

## On the Extension Theorem for Linear Codes (線形符号の延長定理について)

Tatsuya MARUTA (丸田 辰哉)

Department of Information Systems  
Aichi Prefectural University  
Nagakute, Aichi 480-1198, Japan  
e-mail : maruta@ist.aichi-pu.ac.jp

**Abstract.** Hill and Lizak ([1]) proved that every  $[n, k, d]_q$  code with  $\gcd(d, q)=1$  and with all weights congruent to 0 or  $d$  (modulo  $q$ ) can be extended to an  $[n+1, k, d+1]_q$  code. We give another elementary geometrical proof of this theorem.

### 1. Introduction

An  $[n, k, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  means a linear code of length  $n$  with dimension  $k$  whose minimum Hamming distance is  $d$  over the Galois field  $\text{GF}(q)$ . The weight distribution of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the list of numbers  $A_i$  which is the number of codewords of  $\mathcal{C}$  with weight  $i$ . We only consider *non-degenerate* codes having no coordinate which is identically zero.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code with a generator matrix  $G$ . The code obtained by deleting the same coordinate from each codeword of  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *punctured code* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . If there exists an  $[n+1, k, d+1]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}'$  whose punctured code is  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *extendable* (to  $\mathcal{C}'$ ) and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is an *extension* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Obviously, every  $[n, 1, d]_q$  code is extendable.

As for the case when  $k=2$ , an  $[n, 2, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to the code with a generator matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdots 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{q-1} & \cdots & \alpha^{q-1} & 1 \cdots 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $\text{GF}(q)$ . Let  $t_0, t_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ ),  $t_q$  be the number of columns  $[1 \ 0]^T, [1 \ \alpha^i]^T$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ ),  $[0 \ 1]^T$  respectively, so that  $t_0 + t_1 + \cdots + t_q = n$ . Setting  $s = \max\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_q\}$ , we have  $0 \leq t_i \leq s$  and  $s = n - d$ . So,  $\mathcal{C}$  is extendable iff there exists  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq q$ ) with  $t_i < s$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $[s(q+1), 2, sq]_q$  code iff  $t_0 = t_1 = \cdots = t_q = s$ , we get

**Theorem 1.** An  $[n, 2, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is not extendable iff  $n = s(q+1)$  and  $d = sq$  for some integer  $s$ .

Although it is not so easy to find if an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code is extendable or not when  $k \geq 3$  in general, it is well known that every  $[n, k, d]_2$  code with  $d$  odd is extendable (by adding an overall parity check). The following so-called extension theorem is a generalization of this fact.

**Theorem 2.** (Hill & Lizak [1])

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code with the weight distribution  $\{A_i\}$ . If  $\gcd(d, q)=1$  and if  $i \equiv 0$  or  $d \pmod{q}$  for all  $i$  with  $A_i > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is extendable to an  $[n+1, k, d+1]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}'$  with the weight distribution  $\{A'_i\}$  satisfying  $i \equiv 0$  or  $d+1 \pmod{q}$  for all  $i$  with  $A'_i > 0$ .

For an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  with a generator matrix  $G$ , the *residual code* of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to a codeword  $c$ , denoted by  $\text{Res}(\mathcal{C}, c)$ , is the code generated by the restriction of  $G$  to the columns where  $c$  has a zero entry. The following lemma is well known for residual codes.

**Lemma 3.** Take  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  with weight  $d$ . Then  $\text{Res}(\mathcal{C}, c)$  is an  $[n-d, k-1, d_0]_q$  code with  $d_0 \geq \lceil d/q \rceil$ , where  $\lceil x \rceil$  is the smallest integer  $\geq x$ .

When  $q$  divides  $d$ , we can prove the following.

**Theorem 4.** An  $[n, k, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is not extendable if  $q$  divides  $d$  and if  $\text{Res}(\mathcal{C}, c)$  is an  $[n-d, k-1, d_0]_q$  code with  $d_0 = d/q$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Example.** Every  $[q^2, 4, q^2 - q - 1]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is extendable by Theorem 2 (see [1]). But the extension of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is not extendable by Lemma 3 and Theorem 4.

We give the proof of Theorems 2 and 4 in Section 3. A geometrical point of view (given in Section 2), which is a generalization of the above observation for the case when  $k = 2$ , is sometimes valid for linear codes (cf. [4],[5]). Although the original proof of Theorem 2 is elementary, we give another elementary geometrical proof to make clear the extendability of linear codes in the different way.

## 2. A geometric method

We denote by  $\text{PG}(r, q)$  the projective geometry of dimension  $r$  over  $\text{GF}(q)$ . Assume  $r \geq 2$ . A  $j$ -flat is a projective subspace of dimension  $j$  in  $\text{PG}(r, q)$ . 0-flats, 1-flats, 2-flats,  $(r-2)$ -flats and  $(r-1)$ -flats are called *points*, *lines*, *planes*, *secundums* and *hyperplanes* respectively. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_j$  the set of  $j$ -flats of  $\text{PG}(r, q)$ . The following lemma is a characterization of hyperplanes.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $F$  be a proper subset of  $\Sigma = \text{PG}(r, q)$ . Then  $F$  is a hyperplane of  $\Sigma$  iff every line in  $\Sigma$  meets  $F$  in one point or in  $q+1$  points.

**Proof.** Assume that every line in  $\Sigma = \text{PG}(r, q)$  meets a proper subset  $F$  of  $\Sigma$  in one point or in  $q + 1$  points. Let  $l_0$  be a line in  $\Sigma$ . Then we can find a point  $Q_0 \in F$  on  $l_0$ . Let  $\delta_{j-1}$  be a  $(j - 1)$ -flat included in  $F$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$ . Taking a line  $l_j$  which is skew to  $\delta_{j-1}$ , we can get a point  $Q_j \in F$  (on  $l_j$ ) not on  $\delta_{j-1}$ . Since every line through  $Q_j$  and a point of  $\delta_{j-1}$  meets  $F$  in  $q + 1$  points, we get  $\delta_j = \langle Q_j, \delta_{j-1} \rangle \in \mathcal{F}_j$  included in  $F$ . Inductively, we get a hyperplane  $\delta_{r-1}$  included in  $F$ . If a point  $Q \in F$  not in  $\delta_{r-1}$  exists, then we have  $F = \langle Q, \delta_{r-1} \rangle = \Sigma$ , a contradiction. Hence we obtain  $F = \delta_{r-1}$ . The converse is trivial.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a (non-degenerate)  $[n, k, d]_q$  code. The columns of a generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$  can be considered as a multiset of  $n$  points in  $\Sigma = \text{PG}(k - 1, q)$  denoted also by  $\mathcal{C}$ . We see linear codes from this geometrical point of view. An  $i$ -point is a point of  $\Sigma$  which has multiplicity  $i$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $C_i$  be the set of  $i$ -points in  $\Sigma$ . For any subset  $S$  of  $\Sigma$  we define

$$c(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma_0} i \cdot |S \cap C_i|,$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is the maximum of the multiplicities of points in  $\Sigma$ .

A line  $l$  with  $t = c(l)$  is called a  $t$ -line. A  $t$ -plane,  $t$ -secundum and a  $t$ -hyperplane are defined similarly. Then we obtain the partition  $\Sigma = C_0 \cup C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{\gamma_0}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad c(\Sigma) = n,$$

$$(2.2) \quad n - d = \max\{c(\pi) \mid \pi \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2}\}.$$

Conversely such a partition of  $\Sigma$  as above gives an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code in the natural manner if there exists no hyperplane including the complement of  $C_0$  in  $\Sigma$ . Since an  $[n+1, k, d+1]_q$  code also satisfies (2.2) we get the following.

**Lemma 6.** An  $[n, k, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is extendable iff there exists a point  $P \in \Sigma$  such that  $c(\pi) < n - d$  for all hyperplanes  $\pi$  through  $P$ .

We give an elementary proof of Theorem 2 using Lemma 6.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 4

Note that the number of  $i$ -hyperplanes is  $A_{n-i}/(q - 1)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n - d$ ). So, the condition ' $i \equiv 0$  or  $d \pmod{q}$  for all  $i$  with  $A_i > 0$ ' in Theorem 2 implies that  $c(\pi) \equiv n$  or  $n - d \pmod{q}$  for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Put  $F = \{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \mid c(\pi) \equiv n \pmod{q}\}$ . For any  $t$ -secundum  $\delta$  of  $\Sigma = \text{PG}(k-1, q)$ , denote by  $a_\delta$  (resp.  $b_\delta$ ) the number of hyperplanes  $\pi$  through  $\delta$  with  $c(\pi) \equiv n \pmod{q}$  (resp.  $c(\pi) \equiv n-d \pmod{q}$ ). Then we have  $a_\delta + b_\delta = q+1 \equiv 1$  and  $(n-t)a_\delta + (n-d-t)b_\delta + t \equiv n$ , so that  $d(a_\delta - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Since  $\gcd(d, q)=1$ , we get  $a_\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , whence  $a_\delta = 1$  or  $q+1$ . This implies that every line in a dual space  $\Sigma^*$  meets  $F$  in one point or  $q+1$  points. By Lemma 5,  $F$  is a hyperplane of  $\Sigma^*$ , whence there exists a point  $P \in \Sigma$  such that the set of all hyperplanes through  $P$  is equal to  $F$ . Since  $c(\pi) \equiv n \pmod{q}$  implies  $c(\pi) < n-d$ ,  $C$  is extendable by Lemma 6. By adding  $P$  to the multiset  $\mathcal{C}$ , we get an extension of  $\mathcal{C}$  which satisfies  $c(\pi) \equiv n+1$  or  $n-d \pmod{q}$  for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2}$ .  $\square$

It follows from the above proof that the point to be added to the multiset  $\mathcal{C}$  to get an extension of  $\mathcal{C}$  is uniquely determined under the condition of Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Since  $\text{Res}(\mathcal{C}, c)$  is an  $[n-d, k-1, d/q]_q$  code for some  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a  $t$ -secundum  $\delta$  with  $t = n-d-d/q$  in  $\Sigma = \text{PG}(k-1, q)$ . Considering the hyperplanes through  $\delta$ , we have

$$n \leq (n-d-t)(q+1) + t = n,$$

whence every hyperplane through  $\delta$  is a  $(n-d)$ -hyperplane. Hence every point in  $\Sigma$  is on a  $(n-d)$ -hyperplane, and  $\mathcal{C}$  is not extendable by Lemma 6.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. Hill and P. Lizak, Extensions of linear codes, Proc. IEEE Int. Symposium on Inform. Theory (Whistler, Canada, 1995) 345.
- [2] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [3] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [4] I.N. Landjev and T. Maruta, On the minimum length of quaternary linear codes of dimension five, *Discrete Math.* (to appear).
- [5] T. Maruta, On the achievement of the Griesmer bound, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **12** (1997), 83–87.