

## THE RUMIN COMPLEX ON CR MANIFOLDS

PETER M. GARFIELD AND JOHN M. LEE

ABSTRACT. Building on work of Rumin, Akahori, and Miyajima, we introduce a bigraded differential complex on hypersurface-type CR manifolds, whose cohomology reproduces Kohn-Rossi cohomology. Our main result is a Hodge theorem for this complex in the strictly pseudoconvex case.

### 1. BACKGROUND

Let  $M$  be a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional nondegenerate CR manifold of hypersurface type. The principal cohomological invariants of  $M$  are its Kohn-Rossi cohomology groups  $H^{p,q}(M)$ . In seeking to connect  $H^{p,q}(M)$  with deRham cohomology and with CR deformation theory, T. Akahori and K. Miyajima [AM] defined a new double complex  $F^{p,q}$ , with differential operators  $d': F^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{p+1,q}$  and  $d'': F^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{p,q+1}$ , and showed that the complex  $(F^{p,q}, d'')$  reproduces Kohn-Rossi cohomology when  $p + q > n + 1$ . In a recent unpublished preprint [A], Akahori also claimed that subellipticity does not work when  $q = n - 1$  or  $q = n$ . In fact, it is easily seen that  $\square''$  cannot be subelliptic when  $q = n$ , because  $H^{p,q}(M)$  is infinite-dimensional for all  $p$  in that case. We give a correct statement in Theorem 3 below; see [GL] for details.)

Recently M. Rumin [R] introduced a new way to compute deRham cohomology on a contact manifold. If  $\theta$  is a contact form and  $\mathcal{J}$  is the ideal in  $\Lambda^*M$  generated by  $\theta$  and  $d\theta$ , set

$$(1) \quad F^k = \mathcal{J}^\perp \cap \Lambda^k M, \quad E^k = \Lambda^k M / (\mathcal{J} \cap \Lambda^k M).$$

(Here  $\mathcal{J}^\perp$  represents the annihilator of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the wedge product.) Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is a differential ideal, it is easy to check that  $d$  maps  $F^k$  into  $F^{k+1}$ , and descends to a map, which we also call  $d$ , from  $E^k$  to  $E^{k+1}$ . However,  $F^k = 0$  when  $k \leq n$  and  $E^k = 0$  when  $k \geq n + 1$ , so each of these complexes is nontrivial only in half of the degrees on a given manifold.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32F40; Secondary 32C16, 58A14, 58G05.

Research of both authors supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS 94-04107.

Rumin constructed the following sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{d} E^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} E^n \xrightarrow{D} F^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} F^{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $D$  is defined by  $D[\omega] = d(\omega + \theta \wedge \beta)$  for  $[\omega] \in E^n$ , with  $\beta$  chosen so that  $d(\omega + \theta \wedge \beta) \in F^{n+1}$ . Rumin showed that  $D$  is well defined, and the above sequence is a complex, which we call the *Rumin complex*. He showed moreover that it is an acyclic resolution of the constant sheaf  $\mathbf{R}$ , so that its cohomology is isomorphic to deRham cohomology; and that  $M$  can be endowed with a Riemannian metric in such a way that each deRham cohomology class has a unique “harmonic” representative  $\omega \in \Gamma(E^k)$  or  $\Gamma(F^k)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= d^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } E^k, k < n; \\ D\omega &= d^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } E^k, k = n; \\ d\omega &= D^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } F^k, k = n + 1; \\ d\omega &= d^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } F^k, k > n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

## 2. A BIGRADING OF THE RUMIN COMPLEX

We wish to bring together the constructions of Rumin and of Akahori and Miyajima by introducing a bigrading of Rumin’s complex. To fix our notation, let  $T^{1,0} \subset \mathbf{C}TM$  denote the sub-bundle defining the CR structure, with  $T^{0,1} = \overline{T^{1,0}}$ ,  $T^{1,0} \cap T^{0,1} = \{0\}$ , and  $[\Gamma(T^{0,1}), \Gamma(T^{0,1})] \subset \Gamma(T^{0,1})$  (the “integrability condition”). If we set  $H = \text{Re}(T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}) \subset TM$ , then  $H$  has real dimension  $2n$  and carries a complex structure.

Let  $\mathbf{C}E^k$  and  $\mathbf{C}F^k$  denote the complexified Rumin bundles, obtained by replacing  $\Lambda^k M$  in (1) by the bundle  $\mathbf{C}\Lambda^k M$  of complex-valued forms, and  $\mathcal{J}$  by its complexification. Define subspaces  $E^{p,q} \subset \mathbf{C}E^{p+q}$  and  $F^{p,q} \subset \mathbf{C}F^{p+q}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} E^{p,q} &= \{[\omega] \in \mathbf{C}E^{p+q} : \gamma|_H \text{ is of type } (p, q) \text{ for some } \gamma \in [\omega]\}, \\ F^{p,q} &= \{\omega \in \mathbf{C}F^{p+q} : (X \lrcorner \omega)|_H \text{ is of type } (p-1, q) \text{ for any } X \notin H\}, \end{aligned}$$

where a complex-valued  $(p+q)$ -form on  $H$  is said to be of type  $(p, q)$  if it gives zero whenever it acts on more than  $p$  vectors from  $T^{1,0}$  or more than  $q$  vectors from  $T^{0,1}$ .

It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathbf{C}E^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}; \quad \mathbf{C}F^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} F^{p,q}.$$

The integrability condition then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d: E^{p,q} &\rightarrow E^{p,q+1} \oplus E^{p+1,q}, \\ d: F^{p,q} &\rightarrow F^{p,q+1} \oplus F^{p+1,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Write the resulting operators ( $d$  followed by projection) as  $d''$ ,  $d'$ . (This coincides with Akahori and Miyajima's definition on  $F^{p,q}$ .) From  $d^2 = (d'' + d')^2 = 0$ , therefore, it follows that

$$d'd' = d''d'' = d'd'' + d''d' = 0.$$

When  $p+q = n$ , things are not quite so nice. The best we can say is

$$D: E^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{p,q+1} \oplus F^{p+1,q} \oplus F^{p+2,q-1}.$$

Writing the three resulting operators as  $D''$ ,  $D'$ , and  $D^+$ , and decomposing  $Dd = dD = 0$  into types, we obtain

$$D''d'' = d''D'' = d'D'' + d''D' = D'd'' + D''d' = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Consider the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^p \hookrightarrow E^{p,0} \xrightarrow{d''} E^{p,1} \xrightarrow{d''} \dots \xrightarrow{d''} E^{p,n-p} \xrightarrow{D''} F^{p,n-p+1} \xrightarrow{d''} \dots \xrightarrow{d''} F^{p,2n+1-p} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{K}^p := \ker d'': E^{p,0} \rightarrow E^{p,1}$  for  $p < n$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^n := \ker D'': E^{n,0} \rightarrow F^{n,1}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}^{n+1} := \ker d'': F^{n+1,0} \rightarrow F^{n+1,1}$ . This is an acyclic resolution of the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}^p$ , which is isomorphic to the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}^p$  of CR-holomorphic  $(p,0)$  forms. Therefore the  $q^{\text{th}}$  cohomology group of the complex above is isomorphic to Kohn-Rossi cohomology  $H^{p,q}(M)$  for all  $p, q$ .

Unfortunately, this does not fit together into a double complex because  $D'd'$  and  $d'D'$  are not zero in general. Instead, we have:

**Theorem 2.** *The filtration of the Rumin complex  $R$  defined by*

$$\mathcal{F}^p R^{p+q} = R^{p,q} + R^{p+1,q-1} + \dots + R^{n+1,p+q-n-1}$$

(where  $R = E$  or  $F$  as appropriate) induces a CR-invariant spectral sequence  $R_r^{p,q}$ , whose  $r = 1$  term is Kohn-Rossi cohomology and which converges to the graded group associated with the induced filtration of deRham cohomology.

The proofs of these two theorems will be given in [GL].

### 3. HODGE THEORY

By themselves the results of the preceding section don't tell us much that is new about CR manifolds. Tanaka [T] studied a similar spectral sequence defined in terms of the deRham complex, and it can be shown that our spectral sequence is isomorphic to his for  $r \geq 1$ . Our hope is that the real utility of this point of view will be proved by applying Hodge theory.

As above, let  $R^{p,q}$  denote  $E^{p,q}$  when  $p+q \leq n$ , and  $F^{p,q}$  when  $p+q \geq n+1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a fixed choice of contact form on  $M$ , and  $T$  its *characteristic vector field*: this is the vector field uniquely determined by  $T \lrcorner \theta = 1$ ,  $T \lrcorner d\theta = 0$ . The *Webster metric*  $g_\theta$  is the Riemannian metric defined by using the Levi form on  $H$  and declaring  $T$  to be orthonormal to  $H$  (see [W]). Using this metric, we can identify the quotient bundles  $E^{p,q}$  with honest bundles of  $(p+q)$ -forms by noting that  $E^{p,q} \cong E_\theta^{p,q} := \{\omega \in \mathbb{C}\Lambda^{p+q}M : \omega|_H \text{ is of type } (p,q) \text{ and } \omega \perp \mathcal{J} \text{ with respect to } g_\theta\}$ , and then we can define adjoint operators  $d''^*$  of  $d''$  and  $D''^*$  of  $D''$ . We say a section  $u$  of  $R^{p,q}$  is *harmonic* if  $d''u = d''^*u = 0$ , with  $d''$  replaced by  $D''$  when  $p+q = n$ , and  $d''^*$  by  $D''^*$  when  $p+q = n+1$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 3.** (A Hodge theorem for the bigraded Rumin complex) *Let  $M$  be a compact, strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension  $2n+1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . For each  $(p,q)$ ,  $H^{p,q}$  is isomorphic to the space of harmonic sections of  $R^{p,q}$ .*

*Proof.* We only sketch the proof here. Complete details will appear in [GL].

Let  $\square'' : R^{p,q} \rightarrow R^{p,q}$  be defined as follows:

$$\square'' = \begin{cases} d''d''^* + d''^*d'', & p+q \neq n, n+1; \\ (d''d''^*)^2 + D''^*D'', & p+q = n; \\ D''D''^* + (d''^*d'')^2, & p+q = n+1. \end{cases}$$

The main part of the proof is showing that  $\square''$  is subelliptic on  $R^{p,q}$  for  $0 < q < n$ . Akahori [A] proved this when  $p+q > n+1$  and  $2 \leq p, q \leq n-2$  (see the remark above). Here is a somewhat simplified version of his proof, which works also in the case  $(p,q) = (n+1, 1)$ .

Our choice of contact form  $\theta$  determines a canonical connection  $\nabla$ , the *pseudohermitian connection* [W, T], which is compatible with  $H$  and its complex structure, and with respect to which  $\theta$  and  $d\theta$  are parallel. For any tensor field  $u$  on  $M$ , the total covariant derivative  $\nabla u$  can be decomposed as

$$\nabla u = \nabla' u + \nabla'' u + \nabla_T u \otimes \theta,$$

where  $\nabla' u$  involves derivatives only with respect to  $(1,0)$  vector fields, and  $\nabla'' u$  only with respect to  $(0,1)$  vector fields. Writing  $\nabla_H u = \nabla' u + \nabla'' u$ , the *Folland-Stein norms*  $\|\cdot\|_k$  are defined by

$$\|u\|_k^2 = \sum_{j=0}^k \|\nabla_H^j u\|^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the  $L^2$  norm.

Define operators

$$(2) \quad \partial' u = (-1)^{p+q} \text{Alt}(\nabla' u), \quad \partial'' u = (-1)^{p+q} \text{Alt}(\nabla'' u)$$

acting on complex-valued forms of any degree. A computation shows that  $d' = \partial'$  and  $d'' = \partial''$  on  $F^{p,q}$ . By commuting covariant derivatives, we obtain the following Bochner identity for sections of  $F^{p,q}$ :

$$(3) \quad \partial''^* \partial' + \partial' \partial''^* = \frac{n-q}{n} \nabla''^* \nabla'' + \frac{q}{n} \nabla'^* \nabla' + \mathcal{O}_0,$$

where  $\partial''^*$  is the adjoint of  $\partial''$  acting on *all* forms (not just sections of  $F^{p,q}$ ), and  $\mathcal{O}_0$  represents an operator of order zero. It follows by conjugation (noting that conjugation takes  $F^{p,q}$  to  $F^{q+1,p-1}$ ) that

$$\partial^* \partial' + \partial' \partial^* = \frac{n+1-p}{n} \nabla'^* \nabla' + \frac{p-1}{n} \nabla''^* \nabla'' + \mathcal{O}_0.$$

By integration, therefore, we obtain the following  $L^2$  identities:

$$(4) \quad \|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial''^* u\|^2 = \frac{n-q}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 + \frac{q}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|u\|^2),$$

$$(5) \quad \|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial^* u\|^2 = \frac{n+1-p}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 + \frac{p-1}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|u\|^2).$$

(One can check that  $\partial''$  agrees with the Kohn-Rossi operator  $\bar{\partial}_b$  up to an operator of order zero, and that (4), which actually holds in much greater generality, gives an easy proof of the subellipticity of the Kohn Laplacian  $\square_b$  on  $(p, q)$ -forms when  $0 < q < n$ .)

The adjoint  $d''^* : F^{p,q+1} \rightarrow F^{p,q}$  is given by  $\partial''^*$  followed by projection onto  $F^{p,q}$ . A straightforward computation yields for  $u \in \Gamma(F^{p,q})$ ,  $p+q > n+1$ ,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (u, \square'' u) &= \|d'' u\|^2 + \|d''^* u\|^2 \\ &= \|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial''^* u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+q-n} \|\partial' u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Following Akahori, we use (4), (5), and (6) to obtain

(7)

$$\begin{aligned}
(u, \square''u) &\geq \|\partial''u\|^2 + \|\partial''^*u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+q-n} (\|\partial'u\|^2 + \|\partial'^*u\|^2) \\
&\geq \frac{n-q}{n} \|\nabla''u\|^2 + \frac{q}{n} \|\nabla'u\|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{p+q-n} \left( \frac{n+1-p}{n} \|\nabla'u\|^2 + \frac{p-1}{n} \|\nabla''u\|^2 \right) - C\|u\|^2 \\
&= \frac{(n-q)(p+q-n) - (p-1)}{n(p+q-n)} \|\nabla''u\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{q(p+q-n) - (n+1-p)}{n(p+q-n)} \|\nabla'u\|^2 - C\|u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $0 < q < n-1$  and  $p+q > n+1$ , both coefficients on the right-hand side above are strictly positive, so we obtain the following Gårding-type inequality:

$$(8) \quad (u, \square''u) \geq c\|u\|_1^2 - C\|u\|^2.$$

The subellipticity of  $\square''$  then follows by standard arguments.

When  $q = n-1$  the coefficient of  $\|\nabla'u\|^2$  in (7) is positive, but that of  $\|\nabla''u\|^2$  is zero. To handle this case, we observe that (2) implies  $\|\partial'u\|^2 \leq K\|\nabla'u\|^2$ . (The constant factor  $K$  arises because there are two different norms in use—the Hodge norm for  $(p+q+1)$ -forms, and the Hodge norm for (covector-valued)  $(p+q)$ -forms.) Thus (6) and (4) in the case  $q = n-1$  give

$$(9) \quad (u, \square''u) \geq \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla''u\|^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \|\nabla'u\|^2 - \frac{K}{p-1} \|\nabla'u\|^2 - C\|u\|^2.$$

Adding  $\varepsilon$  times (9) plus  $(1-\varepsilon)$  times (7), for suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , yields (8).

For the case  $p+q < n$ , we could proceed in a similar manner. However, it is easier to note that this case is equivalent to the case  $p+q > n+1$ , as follows.

Let  $*$  be the Hodge star operator determined by  $g_\theta$  and the orientation  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ , and let  $\bar{*}$  denote  $*$  followed by conjugation. These operators have the following mapping properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
* &: E_\theta^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{n+1-q, n-p}, \\
* &: F^{p,q} \rightarrow E_\theta^{n-q, n+1-p}, \\
\bar{*} &: E_\theta^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{n+1-p, n-q}, \\
\bar{*} &: F^{p,q} \rightarrow E_\theta^{n+1-p, n-q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the adjoint operator  $d''' : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p,q-1}$  is  $(-1)^{p+q} * d'' * = (-1)^{p+q} \bar{*} d'' \bar{*}$ ; therefore,  $\bar{*} \square'' = \square'' \bar{*}$ . It follows immediately that subellipticity of  $\square''$  on  $F^{p,q}$  for  $p+q > n+1$  and  $0 < q < n$  implies subellipticity on  $E^{p,q}$  for  $p+q < n$ ,  $0 < q < n$ . (This also gives a new proof of Serre duality for Kohn-Rossi cohomology,  $H^{p,q}(M) \cong H^{n+1-p,n-q}(M)$ , originally due to Tanaka [T].)

The remaining cases ( $p+q = n, n+1$ ) are more difficult, since then  $\square''$  is a fourth-order operator. Consider first the case  $p+q = n+1$ ,  $0 < q < n$ . The key observation is that the Hodge star operator  $* : F^{p,q} \rightarrow E_{\theta}^{p-1,q}$  has a particularly simple expression: it is just  $*u = cT \lrcorner u$  for some constant  $c$  of modulus one. Using this, we can show by a laborious computation that for  $u \in \Gamma(F^{p,q})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \square'' u) &= \|D''^* u\|^2 + \|d''' d'' u\|^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \|(\partial' \partial'^* - \partial' \partial'^*) u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|(\partial''^* \partial' + \partial' \partial''^*) u\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|u\|_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Throwing away the first term and writing  $\Delta'' = \partial''^* \partial' + \partial' \partial''^*$ , we obtain

$$(u, \square'' u) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta'' u\|^2 - C \|u\|_1^2.$$

Combined with the fact that  $\Delta''$  is subelliptic on  $F^{p,q}$  when  $0 < q < n$  (which follows from (4)), this easily yields subellipticity of  $\square''$ . The argument for the case  $p+q = n$  can be carried out similarly; alternatively that case can be deduced from the  $p+q = n+1$  case by means of the Hodge star operator.

Finally, we prove that every cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative (i.e., a representative in  $\text{Ker } \square''$ ) when  $n \geq 2$ . When  $0 < q < n$ , this follows directly from the fact that  $\square''$  is subelliptic, hence Fredholm. When  $q = 0$ , it is true for the trivial reason that  $d'''$  is the zero operator, so  $H^{p,0}(M) = \text{Ker } d'' = \text{Ker } \square''$ . (Replace  $d'''$  by  $D''^*$  when  $p = n+1$ , and  $d''$  by  $D''$  when  $p = n$ .) On the other hand, when  $q = n$ , we argue as follows. Assume first that  $p \geq 2$ . Any cohomology class in  $H^{p,n}(M)$  is represented by a section  $u$  of  $F^{p,n}$  (with  $d'' u = 0$  trivially). Since  $\square''$  is Fredholm on  $F^{p,n-1}$ , we can write

$$d''' u = \square'' v + w = d''' d'' v + d'' d''' v + w,$$

where  $\square'' w = 0$ . Since  $\text{Im } d'''$ ,  $\text{Im } d''$ , and  $\text{Ker } \square''$  are all mutually orthogonal, we must have  $d''' u - d''' d'' v = d'' d''' v = w = 0$ . In particular,  $u - d'' v \in \text{Ker } d''' = \text{Ker } \square''$ , and we are done. The  $p = 1$  and  $p = 0$  cases are virtually identical, with  $E^{p,q}$ ,  $D''$ ,  $D''^*$  inserted in place of  $F^{p,q}$ ,  $d''$ , and  $d'''$  as appropriate.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [A] T. Akahori, *A mixed Hodge structure on a CR manifold*, MSRI preprint 1996-026 (unpublished).
- [AM] T. Akahori and K. Miyajima, *An analogy of Tian-Todorov theorem on deformations of CR-structures*, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993) 57–85.
- [GL] P. Garfield and J. M. Lee, *The bigraded Rumin complex on CR manifolds*, in preparation.
- [R] M. Rumin, *Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact*, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994) 281–330.
- [T] N. Tanaka, “A Differential Geometric Study on Strongly Pseudo-Convex Manifolds”, Kinokuniya Company Ltd., Tokyo, 1975.
- [W] S. Webster, *Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface*, *J. Differential Geom.* **13** (1978) 25–41.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195-4350

*E-mail address:* garfield@math.washington.edu, lee@math.washington.edu