square^{H^*} | \llbracket A \rrbracket | \xrightarrow{H^*} | \llbracket B \rrbracket |)$ $G3_{H^{\star}}: \bigwedge^{H^{\star}}{}_{c \in \mathfrak{D}} \, \square^{H^{\star}} | \llbracket A(\!c\!) \rrbracket | \lesssim \, \square^{H^{\star}} \bigwedge^{H^{\star}}{}_{c \in \mathfrak{D}} \, | \llbracket A(\!c\!) \rrbracket | \, ,$ i.e. $\| \nabla x \triangle A(x) \| \le \| \nabla x \triangle A(x) \| \|$ $G4_{H^*}\colon If\ \square^{H^*}|\llbracket A\rrbracket|\lesssim\ |\llbracket B\rrbracket|\ ,\ then\ \square^{H^*}|\llbracket A\rrbracket|\lesssim\ \square^{H^*}|\llbracket B\rrbracket|$ $\mathrm{G5_{H^{\star}}}: \square^{\mathrm{H^{\star}}}| \llbracket \mathbf{A} \rrbracket | \,\, \vee^{\,\mathrm{H^{\star}}} \,\, \neg^{\,\mathrm{H^{\star}}} \square^{\,\mathrm{H^{\star}}}| \llbracket \mathbf{A} \rrbracket | = 1^{\mathrm{H^{\star}}}.$ Thus $\langle H^*, \wedge^{H^*}, \vee^{H^*}, \rightarrow^{H^*}, \neg^{H^*}, \square^{H^*}, 0^{H^*}, 1^{H^*} \rangle$ is a Ha with a globalization in the sense that G3 of a cHag holds in the form of G3_{H*}. Lemma 18: The function g: $H \longrightarrow H^*$ defined by $[A] \longmapsto |[A]|$ is a natural homomorphism from H onto H^* and preserves not only \square but also infinite meets and joins of the form $\bigwedge^{H}_{c \in D} \mathbb{I}$ A(c) \mathbb{I} and $\bigvee^{H}_{c \in D} \mathbb{I}$ $\llbracket A(c) \rrbracket$, i.e. $g(\square \llbracket A \rrbracket) = \square^{H^*} g(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$ $g(\bigwedge^{H}_{c \in D} \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket) = \bigwedge^{H^*}_{c \in D} g(\llbracket A(c) \rrbracket)$ and

$$g(\bigvee^{H}_{c \in D} \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket) = \bigvee^{H^{*}_{c \in D}} g(\llbracket A(c) \rrbracket).$$

Lemma 19: For each $|[A]| \in H^*$,

Step 3: The construction of a cHag

We now construct a cHag from H*.

Lemma 20 (Rasiowa & Sikorski's Embedding Lemma): Let H^* be a Ha. Then there exist a cHa H^{**} and an isomorphism from H^* into H^{**} , preserving all infinite meets and joins.

By this lemma, we can obtain a cHa H^{**} from the Ha H^{*} in Step 2 and an isomorphism h: $H^{*} \longrightarrow H^{**}$ such that for each indexed set $\{a_{i}\}_{i} \subseteq H^{*}$,

$$h(\bigwedge^{H^{\star}_{i}} a_{i}) = \bigwedge^{H^{\star \star}_{i}} h(a_{i}) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\bigvee^{H^{\star}_{i}} a_{i}) = \bigvee^{H^{\star \star}_{i}} h(a_{i}) \; .$$

We denote this cHa <H**, \wedge H**, \vee H**, \rightarrow H**, \neg H**, 0H**, 1H**, \wedge H**, \wedge H**, \vee H**> by "H**."

Definition 21: Define a globalization $\square^{H^{**}}$ as follows: for each $a \in H^{**}$,

$$\square^{H^{**}}a = \bigvee^{H^{**}} \{ h(\mid \square [\![A]\!] \mid) \in H^{**} : h(\mid \square [\![A]\!] \mid) \le a \},$$

where \leq is the partial order on H^{**} .

Lemma 22: For each
$$a \in H^{**}$$
, $\Box^{H^{**}} a = 1^{H^{**}}$ if $a = 1^{H^{**}}$, $0^{H^{**}}$ if $a \neq 1^{H^{**}}$.

Then
$$\Box^{H^{**}}a = \bigvee^{H^{**}} \{ h(|\Box [A]|) \in H^{**} : h(|\Box [A]|) \le a \}$$

$$= 1^{H^{**}} \quad \text{if } a = 1^{H^{**}},$$

$$0^{H^{**}} \quad \text{if } a \ne 1^{H^{**}}.$$

Lemma 23: For each $a,b \in H^{**}$ and each indexed set $\{a_i\}_i \subseteq H^{**}$,

$$G1_{H^{**}}: \square^{H^{**}}a \leq a$$

$$G2_{H^{**}}: \square^{H^{**}}a \rightarrow^{H^{**}}\square^{H^{**}}b \leq \square^{H^{**}}(\square^{H^{**}}a \rightarrow^{H^{**}}b)$$

$$G3_{H^{**}}: \wedge^{H^{**}}i \square^{H^{**}}a_i \leq \square^{H^{**}}\wedge^{H^{**}}i \ a_i$$

$$G4_{H^{**}}: \square^{H^{**}}a \leq b, \ then \square^{H^{**}}a \leq \square^{H^{**}}b$$

$$G5_{H^{**}}: \square^{H^{**}}a \vee^{H^{**}} \rightarrow^{H^{**}}\square^{H^{**}}a = 1^{H^{**}}.$$
Thus $< H^{**}, \wedge^{H^{**}}, \vee^{H^{**}}, \rightarrow^{H^{**}}, \neg^{H^{**}}, \square^{H^{**}}, 0^{H^{**}}, 1^{H^{**}}, \wedge^{H^{**}}, \vee^{H^{**}}> is$

$$a \ cHag \ and \ denoted \ by \ "H" \ ."$$
Proof: Using Lemma 22, the proof is straightforward.

Lemma 24: The isomorphism $h: H^* \longrightarrow H^{**} \ preserves \square, i.e.$

$$h(\square^{H^*}[[A]]) = \square^{H^{**}} h([[A]]).$$
Proof: $h(\square^{H^*}[[A]]) = h(\vee^{H^*}\{\square^{H^*}[[B]]) \in H^*: h(\square^{H^*}[[B]]) \leq h([[A]]),$

$$since \ h \ preserves \ infinite \ joins$$

$$= \square^{H^{**}} h([[A]]) \ by \ the \ definition \ of \ \square^{H^{**}} \ in \ H^{**}.$$
Therefore the map $h \circ g: H \longrightarrow H^{**} \ is \ a \ homomorphism \ and \ preserves$
not only infinite meets and joins but also \(\\square\). The \ definition \ of \(\mathbe{a} \) map
$$[\ \square^{**} \ in \ H^{**} \ goes \ as \ follows:$$
Definition 25:

(1) For the constants of \(L(D)\), set
$$[\ C\ \square^{**} \triangleq c \in D \ for \ each \ individual \ constant \ c \ of \ D, \ and$$

$$[\ R^n\ \square^{**}: D^n \longrightarrow H^{**} \ is \ defined \ by: \ for \ each \ c_{i_1}..., \ c_{i_n} \in D,$$

$$[\ R^n\ \square^{**}: [\ C\ c_{i_1}\ \square^{**}, ..., \ \square \ c_{i_n}\ \square^{**}) \triangleq h \circ g([\ R^n(c_{i_1}, ..., c_{i_n}) \ \square) \in H^{**}.$$

(2) For the sentences of \(L(D)\), set
$$[\ \Omega^{**} \cap A \land B\]^{**} = [\ A\]^{**} \wedge H^{**} \cap B\]^{**}$$

(2)
$$\llbracket A \lor B \rrbracket^{**} = \llbracket A \rrbracket^{**} \lor H^{**} \llbracket B \rrbracket^{**}$$

$$(3) \quad \llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket^{**} = \llbracket A \rrbracket^{**} \rightarrow H^{**} \llbracket B \rrbracket^{**}$$

(4)
$$\llbracket \neg A \rrbracket^{**} = \neg^{H^{**}} \llbracket A \rrbracket^{**}$$

(5)
$$\llbracket \forall x A(x) \rrbracket^{**} = \bigwedge^{H^{**}} c \in \mathfrak{D} \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket^{**}$$

(6)
$$\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{**} = \bigvee^{H^{**}} c \in \mathfrak{D} \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket^{**}$$

(7)
$$\llbracket \Box A \rrbracket^{**} = \Box^{H^{**}} \llbracket A \rrbracket^{**}$$

Proof: For (5) we have $\llbracket \forall x A(x) \rrbracket^{**} = h \circ g(\llbracket \forall x A(x) \rrbracket) = h \circ g(\land H_{c \in D})$ $\llbracket A(c) \rrbracket) = \land^{H^{**}}_{c \in D} h \circ g(\llbracket A(c) \rrbracket) = \land^{H^{**}}_{c \in D} \llbracket A(c) \rrbracket^{**}$. The rest are similar.

Therefore < \overline{D} , H^{**} , \overline{L} J^{**} > is a cHag interpretation in which \overline{L} \overline{P} J^{**} $= h \circ g(\overline{L}$ \overline{P} $J) = 1^{H^{**}}$ and \overline{L} \overline{Q} J^{**} $= h \circ g(\overline{L}$ \overline{Q} $J) = 0^{H^{**}}$. Thus $\not\models \overline{P} \Rightarrow \overline{Q}$. This completes the proof of the completeness theorem.

References

- [1] H. Ono, On some intuitionistic modal logic, Publications of Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University 13 (1977), pp. 687—722.
- [2] G. Takeuti and S.Titani, Globalization of intuitionistic set theory, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 33 (1987), pp. 195—211.
- [3] S. Titani, Completeness of global intuitionistic set theory, Preprint (1993).