On Meromorphic Starlike and Convex Functions

M.Nunokawa and O.P.Ahuja

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that the meromorphic convex univalent functions and meromorphic starlike univalent functions do not hold the same relationships as that of between the convex univalent functions and starlike univalent functions.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum$ denote the class of functions F of the form

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic and univalent in the punctured disk $D = \{z : 0 <\mid z \mid< 1\}$. A function $F \in \sum$ is called meromorphic starlike of order $\alpha(\alpha < 1)$ if $F(z) \neq 0$ in D and

$$-Re\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} > \alpha, z \in E,$$

where $E = \{z :\mid z \mid< 1\}$. We denote by $MS^*(\alpha)$ the class of meromorphic starlike functions of order α. Similarly, a function $F \in \sum$ is called meromorphic convex of order $\alpha(\alpha < 1)$ if $F(z) \neq 0$ in D and

$$-\left(1 + Re\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right) > \alpha, \ z \in E.$$

We denote by $MC(\alpha)$ the class of meromorphic convex functions of order α.

A function $F \in \sum$ is said to be $\gamma-$meromorphic convex of order β if $F(z) \neq 0$ in D and

$$-Re\left\{(1-\gamma)\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} + \gamma\left(1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right)\right\} > \beta, \ z \in E,$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\beta < 0$ are fixed arbitrary real numbers. Denote by $\sum_{\gamma}^*(\beta)$ the family of $\gamma-$meromorphic convex functions of order β. Note that $\gamma = 0$ gives precisely the meromorphic starlike functions of order β and $\gamma = 1$ yields the family of meromorphic convex functions of order β.

M.NUNOKAWA AND O.P.AHUJA

On the other hand, we let S be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic and univalent in E. A function $f \in S$ is called starlike of order $\alpha (0 \le \alpha < 1)$ if

$$Re\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, \ z \in E.$$

We denote by $S^*(\alpha)$ the class of starlike functions of order α. Furthermore, a function $f \in S$ is called convex of order α $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ if

$$1 + Re\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} > \alpha, \ z \in E.$$

We denote by $C(\alpha)$ the class of convex functions of order α.

It is well known that Marx [3] and Strohhäcker [6] showed that if $f \in C(0)$, then $f \in S^*(\frac{1}{2})$; that is $C(0) \subset S^*(\frac{1}{2})$.The function $f(z) = z/(1 - z)$ is convex function of order 0 and starlike function of order $\frac{1}{2}$.Therefore, Marx-Strohhäcker's result is sharp.

In Jack [1] proved the following result.

Theorem A. *If* $f \in C(\alpha)$ $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ *, then* $f \in S^*(\beta(\alpha))$ *where*

$$\beta(\alpha) \ge \frac{2\alpha - 1 + \sqrt{9 - 4\alpha + 4\alpha^2}}{4}.$$

In fact, Jack [1] posed the more general problem: What is the largest real number $\beta = \beta(\alpha)$ so that $C(\alpha) \subset S^*(\beta(\alpha))$? Subsequently, MacGregor [2] and Wilken and Feng [7] proved the following.

Theorem B. *If* $f \in C(\alpha)$ $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$, *then* $f \in S^*(\beta(\alpha))$ *where*

$$\beta(\alpha) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1-2\alpha}{2^{2-2\alpha}[1-2^{2\alpha-1}]} & \text{if } \alpha \ne \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2\log 2} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

and this result is sharp.

Analogus to the family $\sum_{\gamma}^{*}(\beta)$ is the well- known class, $M_\gamma(\beta)$, of the functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, analytic in E which satisfy the conditions $\left(\frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z}\right) \ne 0$ and

$$Re\left\{(1 - \gamma)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right\} > \beta$$

for all z$\in E$. It is well known that $M_\gamma(0) \subset S^*(0)$ for all real γ and $M_\gamma(0) \subset C(0)$ for $\gamma \ge 1$ In [5], Miller, Mocanu and Reade proved

Theorem C. $M_\gamma(0) \subset S^*(\delta(\gamma))$ *for* $\gamma \ge 1$ *where*

$$\delta(\gamma) = \frac{-\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + \delta\gamma}}{4}.$$

It is the purpose of the present paper to show that the meromorphic convex functions and meromorphic starlike functions do not hold the same relationships as the above between the convex functions and starlike functions.

ON MEROMORPHIC STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS

2. Main Results

LEMMA 1. *Let a function p be analytic in E, $p(0) = 1$ and suppose that*

$$Re \left(p(z) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) > \frac{\alpha(3 - 2\alpha)}{2(1 - \alpha)}, \quad z \in E$$

where $\alpha < 0$. Then we have $Re\, p(z) > \alpha$ in E.

PROOF. Let

$$(2.1) \qquad p(z) = (1 - \alpha)\frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} + \alpha,$$

where w is analytic in E and $w(0) = 0$. It suffices to show that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in E$.

If there exists a point $z_o \in E$ such that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < |z_o|$ and $|w(z_0)| = 1$, then from Jack's lemma [1, p.470], we have $z_o w'(z_o) = kw(z_o)$, $k \geq 1$. Setting $w(z_o) = e^{i\theta}$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_o p'(z_o)}{p(z_0)} &= \frac{\frac{2(1-\alpha)z_o w'(z_o)}{(1-w(z_o))^2}}{(1 - \alpha)\frac{1+w(z_o)}{1-w(z_o)} + \alpha} \\[2mm]
&= -\frac{\frac{2(1-\alpha)k}{2(1-\cos\theta)}}{(1 - \alpha)\frac{2i\sin\theta}{2(1-\cos\theta)} + \alpha} \\[2mm]
&= \frac{-(1 - \alpha)k}{\alpha(1 - \cos\theta) + i(1 - \alpha)\sin\theta} \\[2mm]
&= \frac{-(1 - \alpha)k\left\{\alpha(1 - \cos\theta) - i(1 - \alpha)\sin\theta\right\}}{\alpha^2(1 - \cos\theta)^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2\sin^2\theta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(2.2) \qquad Re\, \frac{z_o p'(z_o)}{p(z_0)} = \frac{-\alpha(1 - \alpha)(1 - \cos\theta)k}{\alpha^2(1 - \cos\theta)^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2\sin^2\theta}.$$

Letting $1 - \cos\theta = t$, $0 \leq t \leq 2$ and writing

$$g(t) = \frac{t}{\alpha^2 t^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2(2t - t^2)},$$

(2.2) may be written as

$$Re\, \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = -\alpha(1 - \alpha)k\, g(t).$$

But, a simple calculation shows that $g(t)$ takes its minimum value at $t = 0$, and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} g(t) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$Re\, \frac{z_o p'(z_o)}{p(z_0)} \geq \frac{-\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)}.$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(p(z_o) - \frac{z_o p'(z_o)}{p(z_0)}\right) \leq \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(3-2\alpha)}{2(1-\alpha)}.$$

This contradicts the assumption and therefore we have$| \ w(z) \ | < 1$ in E. This shows that $\mathrm{Re}\,p(z) > \alpha$ in E. ∎

Applying Lemma 1, we have the following result .

THEOREM 1. *Let* $F \in MC\left(\frac{\alpha(3-2\alpha)}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)$, *then* $F \in MS^*(\alpha)$, *where* $\alpha < 0$.

PROOF. Let $p(z) = -zF'(z)/F(z)$, $p(0) = 1$. Then we have

$$p(z) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = -\left(1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right).$$

In view of Lemma 1, the result follows. ∎

Putting $\beta = \alpha(3 - 2\alpha)/2(1 - \alpha)$ in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 1. *Let* $F \in \sum$, $F(z) \neq 0$ *in* D, *and suppose*

$$-\left(1 + \mathrm{Re}\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right) > \beta, \ z \in E$$

where $\beta < 0$. *Then*

$$-\mathrm{Re}\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} > \frac{1}{4}(2\beta + 3 - \sqrt{4\beta^2 - 4\beta + 9}) , \ z \in E.$$

Letting $\beta \to 0$ in Corollory 1, we obtain

COROLLARY 2. *If* $F \in MC(0)$,*then* $F \in MS^*(0)$.

REMARK 1. *Setting* $F(z) = (1 - z)^2/z$, *we find that* $F(z) \neq 0$ *in* D,

$$-\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

and

$$-\left(1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}.$$

This shows that the result in Corollory 2 is sharp. Note that the extremal function is the reciprocal of the Koebe function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$$

REMARK 2. *From Corollory 2, we can say that if* F *is a meromorphic convex function of order 0, then* F *is a meromorphic starlike function of order at least 0.*

THEOREM 2. *Let* $F \in \sum_\gamma^* \left(\frac{(2\beta - 2\beta^2 + \gamma\beta)}{2(1-\beta)}\right)$,*then* $F \in MS^*(\beta)$ *where* $\beta < 0$ *and* $\gamma \geq 0$ *are fixed real numbers.*

ON MEROMORPHIC STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS

PROOF. We define the function w in E by

(2.3)
$$-\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = (1-\beta)\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \beta, \quad w(z) \neq 1.$$

Since the value of $-zF'(z)/F(z)$ at $z = 0$ is 1,we notice that w is analytic in E and $w(0) = 0$. Taking the logarithmic differentiation of both sides of (2.3), we have

$$1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = -(1-\beta)\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} - \beta + \frac{2(1-\beta)zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2\left[(1-\beta)\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}+\beta\right]},$$

Therefore, we have

$$(1-\gamma)\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} + \gamma\left(1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right) \tag{2.4}$$
$$= -(1-\beta)\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} - \beta + \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2\left[(1-\beta)\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}+\beta\right]}.$$

It sufficies to show that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in E$. Let, if possible, there exists a point $z_0 \in E$ such that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < |z_0|$ and $|w(z_0)| = 1$ $(w(z_o) = e^{i\theta})$. Then it follows from Jack's Lemma [1, p470] that $z_0w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$, $k \geq 1$. Therefore, (2.4) yields

$$(1-\gamma)\frac{z_0F'(z_0)}{F(z_0)} + \gamma\left(1 + \frac{z_0F''(z_0)}{F'(z_0)}\right)$$
$$= -(1-\beta)\frac{i\sin\theta}{1-\cos\theta} - \beta - \frac{\gamma(1-\beta)k}{\beta(1-\cos\theta) + i(1-\beta)\sin\theta}.$$

Hence

$$\text{Re}\left[(1-\gamma)\frac{z_0F'(z_0)}{F(z_0)} + \gamma\left(1 + \frac{z_0F''(z_0)}{F'(z_0)}\right)\right]$$
$$= -\beta - \frac{\beta\gamma(1-\beta)k(1-\cos\theta)}{\beta^2(1-\cos\theta)^2 + (1-\beta)^2\sin^2\theta}.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$-\text{Re}\left[(1-\gamma)\frac{z_0F'(z_0)}{F(z_0)} + \gamma\left(1 + \frac{z_0F''(z_0)}{F'(z_0)}\right)\right]$$
$$\leq \beta + \frac{\gamma\beta}{2(1-\beta)} = \frac{2\beta - 2\beta^2 + \gamma\beta}{2(1-\beta)}$$

which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore, $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$ and hence $F \in MS^*(\beta)$. ∎

Putting $\beta \to 0$ in Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 3. $\sum_{\gamma}^{*}(0) \subset MS^*(0)$.

References

[1] Jack, I.S, Functions starlike and convex of order α,J.London.Math.Soc., 3, 469-474(1971).

[2] MacGregor,T.H, A subordination for convex functions of order α,J.London.Math.Soc., 9,530-536(1975).

[3] Marx.A, Untersuchungen über schlichte Abildung, Math.Ann., 107, 40-67(1932-33).

[4] Miller, S.S, Mocanu, T.P and Reade, M.O, Bazilevic functions and generalized convexity.Rev.Roum.Math.Pures Et Appl, Tome 19, 213-224,(1974)

M.NUNOKAWA AND O.P.AHUJA

[5] Miller, S.S, Mocanu, T.P and Reade, M.O. On generalized convexity in conformal mapping II. Rev.Roum.Math.Pures Et Appl, Tome 21(2), 219-225 (1976).

[6] Strohhacker, E. Beitrage zur Theorie der schlichten funktionen, Math.Zeit.,37, 356-380(1933).

[7] Wilken,D.R.and Feng, J. A remark on convex and starlike functions, J.London.Math.Soc., 21, 287-290(1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GUNMA, ARAMAKI, MAEBASHI, 371 JAPAN.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, NANYANG TECHNOLIGCAL UNIVERSITY, 469 BUKIT TIMAH ROAD, SINGAPORE 259756.