

## ON THE DETERMINATION OF THE HEAT CONDUCTIVITY FROM THE HEAT FLOW

東京水産大 上村 豊 (YUTAKA KAMIMURA)

### Introduction

We study the inverse problem to determine  $a(t)$  of the parabolic system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a(t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} & (0 < x < \infty, 0 < t < T), \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & (0 \leq x < \infty), \\ u(0, t) = f(t) & (0 \leq t < T), \\ -a(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, t) = g(t) & (0 < t < T), \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

so that this (overspecified) system admits a classical solution  $u(x, t)$  satisfying, for each  $T' < T$ ,

$$\sup_{0 < t < T'} \left\{ |u(x, t)| + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, t) \right| \right\} = O(e^{x^\alpha}) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (0.2)$$

with some constant  $\alpha < 2$ .

This problem was studied by several authors ([1,2,3,5]), and various existence and uniqueness results were established. However they have been accomplished under the assumption that  $f(t)$  is a monotonically nondecreasing function. The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the problem without this assumption.

Let us assume that

(I)  $a(t)$  is positive and continuous for  $0 \leq t < T$ ,

(II)  $f(t)$  is continuous for  $0 \leq t < T$  and  $f(0) = 0$ .

Then the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a(t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} & (0 < x < \infty, 0 < t < T), \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & (0 \leq x < \infty), \\ u(0, t) = f(t) & (0 \leq t < T), \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable under the assumption (0.2), and the solution  $u(x, t)$  can be expressed as

$$u(x, t) = -2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \left( x, \int_\tau^t a(\tau) d\tau \right) a(\tau) f(\tau) d\tau,$$

where  $H(x, t)$  is the fundamental solution of the heat equation:

$$H(x, t) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4t}\right).$$

Hence, as was shown in [2] (also see [5]), if  $f$  is differentiable then the inverse problem mentioned in the beginning is equivalent to finding a positive solution  $a(t)$  of the nonlinear integral equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} a(t) \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_\tau^t a(r) dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau = g(t) \quad (0 < t < T). \quad (0.3)$$

We hereafter focus our attention on the equation (0.3). The main goal here is to show that the equation (0.3) is solvable near  $t = 0$  and the continuation of the solution can be made as far as it is bounded above, without the monotonicity of  $f(t)$ .

Throughout this paper we use the notation

$$C_+(I) := \{a(t) \in C(I) \mid a(t) > 0 \quad (t \in I)\}.$$

In Section 1 we shall establish a uniqueness result. In Section 2 we shall establish a local existence result. In Section 3 we shall discuss the continuation of solution. The main result will be given in Section 4.

## 1. Uniqueness

In this section we shall establish the following uniqueness result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that*

- (i)  $f(t) \in C[0, T] \cap C^1(0, T)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-\mu} f'(t) > 0$  with some  $\mu > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $g(t) \in C_+(0, T)$ .

*If  $a_1(t), a_2(t) \in C_+[0, T]$  are solutions of (0.3) then  $a_1(t) \equiv a_2(t)$ .*

Before the proof we shall give some remarks on the assumptions:

*Remark 1.2.* By the substitution  $\tau = t\rho$ , (0.3) can be rewritten as

$$t^{\mu-1/2} a(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a(tr) dr\right)^{1/2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}} = \sqrt{\pi} g(t) \quad (0 < t < T). \quad (1.1)$$

Accordingly the assumption (i) implies that there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/2-\mu} g(t) > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

In addition to the assumption (ii) we assume that  $g(t) \in C[0, T]$ . Then it follows from (1.2) that the condition  $\mu \geq 1/2$  is necessary. Moreover if (0.3) has a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T]$  then (0.3) holds even at  $t = 0$ .

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $T_1 \in (0, T)$  be fixed. By (1.1) we obtain for  $0 < t \leq T_1$ ,

$$a_2(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a_2(tr) dr\right)^{1/2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}} = a_1(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a_1(tr) dr\right)^{1/2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}}. \quad (1.3)$$

By taking the limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , this yields

$$a_2(0) = a_1(0). \quad (1.4)$$

We put

$$b(t) := a_2(t) - a_1(t), \quad p(t) := \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a_2(tr) dr\right)^{1/2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}}.$$

Then, from (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(t)p(t) &= (a_2(t) - a_1(t))p(t) \\ &= a_1(t) \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a_1(tr) dr\right)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a_2(tr) dr\right)^{1/2}} \right\} (t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}} \\ &= a_1(t) \int_0^1 \frac{\int_\rho^1 b(tr) dr}{\prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\int_\rho^1 a_j(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\int_\rho^1 a_j(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \right]} (t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}} \\ &= a_1(t) \int_0^1 b(t\sigma) d\sigma \int_0^\sigma \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\int_\rho^1 a_j(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\int_\rho^1 a_j(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \right]} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used interchange of the order of integration. Therefore, by setting

$$\Phi(t, \sigma) := \frac{a_1(t)}{p(t)} \int_0^\sigma \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\int_\rho^1 a_j(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\int_\rho^1 a_j(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \right]} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}},$$

we arrive at

$$b(t) = \int_0^1 \Phi(t, \sigma) b(t\sigma) d\sigma \quad (0 \leq t \leq T'). \quad (1.5)$$

In view of (1.1),  $p(t) = \sqrt{\pi} t^{1/2-\mu} a_2(t)^{-1} g(t)$ . Hence, by the assumption (ii),  $p(t)$  is positive for  $0 < t \leq T'$ . But, in view of the definition of  $p(t)$  and the assumption (i),  $p(t)$  is a continuous function on the interval  $[0, T_1]$  with  $p(0) > 0$ . So  $\min_{0 \leq t \leq T'} p(t) =: c > 0$ . This shows that

$$|\Phi(t, \sigma)| \leq M_1 \int_0^\sigma \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{3/2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\mu}} \leq \frac{M}{(1-\sigma)^{1/2}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, from (1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\sigma) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi(t, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\int_0^1 \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}}} \int_0^\sigma \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{3/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{B(\mu, 1/2)} \int_0^\sigma \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{3/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} > 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the beta function. Note that this convergence is uniform with respect to  $\sigma$  in the following sense:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq \sigma < 1} (1-\sigma)^{1/2} |\Phi(t, \sigma) - \Phi(\sigma)| = 0.\tag{1.8}$$

We now define

$$J_\Phi z(t) := \int_0^1 \Phi(\sigma) z(t\sigma) d\sigma \quad (0 \leq t \leq \Lambda)$$

for all  $z(t)$  in the Banach space  $C[0, \Lambda]$  of all continuous functions on  $[0, \Lambda]$  (with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\Lambda$  given  $\|z\|_\Lambda := \max_{0 \leq t \leq \Lambda} |z(t)|$ ). Then  $J_\Phi$  is a bounded linear operator from  $C[0, \Lambda]$  to itself, and the operator norm  $\|J_\Phi\|_\Lambda$  of  $J_\Phi : C[0, \Lambda] \rightarrow C[0, \Lambda]$  is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_\Phi\|_\Lambda &= \int_0^1 \Phi(\sigma) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{B(\mu, 1/2)} \int_0^1 d\sigma \int_0^\sigma \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{3/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{B(\mu, 1/2)} \int_0^1 \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{3/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} \int_\rho^1 d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, by means of the Neumann series, the operator  $I - J_\Phi : C[0, \Lambda] \rightarrow C[0, \Lambda]$  has the bounded inverse  $(I - J_\Phi)^{-1}$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity operator in  $C[0, \Lambda]$ .

Since (1.5) can be written as

$$(I - J_\Phi)b(t) = \int_0^1 [\Phi(t, \sigma) - \Phi(\sigma)] b(t\sigma) d\sigma,$$

we obtain for  $0 < \Lambda \leq T_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|b\|_\Lambda &\leq \|(I - J_\Phi)^{-1}\|_\Lambda \max_{0 \leq t \leq \Lambda} \int_0^1 |\Phi(t, \sigma) - \Phi(\sigma)| d\sigma \|b\|_\Lambda \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^1 \max_{0 \leq t \leq \Lambda} (1-\sigma)^{1/2} |\Phi(t, \sigma) - \Phi(\sigma)| \frac{d\sigma}{(1-\sigma)^{1/2}} \|b\|_\Lambda.\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (1.8), shows that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|b\|_\delta = 0$ , that is,  $b(t) = 0$  for any  $t \in [0, \delta]$ .

For  $\delta \leq t \leq T_1$  it follows from (1.5), (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |b(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \Phi(t, \sigma) b(t\sigma) d\sigma \right| \leq M \int_0^1 \frac{|b(t\sigma)|}{(1-\sigma)^{1/2}} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{M}{t^{1/2}} \int_\delta^t \frac{|b(\tau)|}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}} d\tau \leq \frac{M}{\delta^{1/2}} \int_\delta^t \frac{|b(\tau)|}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$|b(t)| \leq \frac{M^2}{\delta} \int_\delta^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}} \int_\delta^\tau \frac{|b(s)|}{(\tau-s)^{1/2}} ds = \pi \frac{M^2}{\delta} \int_\delta^t |b(s)| ds \quad (\delta \leq t \leq T_1).$$

By virtue of Gronwall's inequality this shows that  $b(t) = 0$  ( $\delta \leq t \leq T_1$ ). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

We wish to point out that, even under the assumption that  $f(t)$  is monotonically nondecreasing, there appear cases in which Theorem 1.1 is of vital importance. For instance, we consider the case  $f(t) \equiv t, g(t) = (2/\sqrt{\pi})t^{1/2}$ . Then it is clear that  $a(t) \equiv 1$  is a solution of (0.3). Since the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 are satisfied we can apply the theorem to conclude that this trivial solution is a unique solution of (0.3).

## 2. Local existence

In this section we shall establish the following local existence theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that, with some  $\mu > 0$ ,*

(i)  $f(t) \in C[0, T] \cap C^1(0, T), \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-\mu} f'(t) > 0;$

(ii)  $g(t) \in C_+(0, T), \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/2-\mu} g(t) > 0.$

*Then, for sufficiently small  $T_0 > 0$ , (0.3) has a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_0]$ .*

Since the assumptions (i) and (ii) imply that  $f'(t) > 0, g(t) > 0$  near  $t = 0$ , in the case  $1/2 \leq \mu$ , this result is a direct consequence of [5, Theorem 3]; and also, in the case  $1/2 \leq \mu < 1$ , of [2, Theorem 4]. We give an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1, however, in order to make the present paper readable, and in order to make the spirit in the paper transparent.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $f(t), g(t)$  be a function satisfying (i), (ii) and put

$$P := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-\mu} f'(t); \quad Q := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/2-\mu} g(t),$$

Moreover we define a function  $g_0(t)$  by

$$g_0(t) := \frac{Q/P}{B(\mu, 1/2)} \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}} d\tau = \frac{Q/P}{B(\mu, 1/2)} t^{\mu-1/2} \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho, \quad (2.1)$$

and consider a mapping defined by

$$F(a(t)) = t^{1/2-\mu} a(t) \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_\tau^t a(r) dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau - \sqrt{\pi} t^{1/2-\mu} g_0(t).$$

It is easy to see that the constant function

$$a_0(t) := \left( \sqrt{\pi} \frac{Q/P}{B(\mu, 1/2)} \right)^2$$

satisfies  $F(a(t)) = 0$ , and that, for each  $T_1 < T$ ,  $F$  is a  $C^1$ -mapping of an open neighbourhood of  $a_0$  in  $C[0, T_1]$  to  $C[0, T_1]$ . The Fréchet derivative  $F_a(a_0)$  at  $a_0$  is computed as,

$$\begin{aligned} F_a(a_0)a(t) &= At^{1/2-\mu} \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}} d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{3/2}} d\tau \int_\tau^t a(r) dr \right\} \\ &= At^{1/2-\mu} \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}} d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t a(r) dr \int_0^r \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{3/2}} d\tau \right\}, \\ &= A \left\{ \omega(t)a(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 a(t\sigma) d\sigma \int_0^\sigma \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{3/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $a(t) \in C[0, T_1]$ . Here we set

$$A := \left( \sqrt{\pi} \frac{Q/P}{B(\mu, 1/2)} \right)^{-1}, \quad \omega(t) := \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho$$

Let  $h(t) \in C[0, T_1]$  and consider the equation

$$F_a(a_0)a(t) = h(t), \quad (0 \leq t \leq T_1). \quad (2.2)$$

By assumption, the function  $\omega(t)$  is positive for sufficiently small  $t$ . Hence, if  $T_1$  is sufficiently small then the equation (2.2) is equivalent to

$$a(t) - \int_0^1 \Omega(t, \sigma) a(t\sigma) d\sigma = \tilde{h}(t), \quad (0 \leq t \leq T_1), \quad (2.3)$$

where we put

$$\Omega(t, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2\omega(t)} \int_0^\sigma \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho, \quad \tilde{h}(t) := (A\omega(t))^{-1} h(t).$$

By interchange of the order of integration we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 |\Omega(t, \sigma)| d\sigma = \frac{1}{2B(1/2, \mu)} \int_0^1 d\sigma \int_0^\sigma \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{3/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} = 1/2.$$

Therefore, by means of the Neumann series, the equation (2.3) is uniquely solvable in the space  $C[0, T_1]$ , provided that  $T_1$  is sufficiently small. This shows that  $F_a(a_0) : C[0, T_1] \rightarrow C[0, T_1]$  has a bounded linear inverse. Hence, by the implicit function theorem (see e.g. [4, Theorem 1.20]), we conclude that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the equation  $F(a(t)) = h(t)$  has a solution  $a(t)$  in  $C[0, T_1]$  if  $\max_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} |h(t)| < \delta$ .

We now set

$$k(t) := \sqrt{\pi}t^{1/2-\mu}g(t) - \sqrt{\pi}t^{1/2-\mu}g_0(t).$$

By the definition (2.1) it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} k(t) = 0$ . Noting that  $\delta$  may depend on  $T_1$  we introduce a function  $\tilde{k}(t)$  so that  $\tilde{k}(t) = k(t)$  near 0 : in  $[0, T_1']$ , say; and so that  $\max_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} |\tilde{k}(t)| < \delta$ . Then  $F(a)(t) = \tilde{k}(t)$  has a solution  $a(t)$  in  $C[0, T_1]$ . Then  $a(t)$  satisfies (0.3) for  $0 \leq t \leq T_2'$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

### 3. Continuation

In this section we shall establish the following continuation theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that*

(i)  $f(t) \in C[0, T] \cap C^1(0, T)$ ;

(ii)  $g(t) \in C_+(0, T)$ .

Let  $0 < T_1 < T$  and there exists a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_1]$  of (0.3). Then the solution  $a(t)$  can be continued to the right of  $T_1$ .

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the use of the implicit function theorem in an appropriate function space setting. Let  $T_2$  be fixed so that  $T_1 < T_2 < T$  and define a constant function  $a_0(t)$  in the interval  $[T_1, T_2]$  by  $a_0(t) \equiv a(T_0)$  and  $\tilde{a}(t)$  in the interval  $[0, T_2]$  by

$$\tilde{a}(t) := \begin{cases} a(t) & (0 \leq t \leq T_1), \\ a_0(t) & (T_1 \leq t \leq T_2). \end{cases}$$

Moreover we define a function  $g_0(t)$  in  $[T_1, T_2]$  by

$$g_0(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} a_0(t) \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_\tau^t \tilde{a}(r) dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $X$  be a function space defined by

$$X := \{b(t) \in C[T_1, T_2] \mid b(T_1) = 0\}$$

with the maximal norm, and consider the mapping

$$F(b)(t) := (a_0(t) + b(t)) \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_\tau^t \tilde{a}(r) + \tilde{b}(r) dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau - \sqrt{\pi}g_0(t) \quad (T_0 \leq t \leq T_1),$$

where

$$\tilde{b}(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & (0 \leq t \leq T_1), \\ b(t) & (T_1 \leq t \leq T_2). \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $F(0) = 0$ . Moreover we have:

**Lemma 3.2.**  $F$  is a  $C^1$ -mapping of an open neighbourhood of 0 in  $X$  to  $X$ . The Fréchet derivative  $F_b(0)$  at 0 is written as, for  $b \in X$ ,

$$F_b(0)b(t) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{g_0(t)}{a_0(t)} b(t) - \frac{1}{2} a_0(t) \int_{T_1}^t b(s) ds \int_0^s \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t \tilde{a}(r) dr\right)^{3/2}} d\tau. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* It is easy to see that  $F(b)$  is a continuous mapping of an open neighbourhood of 0 in  $X$  to  $X$ . The Fréchet derivative  $F_b(b_0)$  at  $b_0$  is computed as,

$$\begin{aligned} F_b(b_0)b(t) = & b(t) \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t \tilde{a}(r) + \tilde{b}_0(r) dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (a_0(t) + b_0(t)) \int_{T_1}^t b(s) ds \int_0^s \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t \tilde{a}(r) + \tilde{b}_0(r) dr\right)^{3/2}} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for  $b(t) \in X$ . As is easily seen,  $F_b(b_0)$  is continuous in  $b_0$  in the sense of operator norm. In the case  $b_0 = 0$  we have

$$F_b(0)b(t) = b(t) \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t \tilde{a}(r) dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau - \frac{1}{2} a_0(t) \int_{T_1}^t b(s) ds \int_0^s \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t \tilde{a}(r) dr\right)^{3/2}} d\tau,$$

which, together with (3.1), yields (3.2). The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.

We now let  $\phi(t) \in X$  and consider the equation

$$F_b(0)b(t) = \phi(t) \quad (T_1 \leq t \leq T_2). \quad (3.3)$$

If  $T_2$  is sufficiently near  $T_1$  then  $g_0(t) > 0$  for  $T_1 \leq t \leq T_2$ . Therefore (3.3) is equivalent to

$$b(t) - \int_{T_1}^t L(t, s) b(s) ds = \tilde{\phi}(t) \quad (T_1 \leq t \leq T_2), \quad (3.4)$$

where we set

$$L(t, s) := -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{a_0(t)^2}{g_0(t)} \int_0^s \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t \tilde{a}(r) dr\right)^{3/2}} d\tau \quad (T_1 \leq s \leq t \leq T_2),$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(t) := \frac{a_0(t)}{\sqrt{\pi} g_0(t)} \phi(t) \quad (T_1 \leq t \leq T_2).$$

Since  $\tilde{a}(r) > 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T_2$  there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|L(t, s)| \leq M(t-s)^{-1/2}$ . So, by a standard solving method (see e.g [6, §39]) of the Volterra equation of the second kind, it follows that (3.4) has a unique solution  $b(t)$  in  $X$  for each  $\tilde{\phi}(t) \in X$ , and that the correspondence  $\tilde{\phi}(t) \mapsto b(t)$  is a bounded linear operator in  $X$ . This shows that  $F_b(0) : X \rightarrow X$  has a bounded linear inverse.



Hence, by the implicit function theorem (see e.g. [4, Theorem 1.20]), we conclude that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the equation  $F(b)(t) = \sqrt{\pi}(g(t) - g_0(t))$  has a solution  $b(t)$  in  $X$  if  $\max_{T_1 \leq t \leq T_2} \sqrt{\pi}|g(t) - g_0(t)| < \delta$ . Noting that  $\delta$  may depend on  $T_2$  we introduce a function  $\tilde{g}(t)$  so that  $\tilde{g}(t) = g(t)$  near  $T_1$  : in  $[T_1, T_2']$ , say; and so that  $\max_{T_1 \leq t \leq T_2} \sqrt{\pi}|\tilde{g}(t) - g_0(t)| < \delta$ . Then  $F(b)(t) = \sqrt{\pi}(\tilde{g}(t) - g_0(t))$  has a solution  $b(t)$  in  $X$ . Using the solution  $b(t)$  we set  $a(t) := a_0(t) + b(t)$ . Then  $a(t)$  satisfies (0.3) for  $T_1 \leq t \leq T_2'$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

#### 4. Alternative theorem

In this section we shall establish the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that, with some  $\mu > 0$ ,*

(i)  $f(t) \in C[0, T] \cap C^1(0, T)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-\mu} f'(t) > 0$ ;

(ii)  $g(t) \in C_+(0, T)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/2-\mu} g(t) > 0$ .

*Then a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_1)$  of (0.3) that does not become infinite as  $t \rightarrow T_1$  can be continued to the right of  $T_1$ .*

An obvious consequence of Theorem 4.1 is the following:

**Corollary 4.2.** *Assume (i) and (ii). If a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_*)$  of (0.3) can not be continued any further, then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_*} a(t) = +\infty$ .*

We base the proof of Theorem 4.1 on the following *a priori* property of solutions of (0.3):

**Lemma 4.3.** *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 4.1, a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_1)$  of (0.3) for some  $T_1 < T$  satisfies  $\inf_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T_1' < T_1$ . From (1.1) we have for  $0 \leq t \leq T_1'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \sqrt{\pi} \min_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (t^{1/2-\mu} g(t)) &\leq \left| a(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho \right| \\ &\leq a(t) \frac{\max_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} |t^{1-\mu} f'(t)|}{\left(\min_{0 \leq t \leq T_1'} a(t)\right)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{d\rho}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi} \min_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (t^{1/2-\mu} g(t))}{B(1/2, \mu) \max_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} |t^{1-\mu} f'(t)|} \leq \left(\min_{0 \leq t \leq T_1'} a(t)\right)^{1/2}$$

Noting that the left side is a constant independent of  $T_1'$ , we complete the proof.

Lemma 4.3 leads to the following *alternative* for a solution of (0.3):

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume (i) and (ii) in Theorem 4.1, and let  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_1)$  be a solution of (0.3) for some  $T_1 < T$ . Then, either  $a(t)$  tends to a finite, positive value as  $t \rightarrow T_1$ :  $0 < \lim_{t \rightarrow T_1} a(t) < \infty$ ; or  $a(t)$  tends to infinity as  $t \rightarrow T_1$ :  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_1} a(t) = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* We proceed in two steps.

**Step 1.** We shall show that if  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) < \infty$  then  $\sup_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t) < \infty$ . By the assumption there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow T_1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\sup_k a(t_k) \leq M_1 < \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

with some constant  $M_1$  independent of  $k$ . The equation (0.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\pi}g(t) = & a(t) \int_0^{t_k} \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} a(r)dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau \\ & + a(t) \int_0^{t_k} \left( \frac{1}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} a(r)dr\right)^{1/2}} \right) f'(\tau) d\tau \\ & + a(t) \int_{t_k}^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\sqrt{\pi}g(t) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{g(t_k)}{a(t_k)} a(t) + I_1(t, t_k) + I_2(t, t_k), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t, t_k) := & -a(t) \int_{t_k}^t a(r)dr \times \\ & \times \int_0^{t_k} \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \right\}} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$I_2(t, t_k) := a(t) \int_{t_k}^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau.$$

By subtracting  $g(t_k)$  from (4.2) we get

$$\sqrt{\pi}(a(t) - a(t_k)) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} (g(t) - g(t_k)) - \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} I_1(t, t_k) - \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} I_2(t, t_k) \quad (4.3)$$

for  $t \geq t_k$ . By setting

$$\begin{aligned} b_k(t) := & a(t) - a(t_k), \quad \varphi(t, t_k) := \\ = & \int_0^{t_k} \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} a(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \right\}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi(t, t_k) := \int_{t_k}^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{\left(\int_{\tau}^t a(r)dr\right)^{1/2}} d\tau,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(t, t_k) &= -(b_k(t) + a(t_k)) \int_{t_k}^t (b_k(r) + a(t_k)) dr \varphi(t, t_k) \\
&= -\varphi(t, t_k) b_k(t) \int_{t_k}^t b_k(r) dr - a(t_k) \varphi(t, t_k) \int_{t_k}^t b_k(r) dr \\
&\quad - b_k(t)(t - t_k) a(t_k) \varphi(t, t_k) - a(t_k)^2 (t - t_k) \varphi(t, t_k), \\
I_2(t, t_k) &= b_k(t) \psi(t, t_k) + a(t_k) \psi(t, t_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (4.3) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[ \sqrt{\pi} - \frac{a(t_k)^2}{g(t_k)} (t - t_k) \varphi(t, t_k) + \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} \psi(t, t_k) \right] b_k(t) \\
&= A(t) + \frac{a(t_k)^2}{g(t_k)} \varphi(t, t_k) \int_{t_k}^t b_k(r) dr + \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} b_k(t) \varphi(t, t_k) \int_{t_k}^t b_k(r) dr,
\end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$A(t) := \sqrt{\pi} \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} (g(t) - g(t_k)) + \frac{a(t_k)^3}{g(t_k)} (t - t_k) \varphi(t, t_k) - \frac{a(t_k)^2}{g(t_k)} \psi(t, t_k).$$

We now set  $m_a := \inf_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t)$ ,  $M_f := \max_{t_1 \leq t \leq T_1} |f'(t)|$ . Note that  $m_a > 0$  by Lemma 4.3. It follows that for  $t_k \leq t < T_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(t, t_k)| &\leq \frac{M_f}{m_a^{3/2}} \int_0^{t_k} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)(t_k - \tau)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{M_2}{(t - t_k)^{1/2}} \\
|\psi(t, t_k)| &\leq \frac{M_f}{m_a^{1/2}} \int_{t_k}^t \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1/2}} \leq M_2 (t - t_k)^{1/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

with a constant  $M_2$  independent of  $k$ . This, together with (4.2), shows that

$$\left| -\frac{a(t_k)^2}{g(t_k)} (t - t_k) \varphi(t, t_k) + \frac{a(t_k)}{g(t_k)} \psi(t, t_k) \right| \leq \sqrt{\pi} - 1 \quad (k \geq N_1),$$

if we take  $N_1$  sufficiently large. Accordingly, from (4.1) and (4.4), we have

$$|b_k(t)| \leq |A(t)| + \frac{M_3 + M_4 |b_k(t)|}{(t - t_k)^{1/2}} \int_{t_k}^t |b_k(r)| dr \quad (k \geq N_1).$$

So, for  $k \geq N_1$ , if  $|b_k(t)| \leq 1$  then for  $t_k \leq t < T_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_k(t)| &\leq |A(t)| + \frac{M_3 + M_4}{(t - t_k)^{1/2}} \int_{t_k}^t |b_k(r)| dr \\
&\leq |A(t)| + \frac{M_3 + M_4}{(t - t_k)^{1/2}} \int_{t_k}^t \left\{ |A(r)| + \frac{M_3 + M_4}{(r - t_k)^{1/2}} \int_{t_k}^r |b_k(s)| ds \right\} dr \\
&\leq B(t) + (M_3 + M_4)^2 \int_{t_k}^t |b_k(s)| ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(t) := |A(t)| + \frac{M_3 + M_4}{(t - t_k)^{1/2}} \int_{t_k}^t |A(r)| dr.$$

By the definition of  $A(t)$  and (4.4), it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k} B(t) = 0$  uniformly with respect to  $k$ . This, together with Gronwall's inequality, shows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k} b_k(t) = 0$  uniformly with respect to  $k$ . Hence if we take  $N (\geq N_1)$  sufficiently large then  $|b_k(t)| \leq 1/2$  for  $k \geq N$ ,  $t_k \leq t < T_1$ , provided that  $|b_k(t)| \leq 1$ . In other words, for  $k \geq N$ ,  $t_k \leq t \leq T_1$ , either  $|b_k(t)| \geq 1$  or  $|b_k(t)| \leq 1/2$ . But the former does not occur because  $b_k(t)$  is a continuous function in the interval with  $b_k(t_k) = 0$ . Thereby we conclude that there exists a number  $N$  such that, for  $k \geq N$ ,  $a(t) \leq a(t_k) + 1/2$  in the interval  $t_k \leq t < T_1$ . This shows that  $\sup_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t) < \infty$ .

**Step 2.** We shall show that if  $\sup_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t) < \infty$  then  $a(t)$  tends to a finite, positive value as  $t \rightarrow T_1$ . Let  $T_0 \leq s \leq t < T_1$ . Using (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\pi}(a(t) - a(s)) &= \sqrt{\pi} \frac{a(s)}{g(s)} (g(t) - g(s)) - \frac{a(s)}{g(s)} I_1(t, s) - \frac{a(s)}{g(s)} I_2(t, s) \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} \frac{a(s)}{g(s)} (g(t) - g(s)) + \frac{a(s)a(t)}{g(s)} \int_s^t a(r) dr \varphi(t, s) - \frac{a(s)a(t)}{g(s)} \psi(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this equality, the assumption  $\sup_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t) < \infty$ , (4.4), and the uniform continuity of  $g(t)$  that  $a(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, T_1)$ . Hence  $a(t)$  is extended as a continuous function on  $[0, T_1]$ . The proof of Lemma 4.4 is complete.

We now give the

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* If a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_1)$  of (0.3) does not become infinite as  $t \rightarrow T_1$ , then, by Lemma 4.4,  $a(t)$  is extended as a positive solution on  $[0, T_1]$ . So, by Theorem 3.1,  $a(t)$  can be continued to the right of  $T_1$ . The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

We treat the case when  $f'(t) \geq 0$ . The following result is useful.

**Lemma 4.5.** *In addition to the assumption in Theorem 4.1 we assume that  $f'(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in (0, T)$ . Then any solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T_1)$  of (0.3) for some  $T_1 < T$  satisfies  $\sup_{0 \leq t < T_1} a(t) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T'_1 < T_1$ . It follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\pi} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T'_1} (t^{1/2-\mu} g(t)) &\geq a(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{\left(\int_\rho^1 a(tr) dr\right)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho \\ &\geq \frac{a(t)}{\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T'_1} a(t)\right)^{1/2}} \min_{0 \leq t \leq T'_1} \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T_1'$ . Since the function

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho$$

is a positive, continuous function on  $[0, T_1]$ , we get

$$\sqrt{\pi} \left( \min_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \int_0^1 \frac{(t\rho)^{1-\mu} f'(t\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{1/2} \rho^{1-\mu}} d\rho \right)^{-1} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (t^{1/2-\mu} g(t)) \geq \left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq T_1'} a(t) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Noting the left side is a constant independent of  $T_1'$  we complete the proof.

By virtue of Lemma 4.5 the following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1:

**Corollary 4.6.** *In addition to the assumptions in Theorem 4.1 we assume that  $f'(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in (0, T)$ . Then (0.3) has a solution  $a(t) \in C_+[0, T)$ .*

We wish to point out that Corollary 4.5 is also obtained immediately by [5, Chap 1, Theorem 3]. In the case  $1/2 \leq \mu < 1$  this follows also from [2].

#### REFERENCES

1. J. R. Cannon and H. A. Yin, *A class of nonlinear non-classical parabolic equations*, J. Diff. Eqs. **79** (1989), 266–288.
2. B. F. Jones Jr., *The determination of a coefficient in a parabolic differential equation, Part I, existence and uniqueness*, J. Math. Mech **11** (1962), 907–918.
3. B. F. Jones Jr., *Various methods for finding unknown coefficients in parabolic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 33–44.
4. J. T. Schwartz, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Gordon-Breach, New York, 1969.
5. T. Suzuki, *Mathematical Theory of Applied Inverse Problems (Sophia Kokyuroku in Math. 33)*, Sophia University Tokyo, 1991.
6. K. Yosida, *Lectures on Differential and Integral Equations*, Interscience, New York, 1960.