

# On nef values of determinants of ample vector bundles

Masahiro Ohno  
大野 真裕<sup>\*†</sup>

## 0 Introduction

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex projective manifold and  $\mathcal{E}$  an ample vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $M$ . The nefness of the adjoint bundle  $K_M + \det \mathcal{E}$  has been studied by several authors in the case where  $r \geq n - 2$ . In this note, we investigate the nef value  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$  of the polarized manifold  $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$ , and show the following results.

**Proposition 0.1.**  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) \leq (n + 1)/r$  and equality holds if and only if  $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ .

If we put  $r = n + 1$ , this proposition implies [YZ, Theorem 1] and [P1, Theorem]. This proposition can be strengthened as follows.

**Proposition 0.2.** If  $r \leq n$ , then  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) \leq n/r$  unless  $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ .

**Proposition 0.3.** If  $r \geq n$ ,  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) \leq (n + 1)/(r + 1)$  unless  $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ .

If we put  $r = n$ , these propositions are the same proposition of Ye and Zhang [YZ, Theorem 2]. The main theorems of this note are the following:

**Theorem 0.4.** If  $r \leq n$ , then  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) = n/r$  if and only if  $(M, \mathcal{E})$  is one of the following;

- 1)  $(\mathbf{P}^n, T_{\mathbf{P}^n})$
- 2)  $(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$
- 3)  $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(1)^{\oplus r})$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a hyperquadric in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ .
- 4)  $(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \psi^* \mathcal{G})$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $n$  on a smooth proper curve  $C$ ,  $\psi : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$  is the projection, and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $C$ .

Note that if  $r = n$  then Theorem 0.4 implies Peternell's theorem [P2, Theorem 2] and if  $r \geq n$  then Theorem 0.4 and Proposition 0.3 (or 0.1) lead Fujita's theorem [F4, Main Theorem].

---

<sup>\*</sup>Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science from April 1st to September 30th 1998.

<sup>†</sup>The author has moved to the University of Electro-Communications since October 1st 1998. Current E-mail address: ohno@e-one.uec.ac.jp

**Theorem 0.5.** *Suppose that  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) < n/r$ . If  $r \leq n - 1$ , then  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) \leq (n - 1)/r$  unless  $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$  and  $r > (n - 1)/2$ .*

Note also that if  $r = n - 1$  then Theorem 0.5 combined with Proposition 0.2 leads [YZ, Theorem 3].

**Theorem 0.6.** *Suppose that  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ . If  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E}) = (n - 1)/r$ , then  $(M, \mathcal{E})$  is one of the following;*

0)  $(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$  where  $r = (n - 1)/2$  and  $n$  is odd.

1)  $M$  is a Del Pezzo manifold with  $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\mathcal{E} \cong L^{\oplus r}$  where  $L$  is the ample generator of  $\text{Pic } M$ .

2) There exist a hyperquadric fibration  $\psi : M \rightarrow C$  over a smooth curve  $C$ , a  $\psi$ -ample line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$  on  $M$  and an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{G}$  of rank  $r$  on  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(1) \otimes \psi^* \mathcal{G}$  where  $\mathcal{O}_M(1)|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$  for any fiber  $F \cong Q$  of  $\psi$ .

3) There exists a  $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ -fibration  $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ , locally trivial in the étale (or complex) topology, over a smooth surface  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(1)^{\oplus r}$  for every fiber  $F$  of  $\psi$ .

4)  $M$  is the blowing-up  $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$  of a projective manifold  $M'$  at finite points, and there exists an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}'$  of rank  $r$  on  $M'$  such that  $\tau(M', \det \mathcal{E}') < (n - 1)/r$  and  $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-E)$  where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\psi$ .

Theorem 0.6 could be seen as a natural continuation of [ABW, Theorem], [PSW, Main Theorem(0.3)] and [F1, Theorem 3'] from the view point of nef value.

## Notation and conventions

In this note we work over the complex number field  $\mathbf{C}$ . Basically we follow the standard notation and terminology in algebraic geometry. We use the word *manifold* to mean a smooth variety. For a manifold  $M$ , we denote by  $K_M$  or simply by  $K$  the canonical divisor of  $M$ . We use the word *line* to mean a smooth rational curve of degree 1. We also use the words "locally free sheaf" and "vector bundle" interchangeably. For a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on a variety  $X$ , we denote also by  $H(\mathcal{E})$  the tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ . We are going to use the terminology in the Minimal Model Program. For our terminology, we fully refer to [KMM] and [M2]. For an extremal ray  $R$  of  $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ , we denote by  $l(R)$  the length of the ray  $R$ .

## 1 Preliminaries and proofs of propositions

We first recall the nef value  $\tau(M, L)$  of a polarized manifold  $(M, L)$ :  $\tau(M, L)$  is defined to be the minimum of the set of real numbers  $t$  such that  $K_M + tL$  is nef.

We also recall, for convenience of the reader, the following theorem [CM, Main Theorem] due to Koji Cho and Yoichi Miyaoka.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a Fano manifold of dimension  $n$  over the complex numbers. If  $(C, -K_M) \geq n + 1$  for every effective rational curve  $C \subset M$ , then  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^n$ .*

Now we begin with the proof of Proposition 0.1

*Proof of Proposition 0.1.* Let  $\tau$  be the nef value  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$  of the polarized manifold  $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$ . We may assume that  $\tau$  is positive. Then there exists an extremal rational curve  $C$  on  $M$  such that  $(K + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).C = 0$ . Thus  $\tau \leq (n+1)/r$  since  $-K.C \leq n+1$  and  $\det \mathcal{E}.C \geq r$ . If equality holds, then  $M$  is a Fano manifold of Picard number one by [I, Theorem (0.4)]. Hence  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^n$  by Theorem 1.1. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  turns out to be a uniform vector bundle of type  $(1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 0.2.* Assume that  $K + (n/r) \det \mathcal{E}$  is not nef. Let  $R$  be an extremal ray of  $\overline{NE}(M)$  such that  $(K + (n/r) \det \mathcal{E}).R < 0$  and let  $C$  be an extremal rational curve which belongs to  $R$ . Then  $n \leq (n/r) \det \mathcal{E}.C < -K.C \leq n+1$ . Thus  $-K.C = n+1$  and therefore the length  $l(R)$  of  $R$  is  $n+1$ . Hence  $M$  is a Fano manifold of Picard number one by [I, Theorem (0.4)] and  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^n$  by Theorem 1.1. Moreover  $\det \mathcal{E}.C < r(n+1)/n = r + (r/n)$ . Since  $r \leq n$ , this implies that  $\det \mathcal{E}.C = r$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}$  is a uniform vector bundle of type  $(1, \dots, 1)$  and isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.** We can give another proofs of Propositions 0.1 and 0.2 without using Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Proposition 0.3.* Assume that  $K + (n+1/r+1) \det \mathcal{E}$  is not nef. Let  $R$  be an extremal ray of  $\overline{NE}(M)$  such that  $(K + (n+1/r+1) \det \mathcal{E}).R < 0$  and let  $C$  be an extremal rational curve which belongs to  $R$ . Then  $r \leq \det \mathcal{E}.C < -(r+1)/(n+1)K.C \leq r+1$  and so  $\det \mathcal{E}.C = r$ . Hence  $n \leq (n+1)r/(r+1) = (n+1)/(r+1) \det \mathcal{E}.C < -K.C \leq n+1$ . Thus  $-K.C = n+1$  and the length  $l(R)$  of  $R$  is  $n+1$ . Hence  $M$  is a Fano manifold of Picard number one by [I, Theorem (0.4)]. Therefore  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^n$  by Theorem 1.1 and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a uniform vector bundle of type  $(1, \dots, 1)$ , so that  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ .  $\square$

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 0.4 and 0.5

First we give a proof of Theorem 0.4.

*Proof of Theorem 0.4.* Let  $P$  be the projective space bundle  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$  over  $M$ ,  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  the projection, and  $L$  the tautological line bundle  $H(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $R$  be an extremal ray of  $\overline{NE}(M)$  such that  $(K_M + (n/r) \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$  and let  $\psi : M \rightarrow C$  be the contraction morphism of  $R$ . Since  $r \leq n$ , we have  $(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}).R \leq 0$  so that  $-\pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$  is  $\psi \circ \pi$ -nef. Thus  $-K_P$  is  $\psi \circ \pi$ -ample because  $-K_P = rL - \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$ . This implies that  $\psi \circ \pi$  is the contraction morphism of an extremal face. Let  $R_\pi$  be the extremal ray corresponding to  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  and  $H$  an ample Cartier divisor on  $C$ . Then the extremal face  $((\psi \circ \pi)^*H)^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(P)$  corresponding to  $\psi \circ \pi$  can be expressed as  $R_\pi + R_1$ , where  $R_1$  is an extremal ray of  $\overline{NE}(P)$  different from  $R_\pi$ . Let  $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$  be the contraction morphism of  $R_1$ . Then there exists a unique morphism  $\pi' : N \rightarrow C$  such that  $\pi' \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \pi$ , and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\ M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C. \end{array}$$

Let  $z \in N$  be a point such that  $\dim \varphi^{-1}(z) > 0$  and put  $d = \dim \varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Let  $A_z$  be a  $d$ -dimensional irreducible component of  $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Since  $\pi|_{A_z} : A_z \rightarrow M$  is finite, we have  $d \leq n$ . Hence we have  $l(R_1) \leq n + 1$  by Wiśniewski's theorem [W, Theorem (1.1)]. Let  $C_1 \subset P$  be a rational curve which belongs to  $R_1$  and which attains the length  $l(R_1)$  of  $R_1$ . Since  $\psi(\pi(C_1))$  is a point,  $\pi(C_1)$  belongs to  $R$ , and therefore  $(K_M + (n/r) \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot \pi(C_1) = 0$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} n + 1 \geq -K_P \cdot C_1 &= rL \cdot C_1 - \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot C_1 \\ &= rL \cdot C_1 + ((n/r) - 1) \det \mathcal{E} \cdot \pi_*(C_1) \\ &\geq r + n - r = n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $L \cdot C_1 \geq 2$ , then we have  $r = 1$  by these inequalities. Thus

$$n + 1 \geq rL \cdot C_1 + ((n/r) - 1) \det \mathcal{E} \cdot \pi_*(C_1) = nL \cdot C_1 \geq 2n,$$

and we have  $n = 1$ . The theorem is obvious when  $n = 1$ . Therefore we may assume that  $L \cdot C_1 = 1$ .

Since  $L \cdot C_1 = 1$ , we know that  $C_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1)$  is birational. Let  $f : W \rightarrow A_z$  be the normalization,  $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$  a desingularization, and  $g : \tilde{W} \rightarrow W \rightarrow A_z$  the composite of these two morphisms.

Assume that  $-K_P \cdot C_1 = n + 1$ . Then we have  $1 \leq -n - K_P \cdot C_1 = -nL \cdot C_1 - K_P \cdot C_1$ . It follows from the argument in [Ma, (2.3)] that  $h^d(\tilde{W}, -tg^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$  for all  $t \leq n$ . Since  $d \leq n$ , this implies that  $(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) \cong (\mathbf{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1))$  by [F2, (2.2) Theorem]. If  $d \leq n - 1$ , then  $h^d(\tilde{W}, -ng^*(L|_{A_z})) = h^d(\mathbf{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(-n)) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we have  $d = n$ . Therefore Lazarsfeld's theorem [L, §4] implies that  $M \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ . Let  $D$  be a line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Since  $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot D = (r/n)(-K_M) \cdot D = r(1 + (1/n))$  and  $r \leq n$  and  $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot D$  is an integer, we have  $r = n$ . Thus  $\mathcal{E}$  is a uniform vector bundle of type  $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$  and so  $\mathcal{E} \cong T_{\mathbf{P}^n}$  or  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$  (see, e.g., [OSS]). Since  $\varphi$  has  $n$ -dimensional fibers, we know that  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ . This is the case 2) of the theorem.

Assume that  $-K_P \cdot C_1 = n$ . The theorem is true for  $r = n$  by [F4, Main Theorem] or [P2, Theorem 2], and so we may assume that  $r \leq n - 1$  in the following. Then we have  $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot \pi(C_1) = r$  and  $-K_M \cdot \pi(C_1) = n$ . On the other hand, for every rational curve  $D \subset M$  belonging to  $R$ , we have  $-K_M \cdot D = n/r \det \mathcal{E} \cdot D \geq n$ . Therefore the length  $l(R)$  of  $R$  is  $n$ . It follows from Wiśniewski's theorem [W, Theorem (1.1)] that  $\dim C \leq 1$ .

Suppose that  $\dim C = 1$ . Let  $U$  denote the largest open subset of  $C$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is smooth. Let  $F$  be any fiber of the morphism  $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ . Then  $K_F + n/r \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$ , i.e.,  $\tau(F, \det \mathcal{E}|_F) = ((n - 1) + 1)/r$ . Hence Proposition 0.1 shows that  $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ . Since  $H^2(U, \mathcal{O}_U^\times) = 0$  by Tsen's theorem, where we consider  $U$  with metric (or étale) topology,  $\psi^{-1}(U)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_U)$  over  $U$  for some vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}_U$  on  $U$ . Let  $H$  denote the tautological line bundle  $H(\mathcal{F}_U)$  on  $\psi^{-1}(U)$ . We can extend  $H$  to a line bundle on  $M$ , which we also denote by  $H$  by abuse of notation. Let  $F'$  be an arbitrary fiber of  $\psi$ . Then  $F'$  is irreducible and reduced because  $\psi$  is the contraction morphism of an extremal ray and  $\dim C = 1$ . Since the polarized variety  $(F, H|_F)$  has Fujita's delta genus  $\Delta(F, H|_F) = 0$  and degree  $H|_F^{n-1} = 1$ ,  $(F', H|_{F'})$  also has the same delta genus and degree, so that  $(F', H|_{F'}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ . Thus  $\det \mathcal{E}|_{F'} = \mathcal{O}(r)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}|_{F'} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ . This is the case 4) of the theorem.

Suppose that  $\dim C = 0$ . Then  $M$  is a Fano manifold of Picard number one and  $K_M + n/r \det \mathcal{E} \equiv 0$ . Let  $A$  be the ample generator of  $\text{Pic } M$ :  $\text{Pic } M = \mathbf{Z} \cdot A$ . Since  $0 = -n - K_P.C_1 = -nL.C_1 - K_P.C_1$ , we get  $h^d(\tilde{W}, -tg^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$  for all  $t \leq n - 1$  by the argument in [Ma, (2.3)]. Thus we obtain  $d \geq n - 1$  by the same reason as before.

If  $\varphi$  is birational, then  $h^d(\tilde{W}, -ng^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$  by [F3, (11.4) Lemma]. Therefore we know that  $d = n$  and  $(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$  by [F2, (2.2) Theorem]. Hence it follows from Lazarsfeld's theorem [L, §4] that  $M \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $l(R) = n$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is of fiber type.

If  $d = n - 1$ , then  $(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$  by [F2, (2.2) Theorem]. We claim that  $\varphi$  has equidimensional fibers. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\varphi$  has an  $n$ -dimensional fiber  $\varphi^{-1}(z')$  over a point  $z' \in N$ . Let  $A_{z'}$  denote an  $n$ -dimensional irreducible component of  $\varphi^{-1}(z')$ . Let  $f' : W' \rightarrow A_{z'}$  be the normalization,  $\tilde{W}' \rightarrow W'$  a desingularization, and  $g' : \tilde{W}' \rightarrow W' \rightarrow A_{z'}$  the composite of these two morphisms. Since  $0 = -nL.C_1 - K_P.C_1$ , we have  $h^n(\tilde{W}', -tg'^*(L|_{A_{z'}})) = 0$  for all  $t \leq n$  by [YZ, Lemma 4]. Thus Fujita's theorem [F2, (2.2) Theorem] again implies that  $(W', f'^*(L|_{A_{z'}})) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ . Hence  $M \cong \mathbf{P}^n$  as before, which contradicts the assumption that  $l(R) = n$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  has equidimensional fibers. This implies that  $\varphi$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ -bundle over a projective manifold  $N$  by [F1, (2.12) Lemma]. Note that  $\dim N = r$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote  $\varphi_*L$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $n$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{F}$  is ample because  $H(\mathcal{F}) = L$ .

We have  $\text{Pic } N \cong \mathbf{Z}$ : let  $B$  denote the ample generator of  $\text{Pic } N$ . Since

$$-rL + \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}) = K_P = -nL + \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}),$$

we have  $n - r = \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l = (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).\varphi_*(l)$ , where  $l$  denote a line in a fiber of  $\pi$ . Note that  $l \rightarrow \varphi(l)$  is birational because  $L.l = 1$ . Thus  $-K_N.\varphi(l) = \det \mathcal{F}.\varphi(l) + r - n \geq r$ .

We claim here that  $-K_N.\varphi(l) \leq r + 1$ . Assume, to the contrary, that  $-K_N.\varphi(l) \geq r + 2$ . Then  $\varphi(l)$  can be deformed to a sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} l_i$  of at least two rational curves  $l_i$ 's (some of which may be equal) ( $i = 1, \dots, \delta, \delta \geq 2$ ) such that  $-K_N.l_i \leq r + 1$  by [M1, Theorem 4]. Thus  $n - r = (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).\varphi(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i \geq \delta(-r - 1 + n)$ . Hence  $(\delta - 1)(n - r) \leq \delta$ . Since  $r \leq n - 1$  by the preceding assumption, we have  $1 \leq n - r \leq 1 + (1/(\delta - 1)) \leq 2$ . If  $n - r = 1$ , then  $1 = (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).\varphi(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i$ , which is a contradiction because  $\text{Pic } N \cong \mathbf{Z}$  and so  $K_N + \det \mathcal{F}$  is ample. Hence  $n - r = 2, \delta = 2$ , and  $(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i = 1$ . Since  $n \leq \det \mathcal{F}.l_i = 1 - K_N.l_i \leq r + 2 = n$ , we obtain  $n = \det \mathcal{F}.l_i$  and  $-K_N.l_i = r + 1$ . This implies that  $K_N + (r + 1)(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . Applying Kobayashi and Ochiai's theorem [KO], we infer that  $(N, K_N + \det \mathcal{F}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(1))$ . Therefore  $\det \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(r + 2) = \mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}$ . This means that  $\pi$  is  $\mathbf{P}^r$ -bundle, which is a contradiction.

By the claim above, we have two cases:  $(-K_N.\varphi(l), \det \mathcal{F}.\varphi(l)) = (r + 1, n + 1)$  and  $(-K_N.\varphi(l), \det \mathcal{F}.\varphi(l)) = (r, n)$ . Let  $l'$  denote  $\varphi(l)$  and let  $C'_1$  denote  $\pi(C_1)$ . Put  $s = A.C'_1$  and  $t = B.l'$ . We have  $\varphi^*B = xL + y\pi^*A$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Restricting this formula on  $l$ , we get  $0 < t = x$ , and restricting this formula on  $C_1$ , we obtain  $0 = x + ys$ . Hence  $y < 0$ . Since  $\pi^*A \in \mathbf{Z} \cdot L \oplus \mathbf{Z} \cdot \varphi^*B$ ,  $y$  is a unit in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Hence  $y = -1$  and  $s = x = t$ . Thus  $\varphi^*B = sL - \pi^*A$ . Put  $\mathbf{P}_\eta^1 = l$ . Note that  $\mathbf{P}_\eta^1 = l \rightarrow l'$  is the normalization. Let  $X$  denote

$P \times_N \mathbf{P}_\eta^1$ , and let  $\pi_X$  denote the composite of  $X \rightarrow P$  and  $\pi$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}_\eta^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}$$

Suppose that  $(-K_N.l', \det \mathcal{F}.l') = (r+1, n+1)$ . Then

$$X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_l) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)).$$

Let  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\xi^n$  be the morphism determined by  $|H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))|$ . Note that  $L_X = H_\xi + H_\eta$ , where  $H_\xi = H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\xi^n}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $H_\eta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\eta^1}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ . Hence  $\pi_X^* A = sL_X - (\varphi^* B)_X = sH_\xi + sH_\eta - tH_\eta = sH_\xi$ . Thus we obtain a unique finite morphism  $h : \mathbf{P}_\xi^n \rightarrow M$  forming a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_\xi^n & \xleftarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \pi_X \\ M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M. \end{array}$$

This implies that  $M \cong \mathbf{P}^n$  by Lazarsfeld's theorem [L, §4]. This contradicts the assumption that  $l(R) = n$ . Hence this case does not occur.

Suppose that  $(-K_N.l', \det \mathcal{F}.l') = (r, n)$ . Then

$$X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_l) = \mathbf{P}_\xi^{n-1} \times \mathbf{P}_\eta^1.$$

Let  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\xi^{n-1}$  be the projection. We have  $L_X = H_\xi + H_\eta$ , where  $H_\xi = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\xi^{n-1}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $H_\eta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\eta^1}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ . Hence  $\pi_X^* A = sL_X - (\varphi^* B)_X = sH_\xi + sH_\eta - tH_\eta = sH_\xi$ . Thus there exists a unique finite morphism  $h : \mathbf{P}_\xi^{n-1} \rightarrow M$  forming a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_\xi^{n-1} & \xleftarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \pi_X \\ M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M. \end{array}$$

Put  $D_M = \pi(X)$ .  $D_M$  is a prime divisor on  $M$ . For every point  $z \in l'$ ,  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = D_M$ . This implies that for every line  $l_1$  in a fiber of  $\pi$  we have  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = \pi(\varphi^{-1}(z'))$  for all points  $z, z' \in \varphi(l_1)$ . Since every two points in the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(l))$  can be joined by a line, we know that  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = D_M$  for every point  $z \in \varphi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(l)))$ . Moreover for every point  $x \in D_M$  and  $x' \in h^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x' \times \mathbf{P}_\eta^1$  is embedded as a line in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  because  $L_X = H_\xi + H_\eta$ , and  $\varphi(x' \times \mathbf{P}_\eta^1) = l'$ . Therefore it follows from the above argument that  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = D_M$  for every point  $z \in \varphi(\pi^{-1}(x))$ . Hence  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = D_M$  for every point  $z \in \varphi(\pi^{-1}(D_M))$ . Putting  $D_P = \pi^*(D_M)$ , we get  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(D_P))) = D_M$ . Thus  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(D_P)) = \pi^{-1}(D_M) = D_P$ . Therefore  $D_P.C_1 = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $D_M = \alpha A$  for some positive integer  $\alpha$ , we have  $D_P.C_1 = \alpha \pi^* A.C_1 = \alpha A.C_1' = \alpha s > 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore there is no  $(n-1)$ -dimensional fiber in  $\varphi$  and  $d = n$ .

Now take  $z$  as a general point of  $N$ . Then  $\tilde{W} = W = A_z = \varphi^{-1}(z)$ . It follows from  $(K_P + nL).C_1 = 0$  that  $K_{\varphi^{-1}(z)} + nL|_{\varphi^{-1}(z)} = 0$ . Applying Kobayashi and Ochiai's theorem [KO], we infer that  $\varphi^{-1}(z) \cong \mathbf{Q}^n$ . Hence we obtain  $M \cong \mathbf{P}^n$  or  $\mathbf{Q}^n$  by [CS] or [PS]. Now we are in the assumption that  $l(R) = n$ , so that  $M$  is in fact isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q}^n$ . Furthermore since  $\det \mathcal{E}.D = -r/nK_M.D = r$  for any line  $D$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$  we have  $\mathcal{E}|_D \cong \mathcal{O}_D(1)^{\oplus r}$  for any line  $D \subset \mathbf{Q}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ .  $\square$

Finally we give a proof of Theorem 0.5.

*Proof of Theorem 0.5.* Let  $\tau$  denote the nef value  $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$  of  $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$ . Let  $R$  be an extremal ray of  $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$  such that  $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$  and  $\psi : M \rightarrow C$  the contraction morphism of  $R$ . Let  $D$  be an extremal rational curve belonging to  $R$ . Since  $(n-1)/r < \tau$ ,  $(K_M + (n-1)/r \det \mathcal{E}).R < 0$ . Hence we have  $n-1 \leq (n-1)/r \det \mathcal{E}.D < -K_M.D$ , and therefore  $n \leq -K_M.D$ . On the other hand,  $(K_M + n/r \det \mathcal{E}).R > 0$  since  $\tau < n/r$ . If we have  $-K_M.D = n$ , this implies that  $\det \mathcal{E}.D > r$ . Hence  $\det \mathcal{E}.D \geq r+1$ . Therefore we have  $-K_M.D > (n-1)/r \det \mathcal{E}.D \geq n-1 + (n-1)/r \geq n$  since  $r \leq n-1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $-K_M.D = n+1$ , so that the length  $l(R)$  of  $R$  is  $n+1$ . Applying Ionescu's theorem [I, Theorem (0.4)], we know that  $\dim C = 0$ . Therefore  $M$  is a Fano manifold of Picard number one. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $M \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ . For every line  $D$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , we have  $\det \mathcal{E}.D < r(n+1)/(n-1) = r + (2r/(n-1)) \leq r+2$  and  $\det \mathcal{E}.D > r(n+1)/n = r + (r/n)$ . Hence  $\det \mathcal{E}.D = r+1$  and  $1 < 2r/(n-1)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$  and  $r > (n-1)/2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** *Without using Theorem 1.1, we can show Theorem 0.5.*

### 3 Outline of Proof of Theorems 0.6

*Outline of Proof of Theorem 0.6.* Let  $P$  be the projective space bundle  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$  over  $M$ ,  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  the projection, and  $L$  the tautological line bundle  $H(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $R$  be an extremal ray of  $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$  such that  $(K_M + ((n-1)/r) \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$  and let  $\psi : M \rightarrow S$  be the contraction morphism of  $R$ . Since  $r \leq n-1$ , we have  $(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}).R \leq 0$  so that  $-\pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$  is  $\psi \circ \pi$ -nef. Thus  $-K_P$  is  $\psi \circ \pi$ -ample because  $-K_P = rL - \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$ . Let  $R_\pi$  be the extremal ray corresponding to  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ . Then  $\overline{\text{NE}}(M/S) = R_\pi + R_1$ , where  $R_1$  is an extremal ray of  $\overline{\text{NE}}(P/S)$  different from  $R_\pi$ . Let  $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$  be the contraction morphism of  $R_1$ , which is naturally an  $S$ -morphism. Let  $\pi' : N \rightarrow S$  be the structural morphism. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\ M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S. \end{array}$$

Let  $z \in N$  be a point such that  $\dim \varphi^{-1}(z) > 0$  and put  $d = \dim \varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Let  $A_z$  be a  $d$ -dimensional irreducible component of  $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Since  $\pi|_{A_z} : A_z \rightarrow M$  is finite, we have  $d \leq n$ . Hence we have  $l(R_1) \leq n+1$  by Wiśniewski's theorem [W, Theorem (1.1)]. Let  $C_1 \subset P$  be a rational curve which belongs to  $R_1$  and which attains the length  $l(R_1)$  of  $R_1$ . Since

$\psi(\pi(C_1))$  is a point,  $\pi(C_1)$  belongs to  $R$ , and therefore  $(K_M + ((n-1)/r) \det \mathcal{E}).\pi(C_1) = 0$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} n+1 \geq -K_P.C_1 &= rL.C_1 - \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}).C_1 \\ &= rL.C_1 + (((n-1)/r) - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) \\ &\geq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $L.C_1 \geq 2$ , then  $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) \geq r+1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n+1 &\geq rL.C_1 + (((n-1)/r) - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) \\ &\geq 2r + (n-1)(1 + (1/r)) - r - 1 = r - 1 + n - 1 + (n-1)/r. \end{aligned}$$

However this contradicts the assumption that  $2 \leq r \leq n-2$ . Therefore we have  $L.C_1 = 1$ .

Since  $L.C_1 = 1$ , we know that  $C_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1)$  is birational. Let  $f : W \rightarrow A_z$  be the normalization,  $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$  a desingularization, and  $g : \tilde{W} \rightarrow W \rightarrow A_z$  the composite of these two morphisms.

The case where  $-K_P.C_1 = n+1$  is ruled out by the same argument in the proof of Theorem 0.4. If  $-K_P.C_1 = n$ , then we know that  $\varphi$  is birational and that  $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$  where  $r = (n-1)/2$  and  $n$  is odd by the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 0.4. This is the case 0) of the theorem.

Assume that  $-K_P.C_1 = n-1$  in the following. Then  $(((n-1)/r) - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = n-1-r$  by the inequality above. Since  $r \leq n-2$ , it follows that  $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = r$ . Hence  $-K_M.\pi(C_1) = n-1$  and  $l(R) = n-1$ . Suppose that  $\psi$  is birational. Then  $\varphi$  is also birational by the analogous argument in [ABW, Lemma 1.8]. Since  $-K_P.C_1 = n-1$ , it follows from the analogous statement in [ABW, Lemma 1.13] that  $S$  is smooth. Let  $E$  be the exceptional locus of  $\psi$ . Since  $l(R) = n-1$ ,  $E$  is an irreducible divisor which is contracted to a point by  $\psi$ . Thus  $\psi$  is the blowing-up of  $S$  at a point  $\psi(E)$  by [ES, Theorem 1.1]. Hence we have the case 4) of the theorem by the standard argument.

Now suppose that  $\psi$  is of fiber type. Then  $\dim S \leq 2$  because  $l(R) = n-1$ . If  $\dim S = 2$ , then we have the case 3) of the theorem by the same argument as in [ABW]. Assume that  $\dim S = 1$  and let  $F$  be a general fiber of  $\psi$ . Then  $K_F + ((n-1)/r) \det \mathcal{E}_F = 0$ . Since  $r \leq n-2$ , it follows from Theorem 0.4 that  $(F, \mathcal{E}_F)$  is isomorphic to  $(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(1)^{\oplus r})$  or  $(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \psi'^*\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $n-1$  on a smooth proper curve  $C$ ,  $\psi' : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$  is the projection, and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $C$ . If  $F = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ , then we have  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_F) = 0$  since  $F$  is Fano. Hence  $C = \mathbf{P}^1$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  can be written as direct sums of line bundles. Now we can derive a contradiction by the assumption that  $2 \leq r \leq n-2$  and the fact that  $K_F + ((n-1)/r) \det \mathcal{E}_F = 0$ . Thus we have  $(F, \mathcal{E}_F) \cong (Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(1)^{\oplus r})$ . Hence we obtain the case 2) of the theorem by the standard argument.

Finally let us consider the case  $\dim S = 0$ . Note that  $M$  is a Fano manifold of Picard number one and that  $K_M + ((n-1)/r) \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ . If  $\varphi$  has an  $n$ -dimensional fiber, then it follows from the argument in [PSW, §4] that every fiber of  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -dimensional and that  $M$  is a Del Pezzo manifold. Let  $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$  be the ample line bundle such that  $K_M + (n-1)\mathcal{O}_M(1) = 0$ . Since  $-K_M.\pi(C_1) = n-1$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_M(1).\pi(C_1) = 1$ . Hence  $H(\mathcal{E}(-1)).C_1 = 0$  and  $H(\mathcal{E}(-1))$  is nef. Therefore  $H(\mathcal{E}(-1))$  is a supporting function for  $\varphi$  and semiample. Thus  $\mathcal{E}(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$  by [PSW, Cor.1.2], and hence  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(1)^{\oplus r}$ . Let



us assume that  $\varphi$  has no  $n$ -dimensional fibers in the following. Moreover we can show that  $\varphi$  has no  $(n-1)$ -dimensional fibers by the similar argument as in [PSW, §5].

Hence it follows from  $-K_P.C_1 = n-1$  that  $\varphi$  is of fiber type and that every fiber of  $\varphi$  is  $(n-2)$ -dimensional. Then  $(\varphi^{-1}(z), L|_{\varphi^{-1}(z)}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1))$  for a general point  $z \in N$ . Thus  $N$  is smooth of dimension  $r+1$  and  $\varphi$  makes  $(P, L)$  a scroll over  $N$  by [F1, (2.12)]. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $\varphi_*L$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ample vector bundle of rank  $n-1$  on  $N$ . Note that  $C_1$  is a line in  $W = \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ . Since  $\det \mathcal{E}_W.C_1 = r$ , we have  $\det \mathcal{E}_W = \mathcal{O}_W(r)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}_W = \mathcal{O}_W(1)^{\oplus r}$ . Since  $-rL + \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}) = -(n-1)L + \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{F})$ , we have  $n-r-1 = \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l$ , where  $l$  denotes a line in a fiber of  $\pi$ . Hence we obtain  $(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l'$ , where  $l'$  denotes  $\varphi(l)$ . Thus  $-K_N.l' = \det \mathcal{F}.l' + r - (n-1) \geq r$ .

Assume that  $-K_N.l' \geq r+3$ . Then  $l'$  can be deformed to a sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} l_i$  of at least two rational curves  $l_i$ 's (some of which may be equal) ( $i = 1, \dots, \delta, \delta \geq 2$ ) such that  $-K_N.l_i \leq r+2$  by [M1, Theorem 4]. Thus  $n-r-1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i \geq \delta(-r-2+n-1)$ . Hence  $(\delta-1)(n-r-1) \leq 2\delta$ . Since  $r \leq n-2$  by the assumption, we have  $1 \leq n-r-1 \leq 2+(2/(\delta-1)) \leq 4$ . We can rule out the case  $n-r-1 = 1$  by the same reason as before. If  $n-1-r = 2$  or  $3$ , then  $(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i = 1$  for some  $i$ . Hence  $r+2 \geq -K_N.l_i = \det \mathcal{F}.l_i - 1 \geq n-2$ . If  $n-1-r = 2$ , then  $r+2 = n-1$ . If  $-K_N.l_i = r+2$ , then we know that  $(N, \mathcal{F}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{r+1}, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$  by Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem [KO] as before. However this contradicts the fact that  $\pi$  is of fiber type. If  $-K_N.l_i = r+1$ , then again by Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem [KO] we infer that  $(N, \mathcal{F}) \cong (Q^{r+1}, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)})$ . However this implies that  $\text{Im}(\pi) = \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ , which is also a contradiction. If  $n-1-r = 3$ , then  $-K_N.l_i = r+2$ . Hence we obtain  $(N, \mathcal{F}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{r+1}, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)})$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\text{Im}(\pi) = \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ . If  $n-1-r = 4$ , then  $\delta = 2$ . If  $(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i = 1$  for some  $i$ , then  $n-3 = r+2 \geq -K_N.l_i = \det \mathcal{F}.l_i - 1 \geq n-2$ . This is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that  $(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i = 2$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ . This implies that  $n-3 = r+2 \geq -K_N.l_i = \det \mathcal{F}.l_i - 2 \geq n-3$ . Thus  $-K_N.l_i = r+2$  and  $\det \mathcal{F}.l_i = n-1$ . Hence there exists a rational curve  $\tilde{l}_i$  on  $P$  such that  $L.\tilde{l}_i = 1$  and  $\varphi(\tilde{l}_i) = l_i$ . If  $\pi(\tilde{l}_i)$  is a point, then we may assume that  $\tilde{l}_i = l$  and this contradicts the assumption that  $-K_N.l' \geq r+3$ . Thus  $\pi(\tilde{l}_i)$  is a rational curve. On the other hand,  $-\pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}).\tilde{l}_i = n-1-r-2 = 2$ . This gives that  $((n-1)/r - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi(\tilde{l}_i) = 2$ . Therefore we get  $r \leq \det \mathcal{E}.\pi(\tilde{l}_i) = r/2$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we have  $-K_N.l' \leq r+2$ .

By the consideration above, we have three cases:  $(-K_N.l', \det \mathcal{F}.l') = (r+2, n+1)$ ,  $(r+1, n)$  or  $(r, n-1)$ . Let  $A$  be the ample generator of  $\text{Pic } M$  and  $B$  the ample generator of  $\text{Pic } N$ . Let  $C'_1$  denote  $\pi(C_1)$ . Put  $s = A.C'_1$  and  $t = B.l'$ . Then we obtain  $s = t$  and  $\varphi^*B = sL - \pi^*A$  by the same argument as before. We can rule out the case where  $\det \mathcal{F}.l' = n+1$  by the argument before and the case where  $\det \mathcal{F}.l' = n$  by the argument as in [PSW].

Let us consider the case  $(-K_N.l', \det \mathcal{F}.l') = (r, n-1)$  in the following. This part is the heart of this proof of the theorem. Let  $F$  denote any fiber of  $\pi$ . We have  $\mathcal{F}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$ . Note that  $F \rightarrow \varphi(F)$  and  $W \rightarrow \pi(W)$  are birational. For any point  $z \in N$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}|_{\varphi^{-1}(z)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(1)^{\oplus r}$ . Hence we have a birational morphism  $\mathbf{P}^{n-2} \times \mathbf{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)))$ . Since  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z))) \supset \varphi^{-1}(z) \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ , it induces a birational morphism  $\mathbf{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \varphi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z))))$ . Fix a point  $z_0 \in N$  and take an irreducible reduced curve  $C$  on  $N$  such that  $C$  is not contained in  $\varphi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z_0))))$ . For any  $z_1 \in C \setminus \varphi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z_0))))$ , we have  $\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z_1)) \cap \pi(\varphi^{-1}(z_0)) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\dim \varphi^{-1}(C) =$

$1 + n - 2 = n - 1$ , we know that  $\dim \pi(\varphi^{-1}(C)) = n - 1$ . Put  $D_M = \pi(\varphi^{-1}(C))$ .  $D_M$  is a prime divisor on  $M$ . Put  $D_P = \pi^*(D_M)$ .  $D_P$  is a prime divisor on  $P$ . It follows from  $D_M = \cup_{z \in C} \pi(\varphi^{-1}(z))$  that  $D_P = \cup_{z \in C} \pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z)))$ . Hence  $\varphi(D_P) = \cup_{z \in C} \varphi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z))))$ . Thus  $D_P \rightarrow \varphi(D_P)$  has  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional fibers and  $\dim \varphi(D_P) = n + r - 2 - n - 2 = r$ . Putting  $D_N = \varphi(D_P)$ , we know that  $D_N$  is a prime divisor on  $N$  and  $D_P = \varphi^*(D_N)$ . This implies that  $D_P = \pi^*(D_M) = \pi^*(D_N)$ , which is impossible. Therefore if  $\dim S = 0$  then  $M$  is a Del Pezzo manifold and  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(1)^{\oplus r}$ . This is the case 1) of the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [ABW] Andreatta, M, Ballico, E. and Wiśniewski, J. A.: Vector bundles and adjunction. *Int. J. Math.* **3**, 331–340 (1992)
- [CM] Cho, K. and Miyaoka, Y.: A characterization of projective spaces in terms of the minimum degrees of rational curves. Preprint, March 1997.
- [CS] Cho, K. and Sato, E.: Smooth projective varieties dominated by smooth quadric hypersurfaces in any characteristic. *Math. Z.* **217**, 553–565 (1994)
- [ES] Ein, L. and Shepherd-Barron, N.: Some Special Cremona transformation. *Amer. J. Math.* **111**, 783–800 (1989)
- [F1] Fujita, T.: On Polarized Manifolds Whose Adjoint Bundles Are Not Semipositive. in *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985.* (Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, 167–178) Kinokuniya 1987
- [F2] Fujita, T.: Remarks on quasi-polarized varieties. *Nagoya Math. J.* **115**, 105–123 (1989)
- [F3] Fujita, T.: *Classification Theory of Polarized Varieties.* (London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 155) Cambridge New York Port Chester Melbourne Sydney: Cambridge University Press 1990
- [F4] Fujita, T.: On adjoint bundles of ample vector bundles. (Lecture Notes in Math. **1507**, 105–112) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1992
- [Fu] Fulton, W.: *Intersection Theory.* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Bd 2) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1984
- [H] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry.* (Graduate Texts in Math., 52) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1983
- [I] Ionescu, P.: Generalized adjunction and applications. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **99**, 457–472 (1986)
- [KMM] Kawamata, Y., Matsuda, K. and Matsuki, K.: Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem. in *Algebraic Geometry Sendai 1985.* (Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, 283–360) Kinokuniya 1987

- [KO] Kobayashi, S. and Ochiai, T.: Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **13**, 31–47 (1973)
- [Ko] Kollár, J.: *Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties.* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Bd 32) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1996
- [L] Lazarsfeld, R.: Some applications of the theory of positive vector bundles. (Lecture Notes in Math. **1092**, 29–61) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1984
- [Ma] Maeda, H.: Ramification divisors for branched coverings of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . *Math. Ann.* **288**, 195–199 (1990)
- [M1] Mori, S.: Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **110**, 593–606 (1979)
- [M2] Mori, S.: Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **116**, 133–176 (1982)
- [OSS] Okonek, C., Schneider, M. and Spindler, H.: *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces.* (Progr. Math., 3) Boston Basel Stuttgart: Birkhäuser 1987.
- [PS] Paranjape K. H. and Srinivas, V.: Self maps of homogeneous spaces. *Invent. math.* **98**, 425–444 (1989)
- [P1] Peternell, T.: A characterization of  $\mathbb{P}_n$  by vector bundles. *Math. Z.* **205**, 487–490 (1990)
- [P2] Peternell, T.: Ample vector bundles on Fano manifolds. *Int. J. Math.* **2**, 311–322 (1991)
- [PSW] Peternell, T., Szurek, M. and Wiśniewski, J. A.: Fano manifolds and vector bundles. *Math. Ann.* **294**, 151–165 (1992)
- [W] Wiśniewski, J. A.: On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds. *J. reine angew. Math.* **417**, 141–157 (1991)
- [YZ] Ye, Y. and Zhang, Q.: On ample vector bundles whose adjunction bundles are not numerically effective. *Duke Math.* **60** No. 3, 671–687 (1990)