A 72-arc associated with the A_6 -invariant sextic

岡山大学理学部 兼田 均 (Hitoshi Kaneta)

0 Introduction

We shall show that some highly symmetric curves give highly symmetric arcs. Let C be a compact Riemann surface with genus g. If $g \geq 2$, then the order of the automorphism group G(C) of C is bounded by 84(g-1) (Hurwitz inequality). If g=3, a compact Riemann surface attains the upper bound if and only if C is isomorphic to the Klein quartic $x^3y+y^3z+z^3x$. As is well known, the automorphism group of the curve is isomorphic to PSL(2,7). If g=10, the maximum order of the automorphim groups is not known. A. Wiman [3], however, has shown that the Wiman sextic $F_6=10x^3y^3+9(x^5+y^5)z-45x^2y^2z^2-135xyz^4+27z^6$ has the automorphim group isomorphic to $A_6\simeq PSL(2,9)$, and that the group acts transitively on the set of flexes. Recall that an automorphim of a non-singular plane curve (defined over C) of degree $n\geq 4$ is given by a projective transformation [2, theorem 5.3.17(3)].

An *n*-point set K_n in the *r*-dimensional projective space PG(r, k) defined over a field k is called an *n*-arc, if any r + 1 points of them are linearly independent. We write PG(r, q) for PG(r, GF(q)), where GF(q) is the finte field of q elements.

Following theorems are our main results.

Theorem 1 Let k be an algebraically closed field with char $k \neq 7$. Then the 24-point set \mathcal{F}_{24} of flexes of the Klein quartic is a 24-arc in PG(2,k) if and only if char $k \neq 2$. \mathcal{F}_{24} lies in PG(2,q) if and only if $7 \mid (q-1)$.

Theorem 2 Let k be an algebraically closed field with char $k \neq 2, 3, 5$. Then

- (1) The 72-point set \mathcal{F}_{72} of flexes of the Wiman sextic F_6 is a 72-arc in PG(2,k) if and only if char $k\neq 11, 19, 31, 61$.
- (2) \mathcal{F}_{72} lies in PG(2,q), if 30 |(q-1).

More detailed results on the Klein quartic and the Wiman sextic are summarized in the following §1 and §2 respectively without proof in principle.

These results were obtained in collabration with F. Pambianco and S. Marcugini who are combinatorial geometers at Department of Mathematics of Perugia University, Perugia, Italy.

Definition. Let $B, C \in PGL(3,k)$, and let $f \in k[x,y,z]$. Then the map $f \to f_B$ is a ring-isomorphism of k[x,y,z], where $f_B(x,y,z) = f(B^{-1}(x,y,z))$. To be precise $f(B^{-1}(x,y,z)) = f({}^t\{B^{-1}{}^t(x,y,z)\})$. It is known that $(f_B)_C = f_{CB}$. Let $f \in k[x,y,z]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n (or a plane curve f of degree n). The curve f is said to be invariant under a projectivity (B) if $f_B \sim f$. More generally let G be a subset of PGL(3,k). Then a homogeneous polynomial f (or a curve f) is called G-invariant if f is invariant under every $(B) \in G$. Aut(f) is the set of projectivities (B) such that $f_B \sim f$.

1 The Klein quartic

Obviously $\xi = 5$ is a primitive element of the Galois field GF(7). A representation φ of PSL(2,7) in the projective plane PG(2,k) over k (i.e. group homomorphism of PSL(2,7) into the projective transformation group PGL(3,k)) is completely determined by the images $\varphi((u))$, $\varphi((v))$, and $\varphi((w))$, where matrices u,v, and w take the following forms:

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{ and } \quad w = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

An $A \in GL(3, k)$ gives a projective transformation (A) of PG(2, k) in the usual manner:

$$(A)\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ z \end{array}\right]\right) = \left(A\left[\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ z \end{array}\right]\right).$$

We shall describe all injective homomorphisms φ of PSL(2,7) into PGL(3,k). To this end it suffices to define matrices U,V, and W such that

$$\varphi((u)) = (U), \ \varphi((v)) = (V), \ \text{and} \ \varphi((w)) = (W).$$

Let ε be a primitive 7-th root of 1, and let

$$egin{array}{lll} U &=& \mathrm{diag}[\epsilon,\epsilon^4,\epsilon^2] & \mathrm{and} \ V &=& \left[egin{array}{lll} lpha & eta & \gamma \ eta & \gamma & lpha \ \gamma & lpha & eta \end{array}
ight], ext{where } lpha = arepsilon + arepsilon^6, \; eta = -1 - arepsilon^2 - arepsilon^5, \; ext{and} \gamma = 1 \ W &=& [e_3,e_1,e_2], \; ext{where } E_3 = [e_1,e_2,e_3]. \end{array}$$

If $M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2,7)$, then we define $\varphi((M))$ as follows:

$$\varphi((M)) = (U^{\frac{a}{c}}VU^{cd}W^{\log c}) \text{ if } c \neq 0$$

$$\varphi((M)) = (U^{\frac{b}{d}}VU^{-cd}W^{-\log d}) \text{ if } d \neq 0.$$

Theorem 1.1 Assume that the field k is algebraically closed and $chark \neq 7$.

- (1) The map φ defined above is an isomorphism of PSL(2,7) into PGL(3,k).
- (2) Any automorphism τ of the field k fixes $\varphi(PSL(2,7))$.
- (3) Let ψ be an isomorphism of PSL(2,7) into PGL(3,k). Then there exists an automorphism σ of k and a $T' \in GL(3,k)$ such that $\psi((g)) = \sigma((T')^{-1}\varphi((g))(T'))$ for any $(g) \in PSL(2,7)$. In particular the groups $\varphi(PSL(2,7))$ and $\psi(PSL(2,7))$ are conjugate in PGL(3,k).

The plane cueve $f = x^3y + y^3z + z^3x$ is called the Klein quartic, which we denote by C.

Lemma 1.2 The curve C defined over an algebraically closed field k is non-singular if and only if $\operatorname{char} k \neq 7$.

It is a classical result that a non-singular plane quartic with the projective automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ such that $7||\operatorname{Aut}(f)||$ is projectively equaivalent to the Klein quartic. Consider the following curve or polynomial called Hessian of f;

$$h(x,y,z) = \det \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} & f_{xz} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} & f_{yz} \\ f_{zx} & f_{zy} & f_{zz} \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 6xy & 3x^2 & 3z^2 \\ 3x^2 & 6yz & 3y^2 \\ 3z^2 & 3y^2 & 6xz \end{bmatrix} = -54h_0(x,y,z),$$

where $h_0(x,y,z) = xy^5 + yz^5 + zx^5 - 5x^2y^2z^2$. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in GL(3,k)$. The matrix A induces a ring automorphism R_A of the polynomial ring k[x,y,z] as follows; $(R_A p)(x,y,z) = p_A(x,y,z) = p(A^{-1}[x,y,z])$, where $p \in k[x,y,z]$. It is known that $R_{AB} = R_A R_B$, that is, $p_{AB} = (p_B)_A$. We say that a homogeneous polynomial $p \in k[x,y,z]$ or a curve p is invariant under a projectivity A if A = b, in other words, if there exists a constant $A \in k$ such that A = k.

Proposition 1.3 Assume that $\operatorname{char} k \neq 7$. The polynomials f and h_0 defined above are invariant under every element of $\varphi(SL(2,7))$, where φ is the group isomorphism defined in the previous section.

A point (x, y, 1) lies on the Klein quartic C if and only if $x^3y + y^3 + x = 0$. Therefore (0, 0, 1) is a flex of C. If $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2, 3, 7$, then the set of flexes is the intersection of f and h_0 , and $|f \cap h_0| \leq 4 \times 6$.

Lemma 1. 4 The orbit \mathcal{F}_{24} of (0,0,1) under the group $\varphi(PSL(2,7))$ consists of 24 points, and $\mathcal{F}_{24} = f \cap h_0$.

Theorem 1.5 The 24-point set \mathcal{F}_{24} in Lemma 2,3 is an arc if chark $\neq 2, 7$, and not an arc if char =2.

Proof. Let $P_1 = (1,0,0)$, $P_2 = (0,1,0)$, $P_3 = (0,0,1)$ and $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{P_3\}$. Since $P_3 \in f \cap h_0$, and both f and h_0 is invariant under $\varphi(PSL(2,7), \mathcal{F} \subset f \cap h_0$. By Bezous's theorem $\mathcal{F} = f \cap h_0$. We shall show that there exist non lines L through P_3 such that $|L \cap \mathcal{F}'| \geq 2$. Since the line x and y do not satisfy the condition, we will show that there exist no lines $L: y = ax \ (a \neq 0)$ through P_3 such that $|L \cap \mathcal{F}'| \geq 2$. Clearly $f \cap z = \{P_1, P_2\}$. So L passes through a point $(x, y, 1) \neq (0, 0, 1)$ such that $f(x, y, 1) = h_0(x, y, 1) = 0$. Hence the following two polynomials in x must have at least two common roots, which are evidently non-zero:

$$p = ax^3 + a^3x^2 + 1$$
, $q = a^5x^5 + x^4 - 5a^2x^3 + a$

Conversely, if p and q have at least two common roots x, then $x \neq 0$, and $a \neq 0$ so that the line y = ax satisfies the condition $|L \cap \mathcal{F}'| \geq 2$. We have

$$q = (a^4x^2 + a)p + x^2r$$
, where $r = (1 - a^7)x^2 - 6a^2x - 2a^4$.

This implies that if char k=2, then p divides q for a such that $a^7=1$. In other words, the $|\mathcal{F}\cap y-ax|=3+1$, for p has no multiple roots, and \mathcal{F} is not an arc, provided char k=2. If $a^7=1$, then p and q have at most one root in common. We may assume $a^7\neq 1$. The common roots of p and q are those of p and q. We may assume that q has no multiple roots, namely $2a^7\neq 11$. Hence q must divide q. Thus, by simple calculation we conclude that a polynomial $a^5(44-8a^7)x+(1-a^7)^2+2a^7(7-a^7)$ must vanish. However the coefficient of q cannot vanish. We have shown that there does not exist an q such that q and q have at least two roots in common.

Theorem 1.6 If the projective automorphims group Aut(K) of a 24-point set K in PG(2,k) has a subgroup isomorphic to PSL(2,7), then K is projectively equivalent to \mathcal{F}_{24} .

2 The Wiman sextic

Let F_6 be the Wiman sextic:

$$F_6 = 10x^3y^3 + 9(x^5 + y^5)z - 45x^2y^2z^2 - 135xyz^4 + 27z^6.$$

Lemma 2.1 F_6 is non-singular if and only if char $k \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$.

From now on we assume that char $k \notin \{2,3,5\}$. Wiman has shown that $\operatorname{Aut}(F_6)$ is isomorphic to A_6 and that $\operatorname{Aut}(F_6)$ acts transitively on the set \mathcal{F}_{72} of flexes of the curve (defined over C). One can verify the latter assertion immediately by the following Lemma 2.2, provided $|\operatorname{Aut}(F_6)| \geq 360$, because we know that there are at most 72 flexes for a plane sextic.

Lemma 2.2 (1) $P_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and $P_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ are flexes of F_6 .

(2) A projectivity (B) \in Aut(F₆) fixes $P_2 = (1, 0, 0)$, if and only if $B \sim \text{diag}[1, \beta, \beta^3]$ where $\beta^5 = 1$.

(3) An involutive projectivity (C) fixes $P_3 = (0,0,1)$ and F_6 , if and only if

$$C \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \beta^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Theorem 2.3 Assume that char $k \notin \{2,3,5\}$. \mathcal{F}_{72} is an arc in PG(2,k) if and only if char $k \notin \{11,19,31,61\}$.

Proof. Note that $P_1=(1,0,0) \in \mathcal{F}_{72}$. We use the fact that $\operatorname{Aut}(F_6) \cong A_6$, hence it acts transitively on \mathcal{F}_{72} by Lemma 1.2. This has been proved by Wiman in the case $k=\mathbb{C}$, and will be proved in §3 for any algebraically closed field k with char $k \notin \{2,3,5\}$. Consequently \mathcal{F}_{72} is an arc if and only if there pass 71 lines ℓ through P_1 such that $|\ell \cap F_{72}| \geq 2$. A line through P_1 takes the form by + cz = 0. Note that if c = 0, then P_1 is the only point both on the line and \mathcal{F}_{72} . Other lines take the form z = ay. It can be easily seen that the line z = 0 intersects K_{72} at P_1 and $P_2 = (0,1,0)$. Now \mathcal{F}_{72} is an arc if and only if there exist 70 lines ℓ : $z = ay(a \neq 0)$ satisfying $|\ell \cap \mathcal{F}_{72}| \geq 2$. Recall that $P \in \mathcal{F}_{72}$ if and only if $F_6(P) = H_{12}(P) = 0$, where H_{12} is the Hessian of $F_6[1$, p.116(see the proof given there)]: $F_6 = 10x^3y^3 + 9(x^5 + y^5)z - 45x^2y^2z^2 - 135xyz^4 + 27z^6$ $H_{12} = 2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3$

$$\times \left[\begin{array}{cccc} -6(x^{11}y+xy^{11})+38x^6y^6 & -90(x^8y^3+x^3y^8)z & +\{9(x^{10}+y^{10})+468x^5y^5\}z^2 \\ -1080(x^7y^2+x^2y^7)z^3 & -3375x^4y^4z^4 & +324(x^6y+xy^6)z^5 \\ +1080x^3y^3z^6 & -2916(x^5+y^5)z^7 & -1215x^2y^2z^8 \\ -4374xyz^{10} & -729z^{12} \end{array} \right].$$

We define polynomials f_5 and h_{11} by

$$F_6(1, y, ay) = y f_5(y), \quad H_{12}(1, y, ay) = 2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \ y h_{11}(y) :$$

$$\begin{split} f_5 &= 27y^5a^6 + 9y^5a - 135y^4a^4 - 45y^3a^2 + 10y^2 + 9a \\ h_{11} &= -729y^{11}a^{12} - 2916y^{11}a^7 + 9y^{11}a^2 - 4373y^{10}a^{10} + 324y^{10}a^5 - 6y^{10} \\ &- 6y^{10} - 1215y^9a^8 - 1080y^9a^3 + 1080y^8a^6 - 90y^8a \\ &- 3375y^7a^4 - 2196y^6a^7 + 468y^6a^2 + 324y^5a^5 + 38y^5 \\ &- 1080y^4a^3 - 90y^3a + 9ya^2 - 6. \end{split}$$

It is obvious that a point P=(x,y,z) on the line ℓ : $z=ay(a\neq 0)$ coincides with P_1 , if and only if y=0. So the line ℓ : $z=ay(a\neq 0)$ satisfies $|\ell\cap F_{72}|\geq 2$ if and only if there exists a solution y to the equations

$$f_5(y) = 0, \quad h_{11}(y) = 0.$$

The latter condition holds if and only if the resultant $R(f_5, h_{11})$ is equal to zero. Indeed, the coefficients $27a^6$ and $-729a^{12}$ of the leading terms are not equal to zero, because char $k \neq 3$ and $a \neq 0$. By computer we get

 $R(f_5, h_{11}) = 10^5 \cdot 25^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^7 a \ r(a^5)$, where the polynomial r(b) in b takes the form

- $-1601009443167990624b^{14}$
- $-8008065785727592768989b^{13}$
- $-3522007883538993505734b^{12}$
- $-107544939428319502854789b^{11}$
- $-222567759026167515929649b^{10}$
- $+91462705805749927596498b^9$
- $+15626059134785087345703b^{8}$
- $+502757663372218581093b^7$
- $+19352902678040528478b^6$
- $+316996719792892173b^5$
- $+1268215948565001b^4$
- $+40400195510286b^3$
- $-13463272359b^2$
- -37196064b
- -1024.

Note that the coefficient of b^{14} is equal to $-2^5 \cdot 3^{35} \neq 0$. Now \mathcal{F}_{72} is an arc if and only if the polynomial r(b) of degree 14 has no multiple roots. Again by computer we get the value of the resultant R(b,b'). It has 503 decimal digits, and its prime factors are 2,3,5,11,19,31, and 61.

The alternating group A_6 of order 6 is known to be isomorphic to $PSL(2,3^2)$. We turn to the problem to describe all non-trivial representations of $PSL(2,3^2)$ in PGL(3,k) up to equivalence. Two representations of ψ and φ is said to be equivalent, if there exists an $S \in GL(3,k)$ such that $\psi(g)=(S^{-1})\psi(g)(S)$ for every $g \in PSL(2,3^2)$, where (S) is a projective transformation of PG(2,k) such that (S)([x])=(Sx). Here $x \in k^3$ is a homogeneous coordinates of a point $([x]) \in PG(2,k)$. $GF(3^2)$ is the finite field of characteristic 3, which has a primitive element η satisfying $\eta^2=\eta+1$. For our later use, we note that

$$\eta^2 = \eta + 1, \quad \eta^3 = 2\eta + 1, \quad \eta^4 = -1, \quad \eta^5 = 2\eta, \quad \eta^6 = 2\eta + 2, \quad \eta^7 = \eta + 2.$$

A representation (i.e. group homomorphism) φ of $PSL(2,3^2)$ is completely determined by the images $\varphi((g_1))$, $\varphi((g_2))$, $\varphi((g_3))$, and $\varphi((g_4))$, where

$$g_1 = \left[egin{array}{cc} \eta & 0 \ 0 & \eta^{-1} \end{array}
ight], \quad g_2 = \left[egin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight], \quad g_3 = \left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight], \quad ext{and} \quad g_4 = \left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & \eta \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight],$$

and $(g_i) \in PSL(2, 3^2)$ for $g_i \in SL(2, 3^2)$. In other words it suffices to specify matrices A, V, Ω and W in GL(3, k) such that

$$\varphi((g_1)) = (A), \ \ \varphi((g_2)) = (V), \ \ \varphi((g_3)) = (\Omega), \ \ \text{and} \ \ \varphi((g_4)) = (W).$$

Let $\omega(\text{resp. } i)$ be a primitive 3-th(resp. 4-th) root of $1 \in k$, and $\sqrt{5}$ be a square root of $5 \in k$.

Theorem 2.4 (1) Under the above notation there exists a homomorhism φ of $PSL(2, 3^2)$ into PGL(3, k) such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & 1 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega \\ 1 & 1 & \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & -\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) \\ -4 & 2 & -\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) \\ -\omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega^2 - 1) & -\omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega^2 - 1) & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) Any injective homomorphism ψ of $PSL(2,3^2)$ into PGL(3,k) is equivalent to this φ .

Remark 2.5 (1) Note that ω and $\sqrt{5}$ have two possible values. So φ gives rise to four representations. However, it turns out that these four groups $\varphi(PSL(2,3^2))$ are cunjugate in $PGL(3,k)(Corollary\ 2.14)$.

(2) Clearly $\Omega^3 = W = E_3$ and $\Omega W \sim W \Omega$. Furthermore, let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + \omega i & 1 - \omega i \\ -1 & 1 + \omega i & 1 - \omega i \\ 0 & 1 - i & 1 - i \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } T^{-1} = \frac{1}{4i(\omega - 1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2(\omega - 1)i & -2(\omega - 1) & 0 \\ 1 - i & 1 - i & 2(-1 + \omega i) \\ -1 - i & -1 - i & 2(1 + \omega i) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here i is a primitive 4-th root of $1 \in k$. Then it holds that

$$T^{-1}AT = (\omega - 1) \left[egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i^3 \end{array}
ight], \ \ ext{and} \ \ T^{-1}VT = \left[egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{array}
ight],$$

where bc = 1, and

$$b^{2} + \frac{\omega^{2} - \omega + 3i}{3}b + \{-2 + (\omega^{2} - \omega)i\} = 0,$$

hence

$$c^{2} + \frac{\omega^{2} - \omega - 3i}{3}b + \{-2 - (\omega^{2} - \omega)i\} = 0.$$

In other words, $b = (-\omega + \omega^2 + 3i)(1 \pm \sqrt{5}i)$ and $c = (-\omega + \omega^2 - 3i)(1 \mp \sqrt{5}i)$.

We will search for a plane sextic which is invariant under the projective transformations $\varphi(PSL(2,3^2))$.

Theorem 2.6 Let φ be the representation of $PSL(2,3^2)$ given by Theorem 2.2. Then the following homogeneous polynomial is $\varphi(PSL(2,3^2))$ -invariant:

$$f_{6} = (x^{6} + y^{6} + z^{6}) \frac{\omega}{30} \{ -5 - \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^{2}) \}$$

$$+ x^{4}yz + xy^{4}z + xyz^{4}$$

$$+ (x^{3}y^{3} + y^{3}z^{3} + z^{3}x^{3}) \frac{\omega}{3} \{ -1 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^{2}) \}$$

$$+ x^{2}y^{2}z^{2}3\omega^{2}.$$

A few lemmas preced the proof of Theorem 2.6

Lemma 2.7 Let $B, C, T \in GL(3, k)$ with $C = T^{-1}BT$, and $f \in k[x, y, z]$. If $f_B = \lambda f$, then $(f_{T^{-1}})_C = f_{T^{-1}}$.

Lemma 2.8 Let

$$f = (x^6 + y^6 + z^6)C_6 + (x^4ys + xy^4z + xyz^4)C_4 + (x^3y^3 + y^3z^3 + z^3x^3)C_3 + x^2y^2z^2C_2,$$

where $C_6, C_4, C_3, C_2 \in k$, and let $A \in GL(3, k)$ be as in Theorem 2.4. Then $f_{\Omega} = f_W = f$, and f is invariant under (A) if and only if one of the following two cases holds.

- 1) $C_3 = -10C_6 2\omega C_4$ and $C_2 = 3\omega^2 C_4$.
- 2) $C_4 = 6\omega^2 C_6$, $C_3 = 2C_6$ and $C_2 = 0$.

Lemma 2.9 Let f be as in Lemma 2.8. Then f is invariant under (A) and (V) (see Theorem 2.4 for the definition of A and V), if and only if f is proportional to the polynomial f_6 in Theorem 2.6.

Proof. Assume that f satisfies the invaraince condition. f takes the form given in the previous lemma. Let h be as in the proof of the lemma. We will first show that 2) of Lemma 2.8 does not hold. Let $C_6 = 1$, $C_4 = 6\omega^2$, $C_3 = 2$ and $C_2 = 0$. Then

$$h = 36\{2(1-\omega^2) + 3i\omega\}u^4v^2 + 36\{2(1-\omega^2) - 3i\omega\}u^2v^4 + 144\{1-\omega - 3i\omega^2\}u^2v^3w + 144\{1-\omega + 3i\omega^2\}u^2vw^3 + 36\{3(\omega^2 - \omega) + 3i\}v^6 + 36\{3(\omega^2 - \omega) - 5i\}v^4w^2 + 108\{2(\omega^2 - \omega) - 5i\}v^2w^4$$

Since $h_{V'^{-1}} \sim h$, the first two terms give

$$b^2 = \frac{2(1-\omega^2) + 3i\omega}{2(1-\omega^2) - 3i\omega}$$
 and $b^2 = \frac{1-\omega - 3i\omega^2}{1-\omega + 3i\omega^2}$.

As one can see easily, these two equalities are not compatible.

By Lemma 2.8 1) h takes the following form:

$$h = u^{2}v^{4}\{C_{6}(-540\omega^{2} + 360\omega i - 360i) + C_{4}(24\omega^{2} - 24\omega i - 18)\}$$

$$+ u^{2}w^{4}\{C_{6}(-540\omega^{2} - 360\omega i + 360i) + C_{4}(-24\omega^{2}i + 24\omega i - 18\}$$

$$+ v^{5}w\{C_{6}(-432\omega^{2}i - 432\omega i - 864) + C_{4}(-144\omega^{2}i + -253\omega + 144i)\}$$

$$+ vw^{5}\{C_{6}(-432\omega^{2}i + 432\omega i - 864) + C_{4}(144\omega^{2}i - 252\omega - 144i)\}$$

$$+ u^{6}\{C_{6}(12) + C_{4}(12\omega)\} + u^{2}v^{2}w^{2}\{C_{6}(1080\omega^{2}) + C_{4}(180)\} + v^{3}w^{3}\{C_{4}(-72\omega)\}$$

Denote by α , β , γ and δ the coefficients of u^2v^4 , u^2w^4 , v^5w and vw^5 respectively. Since at least one of the coefficients of u^6 and v^3w^3 does not vanish, we must have $h_{V'^{-1}} = h$. The equality $h_{V'^{-1}} = h$ holds if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied.

i) $\alpha = \beta b^4$, ii) $\gamma = \delta b^4$

(recall that bc = 1). The condition i) is equivalent to

$$\frac{C_6}{C_4} = \frac{(-24\omega^2 i + 24\omega i - 18)b^4 - 24\omega^2 i + 24\omega i + 18}{(360\omega i - 360i + 540\omega^2)b^4 + 360\omega i - 360i - 540\omega^2}.$$

Making use of the equalities

$$b^2 + c^2 = \frac{8}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{3}(\omega - \omega^2)$$
 and $b^2 - c^2 = \frac{4}{3}i(\omega - \omega^2) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}(-4i)$,

we get $C_6/C_4 = -\omega\{5 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^2)\}/30$. Similarly the condition ii) is equivalent $C_6/C_4 = -\omega\{5 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^2)\}/30$. Thus f is invariant under (A) and (V) if and only if the coefficients C_j satisfy the condition (1) of Lemma 2.8 and the ratio C_6/C_4 is equal to $-\omega\{5 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^2)\}/30$.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Since the sextic f_6 is invariant also under (Ω) and W by Lemma 2.8, it is $PSL(2,3^2)$ -invariant by Lemma 2.9.

We proceed to show that the sextic f_6 in Theorem 2.6 is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic F_6 .

Lemma 2. 10 Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, 3^2) \setminus \{\pm E_2\}$. Then $(X) \in PSL(2, 3^2)$ has order 5 if and only if TrX = -1 in $GF(3^2)$.

As a result of Lemma 2.10, $\varphi((g_4g_2)) = (WV)$ has order 5. Note that $WV \sim D$, where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega^2 - 1) & -\omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega^2 - 1) & 2 \\ 2 & -4 & -\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) \\ -4 & 2 & -\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) \end{bmatrix},$$

and that

$$\det(D - \lambda E_3) = -(\lambda + 6)(\lambda^2 + 3(-1 + \sqrt{5})\lambda + 36) = -6(\delta + 1)(\delta^2 - \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\delta + 1),$$

where $\lambda = -6\delta$. Let δ be a solution to $t^2 - \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}t + 1 = 0$. The another solution δ' to this quardratic equation is $-\delta + \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Let e_1 , e_2 and e_3 be eigenvectors of D for eigenvalues -6δ , $-6\delta'$ and -6 respectively;

$$e_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 - \omega^{2} + \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) + \delta\{6 - \omega + \sqrt{5}(-\omega - 2)\} \\ -\omega^{2} + \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) + 2\delta \\ 2 - 4\delta \end{bmatrix},$$

$$e_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^{2} + \sqrt{5}(\omega + 3) + \delta\{-6 + \omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega + 2)\} \\ \omega + \sqrt{5}\omega - 2\delta \\ 4 - 2\sqrt{5} + 4\delta \end{bmatrix},$$

$$e_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 + \omega^{2} - \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1) \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following matrix

$$I = \left[egin{array}{cccc} -4 & 2 & -\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega-1) \ 2 & -4 & -\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega-1) \ -\omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega^2-1) & -\omega + \sqrt{5}(\omega^2-1) \end{array}
ight]$$

satisfies $I^2=36E_3, Ie_1=-6\alpha e_2, Ie_2=-6\beta e_1$ and $Ie_3=-6e_3$ for some constants α and β . $\alpha\beta=1$, because $I^2=36E_3$. Consequently $Q=6[\beta e_1,e_2,e_3]$ diagonalizes I as

$$Q^{-1}IQ = \left[egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight], \;\; ext{because} \;\; IQ = Q \left[egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight] \;\; (= 6[e_2, eta e_1, e_3]).$$

In terms of $\lambda = -6\delta$ satisfying $\lambda^2 = 3(1-\sqrt{5})\lambda - 36$ the matrix Q takes the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6(-3+3\sqrt{5}) + \lambda(4-2\sqrt{5}) & 6\{\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(\omega+3)\} + \lambda\{6-\omega-\sqrt{5}(\omega+2)\} & Q_{13} \\ 12(\omega-1) + \lambda(\omega+\sqrt{5}) & 6(\omega+\sqrt{5}\omega) + 2\lambda & Q_{23} \\ 12(\omega-\omega^2) + \lambda\{\omega^2 + \sqrt{5}(2-\omega^2)\} & 6(4-2\sqrt{5}) - 4\lambda & Q_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $Q_{13} = 12$, $Q_{23} = 6\{-2 + \omega^2 - \sqrt{5}(\omega - 1)\}$, $Q_{33} = 12$.

Since $(\sqrt{5})^2 = 5$, $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1$, and $\lambda^2 = 3(1 - \sqrt{5})\lambda - 36$, the coefficients of the polynomial $(f_6)_{Q^{-1}}$ take the form

$$n_0 + (n_{11}\omega + n_{12}\lambda + n_{13}\sqrt{5}) + (n_{21}\omega\lambda + n_{22}\omega\lambda\sqrt{5} + n_{23}\lambda\sqrt{5}) + n_3\omega\lambda\sqrt{5},$$

where $n_0, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{21}, n_{22}, n_{23}$, and n_3 are integers (to be precise, we interprete them as elements of the prime field $\mathbf{Z}_p = GF(p)$ of k if char k=p>0). Using computer, we get $(f_6)_{Q^{-1}} = (6^610)(12^23)f$, where

$$f = x^{3}y^{3}\{9360 + 900\omega - 80\lambda + 230\omega\lambda + \sqrt{5}(-120 + 1020\omega + 140\lambda - 650\omega\lambda)\} + z(x^{5} + y^{5})\{-2862 - 216\omega + 450\lambda + 90\omega\lambda + \sqrt{5}(1026 + 648\omega - 90\lambda + 90\omega\lambda)\}$$

$$+ z^2x^2y^2\{-2160 - 1620\omega + 660\lambda + 15\omega\lambda + \sqrt{5}(1620 + 1620\omega - 150\lambda + 15\omega\lambda) + z^4xy\{270^1080\omega + 135\lambda + 90\omega\lambda + \sqrt{5}(-90 + 360\omega - 75\lambda - 60\omega\lambda) + z^6\{-48 - 24\omega + \sqrt{5}(24 + 12\omega)\}$$

$$= 10x^3y^3\{936 + 90\omega + 48\delta - 138\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(-12 + 102\omega - 84\delta + 390\omega\delta)\} + 9z(x^5 + y^5)\{-318 - 24\omega - 300\delta - 60\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(114 + 72\omega + 60\delta - 60\omega\delta)\} - 45z^2x^2y^2\{48 + 36\omega + 88\delta + 2\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(-36 - 36\omega - 20\delta + 2\omega\delta)\} - 135z^4xy\{-2 + 8\omega + 6\delta + 4\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(2 - 8\omega - 10\delta - 8\omega\delta)/3\} + z^6\{-48 - 24\omega + \sqrt{5}(24 + 12\omega)\}$$

$$= 10x^3y^3a + 9z(x^5 + y^5)b - 45z^2x^2y^2c - 135z^4xyd + z^6e.$$

We will show that there exists an $r \in k$ such that

$$f(x,y,rz) = a[10x^3y^3 + 9z(x^5 + y^5)\frac{rb}{a} - 45z^2x^2y^2\frac{r^2c}{a} - 135z^4xy\frac{r^4d}{a} + z^6\frac{r^6e}{a}]$$

$$= aF_6$$

The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 2. 11 The following conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.

(1)
$$rb/a = f^2c/a = r^4d/a = r^6e/(27a) = 1$$
.

(2)
$$r = a/b, b^2 = ac, 27d/e = (a/b)^2, ce = 27d^2$$
.

Now we are in a position to prove the following

Theorem 2. 12 The curve

$$f_6 = (x^6 + y^6 + z^6)\omega\{-5 - \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^2)\} + xyz(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)30 + (x^3y^3 + y^3z^3 + z^3x^3)10\omega\{-1 + \sqrt{5}(\omega - \omega^2)\} + x^2y^2z^290\omega^2$$

is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic

$$F_6 = 10x^3y^3 + 9(x^5 + y^5)z - 45x^2y^2z^2 - 135xyz^4 + 27z^6.$$

Proof. Let a, b, c, d, and e be as in Lemma 2.11, and let r = a/b, and $R = Q \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, r]$. We will show that $(f_6)_{R^{-1}} = aF_6$. Careful calculation shows that

$$b^{2} = 6^{2}2\{739 + 1284\omega + 2060\delta + 1840\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(-359 - 964\omega - 500\delta - 520\omega\delta)\} = ac$$

$$b^{2}\frac{27d}{c} = 3\{14432 + 11264\omega + 3212\delta + 2090\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(-1300 + 20\omega - 5752\delta + 386\omega\delta)\} = a^{2}$$

$$ce = 24\{-150 - 264\omega - 279\delta - 135\omega\delta + \sqrt{5}(66 + 114\omega + 129\delta + 63\omega\delta)\} = 27d^{2}.$$

In verifying the second equality above we make use of the equality $1/e = (2+\sqrt{5})(1-\omega)/36$.

Corollary 2. 13 (1) The projective automorphism group $Aut(F_6)$ of F_6 has order 360, and $Aut(F_6)$ acts transitively on the set \mathcal{F}_{72} of flexes.

(2) If σ is an automorphism of k, and $(T) \in \operatorname{Aut}(F_6)$, then $(\sigma T) \in \operatorname{Aut}(F_6)$, namely $\sigma \operatorname{Aut}(F_6) = \operatorname{Auf}(F_6)$.

- Corollary 2. 14 Let $R \in PG(3,k)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.12, and let φ be the representation of $PSL(2,3^2)$ in Theorem 2.4.
- (1) Aut (F_6) is $(R)^{-1}\varphi(PSL(2,3^2))(R)$. In particular a subgroup G of PGL(3,k) is isomorphic to $PSL(2,3^2)$, if and only if G is conjugate to Aut (F_6) in PGL(3,k).
- (2) The set of flexes \mathcal{F}_{72} of F_6 lies in $PG(2, k_1)$, where $k_1 = k_0(\omega, \delta)$, k_0 being the prime field of k. If char k = p > 0, then GF(q) contains k_1 if and only if 30|(q-1).

Theorem 2.15 Let char $k \notin \{2,3,5\}$. An A_6 -invariant sextic in PG(2,k) is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic F_6 .

Theorem 2.16 Let char $k \notin \{2,3,5\}$. If the projective automorphims group Aut(K) of a 72-point set K in PG(2,k) has a subgroup G which is isomorphic to A_6 , and acts transitively on K, then K and \mathcal{F}_{72} are projectively equivalent.

References

- [1] W. Fulton: Algebraic Curves, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [2] M. Namba: Geometry of Projective Algebraic Curves, Marcel Dekker, INC, 1984.
- [3] A. Wiman: Ueber eine einfache Gruppe von 360 ebenen Collineationen, Math. Annal. bd. 47(1896).

現在の所属機関 大阪府立大学工学部数理工学科