

Local diffeomorphisms with positive entropy and chaos in the sense of Li-Yorke

Naoya Sumi (鷲見 直哉)

Abstract

We show that if f is a C^2 -local diffeomorphism with positive entropy on a n -dimensional closed manifold ($n \geq 2$) then f is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.

1 Introduction

We study chaotic properties of dynamical systems with positive entropy. Notions of chaos have been given by Li and Yorke [15], Devaney [5] and others. It is well known that if a continuous map of an interval has positive entropy, then the map is chaotic according to the definition of Li and Yorke (cf. [2]). For invertible maps the following holds: let f be a C^2 -diffeomorphism of a closed C^∞ -manifold. If the topological entropy of f is positive, then f is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke [31].

In this paper we show the following:

Theorem A *Let f be a C^2 -local diffeomorphism of a closed C^∞ -manifold. If the topological entropy of f is positive, then f is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.*

From this theorem we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary B *Let f be a C^2 -local diffeomorphism of a closed C^∞ -manifold. If f is not invertible, then f is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.*

First we shall explain here the definitions and notations used above. Let X be a compact metric space with metric d and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. A subset S of X is a *scrambled set* of f if there is a positive number $\tau > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in S$ with $x \neq y$,

1. $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \tau$,
2. $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0$.

If there is an uncountable scrambled set S of f , then we say that f is *chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke*. Li and Yorke showed in [15] that if $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous map with a periodic point of period 3, then f is chaotic in this sense. Note that any scrambled set contains at most one point x which does not satisfy the following: for any periodic point $p \in X$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(p)) > 0.$$

For another sufficient condition for the chaos in the sense of Li-Yorke, the readers may refer to [4], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [19], [20], [34].

Concerning the chaos in the sense of Li-Yorke, Kato introduced the notion of "**-chaos*" as follows: let F be a closed subset of X . A map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is **-chaotic* on F (in the sense of Li-Yorke) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. there is $\tau > 0$ with the property that for any nonempty open subsets U and V of F with $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and for any natural number N , there is $n \geq N$ such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \tau$ for some $x \in U, y \in V$, and

2. for any nonempty open subsets U, V of F and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a natural number $n \geq 0$ such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon$ for some $x \in U, y \in V$.

Such a set F is called a **-chaotic set*. If S is a scrambled set, then the closure of S, \bar{S} , is a **-chaotic set*. In [10] Kato showed that the converse is true. This is stated precisely as follows:

Lemma 1 ([10], Theorem 2.4) *Let X be a compact metric space and let F be a closed subset of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and is *-chaotic on F , then there is an F_σ -set $S \subset F$ such that S is a scrambled set of f and $\bar{S} = F$. If F is perfect (i.e. F has no isolated points), we can choose S as a countable union of Cantor sets.*

By this lemma, to show the existence of uncountable scrambled sets it suffices to show the existence of perfect **-chaotic sets*.

To obtain Theorem A we consider the inverse limit system of f . Let M be a closed C^∞ -manifold and let d be the distance for M induced by a Riemannian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on TM . Let $M^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the product topological space $M^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{(x_i) : x_i \in M, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $M^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is compact. We define a compatible metric \tilde{d} for $M^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\tilde{d}((x_i), (y_i)) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_i, y_i)}{2^{|i|}} \quad ((x_i), (y_i) \in M^{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

For $f: M \rightarrow M$ a continuous surjection, we let

$$M_f = \{(x_i) : x_i \in M \text{ and } f(x_i) = x_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then M_f is a closed subset of $M^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The space M_f is called the *inverse limit space* constructed by f . A homeomorphism $\tilde{f} : M_f \rightarrow M_f$, which is defined by

$$\tilde{f}((x_i)) = (f(x_i)) \text{ for all } (x_i) \in M_f,$$

is called the *shift map* determined by f . We denote as $P^0 : M_f \rightarrow M$ the projection defined by $(x_i) \mapsto x_0$. Then $P^0 \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ P^0$ holds. Remark that f is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke if and only if so is \tilde{f} .

We can show that the topological entropy, $h(f)$, of f coincides with that of \tilde{f} . Indeed, for an f -invariant probability measure ν , we can find an f -invariant probability measure μ such that $\nu(A) = P_*^0 \mu(A) (= \mu((P^0)^{-1}A))$ for any Borel set $A \subset M$ ([18] Lemma IV.8.3). Let us denote as $h_\nu(f)$ and $h_\mu(\tilde{f})$ the metric entropy of (M, f, ν) and (M_f, \tilde{f}, μ) respectively. Then we have $h_\nu(f) = h_{P_*^0 \mu}(f) = h_\mu(\tilde{f})$ ([25] Lemma 5.2). Therefore, the conclusion is obtained by the variational principle ([32] Theorem 8.6).

We say that a differentiable map $f: M \rightarrow M$ is a *local diffeomorphism* if for $x \in M$ there is an open neighborhood U_x of x in M such that $f(U_x)$ is open in M and $f|_{U_x} : U_x \rightarrow f(U_x)$ is a diffeomorphism. Since M is connected, then the cardinal number of $f^{-1}(x)$ is constant. This constant is called the *covering degree* of f . If the covering degree of f is greater than one, (M_f, M, C, P^0) is a fiber bundle where C denotes the Cantor set (see [1] Theorem 6.5.1).

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on M_f and let \mathcal{B} be the Borel σ -algebra on M_f completed with respect to μ . For ξ a measurable partition of M_f and $\tilde{x} \in M_f$ we denote as $\xi(\tilde{x})$ the element of the partition ξ which contains the point \tilde{x} . Then there exists a family $\{\mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi | \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ of Borel probability measures satisfying the following conditions:

1. for $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in M_f$ if $\xi(\tilde{x}) = \xi(\tilde{y})$ then $\mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi = \mu_{\tilde{y}}^\xi$,
2. $\mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi(\xi(\tilde{x})) = 1$ for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$,
3. for $A \in \mathcal{B}$ a function $\tilde{x} \mapsto \mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi(A)$ is measurable and $\mu(A) = \int_{M_f} \mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi(A) d\mu(\tilde{x})$.

The family $\{\mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi | \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ is called a *canonical system of conditional measures* for μ and ξ (see [26] for more details).

To prove Theorem A it suffices to show the following theorem.

Theorem C Let f be a C^2 -local diffeomorphism of a closed C^∞ -manifold M and let μ be an f -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on M_f .

If the metric entropy of μ is positive, then there exists a measurable partition η of M_f such that $\text{supp}(\mu_\eta^{\tilde{x}})$ is a perfect $*$ -chaotic set for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$.

Here the *support* $\text{supp}(\nu)$ of a finite measure ν is the smallest closed set C with $\nu(C) = \nu(M_f)$. Equivalently, $\text{supp}(\nu)$ is the set of all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$ with the property that $\nu(U) > 0$ for any open U containing \tilde{x} .

Let us see how Theorem A follows from Theorem C. We know that $h(\tilde{f}) = \sup\{h_\mu(\tilde{f}) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_e(\tilde{f})\}$ where $\mathcal{M}_e(\tilde{f})$ is the set of all \tilde{f} -invariant ergodic probability measures (cf.[27]). Thus, if $h(\tilde{f}) = h(f) > 0$, then we can choose $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_e(\tilde{f})$ with $h_\mu(\tilde{f}) > 0$. Therefore, by Theorem C and Lemma 1, f is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.

2 Key Lemmas

In this section we prepare some lemmas which need to prove Theorem C. Let f be a C^2 -local diffeomorphism of a closed C^∞ -manifold M and μ be an \tilde{f} -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on M_f with $h_\mu(\tilde{f}) > 0$. As in the previous section we denote as \mathcal{B} the Borel σ -algebra on M_f completed with respect to μ . For μ -almost all $\tilde{x} = (x_i) \in M_f$, there exist a splitting of the tangent space $T_{x_0}M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s(x_0)} E_i(\tilde{x})$ and real numbers $\lambda_1(x_0) < \lambda_2(x_0) < \dots < \lambda_{s(x_0)}(x_0)$ such that

(a) the maps $\tilde{x} \mapsto E_i(\tilde{x})$, $\lambda_i(x_0)$ and $s(x_0)$ are measurable, moreover $E_i(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})) = D_{x_0}f(E_i(\tilde{x}))$ and $\lambda_i(x_0)$, $s(x_0)$ are f -invariant ($i = 1, \dots, s(x_0)$),

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|(D_{x_0}f^{|n|})^{\pm 1}(v)\| = \lambda_i(x_0)$ ($0 \neq v \in E_i(\tilde{x})$, $i = 1, \dots, s(x_0)$) and

(c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\det(D_{x_0}f^{|n|})^{\pm 1}| = \sum_{i=1}^{s(x_0)} \lambda_i(x_0) \dim E_i(\tilde{x})$

([21], [33], [29], [30]). The numbers $\lambda_1(x_0), \dots, \lambda_{s(x_0)}(x_0)$ are called *Lyapunov exponents* of f at x_0 . Since μ is ergodic, we can put $s = s(x_0)$, $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(x_0)$ and $m_i = \dim E_i(\tilde{x})$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} = (x_i) \in M_f$.

A well-known theorem of Margulis and Ruelle [28] says that entropy is always bounded above by the sum of positive Lyapunov exponents; i.e. $h_{P_\mu^0}(f) \leq \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i m_i$. Since f has positive entropy, we have $0 < h_\mu(\tilde{f}) = h_{P_\mu^0}(f) \leq \max\{\lambda_i\} = \lambda_s$. Fix $0 < \lambda < \min\{\lambda_i : \lambda_i > 0\}$. From [24], [29] and [30] there are measurable functions $\tilde{\beta} > \tilde{\alpha} > 0$ and $\tilde{\gamma} > 1$ with the following properties: For $\tilde{x} = (x_i) \in M_f$ we put

$$\tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x}) = \{\tilde{y} = (y_i) \in M_f : d(x_0, y_0) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{x}), d(x_i, y_i) \leq \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{x})e^{-i\lambda} \ (i \geq 1)\}.$$

Then

(a) the map P^0 restricted to $\tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x})$ is injective,

(b) $P^0(\tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x}))$ is a C^2 -submanifold of the ball $\{y \in M : d(x_0, y) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{x})\}$,

(c) $T_{x_0}P^0(\tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x})) = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i > 0} E_i(\tilde{x}) (\neq \{0\})$ for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$,

(d) $d(y_i, z_i) \leq \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{x})d(y_0, z_0)e^{-i\lambda}$ for $(y_n), (z_n) \in \tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x})$.

For the case when f is invertible we may refer to [6], [22] and [23].

Let ξ and η be measurable partitions of M_f . Put $\tilde{f}^n\xi = \{f^n C : C \in \xi\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and then $(\tilde{f}^n\xi)(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{f}^n(\xi(\tilde{f}^{-n}(\tilde{x})))$ for $\tilde{x} \in M_f$. $\eta \leq \xi$ means that for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$ one has $\xi(\tilde{x}) \subset \eta(\tilde{x})$.

Lemma 2 Let f and μ be as above. Then there exists a measurable partition ξ of M_f such

- (a) $\xi \leq \tilde{f}^{-1}\xi$,
- (b) for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$, $\xi(\tilde{x}) \subset \tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x})$ and $\xi(\tilde{x})$ contains a neighborhood of \tilde{x} open in $\tilde{W}_{loc}^u(\tilde{x})$,
- (c) $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}\xi$ is the partition into points.

This lemma is similar to [13] Proposition 3.1, [16] Proposition 5.2 and [17] Lemma 2.2. So we omit the proof.

Let \mathcal{C} denote the family of all nonempty closed subsets of M_f and define a metric d_H by

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{b \in B} d(A, b), \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B)\} \quad (A, B \subset C)$$

where $d(A, b) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A\}$. Then it is known that (C, d_H) is a compact metric space (cf.[12]). If ξ is a measurable partition, then $\tilde{x} \mapsto \xi(\tilde{x}) \in C$ is measurable. Indeed, this follows from [3] Theorems III.2, III.9, III.22 and the fact that $\{(\tilde{x}, \xi(\tilde{x})) : \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ is a Borel subset of $M_f \times M_f$. For $A \subset M_f$ we put $\text{diam}(A) = \sup\{d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) : \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in A\}$. Then we have $\text{diam}(A) = \text{diam}(\bar{A})$. Since $\tilde{x} \mapsto \xi(\tilde{x}) \in C$ is measurable, $\tilde{x} \mapsto \text{diam}(\xi(\tilde{x}))$ is also a measurable function. By Lemma 2 (c) we have that for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$

$$\text{diam}((\tilde{f}^{-n}\xi)(\tilde{x})) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let ξ and η be measurable partitions of M_f and let $\{\mu_{\tilde{x}}^{\xi} | \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ be a canonical system of conditional measures for μ and ξ . The *mean conditional entropy of η with respect to ξ* is defined by

$$H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi) = \int -\log \mu_{\tilde{x}}^{\xi}(\eta(\tilde{x})) d\mu(\tilde{x})$$

(see [27] for details).

Lemma 3 *Let f and μ be as above and let ξ be as in Lemma 2. Then,*

$$h_{\mu}(\tilde{f}) = H_{\mu}(\tilde{f}^{-1}\xi|\xi).$$

For the case when f is invertible this lemma is proved by Ledrappier and Young [14]. We recall that if the covering degree of f is greater than one, then (M_f, M, C, P^0) is a fiber bundle where C denotes the Cantor set. In view of this fact, the above lemma can be proved by almost the same arguments as the proof of [14] Corollary 5.3 and [16] Corollary 7.1 with some slight modifications. Here we omit the proof.

By Lemma 2(a) we have that $\xi \geq \tilde{f}\xi \geq \tilde{f}^2\xi \geq \dots$. Let us introduce a measurable partition defined by $\eta = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^i\xi$. Then we have $\tilde{f}\eta = \eta$. For simplicity put

$$\mu_{\tilde{x}} = \mu_{\tilde{x}}^{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\tilde{x}}^n = \mu_{\tilde{x}}^{\tilde{f}^n\xi} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Doob's theorem it follows that for a μ -integrable function $\psi : M_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int \psi d\mu_{\tilde{x}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \psi d\mu_{\tilde{x}}^n \quad (2)$$

for μ -almost all \tilde{x} . Since $\tilde{f}\eta = \eta$ and $\tilde{f}_*\mu = \mu$, by the uniqueness of a canonical system of conditional measures (cf.[26]) we have that for μ -almost all \tilde{x}

$$\tilde{f}_*\mu_{\tilde{x}} = \mu_{\tilde{f}\tilde{x}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_*\mu_{\tilde{x}}^n = \mu_{\tilde{f}\tilde{x}}^{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3)$$

Here $(\tilde{f}_*\nu)(A) = \nu(\tilde{f}^{-1}A)$ for a Borel probability measure ν on M_f and $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let $C(M_f)$ be the Banach space of continuous real-valued functions of M_f with the sup norm $|\cdot|_{\infty}$, and let $\mathcal{M}(M_f)$ be a set of all Borel probability measures on M_f with the weak

topology. Since $C(M_f)$ is separable, there exists a countable set $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ which is dense in $C(M_f)$. For $\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$ define

$$\rho(\nu, \nu') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\int \varphi_n d\nu - \int \varphi_n d\nu'|}{2^n |\varphi_n|_{\infty}}.$$

Then ρ is a compatible metric for $\mathcal{M}(M_f)$ and $(\mathcal{M}(M_f), \rho)$ is compact (cf.[18]). Since (2) holds for $\{\varphi_i\}$, we have

$$\mu_{\tilde{x}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\tilde{x}}^n \quad (4)$$

for μ -almost all \tilde{x} . For $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$ and a measurable partition ξ , by the definition of conditional measures $\{\nu_{\tilde{x}}^{\xi}\}$, the map

$$M_f \ni \tilde{x} \mapsto \int \varphi_n d\nu_{\tilde{x}}^{\xi}$$

is measurable for $n \geq 1$ and thus $\tilde{x} \mapsto \nu_{\tilde{x}}^{\xi} \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$ is measurable.

Lemma 4 *Let f, μ and $\{\mu_{\tilde{x}} | \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ be as above. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a closed set F_{ε} with $\mu(F_{\varepsilon}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ satisfying the map*

$$F_{\varepsilon} \ni \tilde{x} \mapsto \mu_{\tilde{x}} \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be as above and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\tilde{x} \mapsto \int \varphi_i d\nu_{\tilde{x}}^{\xi}$ is measurable for $i \geq 1$, by Lusin's theorem there exists a closed set F_i ($i \geq 1$) with $\mu(F_i) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2^i$ satisfying

$$F_i \ni \tilde{x} \mapsto \int \varphi_i d\mu_{\tilde{x}} : \text{continuous.}$$

Then $F_{\varepsilon} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ has the desired property. □

For $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$ and $E \in \mathcal{B}$ let $\nu|_E$ denote the restriction of ν to E , i.e. $\nu|_E(A) = \nu(A \cap E)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly $\nu|_E$ is a finite measure. We denote as $B(\tilde{x}, r)$ and $U(\tilde{x}, r)$ the closed and open balls in M_f with center $\tilde{x} \in M_f$ and radius $r > 0$ respectively. Let $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be as above and let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$. For $\tilde{x} \in \text{supp}(\nu)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find i such that

$$\int_{U(\tilde{x}, \varepsilon)} \varphi_i d\nu > \int \varphi_i d\nu - \varepsilon.$$

Since the inequality holds for ν' sufficiently close to ν , we can easily prove that

$$\mathcal{M}(M_f) \ni \nu \mapsto \text{supp}(\nu) \in \mathcal{C}$$

is lower semi-continuous and so the map is measurable ([3] Corollary III.3). Since $\nu \mapsto \text{diam}(\text{supp}(\nu))$ is lower semi-continuous,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(M_f) &= \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M_f) : \nu \text{ is a point measure}\} \\ &= \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M_f) : \text{diam}(\text{supp}(\nu)) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is a closed set of $\mathcal{M}(M_f)$. Since $(\tilde{f}^n \xi)(\tilde{x}) \subset \eta(\tilde{x})$, we have

$$\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}}^n) \subset \text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}}) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$.

Lemma 5 *Let f, μ and $\{\mu_{\tilde{x}} | \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ be as above. Then for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M$, $\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}})$ has no isolated points.*

Proof. Let ξ and $\mu_{\tilde{x}}^n$ be as above. Then it is easily checked that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$P_n = \{\tilde{x} \in M_f : \mu_{\tilde{x}}^n \in \mathcal{P}(M_f)\} \supset \{\tilde{x} \in M_f : \mu_{\tilde{x}}|_{(\tilde{f}^n \xi)(\tilde{x})} \text{ is a point measure}\}.$$

If this lemma is false, then there exists a measurable set with positive measure such that for any \tilde{x} belonging to the set, $\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}})$ has an isolated point. Since $\text{diam}((\tilde{f}^{-k} \xi)(\tilde{x})) \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) by (1), we have $\mu(P_{-k}) > 0$ for k large enough. Put $P = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq j} \tilde{f}^n P_{-k}$ and then $\mu(P) = 1$ because μ is ergodic.

By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^n(P_{-k}) &= \{\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) \in M_f : \mu_{\tilde{x}}^{-k} \in \mathcal{P}(M)\} \\ &= \{\tilde{x} \in M_f : \tilde{f}_*^n \mu_{\tilde{f}^{-n}\tilde{x}}^{-k} \in \mathcal{P}(M)\} \\ &= \{\tilde{x} \in M_f : \mu_{\tilde{x}}^{n-k} \in \mathcal{P}(M_f)\} \\ &= P_{n-k} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

and so $P = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq j} P_{n-k}$. Thus, for $\tilde{x} \in P$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{n_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ such that $\tilde{x} \in P_{n_i}$ for $i \geq 0$. Since $\mu_{\tilde{x}} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\tilde{x}}^{n_i}$ (by (4)) and $\mu_{\tilde{x}}^{n_i} \in \mathcal{P}(M_f)$ for i , we have $\mu_{\tilde{x}} \in \mathcal{P}(M_f)$ for $\tilde{x} \in P$.

Since $\xi \geq \eta$ and μ_x is a point measure for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$, so is $\mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi$. Thus $\mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi((\tilde{f}^{-1} \xi)(\tilde{x})) = 1$ for μ -almost all \tilde{x} . Therefore

$$h_\mu(\tilde{f}) = H_\mu(\tilde{f}^{-1} \xi | \xi) = \int -\log \mu_{\tilde{x}}^\xi((\tilde{f}^{-1} \xi)(\tilde{x})) d\mu(\tilde{x}) = 0$$

by Lemma 3. This is a contradiction. □

3 Proof of Theorem C

In this section we will prove Theorem C. Let f , μ , η and $\{\mu_{\tilde{x}} | \tilde{x} \in M_f\}$ be as in §2. By Lemma 5, $\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}})$ is perfect for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$. Therefore, to obtain the conclusion it suffices to show the following.

Proposition 1 *If $\mu_{\tilde{x}}$ is not a point measure for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$, then $\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}})$ is a $*$ -chaotic set for μ -almost all $\tilde{x} \in M_f$.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition is similar to that of [31] Proposition 2. However, for completeness we give the proof.

Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and let F_ε be as in Lemma 4. By assumption we can take and fix $\tilde{x}_0 \in \text{supp}(\mu|_{F_\varepsilon})$ such that $\mu_{\tilde{x}_0}$ is not a point measure. Choose two distinct points $\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2 \in \text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}_0})$ and put $\tau = d(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)/2 (> 0)$. For $0 < r < \tau/2$ we can take $\delta = \delta(r) > 0$ with

$$\mu_{\tilde{x}_0}(U(\tilde{y}_i, r)) > \delta \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Since $U(\tilde{y}_i, r)$ are open, there exists a large integer $m' = m'(r) > 0$ such that if $\rho(\nu, \mu_{\tilde{x}_0}) < 1/m'$ ($\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M_f)$), then

$$\nu(U(\tilde{y}_i, r)) > \delta = \delta(r) \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 4 we can find $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(r) > 0$ such that for $\tilde{x} \in U(\tilde{x}_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_\varepsilon$

$$\rho(\mu_{\tilde{x}}, \mu_{\tilde{x}_0}) < 1/2m' = 1/2m'(r). \quad (6)$$

Remark that

$$d(U(\tilde{y}_1, r), U(\tilde{y}_2, r)) = \inf\{d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) : d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}_1) < r, d(\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}_2) < r\} > \tau.$$

Let ξ be as in Lemma 2 and put

$$B_m(n) = \left\{ \tilde{x} \in M_f \left| \begin{array}{l} \rho(\mu_{\tilde{x}}^{[k/2]}, \mu_{\tilde{x}}) < 1/m, \\ \text{diam}((\tilde{f}^{-k+[k/2]}\xi)(\tilde{f}^{-k}\tilde{x})) < 1/m \quad (k \geq n) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

for $n, m \geq 1$. Then $B_m(n) \subset B_m(n+1)$ and $\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_m(n)) = 1$ by (1) and (4), and so there exists an increasing sequence $\{n_m\}$ such that $\mu(B_m(n_m)) \geq 1 - 1/2^{m+1}$ ($m \geq 1$). Since $\mu(\bigcap_{k=m}^{\infty} B_k(n_k)) \geq 1 - 1/2^m$ for $m \geq 1$, we can find $D_m \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(D_m) \geq 1 - 2^{-m/2}$ satisfying

$$\mu_{\tilde{x}}(\bigcap_{k=m}^{\infty} B_k(n_k)) \geq 1 - 2^{-m/2} \quad (\tilde{x} \in D_m). \quad (7)$$

For $0 < r < \tau/2$ we put

$$K_r = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-\ell}(U(\tilde{x}_0, \varepsilon'(r)) \cap F_\varepsilon \cap D_m) \right).$$

Since $\mu(U(\tilde{x}_0, \varepsilon'(r)) \cap F_\varepsilon \cap D_m) \geq \mu(U(\tilde{x}_0, \varepsilon'(r)) \cap F_\varepsilon) - 2^{-m/2} > 0$ for m sufficiently large, we have $\mu(K_r) = 1$ ($0 < r < \tau/2$) by the ergodicity of μ . Therefore, to obtain the conclusion it suffices to show that $\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}})$ is a $*$ -chaotic set for $\tilde{x} \in K = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} K_{1/n}$.

To do this fix $\tilde{x} \in K_r$ ($r = 1/n, n \geq 1$) and suppose that nonempty open sets U_1 and U_2 satisfy

$$U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset, \quad U_j \cap \text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}}) \neq \emptyset \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Choose $m_0 > 0$ with

$$0 < 2^{-m_0/2} < \min\{\mu_{\tilde{x}}(U_j) : j = 1, 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_0 \geq 2m'.$$

Since $\tilde{x} \in K_r$, by the definition of K_r , there exist $m_1 > m_0$ and a sequence of positive integers $\{\ell_k\}_k$ with $\ell_k > n_k$ such that

$$\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{x}) \in U(\tilde{x}_0, \varepsilon'(r)) \cap F_\varepsilon \cap D_{m_1} \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (8)$$

Thus, by (3) and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k}(B_k(n_k))) &\geq \mu_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k}(\bigcap_{k=m_1}^{\infty} B_k(n_k))) \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{x})}(\bigcap_{k=m_1}^{\infty} B_k(n_k)) \\ &\geq 1 - 2^{-m_1/2} \geq 1 - 2^{-m_0/2} \quad (k \geq m_1), \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mu_{\tilde{x}}(U_j \cap \tilde{f}^{-\ell_k}(B_k(n_k))) \geq \mu_{\tilde{x}}^u(U_j) - 2^{-m_0/2} > 0$. Therefore we can choose

$$\tilde{z}_j = \tilde{z}_j(k) \in U_j \cap \tilde{f}^{-\ell_k}(B_k(n_k)) \cap \eta(\tilde{x})$$

for $j = 1, 2$ and $k \geq m_1$.

Since $\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j) \in B_k(n_k) \cap \tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\eta(\tilde{x})) \subset B_k(\ell_k) \cap \eta(\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{x}))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j)}^{[\ell_k/2]}, \mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{x})}) &= \rho(\mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j)}^{[\ell_k/2]}, \mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j)}) < 1/k \leq 1/m_0 \leq 1/2m', \\ \text{diam}((\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k+[\ell_k/2]}\xi)(\tilde{z}_j)) &< 1/k \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for $j = 1, 2$ and $k \geq m_1$. By use of (6) and (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j)}^{[\ell_k/2]}, \mu_{\tilde{x}_0}) &\leq \rho(\mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j)}^{[\ell_k/2]}, \mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{x})}) + \rho(\mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{x})}, \mu_{\tilde{x}_0}) \\ &< 1/2m' + 1/2m' = 1/m', \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mu_{\tilde{z}_j}^{-\ell_k+[\ell_k/2]}(\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k}U(\tilde{y}_i, r)) = \mu_{\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(\tilde{z}_j)}^{[\ell_k/2]}(U(\tilde{y}_i, r)) > \delta$ by (5). Thus we have

$$(\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k+[\ell_k/2]}\xi)(\tilde{z}_j) \cap \tilde{f}^{-\ell_k}U(\tilde{y}_i, r) \neq \emptyset$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ and $k \geq m_1$. Since $\tilde{z}_j \in U_j$, by (9) we may assume

$$\tilde{z}_j \in (\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k + [\ell_k/2]} \xi)(\tilde{z}_j) \subset U_j$$

for k large enough. Therefore

$$U_j \cap \tilde{f}^{-\ell_k} U(\tilde{y}_i, r) \supset (\tilde{f}^{-\ell_k + [\ell_k/2]} \xi)(\tilde{z}_j) \cap \tilde{f}^{-\ell_k} U(\tilde{y}_i, r) \neq \emptyset$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ and k large enough.

Now we take $b_{i,j} = b_{i,j}(k) \in U_j \cap \tilde{f}^{-\ell_k} U(\tilde{y}_i, r)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j} &\in U_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 2), \\ d(\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(b_{1,1}), \tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(b_{2,2})) &> d(U(\tilde{y}_1, r), U(\tilde{y}_2, r)) > \tau \quad \text{and} \\ d(\tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(b_{1,1}), \tilde{f}^{\ell_k}(b_{1,2})) &\leq \text{diam}(U(\tilde{y}_1, r)) = 2r = 2/n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\text{supp}(\mu_{\tilde{x}})$ is a $*$ -chaotic set for $\tilde{x} \in K = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} K_{1/n}$. □

References

- [1] N. Aoki and K. Hiraide, *Topological theory of dynamical systems. Recent advances*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 52. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1994.
- [2] L.S. Block and W.A. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1513, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [3] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 580. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [4] Y.M. Chung, *Shadowing property of non-invertible maps with hyperbolic measures*, Tokyo J. Math. 22 (1999), no. 1, 145-166.
- [5] R. Devaney, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 2nd ed., 1989.
- [6] A. Fathi, M.R. Herman and J-C. Yoccoz, *A proof of Pesin's stable manifold theorem*, Geometric Dynamics (J. Palis, Jr., Ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., 1007, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 177-215.
- [7] M. Hata, *Scrambled sets on compact metric spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 24-4 (1984), 689-698.
- [8] H. Kato, *Chaotic continua of (continuum-wise) expansive homeomorphisms and chaos in the sense of Li and Yorke*, Fund. Math., 145 (1994), 261-279.
- [9] H. Kato, *Everywhere chaotic homeomorphisms on manifolds and k -dimensional Menger manifolds*, Topology Appl., 72 (1996), 1-17.
- [10] H. Kato, *On scrambled sets and a theorem of Kuratowski on independent sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126 (1998), 2151-2157.
- [11] A. Katok, *Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 51 (1980), 137-174.
- [12] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [13] F. Ledrappier and J.M. Strelcyn, *A proof of the estimation from below in Pesin's entropy formula*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 2 (1982), 203-219.

- [14] F. Ledrappier and L.S. Young, *The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms*, Ann. of Math., 122 (1985), 509-574.
- [15] T.Y. Li and J.A. Yorke, *Period three implies chaos*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 82 (1975), 985-992.
- [16] P.D. Liu and M. Qian, *Smooth ergodic theory of random dynamical systems*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1606. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [17] P.D. Liu, *Pesin's entropy formula for endomorphisms*, Nagoya Math. J. 150 (1998), 197-209.
- [18] R. Mañé, *Ergodic Theory and Differentiable Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [19] F.R. Marotto, *Snap-back repellers imply chaos in \mathbb{R}^k* , J. Math. Analysis and Appl., 63 (1978), 199-223.
- [20] M. Misiurewicz and J. Smítal, *Smooth chaotic maps with zero topological entropy*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 8 (1988), 421-424.
- [21] V.I. Oseledec, *A multiplicative ergodic theorem, Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems*, Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc., 19 (1968), 197-231.
- [22] Y.B. Pesin, *Families of invariant manifolds corresponding to non-zero characteristic exponents*, Math. of the USSR, Izvestija, 10 (1978), 1261-1305.
- [23] Y.B. Pesin, *Characteristic Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory*, Russ. Math. Surveys, 32:4 (1977), 55-114.
- [24] C. Pugh and M. Shub, *Ergodic attractors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 312 (1989), 1-54.
- [25] M. Qian and Z. Zhang, *Ergodic theory for axiom A endomorphisms*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. 15 (1995), 161-174.
- [26] V.A. Rohlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, A.M.S. Transl., (1) 10 (1962), 1-52.
- [27] V.A. Rohlin, *Lectures on the theory of entropy of transformations with invariant measures*, Russ. Math. Surveys, 22:5 (1967), 1-54.
- [28] D. Ruelle, *An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Math., 9 (1978), 83-87.
- [29] D. Ruelle and M. Shub, *Stable manifolds for maps*, Global theory of dynamical systems (Proc. Internat. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1979), pp. 389-392, Lecture Notes in Math., 819, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [30] D. Ruelle, *Characteristic exponents and invariant manifolds in Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math. (2) 115 (1982), no. 2, 243-290.
- [31] N. Sumi, *Stable and unstable manifolds of diffeomorphisms with positive entropy*, Singular phenomena of dynamical systems (Kyoto, 1999). Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1118 (1999), 13-26.
- [32] P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [33] P. Walters, *A dynamical proof of the multiplicative ergodic theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335 (1993), no. 1, 245-257.
- [34] J. Xiong and Z. Yang, *Chaos caused by a topologically mixing map*, World Scientific, Advanced Series in Dynamical Systems Vol 9 (1991), 550-572.