

## CONSTRUCTING LOW-DISCREPANCY SEQUENCES BY USING $\beta$ -ADIC TRANSFORMATIONS

SYOITI NINOMIYA

IBM Research, Tokyo Research Laboratory

**Abstract.** A new class of low-discrepancy sequences is constructed by the use of  $\beta$ -adic transformations. Here,  $\beta$  is a real number greater than 1. When  $\beta$  is an integer greater than 2, this sequence becomes the generalized van der Corput sequence in base  $\beta$ . It is also shown that for some special  $\beta$ , the discrepancy of this sequence decreases in the fastest order.

### 0. Introduction and background

First, we recall the notions of a uniformly distributed sequence and the discrepancy of points ([Niederreiter 1]). A sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in the  $s$ -dimensional unit cube  $I^s = \prod_{i=1}^s [0, 1)$  is said to be uniformly distributed in  $I^s$  when

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N c_J(x_n) = \lambda_s(J)$$

holds for all subintervals  $J \in I^s$ , where  $c_J$  is the characteristic function of  $J$ , and  $\lambda_s$  is the  $s$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. If  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in I^s$  is a uniformly distributed sequence, the formula

$$(0.1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) = \int_{I^s} f(x) dx$$

holds for any Riemann integrable function on  $I^s$ . The discrepancy of the point set  $P = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  in  $I^s$  is defined as follows:

$$(0.2) \quad D_N(\mathcal{B}; P) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left| \frac{A(B; P)}{N} - \lambda_s(B) \right|$$

where  $\mathcal{B} \subset \wp(I^s)$  is a non-empty family of Lebesgue measurable subsets and  $A(B; P)$  is the counting function that indicates the number of  $n$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , for which  $x_n \in B$ . When  $\mathcal{J}^* = \{\prod_{i=1}^s [0, u_i), 0 \leq u_i < 1\}$ , the star discrepancy  $D_N^*(P)$  is defined by  $D_N^*(P) = D_N(\mathcal{J}^*; P)$ . When  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  is a sequence in  $I^s$ , we

---

*Key words and phrases.*  $\beta$ -adic transformation, discrepancy, ergodic theory, numerical integration, van der Corput sequence.

define  $D_N^*(S)$  as  $D_N^*(S_N)$ , where  $S_N$  is the point set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ . Let  $S$  be a sequence in  $I^s$ . It is known that the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $S$  is uniformly distributed in  $I^s$ ;
- (b)  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N^*(S) = 0$ .

The following classical theorem shows the importance of the notion of discrepancy.

**Theorem 0.1 (Koksma-Hlawka)[1].** *If  $f$  has bounded variation  $V(f)$  on  $\bar{I}^s$  in the sense of Hardy and Krause, then for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in I^s$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^M f(x_n) - \int_{I^s} f(x) dx \right| \leq V(f) D_N^*(x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

Schmidt [4] showed that, when  $s = 1, 2$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  that depends only on  $s$ , and the following inequality holds for an arbitrary point set  $P$  consisting of  $N$  elements:

$$(0.3) \quad D_N^*(P) \geq C \frac{(\log N)^{s-1}}{N}.$$

If (0.3) holds, then there exists a positive constant  $C$  that depends only on  $s$ , and any sequence  $S \subset I^s$  satisfies

$$(0.4) \quad D_N^*(S) \geq C \frac{(\log N)^s}{N}$$

for infinitely many  $N$ . Taking account of (0.3) and (0.4), we define a low-discrepancy sequence for the one-dimensional case as follows:

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $S$  be an one-dimensional sequence in  $[0, 1)$ . If  $S$  satisfies

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N D_N^*(S)}{\log N} = C \text{ (const),}$$

then  $S$  is called a low-discrepancy sequence.

Hereafter we consider only the case where  $s = 1$ . We now introduce the classical van der Corput sequence [1].

**Definition 0.2.** Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer. Every integer  $n \geq 0$  has a unique digit expansion

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(n) p^j, \quad a_j(n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \text{ for all } j \geq 0,$$

in base  $p$ . Then, the radical-inverse function  $\phi_p$  is defined by

$$\phi_p(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tau_j(a_j(n)) p^{-j-1} \quad \text{for all integers } n \geq 0,$$

where  $\tau_j$  is a permutation of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . The van der Corput sequence in base  $p$  is the sequence  $V_p = \{\phi_p(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 0.2** [1]. For an arbitrary integer  $p \geq 2$ ,  $V_p$  is a low-discrepancy sequence.

In the following part of this paper, the author defines a class of sequences by the use of  $\beta$ -adic transformation ([Rény 3], [Parry 2]) and shows that any member of this class is a low-discrepancy sequence when  $\beta = (L + \sqrt{L^2 + 4K})/2$ , where  $L$  and  $K$  are integers greater than 1 and satisfy  $K \leq L$ . When  $\beta$  is an integer greater than 2, the sequence becomes  $V_\beta$ .

### 1. $\beta$ -adic transformation

In this section we define the fibred system and the  $\beta$ -adic transformation, following [Schweiger 5] and [Takahashi 6].

$\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\mathbf{N}$  are the sets of all real numbers, all integers, and all natural numbers, respectively. For  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $B$  be a set and  $T : B \rightarrow B$  be a map. The pair  $(B, T)$  is called a fibred system if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) There is a finite countable set  $A$ .
- (b) There is a map  $k : B \rightarrow A$ , and the sets

$$B(i) = k^{-1}(\{i\}) = \{x \in B : k(x) = i\}$$

form a partition of  $B$ .

- (c) For an arbitrary  $i \in A$ ,  $T|_{B(i)}$  is injective.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\Omega = A^{\mathbf{N}}$  and  $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be the one-sided shift operator. Let  $k_j(x) = k(T^{j-1}x)$ . We derive a canonical map  $\varphi : B \rightarrow \Omega$  from

$$\varphi(x) = (k_j(x))_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$

$\varphi$  is called the representation map.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{T} & B \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \Omega & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Omega \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.3.** If a representation map  $\varphi$  is injective,  $\varphi$  is called a valid representation.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ . If  $\omega \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$ ,  $\omega$  is called an admissible sequence.

**Definition 1.5.** The cylinder of rank  $n$  defined by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$  is the set

$$B(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = B(a_1) \cap T^{-1}B(a_2) \cap \dots \cap T^{-n+1}B(a_n).$$

We define  $B$  to be a cylinder of rank 0.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\beta > 1$  and  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $f_\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a function defined by

$$f_\beta(x) = \beta x - [\beta x].$$

Let  $A = \mathbf{Z} \cap [0, \beta)$ . Then, we have the following fibred system  $([0, 1), f_\beta)$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [0, 1) & \xrightarrow{f_\beta} & [0, 1) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \Omega & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Omega \end{array}$$

The representation map  $\varphi$  of this fibred system is defined by

$$x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\beta^{n+1}} \iff \varphi(x) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots) \in \Omega.$$

This fibred system  $([0, 1), f_\beta)$  is called a  $\beta$ -adic transformation. In this situation, we define  $\zeta_\beta \in \Omega$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta_\beta = \lim_{x \nearrow 1} \varphi(x).$$

We also define  $X_\beta \subset \Omega$  to be the set of all admissible sequences.

For a sequence  $a \in \Omega$ , we write the  $i$ -th element of  $a$  as  $a(i)$ , that is,  $a = (a(1), a(2), \dots)$ . We remark that  $\varphi$  is not a valid representation at this point, because  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n - 1, \zeta_\beta(1), \zeta_\beta(2), \dots)$  are two different representations of the same  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \beta^{-i}$ . In this paper we adopt the former representation and make  $\varphi$  valid. We derive the following propositions directly from this definition.

**Proposition 1.1.**

$$X_\beta = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \sigma^n \omega \prec \zeta_\beta\},$$

where  $\omega \prec \psi$  means that  $\omega$  precedes  $\psi$  in lexicographical order.

**Proposition 1.2.** For an arbitrary  $i \in A$ ,

$$B(i) = \begin{cases} [\frac{i}{\beta}, \frac{i+1}{\beta}), & 0 \leq i < [\beta] \\ [\frac{[\beta]}{\beta}, 1), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

holds.

Let  $\rho_\beta(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^{-n-1}$ ; then, we have

$$\rho_\beta(X_\beta) = [0, 1]$$

and the following commutative diagram:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [0, 1) & \xrightarrow{f_\beta} & [0, 1) \\ \varphi \downarrow & \uparrow \rho_\beta & \rho_\beta \uparrow \quad \downarrow \varphi \\ \Omega & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Omega \end{array}$$

## 2. Constructing the sequence

In this section, a sequence  $N_\beta \subset [0, 1]$  is defined by the use of  $\beta$ -adic transformation. Let  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}_{>1}$  and let  $([0, 1], f_\beta)$  be a fibred system (1.3). Let  $B = [0, 1)$ , and  $A, \Omega, (X_\beta, \sigma), \rho_\beta, \varphi, \zeta_\beta, B(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be the same as in the previous section.

**Definition 2.1.** For an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $X_\beta(n), Y_\beta(n) \subset X_\beta, F_\beta(n) \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and  $G_\beta(n) \in \mathbf{Z}$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_\beta(n) &= \begin{cases} \{(0, 0, \dots)\}, & n = 0 \\ \{\omega \in X_\beta \mid \sigma^{n-1}\omega \neq (0, 0, \dots) \text{ and } \sigma^n\omega = (0, 0, \dots)\}, & n \neq 0 \end{cases} \\ Y_\beta(n) &= \cup_{i=0}^n X_\beta(i) \\ F_\beta(n) &= \#X_\beta(n) \\ G_\beta(n) &= \sum_{i=0}^n F_\beta(i) = \#Y_\beta(n) \end{aligned}$$

It is apparent that

$$F_\beta(n) \leq ([\beta] + 1)^{n-1}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** For an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , define  $l_n \in \mathbf{N}$  to satisfy  $G_\beta(l_n) < n \leq G_\beta(l_n + 1)$ . Define  $\tau_n : X_\beta(l_n) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^n A$  by  $\tau_n((k_1, \dots, k_n)) = (k_n, \dots, k_1)$ . Induce the right-to-left lexicographical or reverse right-to-left lexicographical order to  $X_\beta(l_n + 1) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{F_\beta(l_n + 1)}\}$ ; that is to say, for all  $i < j$ ,  $\tau_n(\omega_i) \prec \tau_n(\omega_j)$  or  $\tau_n(\omega_j) \prec \tau_n(\omega_i)$  holds, respectively. In this situation, the sequence  $N_\beta$  is defined as follows:

$$N_\beta = \{\rho_\beta(\omega_{n-l_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

In this paper, we assume that the elements of  $X_\beta(l_n + 1)$  are arranged in right-to-left lexicographical order.

From this definition, we immediately have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$  then  $N_\beta$  is  $V_\beta$ .*

From this proposition, we see that, if  $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $N_\beta$  is a low-discrepancy sequence. We also have the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $L, K \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $K \leq L$ . If  $\beta = (L + \sqrt{L^2 + 4K})/2$ , then  $N_\beta$  is a low-discrepancy sequence.*

To prove this theorem, we provide several lemmas, propositions, and definitions. We use the following notation for periodic sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_1, a_2, \dots, \dot{a}_n, \dots, \dot{a}_{n+m}) \\ &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m}, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}_{>1}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\zeta_\beta = (a_1, a_2, \dots, (a_m - 1))$ , then  $\{F_\beta(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{G_\beta(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfy the following linear recurrent equations:

$$(2.1.F) \quad F_\beta(n+m) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_\beta(n+m-i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1-m, n \neq 0$$

$$F_\beta(m) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_\beta(m-i) + 1 = 0$$

$$(2.1.G) \quad G_\beta(n+m) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G_\beta(n+m-i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n > 0.$$

Here we extend the definition of  $F_\beta(n)$  to  $F_\beta(-n) = 0$  ( $n > 0$ ).

*Proof.* It is apparent from the definition of  $\beta$ -adic transformation that

$$(2.2.a) \quad a_1 = \begin{cases} [\beta], & \beta \notin \mathbf{Z} \\ \beta - 1, & \beta \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2.b) \quad a_1 \geq \begin{cases} a_j, & j = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ a_m - 1, \end{cases}$$

hold. From Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_\beta(n+m) &= \{(x, \omega_1) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_1 - 1\}, \omega_1 \in X_\beta(n+m-1)\} \\ &\cup \{(a_1, x, \omega_2) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_2 - 1\}, \omega_2 \in X_\beta(n+m-2)\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\cup \{(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, x, \omega_m) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_m - 1\}, \omega_m \in X_\beta(n)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} X_\beta(0) &= \{(\dot{0})\} \\ X_\beta(1) &= \{(x, \dot{0}) \mid x \in \{1, \dots, a_1\}\} \\ X_\beta(2) &= \{(x, \omega_1) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_1 - 1\}, \omega_1 \in X_\beta(1)\} \\ &\cup \{(a_1, x, \dot{0}) \mid x \in \{1, \dots, a_2\}\} \\ &\vdots \\ X_\beta(m-1) &= \{(x, \omega_{m-2}) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_1 - 1\}, \omega_{m-2} \in X_\beta(m-2)\} \\ &\cup \{(a_1, x, \omega_{m-3}) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_2 - 1\}, \omega_{m-3} \in X_\beta(m-3)\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\cup \{(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, x, \dot{0}) \mid x \in \{1, \dots, a_{m-1}\}\} \\ X_\beta(m) &= \{(x, \omega_{m-1}) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_1 - 1\}, \omega_{m-1} \in X_\beta(m-1)\} \\ &\cup \{(a_1, x, \omega_{m-2}) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_2 - 1\}, \omega_{m-2} \in X_\beta(m-2)\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\cup \{(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, x, \dot{0}) \mid x \in \{1, \dots, a_m - 1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions, we set  $\{0, \dots, a_i - 1\} = \emptyset$  when  $a_i = 0$ . Remark  $a_1, a_m \geq 1$ . Then (2.1.F) holds. From Definition 2.1, (2.1.F), and

$$F_\beta(m) + F_\beta(0) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_\beta(m-i),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\beta(n+m) &= F_\beta(n+m) + F_\beta(n+m-1) + \dots + F_\beta(0) \\ &= a_1 F_\beta(n+m-1) + a_2 F_\beta(n+m-2) + \dots + a_m F_\beta(n) \\ &\quad + a_1 F_\beta(n+m-2) + a_2 F_\beta(n+m-3) + \dots + a_m F_\beta(n-1) \\ &\quad + \vdots \\ &\quad + a_1 F_\beta(m) + a_2 F_\beta(m-1) + \dots + a_m F_\beta(1) \\ &\quad + a_1 F_\beta(m-1) + a_2 F_\beta(m-2) + \dots + a_{m-1} F_\beta(0) \\ &\quad + a_1 F_\beta(m-2) + a_2 F_\beta(m-3) + \dots + a_{m-2} F_\beta(0) \\ &\quad + \vdots \\ &\quad + a_1 F_\beta(0) \\ &= a_1 G_\beta(n+m-1) + a_2 G_\beta(n+m-2) + \dots + a_m G_\beta(n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1.G) holds.

**Definition 2.3.** For  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in X_\beta(n)$ , define

$$d(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) = \min\{\max\{0, n-m\} \leq d \leq n \mid 1 \in \overline{B(\sigma^d(k_1, \dots, k_n))}\}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Y_\beta(n)$ . When  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in X_\beta(l)$  and  $l < n$ , we set  $k_{l+1} = \dots = k_n = 0$ . If  $\zeta_\beta = (a_1, a_2, \dots, (a_m - 1))$ , then

$$\lambda(B(k_1, \dots, k_n)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta^d} \sum_{i=n-d+1}^m \frac{a_i}{\beta^i}, & \text{when } d > n-m \\ \frac{1}{\beta^n}, & \text{when } d = n-m \end{cases}$$

where  $d = d(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  and  $\lambda$  is a one-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

*Proof.* From  $\zeta_\beta = (a_1, a_2, \dots, (a_m - 1))$  we have

$$(2.3.a) \quad 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{a_i}{\beta^i} = 0$$

$$(2.3.b) \quad 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{lm} \frac{\zeta_\beta(i)}{\beta^i} = \frac{1}{\beta^{ml}},$$

where  $l$  is an arbitrary positive integer. If  $\beta \in \mathbf{N}_{\geq 2}$ , this lemma is trivial. We assume that  $\beta \neq \mathbf{N}$ . We prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ . Consider the case in which  $n = 1$ . From the definition of  $f_\beta$ , (2.2), and (2.3.a), we have

$$\lambda(B(0)) = \lambda(B(1)) = \cdots = \lambda(B(a_1 - 1)) = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

and

$$\lambda(B(a_1)) = \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{a_i}{\beta^i}.$$

This means that the lemma's statement holds when  $n = 1$ . We show that this statement holds for  $(k_1, \dots, k_n, k_{n+1}) \in \cup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_\beta(i)$  under the induction hypothesis. For any  $n \geq 1$  and  $J \subset [0, 1)$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad f_\beta(f_\beta^{-n}(J)) = f_\beta^{-n+1}(J)$$

holds from  $f_\beta$ 's surjectivity. Consider the case in which  $k_1 = 0, 1, \dots, a_1 - 1$ , that is to say, the case in which  $d = d(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) \geq 1$  and  $d(k_2, \dots, k_{n+1}) = d - 1$ . In this case,  $f_\beta(B(k_1)) = [0, 1)$  holds; therefore, considering (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad f_\beta(B(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1})) = B(k_2, \dots, k_{n+1})$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda(f_\beta(J)) = \beta\lambda(J)$$

for an arbitrary  $J \subset B(k_1)$ . From the induction hypothesis,

$$\lambda(B(k_2, \dots, k_{n+1})) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta^{d-1}} \sum_{i=n-d}^m \frac{a_i}{\beta^i}, & \text{when } d-1 > n-m \\ \frac{1}{\beta^n}, & \text{when } d-1 = n-m \end{cases}$$

holds. Therefore, from (2.5) and (2.6), this lemma's statement holds. When  $d = 0$ , the statement follows from (2.3.a) and (2.3.b).

For a sequence  $S$ ,  $S[N]$  denotes the point set consisting of the first  $N$  elements of  $S$ , and  $S[N; M] = S[N + M] \setminus S[N]$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For an arbitrary  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Y_\beta(n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(B(k_1, \dots, k_n); N_\beta[G_\beta(m + d + l)]) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m-n+d} a_{n-d+i} G_\beta(m + d + l - n - i) & \text{when } d > n - m \\ G_\beta(l) & \text{when } d = n - m \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$



where  $d = d(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  and  $l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

*Proof.* When  $d = n - m$  holds, it is trivial. Assume that  $d > n - m$ . Let  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ . From Proposition 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega \in \cup_{i=0}^{m+d+l} X_\beta(i) \mid \rho_\beta(\omega) \in B(k_1, \dots, k_n)\} \\ &= \{(K, x, \omega_1) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_{n-d+1} - 1\}, \omega_1 \in Y_\beta(m + d + l - n - 1)\} \\ & \cup \{(K, a_{n-d+1}, x, \omega_2) \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_{n-d+2} - 1\}, \omega_2 \in Y_\beta(m + d + l - n - 2)\} \\ & \vdots \\ & \cup \{(K, a_{n-d+1}, \dots, a_{m-1}, x, \omega_{m-n+d}) \\ & \quad \mid x \in \{0, \dots, a_m - 1\}, \omega_{m-n+d} \in Y_\beta(l)\}, \end{aligned}$$

holds. In the above expressions, we set  $\{0, \dots, a_i - 1\} = \emptyset$  when  $a_i = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(B(k_1, \dots, k_n); N_\beta[G_\beta(n + l)]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n+d-1} a_{n-d+i} G_\beta(m + d + l - i) + a_m G_\beta(n + l) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n+d} a_{n-d+i} G_\beta(m + d + l - i). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* From the conditions of the theorem,

$$(2.7) \quad \zeta_\beta = (\dot{L}, (K - 1))$$

holds. Let  $\alpha = (L - \sqrt{L^2 + 4K})/2$ . Then we have

$$(2.8.F) \quad F_\beta(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} (\beta^{n-1}(\beta^2 - 1) - \alpha^{n-1}(\alpha^2 - 1)), & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.8.G) \quad G_\beta(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} (\beta^n(\beta + 1) - \alpha^n(\alpha + 1)), & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

from (2.7) and Lemma 2.1. Define  $Z_\beta(n)$  and  $H_\beta(n)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\beta(n) &= \{\omega \in Y_\beta(n) \mid \omega(n) \neq L\} \\ H_\beta(n) &= \#Z_\beta(n) \end{aligned}$$

The following partitionings of  $Y_\beta(n)$  and  $Z_\beta(n)$  hold.

$$(2.9.Y) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_\beta(n + 1) &= \{(\omega, x) \mid x \in \{0, 1, \dots, K - 1\}, \omega \in Y_\beta(n)\} \\ & \cup \{(\omega, x) \mid x \in \{K, K + 1, \dots, L\}, \omega \in Z_\beta(n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9.Z) \quad Z_\beta(n+1) = \{(\omega, x) \mid x \in \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}, \omega \in Y_\beta(n)\} \\ \cup \{(\omega, x) \mid x \in \{K, K+1, \dots, L-1\}, \omega \in Z_\beta(n)\}$$

Then we have

$$(2.10) \quad H_\beta(n+1) = KG_\beta(n) + (L-K)H_\beta(n) \\ G_\beta(n+1) = KG_\beta(n) + (L-K-1)H_\beta(n).$$

From (2.10) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$H_\beta(n+2) - LH_\beta(n+1) - KH_\beta(n) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

From the same discussion as in the proof of Lemma 2.3,

$$(2.11) \quad A(B(k_1, \dots, k_n); \rho_\beta(Z_\beta(2+d+l))) = \begin{cases} H_\beta(l), & d = n-2 \\ KH_\beta(l), & d = n-1 \\ H_\beta(l+2), & d = n \end{cases} \\ d = d(k_1, \dots, k_n)$$

holds for an arbitrary  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Y_\beta(n)$ . Define

$$\Delta(B; P) = A(B; P) - M\lambda(B),$$

where  $B$  is an interval in  $[0, 1)$  and  $P = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M\} \subset [0, 1)$ . For any set of points  $P, S$  in  $[0, 1)$ , and any interval  $B \subset [0, 1)$ ,

$$\Delta(B; P \cup S) = \Delta(B; P) + \Delta(B; S)$$

holds. Considering the order of  $N_\beta$  that we gave in Definition 2.2, we have

$$(2.12) \quad N_\beta[H_\beta(n)] = \rho_\beta(Z_\beta(n)).$$

From Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, (2.8.G), (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \Delta(B(k_1, \dots, k_n); N_\beta[G_\beta(2+d+l)]) \\ = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^n - 1 \right) \alpha^l, & d = n-2 \\ \frac{K(\alpha+1)}{\beta-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{n+1} - 1 \right) \alpha^l, & d = n-1 \\ \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^n - 1 \right) \alpha^{l+2}, & d = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \Delta(B(k_1, \dots, k_n); N_\beta[H_\beta(2+d+l)]) \\ = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^n - 1 \right) \alpha^{l+1}, & d = n-2 \\ \frac{K}{\beta-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{n+1} - 1 \right) \alpha^{l+1}, & d = n-1 \\ \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^n - 1 \right) \alpha^{l+3}, & d = n \end{cases}$$

where  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Y_\beta(n)$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $d = d(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ . Define the truncating operator  $r_k : X_\beta \rightarrow Y_\beta(k)$  as follows:

$$r_k(\omega) = \begin{cases} \omega, & \text{when } \omega \in X_\beta(j), j \leq k \\ (\omega(1), \dots, \omega(k)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For any  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$  and any cylinder  $B$  of rank less than  $k$ ,

$$(2.15) \quad A(B; N_\beta[i; j]) = A(B; r_k(N_\beta[i; j]))$$

holds. Let  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Y_\beta(n)$ , let  $d = d(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ , and let  $M$  be an arbitrary integer greater than  $G_\beta(2 + d)$ . Let  $l$  be an integer satisfying

$$G_\beta(2 + d + l) \leq M < G_\beta(2 + d + l + 1).$$

Applying partitioning (2.9.Y) and (2.9.Z) recursively for  $Y_\beta(2 + d + l + 1)$ , we obtain the following partitioning of  $N_\beta[G_\beta(2 + d + l + 1)]$ :

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & N_\beta[G_\beta(2 + d + l + 1)] \\ &= N_\beta[G_\beta(2 + d + l)] \\ & \quad \cup N_\beta[G_\beta(2 + d + l); G_\beta(2 + d + l)] \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad \cup N_\beta[(K - 1)G_\beta(2 + d + l); G_\beta(2 + d + l)] \\ & \quad \cup N_\beta[KG_\beta(2 + d + l); H_\beta(2 + d + l)] \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad \cup N_\beta[KG_\beta(2 + d + l) + (L - K - 1)H_\beta(2 + d + l); H_\beta(2 + d + l)] \\ & \quad \cup N_\beta[KG_\beta(2 + d + l) + (L - K)H_\beta(2 + d + l); G_\beta(2 + d + l - 1)] \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad \cup N_\beta[KG_\beta(2 + d + l) + (L - K)H_\beta(2 + d + l) + KG_\beta(2 + d + l - 1) \\ & \quad \quad ; H_\beta(2 + d + l - 1)] \\ & \quad \cup \\ & \quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

Partition  $N_\beta[M]$  in the same way as (2.16); then, from (2.15), the additivity of  $\Delta$ ,

(2.9.Y), (2.9.Z), and the order we induced to  $N_\beta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(B; N_\beta[M]) \\
& \leq K |\Delta(B; N_\beta[G_\beta(2+d+l)])| + (L-K) |\Delta(B; N_\beta[H_\beta(2+d+l)])| \\
& \quad + K |\Delta(B; N_\beta[G_\beta(1+d+l)])| + (L-K-1) |\Delta(B; N_\beta[H_\beta(1+d+l)])| \\
& \quad + K |\Delta(B; N_\beta[G_\beta(d+l)])| + (L-K-1) |\Delta(B; N_\beta[H_\beta(d+l)])| \\
& \quad \vdots \\
(2.17) \quad & + K |\Delta(B; N_\beta[G_\beta(2+d+1)])| + (L-K-1) |\Delta(B; N_\beta[H_\beta(2+d+1)])| \\
& \quad + K |\Delta(B; N_\beta[G_\beta(2+d)])| + (L-K) |\Delta(B; N_\beta[H_\beta(2+d)])| \\
& \leq K \sum_{i=0}^l |\Delta(B; N_\beta[G_\beta(2+d+i)])| \\
& \quad + (L-K) \sum_{i=0}^l |\Delta(B; N_\beta[H_\beta(2+d+i)])|
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B = B(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ . From (2.13), (2.14), (2.17) and the fact that  $|\alpha| < 1 < |\beta|$ , there exists a constant  $C_1$  that satisfies the following inequality (2.18) for any cylinder  $B(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  of any rank  $n$  and any integer  $M > G_\beta(2+d)$ .

$$(2.18) \quad |\Delta(B(k_1, \dots, k_n); N_\beta[M])| < C_1$$

Choose an arbitrary  $u \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $l$  be an integer that satisfies

$$G_\beta(l) \leq M < G_\beta(l+1).$$

Let  $B(u_1, \dots, u_l)$  be a cylinder of rank  $l$  that satisfies  $u \in B(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad [0, u) &= B_{t_1} \sqcup B_{t_2} \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_{t_k} \sqcup R \\
& \quad 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k = l
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{t_i}$  is a cylinder of rank  $t_i$  and  $\lambda(R) < \beta^{-l}$ . From (2.8.G), there exist constants  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  that satisfy  $l < C_2 \log M$  and  $M\beta^{-l} < C_3$ . Then, from (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$|\Delta([0, u); N_\beta[M])| < C_1 C_2 \log M + C_3.$$

The theorem follows from this.

## References

1. Harald Niederreiter, *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, 1992.
2. W. Parry, *On the  $\beta$ -expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar **11** (1960), 401–416.
3. A. Rény, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar **8** (1957), 477–493.
4. W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution VII*, Acta Arith. **21** (1972), 45–50.
5. Fritz Schweiger, *Ergodic Theory of Fibred Systems and Metric Number Theory*, Oxford science publications, 1995.
6. Y. Takahashi, *Kukanrikigakukei no Kaosu to Shuhkiten*, (in Japanese), Tokyo Metropolitan University Seminar Report.

IBM Research, Tokyo Research Laboratory 1623-14, Shimotsuruma, Yamato-shi, Kanagawa-ken 242, Japan

*E-mail address:* ninomiya@trl.ibm.co.jp