Error analysis of finite element solutions of convection problems and its application to the density-dependent Stokes problems

Satoshi KAIZU\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education Ibaraki University

April 29, 1999

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded polygon in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let T > 0 and  $Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$  and  $S = \partial \Omega \times (0,T)$ . We would like to propose a convergent finite element scheme approximating the following convection problem (P): find unknown density  $\rho(x,t)$  of some material :  $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \text{grad})\rho = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q, \tag{1}$$

$$\rho(x,0) = \rho^0(x) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \tag{2}$$

with respect to known velocity u(x, t) of some incompresible flows:

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q, \tag{3}$$

$$u(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S. \tag{4}$$

In the construction of a convergent finite element scheme for the problem (P), of course, we assume some regulaity and boundedness on the velocities u besides the incompressibility (3). But, what regularity on velocities we have to assume? There may be many possibilities for the reply. Still, the most important one is depend on its aim, certainly, to which the advection problem (P) is applied.

\*gak/ad90426.tex

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

In fact, the problem (P) is a subtarget of another propblem ( $\hat{Q}$ ), the incompressible Navier-Stokes problems with nonhomogeneous density, i. e., which is a system governed by the density-dependent Navie-Stokes equation :

$$\rho \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} (u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) u \right\} - \mu \Delta u + \operatorname{grad} p = \rho f \quad \text{in} \quad Q,$$

together with two other equations, (1) and (3), where u, p and  $\rho$  are unknown velocities, pressures and densities of the non-homogeneous liquids, respectively.

This problem (Q) admits at least a weak solution  $\rho, u, p$  satisfying

$$u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T; \left\{L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T; \left\{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right\}^{d}\right),$$
(5)

for the initial velocity  $u_0 \in \{L^2(\Omega)\}^d$ , the outer force  $f \in L^1(0,T;\{L^2(\Omega)\}^d)$ and

$$0 < M_1 \le \rho^0 \le M_2 < \infty \tag{6}$$

where  $M_i$ , i = 1, 2, are constants (cf., for example, A. S. N. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikhov and V. N. Monakhov [1] and P. L. Lions [10]). So, in our paper we shall choose conditions (5) and (6) on the velocity u and the density  $\rho^0$ , respectively.

Beside, there are another conditions in our paper to be needed, because we have to construct a finite element scheme for (P). Thus, conditions on discrete velocities are also needed. Unfortunately, there may be no convergent scheme, which approximates solutions of the problem ( $\hat{Q}$ ). So, from a convergent implicit scheme, which approximates solutions of the classical Navier-Stokes equations in the incompressible case with homogeneous density, we shall choose a suitable condition on discrete velocities. For references it is useful to see the book [11] by R. Temam.

In fact, let  $\tau > 0$  be a time mesh and let  $U^n$  be an approximation of  $u(t_n), 0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = n\tau, \cdots, N = [T/\tau]$ . Let  $\delta U^n = U^n - U^{n-1}$ . Then we consider the condition

$$\|U^n\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \|\delta U^n\|^2 + \tau \|U^n\|_h^2 \right) \le c_0 < \infty, \tag{7}$$

where  $c_0$  is independent of the time meshes  $\tau$  and the space meshes h > 0 used in triangulations  $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_h$  of the domain  $\Omega$  but depend on the initial value of  $u_0$  and the force term f. Here the notation  $\|\cdot\|$  is the  $L^2$  norm over the domain  $\Omega$  and

$$||U^n||_h = \sqrt{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |\mathrm{grad} U^n|^2 dx}.$$

In this paper we propose a finite element scheme  $(P)_h$ : (12), and prove the convergence of their discrete solutions to a weak solution of the problem (P) in

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

another paper [6] under these conditons from (5) to (7). The order of the error of discrete solutions of (12) is studied under sufficient regularity conditions on u and  $\rho$  in this paper.

It may be difficult to extend the scheme (12) to a finite element scheme approximating the density-dependent Navier-Stokes problem, although it is possible to extend (12) to a finite element scheme  $(\bar{Q})_{g,h}$ ,  $g = \rho f$ , which approximates the density-dependent Stokes problem  $(Q)_f$  governed by the density-dependent Stokes equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \mu \Delta u + \operatorname{grad} p = \rho f \quad \text{in} \quad Q,$$

together with two other equations (1) and (3) under the two conditions (2) and (4). Further, it is possible to give the order of the error of their discrete solutions. Note that, in the density-dependent Stokes problem, u, p and  $\rho$  are unknown velocities, pressures and densities of non-homogeneous liquids, respectively. We shall consider a scheme  $(\bar{Q})_{g,h}$ : (12) and (21), approximating the density-dependent Stokes problem, and show that the approximation  $U^n$  satisfies

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \frac{\delta U^n}{\tau} \right\|^2 \le c_0 < \infty, \tag{8}$$

where  $c_0$  is independent of the time meshes  $\tau$  and the space meshes h, but depend on the initial value of  $u_0$  and the force term f. If we assume the condition (8) adding to the conditions (5), (6) and (7), then we get an increase in the order of the error of discrete densities of our scheme (12).

#### Notation

For a domain G we write  $(f,g)_G = \int_G fg dx$ . In particular, we write  $(f,g) = \int_\Omega fg dx$ . We denote by  $||f||_{p,G}$  the  $L^p$  norm of f over G. In paticular, for  $G = \Omega$ , we write  $||f||_p = ||f||_{p,\Omega}$ . For a d-1 dimensional simplex F, we write  $\langle f,g \rangle_F = \int_F fg d\sigma$ . Let  $H = L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{H} = \{L^2(\Omega)\}^d, X = \{H_0^1(\Omega)\}^d, V = \{v \in X \mid \text{div} v = 0\}.$ 

Further, for the usual Sobolev space,  $W^{1,p}(G)$  with  $1 \le p \le \infty$  we need seminorms,  $|v|_{l,p,G} = \left\{ \sum_{|a|=l} ||D^a v||_{p,G}^p \right\}^{1/p}$ , and a norm,  $||v||_{m,p,G} = \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^m |v|_{l,p,G}^p \right\}^{1/p}$ , where  $D^a$  are differential operators of order a, a denote multi-indexes. For the case  $G = \Omega$  we drop the letter G from the surfixes of  $|v|_{l,p,G}$  and  $||v||_{m,p,G}$  and let  $Z^{m,p} = \left\{ v \in L^p(\Omega) \mid v|_K \in W^{m,p}(K) \right\}$ , m denotes a non-negative integer and psatisfies  $1 . Generally, we call a function <math>v \in Z^{m,p}$  for some m and p a piecewise smooth function. The dual space of a Banach space Z is denoted by  $Z^*$ .

#### 2 DISCRETE DENSITIES AND VELOCITIES

Further,  $W^{m,p}(0,T;L^q(\Omega)) = \left\{ v \in L^p(0,T;L^q(\Omega)) \mid \int_0^T \|D^s v\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^p ds < \infty \right\}$  where  $D^s v$  denotes s -th derivative of v in the sense of distribution.

## 2 Discrete densities and velocities

Let  $\mathcal{T}_h = \{K\}$  be a decomposition of  $\Omega$  by triangulations for d = 2 or by tetrahedrons for d = 3. Here we denote by h the maximum of the diameters  $h_K$  of  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ . The sequence of decompositions of  $\Omega$ ,  $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}$  is regular : there exists a constant  $c_1$  such that

$$\limsup_{h \to 0} \sup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \frac{h_K}{h_{0,K}} \le c_1 < \infty, \tag{9}$$

where  $h_{0,K}$  is the largest diameter of spheres included in K.

Let k be a non-negative integer and  $P_k$  be the totality of polynomials of degree k. Our approximation method for discrete densities relies on the discontinuous, finite element method induced by L. Lesaint and P.-A. Raviart [7], analyzed by C. Johnson and J. Pitkäranta [5]. So we choose an approximating space  $G_h$  of discrete densities as follows.

$$G_h = \left\{ \alpha : \Omega \to \mathbf{R} \mid \alpha |_K \in P_k \ K \in \mathcal{T}_{h_b} \right\}.$$

We should construct an approximation space  $X_h$  which approximates velocities well. For such a space we shall choose a special kind of spaces introduced by M. Crouzeix and P.-A. Raviart [4].

Let l be a non-negative integer and let us consider a family of subspaces  $\{P_K \mid K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$  such that  $P_l \subset P_K \subset C^1(K)$ . We introduce a space defined by

$$\Phi_h = \left\{ \phi : \Omega \to \mathbf{R} \mid \phi|_K \in P_{l-1} \right\}.$$

We call  $\Phi_h$  a discontinuous finite element space of degree l-1. Let  $W_h$  be the totality of functions v such that  $v|_K \in P_K$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and

$$\int_{F} (v_1 - v_2) \phi d\sigma = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi_h$$
(10)

for  $v_i = v | K_i, K_i \in \mathcal{T}_h, i = 1, 2$ , such that  $F = \partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$ . Further, let  $W_{h,0}$  be the totality of functions v of  $W_h$  such that

$$\int_{F} v\phi d\sigma = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi_h \tag{11}$$

for  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and F such that  $F = \partial \Omega \cap \partial K$ . We define an approximation space  $X_h = \{W_{h,0}\}^d$ , which approximate velocities. For  $v \in X_h$  we define  $\operatorname{div}_h : X_h \to \Phi_h$  defined by

$$(\operatorname{div}_h v, \phi) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left( \operatorname{div} v, \phi \right)_K \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi_h.$$

## 3 A SCHEME AND ITS STABILITY

By this operator  $\operatorname{div}_h$  we define  $V_h = \{v \in X_h \mid \operatorname{div}_h v = 0\}$ , which approximates the solenoidal space V. Here we call an element v of  $X_h$  a Crouzeix-Raviart velocity of degree l.

In our paper, the Crouzeix-Raviart velocities of degree l = 2k + 1 are applied to discrete velocities in the scheme described below. Further let us notice that the linear span of  $\{\alpha_1\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_i \in G_h, i = 1, 2\}$  coincides with a discontinuous finite element space  $\Phi_h$  of degree l - 1 = 2k.

The upwind element and downwind element For a discrete velocity  $U \in X_h$  and a face element  $F, F \subset \partial K, K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ , we can choose a constant vector  $U_{F\nu}$  and a unit normal  $\nu_F$  to F determined uniquely by

$$\int_{F} U \cdot \nu_{F} d\sigma = \int_{F} U_{F\nu} \cdot \nu_{F} d\sigma \ge 0$$

for the sake of conditions (10) and (11). Here, if  $\int_F U \cdot \nu d\sigma = 0$ , then  $U_{F\nu} = \vec{0}$  and  $\nu_F$  can be set any of unit normals to F. By  $\nu_F$  both of the upwind element  $K_U$  and the downwind associated with F are determined. Here, we see  $F = K_U \cap K_D$ . By these elements,  $K_U$  and  $K_D$ , we can define the gap of  $\alpha$  on F by

$$[\alpha]_D^U = \alpha|_{K_U} - \alpha|_{K_D}.$$

# 3 A scheme and its stability

First we propose a finite element scheme which approximates advection equations well. Let  $s_h, t_h: V_h \times G_h \times G_h \to \mathbf{R}$  be trilinear functionals defined by

$$\begin{cases} s_h(v,\alpha_1,\alpha_2) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{j=1}^d \left( v_j \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_j}, \alpha_2 \right)_K, \\ t_h(v,\alpha_1,\alpha_2) = \sum_{F \subseteq \Omega} \left\langle v_{MF}, [\alpha_1]_U^D \alpha_{2D} \right\rangle_F. \end{cases}$$

Now, our scheme is described as the following way. (**P**)<sub>h</sub>: For  $U^{n-1} \in V_h$  and  $r^{n-1} \in G_h$  find  $r^n \in G_{h_b}$  such that

$$\frac{(\delta r^n,\beta)}{\tau} + s_h(U^{n-1},r^n,\alpha)$$

$$+t_h(U^{n-1}, r^n, \alpha) = \langle g^n, \alpha \rangle \quad \forall \alpha \in G_h,$$
(12)

where  $g^n$  belongs to the dual space of  $G_h$  for each n. For the case:  $g^n \equiv 0$  we have a discrete scheme approximating to the problem (P) as  $h \to 0$  and  $\tau \to 0$ .

The stability to the solutions  $r^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , is described below. We omit the proofs of lemmas.

#### 4 TRUNCATION TERMS

**Lemma 1** (The discrete maximum principle) For the case :  $g^n \equiv 0$ , and for each  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , together with k = 0, we have

$$\min \left\{ r^{m-1}|_{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \right\} \leq \min \left\{ r^{m}|_{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \right\}$$
$$\leq \max_{K} \left\{ r^{m}|_{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \right\} \leq \max_{K} \left\{ r^{m}|_{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2** (L<sup>2</sup>-stability) For each  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , together with  $0 \leq k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|r^{m}\|^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \|\delta r^{n}\|^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\langle U_{F}^{m-1}, \left| [r^{m}]_{U}^{D} \right|^{2} \right\rangle_{F} &= \|r^{0}\|^{2} \\ + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \langle g^{n}, r^{n} \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

# 4 Truncation terms

Let  $\pi_h$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto the subspace  $G_h$ . We write  $\overline{\rho}^n = \pi_h(\rho^n)$ . Let us consider the errors  $e^n = r^n - \overline{\rho}^n$  of  $r^n$ .

To represent trucation terms it is convienient to introduce trilinear forms  $s_h^*$ and  $t_h^*$  adjoint formally to  $s_h$  and  $t_h$ , respectively. In fact, they are defined by

$$\begin{cases} s_h^*(v,\alpha_1,\alpha_2) &= -\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h j=1} \int_{j=1}^d \left( v_j \alpha_1, \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial x_j} \right)_K, \\ t_h^*(v,\alpha_1,\alpha_2) &= \sum_{F\subset\Omega} \left\langle v_{MF}, \alpha_{1U} \left[ \alpha_2 \right]_D^U \right\rangle_F. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, these trilinear forms may be defined for piecewise smooth functions  $\beta_1$ and  $\beta_2$  instead of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , respectively. Other trilinear forms  $L_h$  and  $l_h$  are also useful to describe our truncation terms for discrete densities  $r^n$ .

$$\begin{cases} L_h(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (v_1, v_2 v_3), \\ l_h(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \sum_{F \subset \Omega} \langle v_1, v_2 v_3 \rangle_F, \end{cases}$$

where  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  are piecewise smooth scalar functions over domain  $\Omega$ . By using these trilinear forms we can descrive truncation terms as follows.

**Lemma 3** Let  $\rho$  be the exact solution of the problem (P) and  $\rho^n(x) = \rho(x, t_n)$ . Then

$$\frac{(\delta e^n, \alpha)}{\tau} + s_h^*(U^{n-1}, e^n, \alpha) + t_h^*(U^{n-1}, e^n, \alpha) = \langle g^n, \alpha \rangle, \tag{13}$$

$$< g^{n}, \alpha > = \left(\dot{\rho} - \frac{\delta\overline{\rho}^{n}}{\tau}, \alpha\right) + s_{h}(u^{n} - U^{n-1}, \rho^{n}, \alpha)$$
$$+ s_{h}^{*}(U^{n-1}, \rho^{n} - \overline{\rho}^{n}, \alpha) + t_{h}^{*}(U^{n-1}, \rho^{n} - \overline{\rho}^{n}, \alpha)$$
$$- t_{h}(U^{n-1}, \rho^{n}, \alpha) - L_{h}(\operatorname{div}_{h}U^{n-1}, \rho^{n} - \overline{\rho}^{n}, \alpha)$$
$$+ l_{h}\left(\left[U^{n-1}\right]_{F_{\nu}}^{U_{\nu}}, \rho^{n} - \overline{\rho}^{n}, \alpha\right) + l_{h}\left(\left[U^{n-1}\right]_{D_{\nu}}^{F_{\nu}}, \rho^{n} - \overline{\rho}^{n}, \alpha\right)$$

# 5 Error analysis

We can prove the order of errors for the above discrete densities  $r^n$  provided that the exact solution  $\rho$  is smooth enough.

For velocities  $v \in \{H^2(\Omega)\}^d$  we assume that **(H.1)** there exists an operator

 $\Pi_{h} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left\{H^{2}(\Omega)\right\}^{d}; \left\{W_{h}\right\}^{d}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}\left(\left\{H^{2}(\Omega)\right\}^{d} \cap \left(H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)\right\}^{d}; \left\{W_{h,0}\right\}^{d}\right)$ 

such that

$$\operatorname{div}_{h}\Pi_{h}v = \operatorname{div}_{h}v,$$
  
$$\|\Pi_{h}v - v\|_{h} \le c_{2}h^{m}|v|_{m+1},$$
 (14)

for all  $v \in \{H^{m+1}(\Omega)\}^d$ ,  $1 \le m \le 2k+1$ , where  $c_2$  is independent of h and  $\tau$ , but depend on  $c_1$ .

The hypothesis (H.1) is due to M. Crouzeix and P.-A. Raviart [4] and the existence of finite elements velocities for k = 1, 3 satisfying this hypothesis see the examples in [4]. Let  $\tilde{u}^n = \prod_h (u^n)$ . For the error  $E^n$  of the discrete velocity  $U^n$  defined by

 $E^n = U^n - \tilde{u}^n, n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, N.$ 

We assume that the discrete velocities  $U^n$  satisfy the estimates (H.2).

$$||E^{m}||_{h}^{2} \leq ||E^{0}||_{h}^{2} + C_{1}h^{2(2k+1)} + C_{2}\tau^{2} \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, N,$$
(15)

where  $C_i$ , i = 1, 2, are independent of  $\tau$  and h.

When we apply an implicit standard finite element scheme to the Stokes equation we get the above estimates (15). Considering each truncation terms in Lemma 3 the following lemma holds true.

**Lemma 4** Let  $g^n$  be the right hand side of (13). Under the assumptions on  $\rho$  in Theorem 1 there exists a constant C, which is independent of h and  $\tau$ , such that

$$2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\langle g^{n}, e^{n} \right\rangle \leq \tau \sum_{F \subset \Omega} \left\langle U_{F\nu}^{n-1}, \left| [e^{n}]_{D}^{U} \right|^{2} \right\rangle_{F} + C \left( \tau^{2a} + h^{2(2k+1)} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} ||E^{n}||_{h}^{2} \right),$$
(16)

#### 6 THE STOKES EQUATIONS

where a denotes a constant described in the next theorem.

After substituing  $\alpha = 2\tau e^n$  into the first identity in Lemma 3 and applying the proof of Lemma 2, adding from n = 1 to m we get

$$\|e^{m}\|^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \|\delta e^{n}\|^{2} + \tau \sum_{F \in \Omega} \left\langle U_{F\nu}^{n-1}, \left| [e^{n}]_{D}^{U} \right|^{2} \right\rangle_{F}$$
  
$$\leq \|e^{0}\|^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \langle g^{n}, e^{n} \rangle.$$
(17)

Applying Lemma 4 and the discrete Gronwall inequality we can prove the next theorem below.

**Theorem 1** Assume (5), (7), (15) and that a solution  $\rho$  of the problem (P) satisfies

$$\rho \in C\left([0,T]; C^{k+1}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0,T]; W^{k+1,4}\left(\Omega\right)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0,T]; H\right).$$

$$(18)$$

In the case: k = 0, we further assume (6). Then there exists a constant C, independent of  $\tau$  and h such that

$$\|e^{m}\|^{2} \leq C\left(\|e^{0}\|^{2} + \|E^{0}\|_{h}^{2} + h^{2(2k+1)} + \tau^{2a}\right) \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, N,$$
  
where C is independent of  $\tau$  and h, (19)

where a = 1/2 for the case: (8) does not hold, and a = 1 for the other case: (8) holds.

## 6 The Stokes equations

Here we consider a scheme for the modified density-dependent Stokes problem  $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})_q$  governed by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mu \Delta u + \operatorname{grad} p = g & \text{in } Q, \\
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \operatorname{grad})\rho = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\
\operatorname{div} u = 0 & \operatorname{in } Q,
\end{cases}$$
(20)

with the boundary condition: u = 0 on S and the initial conditions:  $\rho(x,0) = \rho^0(x)$  and  $u(x,0) = u^0(x)$  on  $\Omega$ , where  $g \in L^2(0,T;X^*)$ . The modified densitydependent Stokes problem  $(\bar{Q})_g$  with the case  $g \equiv \rho f$  reduces to the densitydependent Stokes problem  $(Q)_f$  previously described in the introduction.

#### THE STOKES EQUATIONS 6

Let us consider bilinear forms  $a_h: X_h \times X_h \to \mathbf{R}$  and  $b_h: X_h \times G_{h,0} \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$egin{aligned} &a_h(v,w) = \sum\limits_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} ig( \mathrm{grad} v,\mathrm{grad} w ig)_K \ &b_h(v,lpha) = -\sum\limits_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} ig(\mathrm{div}_h v,lphaig)_K, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_{h,0} = \{ \alpha \in G_h \mid (1, \alpha) = 0 \}.$ 

For the above problem  $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})_g$  we consider a scheme  $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})_{g,h}$ : (1) for  $r^{n-1} \in G_h$  and  $U^{n-1} \in V_h$  find  $r^n \in G_h$  satisfying (12) with the case k=0,(2) then, for  $r^{n-1}, r^n \in G_h$  and  $U^{n-1} \in V_h$ , find  $U^n \in X_h$  and  $P^n \in G_{h,0}$  satisfying

 $\begin{cases} \frac{(r^n \delta U^n, v)}{\tau} + \mu a_h(U^n, v) + b_h(v, P^n) = \langle \hat{g}^n, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in X_h, \\ b_h(U^n, \alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in G_h \rangle \end{cases}$ (21)

$$b_h(U^n, lpha) = 0 \quad orall lpha \in G_{h,0}.$$

In the above,  $\hat{g}^n$  approximates  $g(x, t_n)$ , in some sense, and satisfying

$$|\langle \hat{g}^{n}, v \rangle| \leq C_{1}^{n} ||v||_{h} + C_{0}^{n} ||v|| \quad \forall v \in X_{h},$$
(22)

with some constants  $C_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , where each  $C_n$  may depend on  $\hat{g}^n$ . We have the stability for the above scheme as follows.

**Lemma 5** Assume (22). Then, for each n, we have a unique solution  $r^n$ ,  $U^n$  and  $P^n$  of the problem  $(Q)_h$ . Further, using  $G_h$  with k = 0 and  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , we have

$$2M_{1}\tau\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\|\frac{\delta U^{n}}{\tau}\right\|^{2}+2\mu\|U^{m}\|_{h}^{2}+\mu\sum_{n=1}^{m}\|\delta U^{n}\|_{h}^{2}$$
$$\leq 2\mu\|U^{0}\|_{h}^{2}+C_{1}'\sum_{n=1}^{m}|C_{1}^{n}|^{2}+C_{0}'\tau\sum_{n=1}^{m}|C_{0}^{n}|^{2}.$$

Here  $C'_1, C'_0$  are independent of h and  $\tau$ .

This is obtained by substituting  $v = 2\delta U^n$  into (21) through the discrete maximum principle on  $r^n$ . Applying the discrete Poincaré inequality we get

**Lemma 6** There exists a constant  $C_3$ , which is independent of h and  $\tau$ , such that, for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ ,

$$||U^{m}||^{2} \leq C_{3} \left( \mu ||U^{0}||_{h}^{2} + C_{1}' \sum_{n=1}^{m} |C_{1}^{n}|^{2} + C_{0}' \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} |C_{0}^{n}|^{2} \right).$$

## 7 ERRORS ON DISCRETE VELOCITIES

# 7 Errors on discrete velocities

We consider the errors of discrete velocities and densities of the density-dependent Stokes problem  $(\mathbf{Q})_{f,h}$ . Let  $U^n$  be the discrete solution of the scheme  $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})_{g,h}$ , where  $g = \rho f$ . Already we have define the errors  $E^n$  of discrete velocities  $U^n$ . The error of the discrete pressure  $P^n$  is defined by  $E_P^n = P^n - p^n$ . Then, for  $v \in X_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(r^n \delta E^n, v)}{\tau} + \mu a_h(E^n, v) + b_h(v, E_P^n) &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \left\langle \eta_j^n, v \right\rangle, \\ &< \eta_1^n, v >= \left( \left( r^n - \rho^n \right) f^n, v \right) \\ &< \eta_2^n, v >= \left( \rho^n \dot{u}^n - \frac{\delta \tilde{u}^n}{\tau}, v \right), \\ &< \eta_3^n, v >= -\mu \left( (\Delta u^n, v) + (\operatorname{grad} u^n, \operatorname{grad} v) \right), \\ &< \eta_4^n, v >= \mu \left( \operatorname{grad} (\tilde{u}^n - u^n), \operatorname{grad} v \right) \\ &< \eta_5^n, v >= \left( \operatorname{grad} p^n, v \right) + \left( \operatorname{div} v, p^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we see

$$|\langle \eta_1^n, v \rangle| \leq ||f||_{\infty,Q} (||e^n|| + hC_1(\eta_1)|\rho^n|_{1,2,\infty,Q})||v||,$$

$$| < \eta_{2}^{n}, v > | \le C_{0}(\eta_{2}) \|\dot{u}\|_{\infty,Q} \left( \|\delta r^{n}\| + \|e^{n}\| \right) \|v\|$$
$$+ hC_{0}'(\eta_{2}) \|v\| \left( \|\dot{u}\|_{\infty,Q} |\rho|_{1,2,\infty,Q} + \|\dot{u}\|_{1,2,\infty,Q} \|\rho\|_{\infty,Q} \right)$$

$$+|\rho|_{\infty,Q}\sqrt{\frac{\tau^{3}\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\|\ddot{u}\|^{2}ds}{3}}\|v\|,$$

 $\begin{aligned} |<\eta_3^n, v>| &\leq \mu h C_1(\eta_3) |u^n|_{2,\infty,Q} ||v||_h, \\ |<\eta_4^n, v>| &\leq \mu h C_1(\eta_4) |u^n|_{2,\infty,Q} ||v||_h, \\ |<\eta_5^n, v>| &\leq h C_1(\eta_5) |p^n|_{1,\infty,Q} ||v||_h, \end{aligned}$ 

where the constants  $C(\eta_j), j = 1, 2, \cdots$ , are independent of  $\tau, h, n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, N$ . Applying Lemma 5 to the above estimates we get the result below.

### REFERENCES

**Lemma 7** Assume (18) on  $\rho$  and

$$u \in C\left([0,T], \left\{C^{2}(\overline{\Omega}^{d})\right\}^{d}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0,T], \left\{C(\overline{\Omega})\right\}^{d}\right) \cap W^{2,2}\left(0,T;\mathbf{H}\right).$$
(23)

Then there exist constants  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$ , which are independent of h and  $\tau$ , such that

$$||E^{m}||_{h}^{2} \leq ||E^{0}||_{h}^{2} + C_{1}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} ||e^{n}||^{2}$$
$$+C_{2}\tau + C_{3}\frac{h^{2}}{\tau}.$$

Combining (16), (17), (23) and the discrete Gronwall inequality, we get the theorem below.

**Theorem 2** Assume (18) and (23). Then there exists a constant C, which is independent of  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N, h, \tau$ , such that

$$||e^{m}||^{2} + ||E^{m}||_{h}^{2} \leq C\left(||e^{0}||^{2} + ||E^{0}||_{h}^{2} + \tau + \frac{h^{2}}{\tau}\right).$$

# References

- Antontsev, S. N., A. V. Kazhikov and V. N. Monakohv, Boundary value problems in mechanics of non-homogeneous fluids, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [2] Bardos, C., Problèmes aux limites pour les équations aux dérivées partielles du premier ordre à coefficients reels, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4<sup>e</sup> serie, t. 3, 185-233, 1970.
- [3] Ciarlet, P. G. and P.-A. Raviart, General Lagrange and Hermite interpolation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with applications to finite element methods, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal., 46, pp.177-199, 1972.
- [4] Crouzeix, M. and P.-A. Raviart, Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations I, R. A. I. R. O., 7, Sér. Anal. Numer., pp. 33-76, 1973.
- [5] Johnson, C. and J. Pitkäranta, An analysis of the discontinuous Galerkin method for a scalar hyperbolic equation, Math. Compu. 46, pp. 1-26, 1986.
- [6] Kaizu, S., the convergence of finite element solutions of advection problems, in preparation.

#### REFERENCES

- [7] Lesaint, L. and P.-A. Raviart, On a finite element method for solving the neutron transport equation, Aspects of finite elements in partial differential equations, ed. C. de Boor, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [8] Lions, Jacque Lui, Problèms aux limites dans les équationns aux dérivées partielles, Le press de l'université de Montréal, 1965.
- [9] Ohmori, Katsushi, On a modified Lax-Wendroff finite element method for linear hyperbolic equations, Preprint.
- [10] Lions, P.-L., Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [11] Temam, R., Navier Stokes equations, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1979.