

## Transformation $\tilde{G}$ for analytic functionals and its applications

Kunio Yoshino (吉野邦生)

Sophia University (上智大 理工)

### 1. Introduction

In [1] and [8] Avaniissian and Supper studied Abel interpolation problems of entire functions of exponential type by using analytic functionals with compact carrier. To derive the results they used the sequence  $\{D^m f(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ . In this report we derive analogous results for non - entire functions of exponential type defined in the direct product of half planes. We will make use of the sequence  $\{D^{-m} f(-m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n}$  instead of  $\{D^m f(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ . In Section 2 we describe notations which we needed. The following Section is devoted to results obtained by Avaniissian and Supper. The definitions and properties of transform  $\tilde{G}$  of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier are given in Section 4. In the last Section we will present our main results.

### 2. Notations

In what follows we will use following notations. Following [1] and [8], we put

$$\tilde{U} = \{t = r \exp(ix) : 0 \leq r < (\pi - |x|) / |\sin(x)|, |x| \leq \pi\}.$$

$$D_r = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < r \}.$$

$\varphi(t) = t^{-1} \exp(-t)$ .  $\varphi$  is bi-holomorphic map between  $\tilde{U} - \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{C} - [-e, 0]$ . ([5])

$$\Lambda = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} : |\varphi(t)| > e \} \cup \{0\}.$$

$\tilde{U} \supset D_1 \supset \Lambda$ . (For the figure of  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\Lambda$ , see [2]).

$$\psi = \varphi^{-1}. \quad \psi(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!} w^{-n} \quad (|w| > e).$$

$K_i$  denotes  $i$ -th projection of  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

For  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $S^* = S - \{0\}$ .

$d(S)$  denotes the transfinite diameter of  $S$ .

For  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , we put  $\|m\| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$ ,

$$D^m = \partial_{x_1}^{m_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{m_n}, \quad D^{-m} = D_1^{-m_1} \dots D_n^{-m_n},$$

$$\text{where } D_i^{-m_i} f(x) = \frac{1}{(m_i-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} f(x-a) a^{m_i-1} da.$$

$$\langle t, z \rangle = t_1 z_1 + \dots + t_n z_n \text{ for } t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ and } z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

### 3. Results of Avanissian and Supper

In this section we will recall some results obtained by Avanissian and Supper. For the details, we refer the reader to [1] and [8].

Let  $T$  be an analytic functional carried by a compact set  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

$\tilde{T}(z) = \langle T_t, \exp(\langle t, z \rangle) \rangle$  is Fourier - Borel transform of  $T$ . Now we assume that  $K_i \subset U$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Transform  $\tilde{G}_K(T)(w)$  is defined as follows :

$$\tilde{G}_K(T)(w) = \langle T_t, \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - w_i t_i \exp(t_i))^{-1} \rangle.$$

These transformations have following properties.

Proposition 1.

(1)  $\tilde{G}_K(T)(w)$  is holomorphic in  $\Pi_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C} - \varphi(K_i^*))$ .

(2)  $\tilde{G}_K(T)(w) = \sum_{m \in \{\mathbb{N} \cup \emptyset\}^n} D^m \tilde{T}(m) w^m$ .

(3) (Inversion formula)

$$\tilde{T}(z) = (2\pi i)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}_K(T)(w) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \psi(w_i)\right) \frac{dw_1}{w_1} \dots \frac{dw_n}{w_n},$$

where  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_n$  and  $\Gamma_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) is a contour surrounding  $[-e, 0]$ .

(4)  $K = \Pi_{i=1}^n K_i$ . Suppose that  $K_i \subset \tilde{U}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $K \ni \emptyset$ . Then  $\tilde{G}$  is isomorphism between  $\mathcal{O}'(K)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\Pi_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C} - \varphi(K_i^*)))$ .

Example 1.  $\delta(t)$  (Dirac's delta function)

$$\tilde{G}_{\{\emptyset\}}(\delta)(w) = 1$$

Example 2.  $\delta'(t)$

$$\tilde{G}_{\{\emptyset\}}(\delta')(w) = -w$$

Example 3. ([8])(hypergeometric function) It is well known that Hypergeometric function  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, w)$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} - [1, \infty]$ . By

(4) in Prop.1 there exists an analytic functional (hyperfunction)  $T \in \mathcal{O}'(K)$  such that  $\tilde{G}_K(T)(w) = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, w)$ , where  $K$  is  $[0, \psi(1)]$ . ( $\psi(1) = 0.567\dots$ ).

Example 4. ([8]) (confluent hypergeometric function)

Confluent hypergeometric function  $\Phi(\alpha, \gamma, w)$  is an entire function of  $w$ . Hence there exists an analytic functional (hyperfunction)  $T_{\alpha, \gamma}$  supported by the origin such that  $\tilde{G}_{\{0\}}(T_{\alpha, \gamma}) = \Phi(\alpha, \gamma, w)$ .

Example 5. (Hypergeometric function with two variables)

$F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y)$  is holomorphic in  $(\mathbb{C} - [1, \infty)) \times (\mathbb{C} - [1, \infty))$ . Hence there exists an analytic functional (hyperfunction)  $T$  supported by  $K$  such that

$$\tilde{G}_K(T)(x, y) = F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y),$$

where  $K = [0, \psi(1)] \times [0, \psi(1)]$ .

Example 6. (Confluent hypergeometric function with two variables)

$\Phi_3(\beta, \gamma, x, y)$  and  $\Phi_2(\beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y)$  are entire functions. So there exist analytic functionals (hyperfunctions)  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  supported by the origin such that

$$\tilde{G}_{\{0\}}(T_2)(x, y) = \Phi_2(\beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y)$$

$$\tilde{G}_{\{0\}}(T_3)(x, y) = \Phi_3(\beta, \gamma, x, y)$$

For the details of hypergeometric functions of two variables, we refer the reader to [4] and [6].

**Theorem 1.** ([1] and [8]) Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose that entire function  $f(z)$  satisfies following conditions:

(1) For arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon \geq 0$  such that  $|f(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon \exp(H_K(z) + \varepsilon|z|)$  ( $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ).

(2) For any  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \{\mathbb{N} \cup 0\}^n$ ,  $D^m f(m) = 0$ .

If all  $K_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are contained in  $U$ , then  $f(z)$  vanishes identically.

**Remark 1.** Assumption  $K_i \subset U$  is crucial. Suppose that  $a \in \partial U$ . We put  $f(a, z) = \exp(az) - \exp(\bar{a}z)$ .  $f(a, z)$  satisfies (1) and (2) in theorem 1. But  $f(z)$  doesn't vanish identically.  $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}z)$  is a special case of this example. ( $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}z) = (2i)f(\frac{\pi}{2}i, z)$ ) Another example  $ze^{-z}$  is obtained by following manner:

$$ze^{-z} = \lim_{\substack{a \in \partial U \\ a \rightarrow -1}} (a - \bar{a})^{-1} f(a, z).$$

**Theorem 2.** (Abel interpolation formula. [1] and [8]) Suppose that  $K$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and entire function  $f(z)$  satisfies condition (1) in theorem 1.

If  $K_i \subset A$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ , then following expansion is valid:

$$f(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{D^m f(m)}{m!} z_1 \dots z_n (z_1^{-m_1})^{m_1-1} \dots (z_n^{-m_n})^{m_n-1}$$

To prove theorem 2. we need following lemma.

Lemma 1

$$\exp(zt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (te^t)^n \frac{z(z-n)^{n-1}}{n!} \quad (t \in \Lambda).$$

(Proof) By Stirling's formula,  $z(z-n)^{n-1}/n!$  behaves like  $O(e^n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence if  $t$  belongs to  $\Lambda$  then the series in the right hand side converges uniformly.

$$\frac{a^n}{n!} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int z^{-n-1} \exp(az) dz,$$

$$\frac{z(z-n)^{n-1}}{n!} = \frac{(z-n)^n}{n!} + \frac{(z-n)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Applying these identities and residue theorem to right hand side in lemma, we obtain lemma.

(Proof of Theorem 2)

By Martineau - Ehrenpreis's theorem, there exists an analytic functional  $T \in \mathcal{O}'(K)$  such that  $f(z) = \tilde{T}(z)$ . From the definition,  $\tilde{T}(z) = \langle T_t, \exp(\langle t, z \rangle) \rangle$ .

Inserting the identity in lemma, we obtain Abel interpolation series.

Example 7. (confluent hypergeometric function  $\Phi(\alpha, \gamma, w)$ )

As shown in example 4, there exists an analytic functional  $T_{\alpha, \gamma}$  supported by the origin such that  $\tilde{G}_{\{0\}}(T)(w) = \Phi(\alpha, \gamma, w)$ . Since  $\{0\}$  is included in  $\Lambda$ ,  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, \gamma}$  can be expanded to Abel interpolation series.

**Example 8.** (Abel's identity) We apply Abel's interpolation formula to  $(y + z)^n$ . Then we have

$$(y+z)^n = z \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (y+k)^{n-k} (z-k)^{k-1}.$$

Putting  $y = r/q$ ,  $z = p/q$ . We obtain

$$(r+p)^n = p \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (r+kq)^{n-k} (p-kq)^{k-1}.$$

This is so - called Abel's identity. ([3]) If  $q=0$ , this is binomial expansion.

**Remark 2.** We can not omit condition  $K_1 \subset \Lambda$ .  $ze^{-z}$  is Fourier - Borel transform of  $\delta'(t+1)$ . support of  $\delta'(t+1)$  is  $\{-1\}$ .  $\{-1\}$  is a boundary point of  $\Lambda$ . Hence  $ze^{-z}$  is not expressed by Abel interpolation series.  $f(a, z)$  ( $a \in \partial U$ ) also give such example.

**Remark 3.** In the case of  $K \subset U$ , Abel interpolation series is Mittag - Leffler summable in general. ([2]).

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that entire function  $f(z)$  satisfies following assumptions :

(3) There exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k |z_k|\right).$$

$$(4) D^{i+j}f(i+j) = D^i f(i) D^j f(j) \quad (\text{for any } i, j \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

If  $a_k < \psi(1)$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $f(z)$  is constant.

#### 4. Transform $\tilde{G}$ for analytic functionals with unbounded carrier.

In this section we will consider transform  $\tilde{G}$  of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier. Let  $L$  be a closed convex set bounded in the imaginary direction. Holomorphic test function space  $Q(L:k')$  is defined as follows :

$$Q(L:k') = \lim_{\varepsilon' \searrow 0} \text{ind } Q_b(L_\varepsilon : k' + \varepsilon'),$$

$$Q_b(L_\varepsilon : k' + \varepsilon') = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(L_\varepsilon) \cap C(\bar{L}_\varepsilon); \sup_{t \in L_\varepsilon} |f(t)| \exp((k' + \varepsilon)t) < +\infty\}.$$

$\mathcal{O}(L_\varepsilon)$  and  $C(\bar{L}_\varepsilon)$  denote the space of holomorphic functions in  $L_\varepsilon$  (interior of  $L_\varepsilon$ ) and the space of continuous functions in  $\bar{L}_\varepsilon$  (closure of  $L_\varepsilon$ ) respectively.  $Q'(L:k')$  denotes the dual space of  $Q(L:k')$ . The element of  $Q'(L:k')$  is called analytic functional with carrier  $L$  and of type  $k'$ .  $\tilde{T}(z) = \langle T_t, \exp(\langle t, z \rangle) \rangle$  is Fourier - Borel transform of  $T \in Q'(L:k')$ .  $\tilde{T}(z)$  is holomorphic in the direct product of half planes  $\prod_{i=1}^n \{ \text{Re } z_i < -k' \}$  and of exponential type  $H_L(z)$  (supporting function of  $L$ ). Converse statement also valids. ([7])

Now we put following assumptions :

$$(i) \ 0 \leq k' < 1,$$



(ii)  $L_i \subset U \cap \{ \operatorname{Re} t_i > a_i \}$  for some  $a_i > 0$  . (  $i = 1, \dots, n$  ).

Under these two conditions we can define transformation  $\tilde{G}_L(T)$  for  $T \in Q'(L:k')$  as follows :

$$\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = \langle T_t, \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - w_i t_i \exp(t_i))^{-1} \rangle.$$

$\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)$  has following properties.

Proposition 2. ([9])

(5)  $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)$  is holomorphic in  $\prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C} - \overline{\varphi(L_i)})$  .

(6)  $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = (-1)^n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} D^{-m} \tilde{T}(-m) w^{-m}$  .

(7) (inversion formula)

$$\tilde{T}(z) = (2\pi i)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}_L(T)(w) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \psi(w_i)\right) \frac{dw_1}{w_1} \dots \frac{dw_n}{w_n}$$

$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_n$  and  $\Gamma_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) is a boundary of sector with vertex at zero surrounding  $\overline{\varphi(L_i)}$  .

## 5. Main results.

In this section we show our main results.

Theorem 4. Suppose that  $0 \leq k' < 1$  and  $f(z)$  satisfies following conditions:

(8)  $f(z)$  is holomorphic in  $\prod_{i=1}^n \{ z_i \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} z_i < -k' \}$

(9) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \geq 0$  such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \exp(H_L(z) + \varepsilon |z|), \quad (\operatorname{Re} z_i \leq -k' - \varepsilon', i=1, \dots, n).$$

(10)  $D^{-m} f(-m) = 0$ ,  $(m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n)$ .

If  $L$  satisfies (ii) in sec.4, then  $f(z)$  vanishes identically.

(Proof) By the assumptions (8) and (9), there exists  $T \in Q'(L:k')$  such that  $f(z) = \tilde{T}(z)$ . ([7]) From assumption (10) and expansion (6) in Prop.2.  $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)$  vanishes identically. Hence by inversion formula (7) in Prop.2,  $f(z)$  vanishes identically.

**Remark 4.** We can not omit condition (ii) in theorem 4. Suppose that  $a \in \partial U$  and  $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ . Then  $f(a, z)$  satisfies all assumptions in theorem 4. But  $f(a, z)$  does not vanishes identically.

**Corollary.** We assume (8), (9) in theorem 4 and (i), (ii) in sec.4. Suppose that  $f(z)$  satisfies following conditions :

$$(11) D^{-i-j} f(-i-j) = D^{-i} f(-i) D^{-j} f(-j) \quad (\text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N}^n),$$

$$(12) D^{-i} f(-i) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

If  $a_i > \psi(1) (= 0.567\dots)$ , then  $f(z)$  vanishes identically.

**Remark 5** Condition  $a_i > \psi(1)$  is crucial. Put  $f(z) = \exp(\psi(1)z)$ . Then  $f(z)$  satisfies (11) and (12). But this function doesn't vanish identically.

Now we assume that  $F$  is a algebraic number field with  $[F, \mathbb{Q}] = d$ . We put  $\delta = d$  if  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta = d/2$  if  $F \not\subset \mathbb{R}$ .  $O_F$  denotes the set of algebraic integers in  $F$ . For algebraic integer  $a$ , we put  $|a| = \max \{ |a_i| ; a_i \text{ are conjugates of } a \text{ over } \mathbb{Q} \}$ .

**Theorem 5.** We put same assumptions (8) and (9) in theorem 4 and (i) and (ii) in sec.4. Suppose that  $f(z)$  satisfies following conditions :

$$(13) D^{-m}f(-m) \in O_F, \quad (\text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

$$(14) \limsup_{||m|| \rightarrow \infty} (||m||)^{-1} \log |D^{-m}f(-m)| \leq c, \quad (\text{for some } c > 0)$$

If  $\log(d(\overline{\varphi(L_i)})) < -(\delta-1)c$  valid for  $i=1, \dots, n$ , then  $f(z)$  is exponential polynomial.

**Corollary** Let  $L = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $f(z)$  satisfies (8) and (9) in theorem 4 and  $D^{-m}f(-m) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .

If  $a_i > \psi(4)$ , then  $f(z)$  is exponential polynomial.

### References

- [1] V. Avaniessian and R. Supper: Sur les fonctions entieres arithmetique au sens d'Abel de plusieurs variables, C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris. t.312., Serie I. 781-784. (1991)
- [2] R. P. Boas and R. C. Buck : Polynomial expansions of analytic functions, Springer Verlag, Berlin - Gottingen - Heidelberg. 1964
- [3] G. P. Egorychev: Integral representation and the computation of combinatorial sums, Translation of Mathematical monograph, vol 59. A.M.S. 1977.
- [4] Erdelyi, Magnus, Oberhettinger and Tricomi: Higher Transcendental Functions, vol I. Mcgrawhill. New York, Toronto, London. 1953
- [5] A. O. Gelfond : Differenzenrechnung, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1958.
- [6] T. Kimura: Hypergeometric functions in two variables. Seminary Note in Math. University of Tokyo, 1973.
- [7] P. Sargos and M. Morimoto : Transformation des fonctionnelles analytiques a porteurs non - compacts et ses applications, Tokyo J. Math. vol.4. 457-492. (1981)
- [8] R. Supper : Exemples d'applications de la transformations  $\tilde{G}$  des fonctionnelles analytiques, Complex Variables, vol.18. 201-212. (1992)
- [9] K. Yoshino : Transformation  $\tilde{G}$  of analytic functionals with unbounded carriers and its applications, in preparation.