

Harmonic Relations between Green's Functions and Green's Matrices for Boundary Value Problems II

早稲田大学・理工学部 山本哲朗 (Tetsuro Yamamoto)

School of Science and Engineering

Waseda University

(旧所属：愛媛大学・理学部)

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Ehime University)

1 Introduction

In previous papers [3], [4], we remarked that there is a harmonic relation between the Green functions $G(x, \xi)$ for

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dx}(p(x)\frac{du}{dx}) = f(x), & a < x < b \\ u(a) = u(b) = 0, & p(x) > 0 \text{ in } [a, b] \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and the Green matrix $A_0^{-1} = (g_{ij})$ for the discretized system

$$\begin{cases} a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1} = b, & x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_{i+1}) \\ \frac{p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{i+1}} \frac{U_{i+1} - U_i}{h_{i+1}} - p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{U_i - U_{i-1}}{h_i} = f_i, & h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ U_0 = U_{n+1} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

or

$$HA_0U = f$$

with

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{h_1+h_2} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \frac{2}{h_n+h_{n+1}} & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & -a_2 & & & \\ -a_2 & a_2 + a_3 & -a_3 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -a_n & a_n + a_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_i = \frac{1}{h_i} p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.4)$$

$$U = (U_1, \dots, U_n)^t, \quad f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)^t$$

In fact, we have

$$G(x_i, x_j) = \begin{cases} \left(\int_a^b \frac{ds}{p(s)} \right)^{-1} \int_a^x \frac{ds}{p(s)} \int_\xi^b \frac{ds}{p(s)} & (x \leq \xi) \\ \left(\int_a^b \frac{ds}{p(s)} \right)^{-1} \int_a^\xi \frac{ds}{p(s)} \int_x^b \frac{ds}{p(s)} & (x \geq \xi) \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{p_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i \frac{h_k}{p_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{p_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) & i \leq j \\ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{p_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{h_k}{p_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{p_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) & i \geq j. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$G(x_i, x_j) = g_{ij} + O(h^2) \quad \forall i, j, \quad h = \max_i h_i,$$

if $p \in C^{1,1}[a, b]$.

On the other hand, the finite element approximation $v_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{U}_i \phi_i$ with piecewise linear polynomials is determined by solving

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_a^b p(x) \phi_i' \phi_j' dx \right) \hat{U}_j = \int_a^b f(x) \phi_i(x) dx, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (1.5)$$

with respect to \hat{U}_j , where $\phi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are piecewise linear polynomials satisfying $\phi_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$. The equations(1.5) can be written in the matrix-vector form

$$\hat{A} \hat{U} = \hat{f},$$

where $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_0$ is obtained by replacing a_i in (1.4) by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_i &= \frac{1}{h_i} \rho_i, & \rho_i &= \frac{1}{h_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} p(x) dx, \\ \hat{f} &= (\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n), & \hat{f}_i &= \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} f(x) \phi_i(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then it can also be shown that $\hat{A}^{-1} = (\hat{g}_{ij})$ satisfies

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \right) & i \leq j \\ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \right) & i \geq j, \end{cases}$$

which indicates a similar harmony between the Green function $G(x, \xi)$ and the corresponding discrete Green function:

$$G(x_i, x_j) = \hat{g}_{ij} + O(h^2) \quad \forall i, j,$$

if $p \in C^{1,1}[a, b]$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish a similar relation for the Green function $G(x, \xi)$ for

$$Lu \equiv -\frac{d}{dx}\left(p(x)\frac{du}{dx}\right) + q(x)\frac{du}{dx} + r(x)u = f(x), \quad a < x < b \quad (1.6)$$

$$u(a) = u(b) = 0$$

and the discrete Green function $G_h(x_i, x_j)$ (Green matrix) for the discretized system

$$\begin{cases} L_h U \equiv -\frac{p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{U_{i+1}-U_i}{h_{i+1}} - p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{U_i-U_{i-1}}{h_i}}{\frac{h_{i+1}+h_i}{2}} + q_i \frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i-1}}{h_{i+1}+h_i} + r_i U_i = f_i, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ U_0 = U_{n+1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

provided that $p(x) \in C^{3,1}$, $q(x), r(x) \in C^{1,1}[a, b]$, $p(x) > 0$, $r(x) \geq 0$ in $[a, b]$

2 Results

The discrete Green function $G_h(x_i, x_j)$ for the operator L_h is defined as the solution of the linear system

$$\begin{cases} L_h G_h(x_i, x_j) = \frac{2}{h_{j+1}+h_j} \delta_{ij}, & i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ G_h(x_i, x_j) = 0, & i = 0, n+1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \end{cases}$$

where δ_{ij} stands for the Kronecker symbol. This means that the $n \times n$ matrix $(G_h(x_i, x_j))$ is the inverse of the matrix $A = A_0 + Q + D$, where A_0 is defined by (1,3),

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ -\frac{q_2}{2} & \cdots & \frac{q_2}{2} \\ & & \cdots \\ & & \cdots & \frac{q_{n-1}}{2} \\ & -\frac{q_n}{2} & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_1(h_1+h_2)}{2} & & & & \\ & \frac{r_2(h_2+h_3)}{2} & & & \\ & & \cdots & & \\ & & & \cdots & \\ & & & & \frac{r_n(h_n+h_{n+1})}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Given positive integers N_a and N_b , we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n G_h(x_i, x_j) \frac{h_j + h_{j+1}}{2} = \begin{cases} O(h) & \text{if } i \leq N_a \text{ or } i \geq n+1 - N_b, \\ O(1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\phi(x) \in C^2[a, b]$ be the solution of the problem $Lu = 1$ in (a, b) and $u(a) = u(b) = 0$. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n G_h(x_i, x_j) \frac{h_j + h_{j+1}}{2} \leq 2\phi(x_i) \quad \forall i$$

(cf. Matsunaga-Yamamoto[2]), which proves Lemma 2.1. □

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *If $p \in C^{3,1}[a, b]$, $q(x), r(x) \in C^{1,1}[a, b]$, $p(x) > 0$, $r(x) \geq 0$ in $[a, b]$, then*

$$G_h(x_i, x_j) - G(x_i, x_j) = \begin{cases} O(h^3) & (i \leq N_a \text{ or } i \geq n+1 - N_b), \\ O(h^2) & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\{V_i\}$ be any mesh function defined on $I = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}$ such that $V_0 = V_{n+1} = 0$. Then it is easy to see

$$V_i = \sum_{j=1}^n G_h(x_i, x_j) \frac{h_j + h_{j+1}}{2} L_h V_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Hence

$$G(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n G_h(x_i, x_k) \frac{h_k + h_{k+1}}{2} L_h G(x_k, x_j), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, a careful computation leads to

$$L_h G(x_k, x_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{h_k + h_{k+1}} [(h_k^2 - h_{k+1}^2) \phi_{kj} + O(h_k^3 + h_{k+1}^3)] & (k \neq j) \\ \frac{2}{h_j + h_{j+1}} [1 + (h_{j+1}^2 \phi_j^+ - h_j^2 \phi_j^-) + O(h_j^3 + h_{j+1}^3)] & (k = j), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{kj} &= \frac{1}{6} p_k \frac{\partial^3 G(x_k, x_j)}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{4} (p'_k - q_k) \frac{\partial^2 G(x_k, x_j)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{8} p''_k \frac{\partial G(x_k, x_j)}{\partial x}, \\ \phi_j^+ &= \frac{1}{4} q_j \frac{\partial^2 G(x_j + 0, x_j)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{8} p''_j \frac{\partial G(x_j + 0, x_j)}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{4} p'_j \frac{\partial^2 G(x_j + 0, x_j)}{\partial x^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6} p_j \frac{\partial^3 G(x_j + 0, x_j)}{\partial x^3}, \\ \phi_j^- &= \frac{1}{4} q_j \frac{\partial^2 G(x_j - 0, x_j)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{8} p''_j \frac{\partial G(x_j - 0, x_j)}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{4} p'_j \frac{\partial^2 G(x_j - 0, x_j)}{\partial x^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6} p_j \frac{\partial^3 G(x_j - 0, x_j)}{\partial x^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this relation into (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n G_h(x_i, x_k) \{ (h_k^2 - h_{k+1}^2) \phi_{kj} + O(h_{k+1}^3 + h_k^3) \} \\ &\quad + G_h(x_i, x_j) \{ 1 + (\phi_j^+ h_{j+1}^2 - \phi_j^- h_j^2) + O(h_{j+1}^3 + h_j^3) \} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_i, x_j) - G_h(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{k \neq j} G_h(x_i, x_k) \{ (h_k^2 - h_{k+1}^2) \phi_{kj} + O(h_{k+1}^3 + h_k^3) \} \\ &\quad + G_h(x_i, x_j) \{ (\phi_j^+ h_{j+1}^2 - \phi_j^- h_j^2) + O(h_{j+1}^3 + h_j^3) \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Hence there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$|G(x_i, x_j) - G_h(x_i, x_j)| \leq C_1 h \sum_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k=1}}^n G_h(x_i, x_k) (h_k + h_{k+1}) + O(h^2),$$

and, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$G_h(x_i, x_j) = G(x_i, x_j) + O(h). \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting this into (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_i, x_j) - G_h(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{k \neq j} G(x_i, x_k)(h_k^2 - h_{k+1}^2)\phi_{kj} + G(x_i, x_j)(\phi_j^+ h_{j+1}^2 - \phi_j^- h_j^2) + O(h^2) \\
&= h_1^2 G(x_i, x_1)\phi_{1j} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} h_{k+1}^2 [G(x_i, x_{k+1})\phi_{k+1j} - G(x_i, x_k)\phi_{kj}] \\
&\quad - h_j^2 [G(x_i, x_{j-1})\phi_{j-1j} + G(x_i, x_j)\phi_j^-] \\
&\quad + h_{j+1}^2 [G(x_i, x_j)\phi_j^+ + G(x_i, x_{j+1})\phi_{j+1j}] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n-1} h_{k+1}^2 [G(x_i, x_{k+1})\phi_{k+1j} - G(x_i, x_k)\phi_{kj}] \\
&\quad - h_{n+1}^2 [G(x_i, x_n)\phi_{nj}] + O(h^2) \\
&= O(h^2) \quad (\text{an improvement of (2.3)})
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(x_i, x_{k+1})\phi_{k+1j} - G(x_i, x_k)\phi_{kj} \\
&= [G(x_i, x_{k+1}) - G(x_i, x_k)]\phi_{k+1j} + G(x_i, x_k)[\phi_{k+1j} - \phi_{kj}] \\
&= O(h_{k+1})\phi_{k+1j} + G(x_i, x_k)h_{k+1} = O(h_{k+1}), \quad \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing $O(h)$ in (2.3) by $O(h^2)$ and repeating similar argument as above, we obtain for $i \leq N_a$ or $i \geq n+1 - N_b$

$$G(x_i, x_j) - G_h(x_i, x_j) = O(h^3).$$

This proves Theorem 2.2. □

We can apply Theorem 2.2 to derive the superconvergence of the Shortley-Weller approximation applied to the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dx}(p(x)\frac{du}{dx}) + q(x)\frac{du}{dx} + f(x, u) = 0, & a < x < b \\ u(a) = \alpha, \quad u(b) = \beta \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

$$(2.5)$$

with any nodes (1.2):

Theorem 2.3. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, assume that f is continuous on $\mathcal{R} : a \leq x \leq b$, $-\infty < u < +\infty$. Furthermore, assume that f is continuously differentiable with respect to u on \mathcal{R} and $f_u \geq 0$. Then the finite difference method*

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{U_{i+1}-U_i}{h_{i+1}} - p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{U_i-U_{i-1}}{h_i}}{\frac{h_{i+1}+h_i}{2}} + q_i \frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i-1}}{h_{i+1}+h_i} + f(x_i, U_i) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ U_0 = \alpha, \quad U_{n+1} = \beta \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for solving (2.4)–(2.5) is superconvergent with any nodes (1.2):

$$u_i - U_i = \begin{cases} O(h^3), & i \in \Gamma = \{1, 2, \dots, N_a, n - N_b + 1, n - N_b + 2, \dots, n\} \\ O(h^2), & i \notin \Gamma \end{cases}$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$, where N_a and N_b are arbitrary given positive integers.

Remark. If the boundary conditions (2.5) are replaced by

$$\alpha_1 u(a) + \alpha_2 u'(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 u(b) + \beta_2 u'(b) = \beta,$$

where $\alpha_2 \beta_2 \neq 0$, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $\beta_1 \beta_2 \geq 0$, then it can be shown that the corresponding Shortley-Weller approximation (2.6) is quadratic convergent with any nodes (1.2):

$$u_i - U_i = O(h^2) \quad \forall i$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. However, superconvergence can not be expected in general.

References

- [1] Q.Fang, T.Tsuchiya and T.Yamamoto, Finite difference, finite element and finite volume methods applied to two-point boundary value problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **139** (2002), 9–19.
- [2] N.Matsunaga and T.Yamamoto, Superconvergence of the Shortley-Weller approximation for Dirichlet problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **116** (2000), 263–273.
- [3] T.Yamamoto, Harmonic relations between Green's functions and Green's matrices for boundary value problems (in *RIMS Kokyuroku* 1169, RIMS, Kyoto University, 1998), 15–26.
- [4] T.Yamamoto, Inversion formulars for tridiagonal matrices with applications to boundary value problems, *Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz.* **22** (2001), 357–385.
- [5] T.Yamamoto and Q.Fang, Superconvergence of finite difference methods with not necessarily uniform nodes for semilinear two-point boundary value problems, preprint, October 2001.