

# $q$ -deformed Araki-Woods factors

日合文雄 (Fumio Hiai)

東北大学情報科学研究科

(Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University)

## 1 Construction of the $q$ -deformed functor

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$  be a separable real Hilbert space and  $U_t$  a strongly continuous one-parameter group of orthogonal transformations on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$ . By linearity  $U_t$  extends to a one-parameter unitary group on the complexified Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$ . Write  $U_t = A^{it}$  with the generator  $A$  (a positive non-singular operator on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ ) and define an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$  by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_U = \langle 2A(1 + A)^{-1}x, y \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the complex Hilbert space obtained by completing  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ .

For  $-1 < q < 1$  the  $q$ -Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  was introduced in [BS1, BKS] as follows. Let  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{finite}}(\mathcal{H})$  be the linear span of  $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) where  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0} = \mathbf{C}\Omega$  with vacuum  $\Omega$ . The sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$  on  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{finite}}(\mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_q = \delta_{nm} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle f_1, g_{\pi(1)} \rangle_U \cdots \langle f_n, g_{\pi(n)} \rangle_U,$$

where  $i(\pi)$  denotes the number of inversions of the permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ . For  $-1 < q < 1$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$  is strictly positive and the  $q$ -Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{finite}}(\mathcal{H})$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$ . Given  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  the  $q$ -creation operator  $a_q^*(h)$  and the  $q$ -annihilation operator  $a_q(h)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  are defined by

$$a_q^*(h)\Omega = h,$$

$$a_q^*(h)(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = h \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n,$$

and

$$a_q(h)\Omega = 0,$$

$$a_q(h)(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n q^{i-1} \langle h, f_i \rangle_U f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{i-1} \otimes f_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n.$$

The operators  $a_q^*(h)$  and  $a_q(h)$  are bounded operators on  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  and they are adjoints of each other (see [BKS, Remark 1.2]).

Following [Sh1] we consider the von Neumann algebra  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$ , called a  $q$ -deformed Araki-Woods algebra, generated on  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$s_q(h) := a_q^*(h) + a_q(h), \quad h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

The vacuum state  $\varphi (= \varphi_{q,U}) := \langle \Omega, \cdot \rangle_q$  on  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is called the  $q$ -quasi-free state.

**Proposition 1.1**  $\Omega$  is cyclic and separating for  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$ .

One can canonically extend  $U_t$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  to a one-parameter unitary group (the so-called second quantization)  $\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)\Omega = \Omega,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = (U_t f_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (U_t f_n).$$

Notice  $\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)a_q^*(h)\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)^* = a_q^*(U_t h)$  for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  so that

$$\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)s_q(h)\mathcal{F}_q(U_t)^* = s_q(U_t h), \quad h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Thus,  $\alpha_t := \text{Ad } \mathcal{F}_q(U_t)$  defines a strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism group on  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$ .

**Proposition 1.2** The  $q$ -quasi-free state  $\varphi$  on  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  satisfies the KMS condition with respect to  $\alpha_t$  at  $\beta = 1$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}, V_t)$  be another pair of a separable real Hilbert space and a one-parameter group  $V_t$  of orthogonal transformations on  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$  be a contraction such that  $TU_t = V_t T$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . By linearity  $T$  extends to a contraction  $T : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{C}}$  and it satisfies  $TU_t = V_t T$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ . Let  $B$  be the generator of  $V_t$  so that  $V_t = B^{it}$ . Since

$$TA(1 + A)^{-1} = B(1 + B)^{-1}T,$$

$T$  can further extend to a contraction from  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U)$  to  $(\mathcal{K}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V)$ . Then:

**Proposition 1.3** There is a unique completely positive normal contraction  $\Gamma_q(T) : \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'' \rightarrow \Gamma_q(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}, V_t)''$  such that

$$(\Gamma_q(T)x)\Omega = \mathcal{F}_q(T)(x\Omega), \quad x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'',$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_q(T) : \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{K})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_q(T)(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = (Tf_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (Tf_n).$$

In this way, we have presented a  $q$ -analogue of Shlyakhtenko's free CAR functor; namely, a von Neumann algebra with a specified state,  $(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'', \varphi)$ , is associated to each real Hilbert space with a one-parameter group of orthogonal transformations,  $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)$ , and a unital completely positive state-preserving map  $\Gamma_q(T) : \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'' \rightarrow \Gamma_q(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}, V_t)''$  to every contraction  $T : (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}, V_t)$ .

When  $q = 0$ ,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'' \equiv \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is a free Araki-Woods factor (of type III) in [Sh1]. On the other hand, when  $U_t = \text{id}$  a trivial action,  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})'' \equiv \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, \text{id})''$  is a  $q$ -deformation of the free group factor in [BKS]; in particular,  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})'' \cong L(\mathbb{F}_{\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}})$  a free group factor.

## 2 Factoriality and non-injectivity of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$

The following were proven in [BS2, BKS], but it is still open whether  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})''$  is a non-injective type II<sub>1</sub> factor whenever  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$ .

- (i) If  $-1 < q < 1$  and  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} > 16/(1 - |q|)^2$ , then  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})''$  is not injective.
- (ii) If  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} = \infty$ , then  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})$  is a factor (of type II<sub>1</sub>) for all  $-1 < q < 1$ .

These results can be extended to  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** *If there is  $T \in [1, \infty)$  such that*

$$\frac{\dim E_A([1, T])\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{C}}}{T} > \frac{16}{(1 - |q|)^2}$$

*where  $E_A$  is the spectral measure of  $A$ , then  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is not injective. In particular,  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is not injective if  $A$  has a continuous spectrum.*

**Theorem 2.2** *Assume that the almost periodic part of  $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)$  is infinite dimensional, that is,  $A$  has infinitely many mutually orthogonal eigenvectors. Then*

$$(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''')'_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'' = \mathbf{C}1,$$

*where  $(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''')'_{\varphi}$  is the centralizer of  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  with respect to the vacuum state  $\varphi$ . In particular,  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is a factor.*

## 3 Type classification of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$

As usual let  $S_{\varphi}$  be the closure of the operator given by

$$S_{\varphi}(x\Omega) = x^*\Omega, \quad x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'',$$

and let  $\Delta_{\varphi}, J_{\varphi}$  be the associated modular operator and the modular conjugation. Then the following are seen as in [Sh1]: For  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$ ,

$$S_{\varphi}(h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) = h_n \otimes h_{n-1} \otimes \dots \otimes h_1,$$

and for  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \text{dom } A^{-1}$ ,

$$\Delta_{\varphi}(h_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) = (A^{-1}h_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (A^{-1}h_n).$$

Noting that  $\mathcal{D} := \{h + ig : h, g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \text{dom } A^{-1}\}$  is a core of  $A^{-1}$  (on  $\mathcal{H}$ ) such that  $U_t\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , we see that

$$\Delta_{\varphi}^{it} = \mathcal{F}_q(A^{-it}) = \mathcal{F}_q(U_{-t}), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By this and Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following type classification result:

**Theorem 3.1** *Assume that  $A$  has infinitely many mutually orthogonal eigenvectors. Let  $G$  be the closed multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_+$  generated by the spectrum of  $A$  ( $U_t = A^{it}$ ). Then  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is a non-injective factor of type  $II_1$  or type  $III_\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ ), and*

$$\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'' \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{type } II_1 & \text{if } G = \{1\}, \\ \text{type } III_\lambda & \text{if } G = \{\lambda^n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \text{ (} 0 < \lambda < 1 \text{)}, \\ \text{type } III_1 & \text{if } G = \mathbf{R}_+. \end{cases}$$

This result for free Araki-Woods factors (in case of  $q = 0$ ) was shown in [Sh1, Sh2] generally when  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$ . Moreover, it was shown as a consequence of Barnett's theorem that free Araki-Woods factors are full whenever  $U_t$  is almost periodic (i.e. the eigenvectors of  $A$  span  $\mathcal{H}$ ). The assumption of Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 is a bit too restrictive while the following opposite extreme case is easy to see:

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $U_t$  has no eigenvectors, then  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is a type  $III_1$  factor.*

It is worthwhile to note that the type  $III_0$  case does not appear in the above type classifications.

For example, let  $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{R}^2, V_t)$  where  $V_t := \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t \log \lambda) & -\sin(t \log \lambda) \\ \sin(t \log \lambda) & \cos(t \log \lambda) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , and write  $(T_{q,\lambda}, \varphi_{q,\lambda}) := (\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'', \varphi)$  with two parameters  $q \in (-1, 1)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . For  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $T_{q,\lambda}$  is a type  $III_\lambda$   $q$ -deformed Araki-Woods factor. In particular when  $q = 0$ ,  $(T_{0,\lambda}, \varphi_{0,\lambda})$  coincides with the type  $III_\lambda$  free Araki-Woods factor  $(T_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)$  discussed in [Ra, Sh1]. For  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $T_{q,1}$  is the  $q$ -deformed type  $II_1$  factor treated in [BKS].

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)$ ,  $-1 < q < 1$ , generated by  $\{s_q(h) : h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}\}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  is considered as the  $q$ -analogue of the CAR algebra. From this point of view, the above  $T_{q,\lambda}$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) may be considered as the  $q$ -analogue of Powers'  $III_\lambda$  factor. In fact, we remark that, for  $q = -1$ , our construction of  $T_{q,\lambda}$  provides Powers'  $III_\lambda$  factor. To be more precise, for given  $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)$ , let  $\Gamma_-(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  denote the von Neumann algebra generated by  $s_-(h) := a_-^*(h) + a_-(h)$  ( $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$ ) on the Fermion Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $a_-^*(h)$  and  $a_-(h)$  are the Fermion (CAR) creation and annihilation operators. If  $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}, U_t^{(k)})$  where  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} = \mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $U_t^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t \log \lambda_k) & -\sin(t \log \lambda_k) \\ \sin(t \log \lambda_k) & \cos(t \log \lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}$  with  $\lambda_k \leq 1$ , then  $(\Gamma_-(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'', \varphi := \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_-)$  becomes an Araki-Woods factor

$$\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( M_2(\mathbf{C}), \text{Tr} \left( \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_k+1} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right).$$

Upon these considerations we called  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  a  $q$ -deformed Araki-Woods algebra.

## 4 Hypercontractivity of $\Gamma_q(T)$

When  $T = e^{-t}1_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}}$  ( $t > 0$ ), we obtain a semigroup  $\Gamma_q(e^{-t})$  ( $t > 0$ ) of completely positive normal contractions on  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$ . This is a non-tracial extension of  $q$ -Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup discussed in [Bi, Bo]. In the tracial case (i.e. the case of  $U_t$  being trivial), the ultracontractivity for  $\Gamma_q(e^{-t})$  was proven in [Bo] as follows:

$$\|\Gamma_q(e^{-t})x\| \leq C_{|q|}^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + e^{-2t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^3}} \|x\Omega\|, \quad x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})''$$

with  $C_{|q|}$  given below. In the non-tracial type III case, we have the following hypercontractivity property. This reduces to the above ultracontractivity when  $A = 1$  or  $\gamma = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *Assume that  $A$  is bounded (in particular, this is the case if  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$ ), and let  $\gamma := \frac{1}{2} \log \|A\|$ . If  $-1 < q < 1$  and  $t > \gamma$ , then*

$$\|\Gamma_q(e^{-t})x\| \leq C_{|q|}^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + e^{-(2t-\gamma)}}{(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-(2t-\gamma)})(1 - e^{-2(t-\gamma)})}} \|\Delta_\varphi^{\theta/2} x\Omega\|$$

for all  $x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , where

$$C_{|q|} := \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - |q|^m)}.$$

It might be expected that the hypercontractivity given in the above theorem is valid for the whole  $t > 0$ . However, the next proposition says that it is impossible to remove the assumption  $t > \gamma$ , so Theorem 4.1 seems more or less best possible. Also, it says that the hypercontractivity in the sense that  $\|\Gamma_q(e^{-t})x\| \leq C\|x\Omega\|_q$  holds for some  $t > 0$  and for all  $x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)''$  is impossible when  $A$  is unbounded; for example, this is the case when  $U_t f = f(\cdot + t)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} = L^2(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{R})$ .

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $-1 < q < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  and  $t > 0$ . If there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\|\Gamma_q(e^{-t})x\| \leq c\|\Delta_\varphi^{\theta/2} x\Omega\|, \quad x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}, U_t)'',$$

then  $A$  is bounded and

$$\|A\| \leq \exp\left(\frac{2t}{\max\{\theta, 1 - \theta\}}\right).$$

It seems that it is convenient to consider the hypercontractivity of  $\Gamma_q(T)$  in the setting of Kosaki's interpolated  $L^p$ -spaces. For a general von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  let  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$  be Haagerup's  $L^p$ -space. Given a faithful normal state  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  let  $h_\varphi$  denote the element of  $L^1(\mathcal{M})$  ( $\cong \mathcal{M}_*$ ) corresponding to  $\varphi$ . For each  $1 < p < \infty$

and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , Kosaki's  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \varphi)_\theta$  with respect to  $\varphi$  is introduced as the complex interpolation space

$$C_{1/p}(h_\varphi^\theta \mathcal{M} h_\varphi^{1-\theta}, L^1(\mathcal{M}))$$

equipped with the complex interpolation norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\theta} (= \|\cdot\|_{C_{1/p}})$ .

Let  $T : \mathcal{H}_\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$  be a contraction with  $TU_t = V_t T$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . The adjoint operator  $T^* : \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mathbf{R}$  is also a contraction satisfying  $T^* V_t = U_t T^*$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . For each  $-1 < q < 1$  let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &:= \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{R}, U_t)'' \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi = \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_q, \\ \mathcal{N} &:= \Gamma_q(\mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}, V_t)'' \quad \text{with} \quad \psi = \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_q, \end{aligned}$$

where the vacuums in  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  and in  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{K})$  are denoted by the same  $\Omega$ . Then, by Proposition 1.3 the completely positive normal contractions

$$\Gamma_q(T) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_q(T^*) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_q(T)x)\Omega &= \mathcal{F}_q(T)(x\Omega), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \\ (\Gamma_q(T^*)y)\Omega &= \mathcal{F}_q(T^*)(y\Omega), \quad y \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

One can define the contraction  $\omega \mapsto \omega \circ \Gamma_q(T^*)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_* \cong L^1(\mathcal{M})$  into  $\mathcal{N}_* \cong L^1(\mathcal{N})$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T)h_\omega = h_{\omega \circ \Gamma_q(T^*)}, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{M}_*.$$

We see that for every  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T)(h_\varphi^\theta x h_\varphi^{1-\theta}) = h_\psi^\theta (\Gamma_q(T)x) h_\psi^{1-\theta},$$

so that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T) : L^1(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{N})$  is the (unique) continuous extension of the linear mapping from  $h_\varphi^\theta \mathcal{M} h_\varphi^{1-\theta} (\subset L^1(\mathcal{M}))$  into  $h_\psi^\theta \mathcal{N} h_\psi^{1-\theta} (\subset L^1(\mathcal{N}))$  given by

$$h_\varphi^\theta x h_\varphi^{1-\theta} \mapsto h_\psi^\theta (\Gamma_q(T)x) h_\psi^{1-\theta}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Moreover, the Riesz-Thorin theorem implies that for each  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T)$  maps  $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \varphi)_\theta$  into  $L^p(\mathcal{N}; \psi)_\theta$  and

$$\|\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T)a\|_{p,\theta} \leq \|a\|_{p,\theta}, \quad a \in L^p(\mathcal{M}; \varphi)_\theta.$$

The next theorem is shown by using Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.3** *Assume that either  $A$  ( $U_t = A^{it}$ ) or  $B$  ( $V_t = B^{it}$ ) is bounded, and let  $\rho := \min\{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{H}_\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$  be a bounded operator such that  $TU_t = V_t T$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\|T\| < \rho^{-1}$ . Then  $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T)$  maps  $L^1(\mathcal{M})$  into  $\bigcap_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} h_\psi^\theta \mathcal{N} h_\psi^{1-\theta}$  and*

$$\|\tilde{\Gamma}_q(T)a\|_{\infty,\theta} \leq C_{|q|}^3 \frac{1 + \rho^{1/2}\|T\|}{(1 - \|T\|)(1 - \rho^{1/2}\|T\|)(1 - \rho\|T\|)} \|a\|_1$$

for all  $a \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

## References

- [Bi] P. Biane, Free hypercontractivity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **184** (1997), 457–474.
- [Bo] M. Bożejko, Ultracontractivity and strong Sobolev inequality for  $q$ -Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup ( $-1 < q < 1$ ), *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **2** (1999), 203–220.
- [BS1] M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, An example of a generalized Brownian motion, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137** (1991), 519–531.
- [BS2] M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations, and operator spaces, *Math. Ann.* **300** (1994), 97–120.
- [BKS] M. Bożejko, B. Kümmerer and R. Speicher,  $q$ -Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **185** (1997), 129–154.
- [Ra] F. Rădulescu, A type  $III_\lambda$  factor with core isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra of a free group, tensor  $B(H)$ , in *Recent Advances in Operator Algebras*, *Astérisque* **232** (1995), 203–209.
- [Sh1] D. Shlyakhtenko, Free quasi-free states, *Pacific J. Math.* **177** (1997), 329–368.
- [Sh2] D. Shlyakhtenko, Some applications of freeness with amalgamation, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **500** (1998), 191–212.