

# Closure property of Some Codes under

## Composition

守 谷 哲 夫

Tetsuo MORIYA

*Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering*

*Kokushikan University*

*Setagaya 4-28-1, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 154-8515, Japan*

### Abstract

We consider closure property of some classes of codes under composition. A code  $X$  is a strongly infix(outfix) code if  $X$  is an infix(outfix) code and any catenation of two words in  $X$  and has no proper (infix)outfix in  $X$ , which is neither a left factor nor a right factor. We show that the class of strongly outfix codes is closed under composition, and as the dual result, that the property to be strongly outfix is inherited by a componet of a decomposition.

*Key words:* prefix code, suffix code, infix code, composition of codes

## 1 Introduction

The theory of codes has been studied in algebraic direction in connection to automata theory, combinatorics on words, formal languages, and semigroup theory. Many classes of codes have been defined and studied ([1], [2]). Among those classes, the class of infix codes and the class of outfix codes have many remarkable algebraic properties, like that of prefix (suffix, bifix) codes ([2], [3], [4]).

On the other hand, composition on codes is very important as a binary operation by which more complicated codes can be constructed from simpler ones. So we are interested in whether the properties of codes are preserved under composition or not.

As though the classes of prefix codes, suffix codes, and bifix codes are closed under composition [1], the class of neither infix codes nor outfix codes is not [5]. Recently a strongly infix code has been defined and it has been proved that the class of those codes is closed under composition.

In section 2 some basic definitions and results are presented. Moreover, the concept of strongly outfix code is introduced.

In section 3 we first show that if a code  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  is a strongly outfix code, then  $X^*$  is midunitary. Next, as the main result in this note, we show that the class of strongly outfix codes is closed under composition. Last, we consider whether properties of codes are inherited by a component of a decomposition or not. We show that for the composition  $X$  of two codes  $Y$  and  $Z$ , if  $X$  is strongly outfix, then also is  $Y$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet.  $\Sigma^*$  denotes the free moniod generated by  $\Sigma$ , that is, the set of all finite words over  $\Sigma$ , including the empty word 1, and  $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* - 1$ . For  $w$  in  $\Sigma^*$ ,  $|w|$  denotes the length of  $w$ .

A word  $x \in \Sigma^*$  is a *factor* or an *infix* of a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  if there exists  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $w = uxv$ . A factor  $x$  of  $w$  is *proper* if  $w \neq x$ . A catenation  $xy$  of two words  $x$  and  $y$  is an *outfix* of a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  if there exists  $u \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $w = xuy$ . A word  $u \in \Sigma^*$  is a *left factor* of a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  if there exists  $x \in \Sigma^*$  such that

$w = ux$ . A left factor  $u$  of  $w$  is called *proper* if  $u \neq w$ . A right factor is defined symmetrically. An outfix  $xy$  of  $w$  is *proper* if  $xy \neq w$ .

A language over  $\Sigma$  is a set  $X \subseteq \Sigma^*$ . A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is a *code* if  $X$  freely generates the submonoid  $X^*$  of  $\Sigma^*$  (See [1] about the definition.). A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  is a *prefix code* (resp. *suffix code*) if no word in  $X$  has a proper left factor (a proper right factor) in  $X$ . A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  is a *bifix code* if  $X$  is both prefix and suffix. A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  is an *infix code* (resp. *outfix code*) if no word  $x \in X$  has a proper infix (a proper outfix) in  $X$ .

A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  is *incatenatable* (resp. *outcatenatable*) if a catenation of two words in  $X$  has a proper infix (proper outfix) in  $X$  which is neither a proper prefix nor a proper suffix. In other words,  $X$  is incatenatable (resp. outcatenatable) if there exist  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \Sigma^+ - X$  such that  $u_1u_2, u_3u_4$ , and  $u_2u_3(u_1u_4)$  is in  $X$ .

A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  is a *strongly infix code* (resp. *strongly outfix code*) if  $X$  is an infix code (outfix code) and is not incatenatable (outcatenatable).

Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $N$  be a submonoid of  $M$ . Then  $N$  is *right unitary* (resp. *left unitary*) in  $M$  if for all  $u, v \in M$ ,  $u \in N$  and  $uv \in N$  ( $vu \in N$ ) together imply  $v \in N$ . The submonoid  $N$  is *biunitary* if it is both left and right unitary.

The submonoid  $N$  is *double unitary* in  $M$  if for all  $u, x, y \in M$ ,  $u \in N$  and  $xuy \in N$  together imply  $x$  and  $y \in N$ . The submonoid  $N$  is *midunitary* in  $M$  if for all  $u, x, y \in M$ ,  $xy \in N$  and  $xuy \in N$  together imply  $u \in N$ .

Let  $Z \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $Y \subseteq \Delta^*$  be two codes with  $\Delta = \text{alph}(Y)$ . Then the codes  $Y$  and  $Z$  are called *composable* (through  $\beta$ ) if there is a bijection  $\beta$  from  $\Delta$  onto  $Z$ . Then  $\beta$  defines a morphism  $\Delta^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  which is injective since  $Z$  is a code. The set  $X = \beta(Y) \subseteq Z^* \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is obtained by *composition* of  $Y$  and  $Z$ . We denote it by  $X = Y \circ_\beta Z$  or  $X = Y \circ Z$  when the context permits it.

**Proposition 1** [1] *Let  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  be a code. A language  $X$  is a prefix code (resp., suffix code, bifix code) iff  $X^*$  is right unitary (left unitary, biunitary).*

**Proposition 2** [5] *Let  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  be a code. A language  $X$  is a strongly infix code iff  $X^*$  is double unitary.*

### 3 Closure properties under composition

In this section we consider the closure properties of some classes of codes under composition.

**Proposition 3** [1][5] *The class of prefix (suffix, and bifix) codes is closed under composition.*

**Theorem 4** [5] *The class of strongly infix codes is closed under composition.*

The class of outfix codes is not closed under composition, as that of infix codes is not closed [5]. For outfix codes  $Z = \{aba, abb, aaa\}$  and  $Y = \{c, de\}$ ,  $\beta$  is defined by  $\beta(c) = aba$ ,  $\beta(d) = abb$ , and  $\beta(e) = aaa$ . Then  $X = Y \circ_{\beta} Z = \{aba, abb, aaa\}$  is not an outfix code.

Next we consider composition of the class of strongly outfix codes.

**Proposition 5** *Let  $X \subseteq \Sigma^+$  be a code. If a language  $X$  is a strongly outfix code, then  $X^*$  is midunitary.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X$  is strongly outfix. Let  $x, u, y \in \Sigma^*$  be such that  $xy, xuy \in X^*$ . Let  $xy = u_1 \dots u_n$ ;  $xuy = v_1 \dots v_m$  for  $u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_m \in X$ . Suppose that  $u$  is not in  $X^*$ .

(Case 1)  $|v_1| < |xu|$

(1.1)  $|xu| < |v_1 v_2|$ . There exists an integer  $k > 0$  such that  $|v_1 \dots v_k| < |xu| < |v_1 \dots v_{k+1}|$ . Moreover there exist  $v_1^{(1)}, v_1^{(2)}$ , and  $v_{(k+1)}^{(1)}, v_{(k+1)}^{(2)}$  in  $\Sigma^+$  such that  $v_1^{(1)} v_1^{(2)} = v_1$ ,  $v_{(k+1)}^{(1)} v_{(k+1)}^{(2)} = v_{(k+1)}$  and  $v_1^{(2)} v_2 \dots v_k v_{(k+1)}^{(1)} = u$ . We have that  $v_1^{(1)} v_{(k+1)}^{(2)} v_{(k+2)} \dots v_m = xy$ . Since  $X$  is suffix, and  $X^*$  is left unitary,  $v_1^{(1)} v_{(k+1)}^{(2)}$  is in  $X^*$ . If  $v_1^{(1)} v_{(k+1)}^{(2)} \in X^n$  for  $n > 1$ , either  $v_1^{(1)}$  has a proper left factor in  $X$  or  $v_{(k+1)}^{(2)}$  has a proper right factor in  $X$ . Moreover  $v_1^{(1)} v_{(k+1)}^{(2)}$  is not in  $X$  since  $X$  is not outcatenatable. Hence  $v_1^{(1)} v_{(k+1)}^{(2)} = 1$ . This is a contradiction.

(1.2)  $|xu| < |v_1|$ . There exists  $v'_1$  in  $\Sigma^+$  such that  $xuv'_1 = v_1$ . We have that  $xv'_1 v_2 \dots v_m = xy$ . Since  $X$  is suffix, and  $X^*$  is left unitary,  $xv'_1$  is in  $X^*$ . We get that  $xv'_1 = 1$  in the same way as in (1.1). Thus  $u = v_1 \in X$ .

(Case 2)  $|v_1| < |x|$

There exists an integer  $k > 0$  such that  $|v_1 \dots v_k| < |x| < |v_1 \dots v_{k+1}|$ .

(2.1)  $|xu| < |v_1 \dots v_{(k+1)}|$ . There exists  $v_{k+1}^{(1)}, v_{k+1}^{(2)} \in \Sigma^+$  such that  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} u v_{k+1}^{(2)} = v_{k+1}$ . We have that  $x = v_1 \dots v_k v_{k+1}^{(1)}, v_{k+1}^{(2)} v_{k+2} \dots v_m = y$ . Since  $xy$  is in  $X^*$ , and  $X$  is prefix and suffix,  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} v_{k+1}^{(2)} v_{(k+1)} \dots v_m$  is in  $X^*$ . Moreover, since  $v_{(k+1)} \dots v_m$  is in  $X^*$ ,  $v_k^{(1)} v_k^{(2)}$  is in  $X^*$ . If  $v_k^{(1)} v_k^{(2)}$  is in  $X^n$  for  $n > 1$ , either  $v_{k+1}^{(1)}$  has a proper left factor in  $X$  or  $v_{k+1}^{(2)}$  has a proper right factor in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is outfix,  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} v_{k+1}^{(2)}$  is not in  $X$ . Hence  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} v_{k+1}^{(2)} = 1$ . Thus  $u = v_2 \in X$ . This is a contradiction.

(2.2)  $|v_1 \dots v_{(k+1)}| < |xu|$ . There exists an integer  $j > k$  such that  $|v_1 \dots v_j| < |xu| < |v_1 \dots v_{(j+1)}|$ . Then there exist  $v_{k+1}^{(1)}, v_{k+1}^{(2)}$ , and  $v_{(j+1)}^{(1)}, v_{(j+1)}^{(2)}$  such that  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} v_{k+1}^{(2)} = v_{k+1}$ ,  $v_{(j+1)}^{(1)} v_{(j+1)}^{(2)} = v_{(j+1)}$ . We have that  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} v_{(j+1)}^{(2)} v_{(j+2)} \dots v_m = xy$ . We get that  $v_{k+1}^{(1)} v_{(j+1)}^{(2)} = 1$  in the same way as in (1.1)  $\square$

The converse of the previous proposition does not hold. Let a language  $X$  be  $\Sigma^3 = \{aaa, aab, aba, abb, baa, bab, bba, bbb\}$ , for  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . Then  $X^*$  is mid unitary since for every word  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $|w| = 3n$  for an integer  $n$  iff  $w \in X^*$ . It is obvious that  $X$  is not a strongly outfix code.

**Proposition 6** *Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be composable codes, and let  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $Y$  is an outfix code and  $Z$  is a strongly outfix code, then  $X$  is an outfix code.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $Y$  is an outfix code, and that  $Z$  is a strongly outfix code. Consider  $xy, xuy \in X$  with  $u \in \Sigma^*$ . Since  $X \subseteq Z^*$ , we have that  $xy, xuy \in Z^*$ , and since  $Z^*$  is double unitary, this implies  $u \in Z^*$ .

Let  $w = \beta^{-1}(xy)$ ,  $z = \beta^{-1}(xuy)$ . Then, we have that  $z = \beta^{-1}(xuy) = \beta^{-1}(x)\beta^{-1}(u)\beta^{-1}(y) \in Y$ , and  $w = \beta^{-1}(xy) = \beta^{-1}(x)\beta^{-1}(y) \in Y$ . Since  $Y$  is outfix,  $\beta^{-1}(u) = 1$ . Consequently  $u = 1$ . This shows that  $X$  is outfix.  $\square$

**Lemma 7** *Let  $X$  be a code and  $Y, Z$  be outfix codes with  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $X$  is outcatenatable, then either  $Y$  or  $Z$  is outcatenatable.*

**Proof.** Let  $\Delta = \text{alph}(Y)$ . Suppose that  $X$  is outcatenatable. There exist  $u_1, u_4 \in \Sigma^+ - X, u_2, u_3 \in \Sigma^+$  such that  $u_1 u_2 \in X, u_3 u_4 \in X, u_1 u_4 \in X$ . Let  $u_1 u_2 = z_1^{(1)} \dots z_n^{(1)}$ ,  $u_3 u_4 = z_1^{(2)} \dots z_m^{(2)}$ , and  $u_1 u_4 = z_1^{(3)} \dots z_l^{(3)}$  for  $z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_n^{(1)}; z_1^{(2)}, \dots, z_m^{(2)}; z_1^{(3)}, \dots, z_l^{(3)} \in Z$ . (Case 1)  $u_1 \in Z^*$ .

There exists an integer  $i > 0$  and  $j > 0$  such that  $u_1 = z_1^{(1)} \dots z_i^{(1)} = z_1^{(3)} \dots z_j^{(3)}$ . Since

$X$  is a (prefix) code, we have that  $i = j$ , and  $z_1^{(1)} = z_1^{(3)}, \dots, z_i^{(1)} = z_i^{(3)}$ . It follows that  $u_2 = z_{i+1}^{(1)} \dots z_n^{(1)}$ ,  $u_3 = z_1^{(2)} \dots z_{m-l+i}^{(2)}$ , and  $u_4 = z_{m-l+i+1}^{(2)} \dots z_m^{(2)} = z_{i+1}^{(3)} \dots z_l^{(3)}$ . Similarly we have that  $z_{m-l+i+1}^{(2)} = z_{l-i}^{(3)}, \dots, z_m^{(2)} = z_l^{(3)}$ . Let  $\beta(a_1^{(1)}) = z_1^{(1)}, \dots, \beta(a_n^{(1)}) = z_n^{(1)}$ ,  $\beta(a_1^{(2)}) = z_1^{(2)}, \dots, \beta(a_m^{(2)}) = z_m^{(2)}$ ,  $\beta(a_1^{(3)}) = z_1^{(3)}, \dots, \beta(a_l^{(3)}) = z_l^{(3)}$  for  $a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)}$ ;  $a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_m^{(2)}$ ;  $a_1^{(3)}, \dots, a_l^{(3)} \in \Delta$ . We have that  $a_1^{(1)} \dots a_n^{(1)} \in Y$ ,  $a_1^{(2)} \dots a_m^{(2)} \in Y$ , and  $a_1^{(3)} \dots a_l^{(3)} = a_1^{(1)} \dots a_i^{(1)} a_{l-i}^{(3)} \dots a_l^{(3)} \in Y$ . Thus  $Y$  is outcatenatable.

(Case 2)  $u_1 \notin Z^*$ .

There exist an integer  $i > 0$  and  $u, v \in \Sigma^+ - Z$  such that  $u_1 = z_1^{(1)} \dots z_i^{(1)} u$  and  $uv = z_{i+1}^{(1)}$ . Since  $u_1, u_4 \in X \subseteq Z^*$ , it is obvious that  $u_4$  is not in  $Z^*$ . Then there exist  $u', v' \in \Sigma^+ - Z$  and  $j > 0$  such that  $u_3 = z_1^{(2)} \dots z_j^{(2)} u'$ ,  $u_4 = v' z_{j+2}^{(2)} \dots z_l^{(2)}$ ,  $u'v' = z_{j+1}^{(2)}$ . Since  $u_1 u_4 = z_1^{(3)} \dots z_l^{(3)} = z_1^{(1)} \dots z_i^{(1)} uv' z_{j+1}^{(2)} \dots z_l^{(2)}$ , and  $uv' = z_i^{(3)}$ , we have that the catenation  $z_{i+1}^{(1)} z_{j+1}^{(2)} = uvu'v'$  of two words  $z_{i+1}^{(1)} = uv$  and  $z_{j+1}^{(2)} = u'v'$  has a proper outfix  $uv' = z_i^{(3)} \in Z$  which is neither a proper prefix nor a proper suffix. Thus  $Z$  is outcatenatable.  $\square$

**Theorem 8** *The class of strongly outfix codes is closed under composition.*

**Proof.** The result is immediate by Proposition 6 and Lemma 7.  $\square$

Last we consider whether properties of codes are inherited by a component of a decomposition or not.

**Proposition 9** [1] *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be codes with  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $X$  is prefix (suffix, bifix), then also is  $Y$ .*

**Proposition 10** [5] *Let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be codes with  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $X$  is a infix (strongly infix), then also is  $Y$ .*

**Proposition 11** *Let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be codes with  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $X$  is a outfix, then also is  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $X$  and  $Z \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ,  $Y \subseteq \Delta^*$ , and  $\beta: \Delta^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  be an injective morphism with  $\beta(\Delta) = Z$  and  $\beta(Y) = X$ . Let  $xuy, xy \in Y$ . Then  $\beta(xuy) = \beta(x)\beta(u)\beta(y)$  and  $\beta(xy) = \beta(x)\beta(y)$  are in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is outfix,  $\beta(u) = 1$ . Thus  $u = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 12** *Let  $X$  be an outfix code, and  $Y, Z$  be codes with  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $Y$  is outcatenatable, then also is  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $Y$  is outcatenatable. There exist  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \Delta^+ - Y$  such that  $u_1u_2, u_3u_4$ , and  $u_1u_4 \in Y$ . Let  $\beta(u_i) = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . Hence  $v_1v_2 = \beta(u_1u_2)$ ,  $v_2v_3 = \beta(u_2u_3)$ , and  $v_1v_4 = \beta(u_1u_4) \in X$ . It is obvious that  $v_i = \beta(u_i) \in \Sigma^+ - X$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . Thus  $X$  is outcatenatable.  $\square$

**Proposition 13** *Let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be codes with  $X = Y \circ Z$ . If  $X$  is a strongly outfix code, then also is  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** It is obvious by Proposition 11 and Lemma 12.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Berstel and D. Perrin, "Theory of Codes," Academic Press, New York, 1985
- [2] M. Ito, H. Jürgensen, H. J. Shyr and G. Thierrin, Outfix and infix codes and related classes of languages, Journal of Computer and System Sciences 43 (1991), 484-508.
- [3] H. Jürgensen and G. Thierrin, Infix Codes, in "Proceedings, 4th Hungarian Comp. Sci. Conf. Györ, 1985", pp25-29.
- [4] H. Jürgensen and G. Thierrin, Codes, and compatible partial orders on free monoids, in "Algebra and order, Proc. of the First International Symposium on Ordered Algebraic Structures, Luminy-Marseilles, 1984" (S. Wolfenstein, Ed.), pp323-333, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [5] T. Moriya, Composition of Strongly Infix Codes, IEICE Trans. Inf. and Syst. E81-D No.6.(1998),609-611.