

# Cardinal invariants associated with some combinatorial statements

Shizuo Kamo (加茂 静夫)  
大阪府立大学 総合科学部

## Abstract

T. Bartoszyński [1] characterized the uniformity  $\mathbf{non}(\mathcal{M})$  of the meager ideal on the real line as the smallest size of a family  $X \subset \omega^\omega$  such that  $\forall y \in \omega^\omega \exists x \in X \exists^\infty n < \omega y(n) = x(n)$ . By replacing  $\omega^\omega$  by certain restricted subsets, we can get weaker combinatorial statements and define cardinal invariants. In this talk, we study these cardinal invariants.

## 0 Introduction

We use standard notion and notations in set theory (see e.g. [3]). Set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f \in (\omega \setminus \{0\})^\omega \mid f \text{ is non-decreasing and } \lim_{n < \omega} f(n) = \omega\}.$$

For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , define the cardinal  $\theta_f$  by

$$\theta_f = \min\{|X| \mid X \subset \prod_{n < \omega} f(n) \text{ and } \forall y \in \prod_{n < \omega} f(n) \exists^\infty n < \omega y(n) = x(n)\}.$$

By the Bartoszyński's characterization of  $\mathbf{non}(\mathcal{M})$ , it holds that  $\theta_f \leq \mathbf{non}(\mathcal{M})$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Also, it is easy to see that  $\theta_{f_1} \leq \theta_{f_2}$  if  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $f_1 \leq^* f_2$ . In the next section, we show that, in a certain generic model which is obtained by adjoining random reals,  $\theta_{f_1} < \theta_{f_2}$  holds for some  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Put  $\theta = \min\{\theta_f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Let me introduce another cardinal invariant  $\theta^*$  which is associated with a weaker combinatorial statement. For this, we need some definitions. Set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h \in \omega^\omega \mid h \text{ is strictly increasing and } \lim_{n < \omega} h(n+1) - h(n) = \omega\}.$$

For each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $n < \omega$ ,  $a_n^h$  denotes the interval  $[h(n), h(n+1))$  of  $\omega$ . Define  $\theta^*$  by

$$\theta^* = \min\{|W| \mid W \subset 2^\omega \times \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \forall y \in 2^\omega \exists (x, h) \in W \exists^\infty n < \omega y \upharpoonright a_n^h = x \upharpoonright a_n^h\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $\omega_1 \leq \theta^* \leq \theta$ . Furthermore, we have:

**Theorem 0.1** *Assume that  $\text{cof}([\mathbf{d}]^\omega, \subset) = \mathbf{d}$ . Then, it holds that  $\theta^* \leq \mathbf{d}$ .*

**Proof** Take a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\rho$ . By using the assumption, take an elementary substructure  $M$  of  $H(\rho)$  such that

$M \cap \omega^\omega$  is a dominating family and  $|M| = \mathfrak{d}$  and  $M \cap [M]^\omega$  is  $\subset$ -cofinal in  $[M]^\omega$ .

Since  $M \cap \omega^\omega$  is a dominating family, it holds that

$$(*) \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \exists h' \in M \cap \mathcal{H} \forall^\infty n < \omega \exists m < \omega \ a_m^{h'} \subset a_n^h.$$

We show that  $W = M \cap (2^\omega \times \mathcal{H})$  satisfy the definition of  $\theta^*$ . To get a contradiction, assume that there is  $y \in 2^\omega$  such that

$$\forall^\infty n < \omega \ y \upharpoonright a_n^h \neq x \upharpoonright a_n^h, \text{ for all } (x, h) \in W.$$

Put  $X = 2^\omega \cap M$ . The next claim is easily verified by using (\*).

**Claim 0.2**  $\forall x \in X \exists k < \omega \forall^\infty m < \omega \ y \upharpoonright [m, m+k] \neq x \upharpoonright [m, m+k].$   $\Delta$

By Claim 0.2, define  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$\varphi(x) = \text{the largest } k < \omega \text{ such that } \exists^\infty m < \omega \ x \upharpoonright [m, m+k] \subset y.$$

It is easy to check that  $\sup\{\varphi(x) \mid x \in X\} = \omega$ . By this, since  $[M]^\omega \cap M$  is  $\subset$ -cofinal in  $[M]^\omega$ , we can take  $A = \{a_i \mid i < \omega\} \in M$  such that  $\sup\{\varphi(a_i) \mid i < \omega\} = \omega$ . Take  $\psi : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that, for each  $(i, n) \in \omega \times \omega$ ,

$$i + n + \varphi(a_i) \leq \psi(i, n) \text{ and } \exists m \in [n, \psi(i, n) - \varphi(a_i)) \ a_i \upharpoonright [m, m + \varphi(a_i)] \subset y.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\psi \in M$ . Define  $\langle k_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in M$  by

$$\begin{cases} k_0 & = 0 \\ k_{i+1} & = \psi(i, k_i), \text{ for } i < \omega \end{cases}$$

and set  $x = \bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \upharpoonright [k_i, k_{i+1}) \in X$ . Then, it holds that

$$\forall k < \omega \exists m < \omega \ x \upharpoonright [m, m+k] \subset y.$$

But this contradicts Claim 0.2  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{C}_\omega$  be the notion of forcing which adds a Cohen real. Then, it holds that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbf{C}_\omega} \forall y \in 2^\omega \exists x \in 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{V} \exists^\infty n < \omega \ x \upharpoonright [n^2, n^2 + n) = y \upharpoonright [n^2, n^2 + n).$$

So,  $\theta^* < \mathfrak{d}$  holds in a certain Cohen generic model.

It is known that the assumption  $\text{cof}([[\mathfrak{d}]^\omega, \subset]) = \mathfrak{d}$  is followed from the non-existence of  $0^\#$ . So, it seems to prove Theorem 0.1 without this assumption. But I failed to find a proof.

**Question 0.1** *Is  $\theta^* \leq \mathfrak{d}$  proved in ZFC?*

In sections 2, 3, 4, we show that the cardinals  $\omega_1$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\theta^*$  can be separated for certain generic models.

# 1 Generic extensions by random reals

For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{B}(\kappa)$  the measure algebra which adds a random function from  $\kappa$  to 2 and by  $\mu_\kappa : \mathbf{B}(\kappa) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  the measure function. In this section, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Assume CH. Let  $\kappa > \omega_1$  be a regular cardinal. Then, there are  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that*

$$\Vdash_{\mathbf{B}(\kappa)} \theta_{f_1} = \omega_1 \text{ and } \theta_{f_2} = \kappa.$$

Set  $f_2 = \langle 2^n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ . The next well-known lemma guarantees that this  $f_2$  is as required in Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 1.2** (Forklore)  $\Vdash_{\mathbf{B}(\omega)} \exists y \in \prod_{n < \omega} f_2(n) \forall x \in \prod_{n < \omega} f_2(n) \cap \mathbf{V} \forall^\infty n < \omega x(n) \neq y(n)$ .

**Proof** Define  $k_n < \omega$  (for  $n < \omega$ ) by

$$k_0 = 0 \text{ and } k_{n+1} = k_n + n \text{ for } n < \omega.$$

For each  $n < \omega$ , put  $I_n = [k_n, k_{n+1})$  and take a bijections from  $I_n 2$  to  $f_2(n)$ . Using these bijections, we identify  $\prod_{n < \omega} f_2(n)$  with  $\prod_{n < \omega} I_n 2$ . Let  $\dot{g}$  be the canonical  $\mathbf{B}(\omega)$ -name of generic real. Define  $\dot{y}$  by

$$\Vdash \dot{y} = \langle \dot{g} \upharpoonright I_n \mid n < \omega \rangle.$$

It holds that, for each  $n < \omega$  and  $s : I_n \rightarrow 2$ ,

$$\mu_\omega(\|s = \dot{g} \upharpoonright I_n\|) = 2^{-|I_n|} = 2^{-n}.$$

So,  $\mu_\omega(\|\exists^\infty n < \omega x \upharpoonright I_n = \dot{y}(n)\|) = 0$  for all  $x \in 2^\omega$ . This implies that

$$\Vdash \forall^\infty n < \omega x(n) \neq \dot{y}(n), \text{ for all } x \in \prod_{n < \omega} I_n 2. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 1.3** *Let  $0 < K, M < \omega$ . Suppose that  $\{b_i^m \mid i < K \text{ and } m < M\} \subset \mathbf{B}(\omega)$  and  $b \in \mathbf{B}(\omega)$  satisfy*

$$b = \sum_{i < K} b_i^m, \text{ for all } m < M.$$

*Then, there is a function  $\varphi : M \rightarrow K$  such that*

$$\mu_\omega\left(\sum_{m < M} b_{\varphi(m)}^m\right) \geq \mu_\omega(b) - \left(\frac{K-1}{K}\right)^M \mu_\omega(b).$$

**Proof** By induction on  $M \in [1, \omega)$ . The case  $M = 1$  is clear. Let  $M = M_0 + 1 > 1$ . Using the induction hypothesis, take  $\varphi_0 : M_0 \rightarrow K$  such that

$$\mu_\omega\left(\sum_{m < M_0} b_{\varphi_0(m)}^m\right) \geq \mu_\omega(b) - \left(\frac{K-1}{K}\right)^{M_0} \mu_\omega(b).$$

Put  $c = \sum_{m < M_0} b_{\varphi_0(m)}^m$ . Since  $b - c = \sum_{i < K} (b_i^{M_0} - c)$ , there exists  $j < K$  such that  $\mu_\omega(b_j^{M_0}) \geq \frac{1}{K} \mu_\omega(b - c)$ . Then,  $\varphi = \varphi_0 \widehat{\langle j \rangle}$  is as required.  $\square$

For each  $n < \omega$ , let

$$M_n = \min\left\{M < \omega \mid \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^M < 2^{-n}\right\}.$$

Define  $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  by

$$|\{k < \omega \mid f_1(k) = n + 1\}| = M_n, \text{ for all } n < \omega.$$

The next lemma implies that  $f_1$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 1.4**  $\Vdash_{\mathbf{B}(\omega)} \forall y \in \prod_{k < \omega} f_1(k) \exists x \in \prod_{k < \omega} f_1(k) \cap \mathbf{V} \exists^\infty k < \omega x(k) = y(k).$

**Proof** For each  $n < \omega$ , put  $J_n = \{k < \omega \mid f_1(k) = n + 1\}$ . To show this lemma, let  $\dot{y}$  be a  $\mathbf{B}(\omega)$ -name such that  $\Vdash \dot{y} \in \prod_{k < \omega} f_1(k)$ . For each  $n < \omega$ , using Lemma 1.3, take  $s_n \in \prod_{k \in J_n} f_1(k)$  such that

$$\mu_\omega\left(\sum_{k \in J_n} \|s_n(k) = \dot{y}(k)\|\right) \geq 1 - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{M_n}.$$

Put  $x = \bigcup_{n < \omega} s_n$ . It is easy to check that

$$\mu_\omega(\|\forall^\infty n < \omega \exists k \in J_n x(k) = \dot{y}(k)\|) = 0.$$

So, it holds that  $\Vdash \exists^\infty k < \omega x(k) = \dot{y}(k)$ .  $\square$

## 2 A forcing notion with the ccc which lifts up $\theta^*$

Define the forcing notion  $(Q, \leq)$  by

$$Q \subset 2^{<\omega} \times [2^\omega \times \mathcal{H}]^{<\omega}$$

and, for any  $(s, u) \in 2^{<\omega} \times [2^\omega \times \mathcal{H}]^{<\omega}$ ,

$$(s, u) \in Q$$

if and only if, for all  $(x, h) \in u$  and all  $k < \omega$ ,

$$\text{if } a_k^h \setminus \text{dom}(s) \neq \emptyset \text{ then } |a_k^h \setminus \text{dom}(s)| \geq |u| \text{ or } \exists i \in a_k^h \cap \text{dom}(s) x(i) \neq s(i),$$

and, for any  $(s, u), (s', u') \in Q$ ,

$$(s', u') \leq (s, u)$$

if and only if

$$s' \supset s \text{ and } u' \supset u \text{ and, for all } (x, h) \in u \text{ and all } k < \omega, \text{ if } a_k^h \cap (\text{dom}(s') \setminus \text{dom}(s)) \neq \emptyset \text{ then } |a_k^h \setminus \text{dom}(s')| \geq |u'| \text{ or } \exists i \in a_k^h \cap \text{dom}(s') x(i) \neq s'(i).$$

We show that a finite support iteration by the above forcing notion lifts up the value  $\theta^*$ . For this, we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $n < \omega$ . Then, for every  $(s, u) \in Q$ , there is  $s' \in 2^{<\omega}$  such that  $(s', u) \in Q$  and  $(s', u) \leq (s, u)$  and  $n \in \text{dom}(s)$ .*

**Proof** For each  $j < \omega$ , define  $\varphi_j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$\varphi_j(h) = \text{the unique } k < \omega \text{ such that } j \in a_k^h.$$

For each  $t \in 2^{<\omega}$ , define  $\psi_t : 2^\omega \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$\psi_t(x, h) = \begin{cases} |a_{\varphi_{\text{dom}(t)}(h)}^h \setminus \text{dom}(t)|, & \text{if } t \upharpoonright a_{\varphi_{\text{dom}(t)}(h)}^h \subset x, \\ |a_{\varphi_{\text{dom}(t)}(h)+1}^h|, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To show this lemma, let  $n < \omega$  and  $(s, u) \in Q$ . Put  $m = \text{dom}(s)$ . Take  $M < \omega$  such that

$$n, m < M \text{ and } |a_{\varphi_M(h)}^h \setminus M| \geq |u|, \text{ for all } (x, h) \in u$$

By induction on  $j \in [m, M]$ , take  $s_j : j \rightarrow 2$  as follows:

Put  $s_m = s$ . Suppose that  $j \in [m, M)$  and  $s_j$  has been defined. Let  $l_j$  be the smallest element of  $\{\psi_{s_j}(x, h) \mid (x, h) \in u\}$ . Take  $(x_j, h_j) \in u$  such that  $\psi_{s_j}(x_j, h_j) = l_j$ . Set  $s_{j+1} = s_j \frown (1 - x_j(j))$ .

**Claim 2.2**  $|\{(x, h) \in u \mid \psi_{s_j}(x, h) < l\}| < l$ , for all  $0 < l < \omega$  and  $j \in [m, M]$

$\therefore$ ) By induction on  $j \in [m, M]$ . The case  $j = m$  is followed from the fact  $(s, u) \in Q$ . The case  $j = j_0 + 1 > m$  is followed from the fact  $\psi_{s_{j_0}}(x_{j_0}, h_{j_0}) \geq |u|$ .  $\triangle$

By Claim 2.2, it holds that  $l_j > 0$ , for all  $j \in [m, M)$ . So, it holds that  $(s_M, u) \in Q$  and  $(s_M, u) \leq (s, u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *For each  $(x, h) \in 2^\omega \times \mathcal{H}$ ,*

$$\{(s, u) \in Q \mid (x, h) \in u\} \text{ is dense in } Q.$$

**Proof** Let  $(x, h) \in 2^\omega \times \mathcal{H}$  and  $(s, u) \in Q$ . Take  $M < \omega$  such that

- (1)  $|s| \leq M$ ,
- (2) if  $a_k^{h'} \setminus M \neq \emptyset$  then  $|a_k^{h'} \setminus M| > |u|$ , for all  $k < \omega$  and  $(x', h') \in u$ .
- (3) if  $a_k^h \setminus M \neq \emptyset$  then  $|a_k^h \setminus M| > |u|$ , for all  $k < \omega$ .

Using Lemma 2.1, take  $(t, u) \leq (s, u)$  such that  $\text{dom}(t) = M$ . Then, it holds that  $(t, u \cup \{(x, h)\}) \in Q$  and  $(t, u \cup \{(x, h)\}) \leq (s, u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4**  *$Q$  satisfies the countable chain condition.*

**Proof** Let  $W$  be an uncountable subset of  $Q$ . Using Lemma 2.1, replace  $W$  by certain stronger conditions if necessary, we may assume that, for all  $(s, u) \in W$ ,

$$\text{for all } (x, h) \in u \text{ and } k < \omega, \text{ if } a_k^h \setminus k \neq \emptyset \text{ then } |a_k^h \setminus k| \geq 2|u|.$$

Take  $s_0 \in 2^{<\omega}$  and  $m < \omega$  such that  $W' = \{(s, u) \in W \mid s = s_0 \text{ and } |u| = m\}$  is uncountable. Then, every elements in  $W'$  are compatible.  $\square$

Let  $\dot{G}$  be the canonical generic  $Q$ -name. Define  $\dot{g}$  by

$$\Vdash_Q \dot{g} = \bigcup \{s \mid (s, u) \in \dot{G}, \text{ for some } u\}.$$

**Lemma 2.5**  $\Vdash_Q \dot{g} \in 2^\omega$  and  $\forall x \in 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{V} \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathbf{V} \forall^\infty n < \omega \dot{g} \upharpoonright a_n^h \neq x \upharpoonright a_n^h$ .

**Proof** This is directly followed from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3.  $\square$

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular uncountable cardinal and  $P$  the  $\kappa$ -stage finite support iteration by the above forcing  $Q$ . Then, by the above arguments, it holds that  $\theta^* = \kappa$  in the generic model  $\mathbf{V}^P$ . Since  $P$  is finite support, it adds cofinally many Cohen reals. So, in  $\mathbf{V}^P$ , the covering number  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$  of the meager ideal on the real line lifts up to  $\kappa$ . Futhermore, the next lemma shows that the unbounding number  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\omega^\omega$  lifts up to  $\kappa$ , too.

**Lemma 2.6** *There is a  $Q$ -name  $\dot{d}$  such that*

$$\Vdash_Q \dot{d} \in \omega^\omega \text{ dominates } \omega^\omega \cap \mathbf{V}.$$

**Proof** For each set  $X$ , denote by  $\mathbf{0}_X$  the constantly zero function from  $X$  to 2.

**Claim 2.7** *For any  $n < \omega$ ,*

$$\{(s, u) \in Q \mid \exists m < \omega (\mathbf{0}_{[m, m+n]} \subset s)\} \text{ is dense in } Q.$$

$\therefore$ ) Let  $n < \omega$  and  $(s, u) \in Q$ . Take  $(t, u) \leq (s, u)$  such that, for all  $(x, h) \in u$  and  $k < \omega$ ,

$$\text{if } a_k^h \setminus \text{dom}(t) \neq \emptyset \text{ then } |a_k^h \setminus \text{dom}(t)| \geq |u| + n.$$

Define  $t' : |t| + n \rightarrow 2$  by  $t \subset t'$  and  $t'(|t| + j) = 0$ , for  $j < n$ . It is easy to check that  $(t', u) \in Q$  and  $(t', u) \leq (s, u)$ .  $\triangle$

By Claim 2.7, it holds that

$$\Vdash_Q \forall n < \omega \exists m < \omega \dot{g} \upharpoonright [m, m+n] = \mathbf{0}_{[m, m+n]}.$$

So, in  $\mathbf{V}^Q$ , define  $\dot{d} \in \omega^\omega$  by

$$\dot{d}(n) = \text{the smallest } m < \omega \text{ such that } n \leq m \text{ and } \dot{g} \upharpoonright [m, m+2n] = \mathbf{0}_{[m, m+2n]}.$$

To show  $\dot{d}$  is a required one, let  $f \in \omega^\omega$  and  $(s, u) \in Q$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f$  is strictly increasing. Take  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$|a_k^h| \leq |a_{k+1}^h|$ , for all  $k < \omega$  and  $|\{k < \omega \mid |a_k^h| = n\}| \geq f(n) + 1$ , for all  $n < \omega$ .

By Lemma 2.3, take  $(t, v) \leq (s, u)$  such that  $(\mathbf{0}_\omega, h) \in v$ . Let  $k_0$  be the smallest  $k < \omega$  such that  $|t| \geq h(k)$  and set  $n_0 = |a_{k_0}^h| + |t|$ . The next claim completes the proof of the lemma.

**Claim 2.8**  $(t, v) \Vdash_Q \forall n > n_0 \ f(n) < \dot{d}(n)$ .

$\therefore$ ) To get a contradiction, assume that there are  $(t', v') \leq (t, v)$  and  $n > n_0$  such that  $(t', v') \Vdash_Q \dot{d}(n) \leq f(n)$ . Replace  $(t', v')$  by a stronger condition if necessary, we may assume that  $(t', v')$  decides the value of  $\dot{d}(n)$ . Let  $m < \omega$  be such that  $(t', v') \Vdash_Q \dot{d}(n) = m$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $m + 2n \subset \text{dom}(t')$ . Let  $k$  be the unique  $k < \omega$  such that  $m \in a_k^h$ . By the choice of  $h$ , it holds that  $|a_k^h|, |a_{k+1}^h| \leq n$ .  $\therefore a_{k+1}^h \subset [m, m + 2n)$ . Since  $(t', v') \Vdash_Q \dot{g} \upharpoonright [m, m + 2n) = \mathbf{0}_{[m, m + 2n)}$ , it holds that  $t' \upharpoonright a_{k+1}^h = \mathbf{0}_{a_{k+1}^h}$ . This contradicts the facts that  $(t', v') \leq (t, v)$  and  $(\mathbf{0}_\omega, h) \in v$  and  $\text{dom}(t) \cap [m, m + 2n) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

In section 4, we give a generic model in which holds  $\theta^* = \omega_2$  and  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$ . But I do not know whether there is a model which satisfies  $\mathfrak{b} < \theta^*$ .

**Question 2.1** *Is  $\mathfrak{b} < \theta^*$  consistent with ZFC?*

### 3 A forcing notion which lifts up $\theta$

In this section, we give a forcing notion which gives a generic model of  $\theta^* = \omega_1$  and  $\theta = \omega_2$ . The forcing notion which we give here is constructed by the  $\omega_2$ -stage countable support iteration. We begin with the definition of a forcing notion  $\mathbf{BT}_f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  which will be used each stage in the iteration.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . For each  $n < \omega$ , denote  $\prod_{m < n} f(m)$  by  $S_n^f$ . Put  $S^f = \bigcup_{n < \omega} S_n^f$ . Note that  $(S^f, \subset)$  is a tree. Define the forcing notion  $(\mathbf{BT}_f, \leq)$  by

$$q \in \mathbf{BT}_f$$

if and only if

(1)  $q$  is a subtree of  $S^f$ .

(2) there is a function  $f' \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $|\text{succ}_q(s)| \geq f'(|s|)$  for every  $s \in q$ .

$q' \leq q$  if and only if  $q' \subset q$ .

For each  $q \in \mathbf{BT}_f$ , define  $\pi_q \in \omega^\omega$  by

$$\pi_q(n) = \max\{k < \omega \mid \forall n' \geq n \ \forall s \in q \cap S_{n'}^f \ |\text{succ}_q(s)| \geq k\}.$$

Note that  $\pi_q \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $q \in \mathbf{BT}_f$ . For each  $k < \omega$ , define the ordering  $\leq_k$  on  $\mathbf{BT}_f$  by

$$q' \leq_k q \text{ if and only if } q' \leq q \text{ and } \pi_{q'} \upharpoonright m_k = \pi_q \upharpoonright m_k,$$

where  $m_k$  denotes the smallest  $m < \omega$  such that  $\pi_q(m) > k$ .

In [2], Bartoszyński, Judah and Shelah have used similar but more complicated forcing notions  $\mathbf{Q}_{f,g}$ . The proof of the next lemma is similar to, but quite easier than the proof of Claim 2.6 in [2].

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\dot{e}$  be a  $\mathbf{BT}_f$ -name such that  $\Vdash \dot{e} \in \mathbf{V}$ . Then, for each  $k < \omega$  and  $q \in \mathbf{BT}_f$ , there are  $q' \leq_k q$  and a finite set  $E$  such that  $q' \Vdash \dot{e} \in E$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\dot{e}$ ,  $k < \omega$ ,  $q \in \mathbf{BT}_f$  be as in the lemma. For each  $s \in q$ , denote by  $q[s]$  the condition  $\{t \in q \mid s \subset t \text{ or } t \subset s\}$ . Take  $M < \omega$  such that  $\pi_q(M) \geq 2k$ . Set

$$T = \{s \in q \mid |s| \geq M \text{ and } \exists q' \leq_k q[s] \exists E (E \text{ is finite and } q' \Vdash \dot{e} \in E)\}.$$

Note that, whenever  $s \in q \setminus T$  and  $|s| \geq M$ ,  $|\text{succ}_q(s) \cap T| < k$ .

**Claim 3.2**  $q \cap S_M^f \subset T$ .

$\therefore$ ) To get a contradiction, assume that  $s \in q \cap S_M^f \setminus T$ . Let  $U = \{t \in q \setminus T \mid s \subset t\}$ . Then, it holds that

$$\forall t \in U (|\{u \in U \mid t \subset u \text{ and } |u| = |t| + 1\}| > \pi_q(|u|) - k).$$

This implies that  $r = \{s \upharpoonright j \mid j < |s|\} \cup U \in \mathbf{BT}_f$  and  $r \leq_k q[s]$ . Take  $r' \leq r$  such that  $r'$  decides  $\dot{e}$ . Take  $t \in r'$  such that  $\pi_{r'}(|t|) \geq k$ . Since  $r'[t] \leq_k q[t]$ , we have that  $t \in T$ . This contradicts that  $U \cap T = \emptyset$ .  $\triangle$

By Claim 3.2, for each  $s \in q \cap S_M^f$ , take  $q_s \leq_k q[s]$  and a finite set  $E_s$  such that  $q_s \Vdash \dot{e} \in E_s$ . Then  $q' = \bigcup_{s \in q \cap S_M^f} q_s$  and  $E = \bigcup_{s \in q \cap S_M^f} E_s$  satisfy this lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3**  $(\mathbf{BT}_f, (\leq_k)_{k < \omega})$  satisfies Axiom A and  $\mathbf{BT}_f$  is  $\omega^\omega$ -bounding.  $\square$

Let  $\dot{G}$  be the canonical generic  $\mathbf{BT}_f$ -name. Define  $\mathbf{BT}_f$ -name  $\dot{g}$  by

$$\Vdash \dot{g} = \bigcup \left( \bigcap \dot{G} \right) \in \prod_{n < \omega} f(n).$$

Then, it is easy to check that

$$\Vdash \forall x \in \prod_{n < \omega} f(n) \cap \mathbf{V} \forall^\infty n < \omega \dot{g}(n) \neq x(n).$$

Now we can describe how to construct a model which satisfies  $\theta = \omega_2$  and  $\theta^* = \omega_1$ . Start with a ground model with CH. Let  $\{f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_2\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be such that

$$\{\alpha < \omega_2 \mid f_\alpha = f\} \text{ is cofinal in } \omega_2 \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$



Define the  $\omega_2$ -stage countable support iteration  $P_\alpha$  (for  $\alpha \leq \omega_2$ ),  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  (for  $\alpha < \omega_2$ ) by

$$\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha = \mathbf{BT}_{f_\alpha}.$$

Let  $P = P_{\omega_2}$ . Then, by the above arguments, it holds that, in  $\mathbf{V}^P$ ,  $\theta = \omega_2$  and  $\mathbf{d} = \omega_1$ . Since  $\text{cof}([\omega_1]^\omega, \subset) = \omega_1$  does always hold, it holds that, in  $\mathbf{V}^P$ ,  $\theta^* \leq \mathbf{d} = \omega_1$ .

## 4 A generic model of $\theta = \omega_2$ and $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$

In the previous section, we show that  $\mathbf{BT}_f$  does not lift up  $\theta^*$ . But, if we first add a dominating real then we get a certain function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathbf{BT}_f$  lifts up  $\theta^*$ . In this section, we show that  $\theta^*$  can be separated from  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$  by using it.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}$  be transitive models of ZFC such that  $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{W}$ . Assume that  $d \in \mathbf{W} \cap \omega^\omega$  dominates  $\mathbf{V} \cap \omega^\omega$ . In  $\mathbf{W}$ , define  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  by*

$$|a_k^h| \leq |a_{k+1}^h|, \text{ for all } k < \omega \text{ and } |\{k < \omega \mid |a_k^h| = n\}| = d(n) + 1, \text{ for all } n < \omega.$$

*Then, it holds that  $\forall^\infty m < \omega \exists k < \omega a_k^h \subset a_m^{h'}$  for all  $h' \in \mathbf{V} \cap \mathcal{H}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $h' \in \mathbf{V} \cap \mathcal{H}$ . In  $\mathbf{V}$ , define  $f_0, f_1 \in \omega^\omega$  by

$$f_0(n) = \text{the smallest } m < \omega \text{ such that } \forall m' \geq m \ |a_{m'}^{h'}| \geq 2n, \text{ and}$$

$$f_1(n) = \max a_{f_0(n+1)}^{h'}.$$

Since  $d$  dominates  $f_0, f_1$ , there is  $n_0 < \omega$  such that  $\forall n \geq n_0 \ f_0(n), f_1(n) < d(n)$ . Put  $k_0 = f_0(n_0)$ . To show that  $\forall k \geq k_0 \exists j < \omega a_j^h \subset a_k^{h'}$ , let  $k \geq k_0$ . Take  $n < \omega$  such that  $f_0(n) \leq k < f_0(n+1)$ . Then, it holds that  $|a_k^{h'}| \geq 2n$  and  $\max a_k^{h'} < \max a_{f_0(n+1)}^{h'} = f_1(n) \leq d(n)$ . Since  $[0, d(n))$  is covered by  $\{a_j^h \mid j < d(n)\}$  and  $|a_j^h| \leq n$  for all  $j < d(n)$ , there is  $j < d(n)$  such that  $a_j^h \subset a_k^{h'}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}$ ,  $d$  and  $h$  be as in Lemma 4.1. Working in  $\mathbf{W}$ . Define  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  by*

$$f(k) = 2^{|a_k^h|}, \text{ for all } k < \omega.$$

*Then, there is a  $\mathbf{BT}_f$ -name  $\dot{y}$  such that*

$$\Vdash \dot{y} \in 2^\omega \text{ and } \Vdash \forall^\infty k < \omega \ \dot{y} \upharpoonright a_k^{h'} \neq x \upharpoonright a_k^{h'}, \text{ for all } x \in 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{V} \text{ and } h' \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathbf{V}.$$

**Proof** Working in  $\mathbf{W}$ . Considering bijections from  $f(k)$  to  ${}^{a_k^h}2$  for  $k < \omega$ , we may identify  $\prod_{k < \omega} f(k)$  with  $\prod_{k < \omega} {}^{a_k^h}2$ . Let  $\dot{G}$  be the canonical generic  $\mathbf{BT}_f$ -name. Define  $\mathbf{BT}_f$ -names  $\dot{g}$  and  $\dot{y}$  by

$$\Vdash \dot{g} = \bigcup (\bigcap \dot{G}) \text{ and } \dot{y} = \bigcup_{k < \omega} \dot{g}(k).$$

Note that  $\Vdash \dot{y} \in \prod_{k < \omega} {}^{a_k^h} 2$  and  $\dot{y} \in 2^\omega$ . It is easy to check that

$$\Vdash \forall x \in 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{W} \forall^\infty k < \omega \ \dot{y} \upharpoonright a_k^h \neq x \upharpoonright a_k^h.$$

To show  $\dot{y}$  is as required, let  $x \in \mathbf{V} \cap 2^\omega$  and  $h' \in \mathbf{V} \cap \mathcal{H}$ . Since it holds that  $x \in \mathbf{W}$  and  $\forall^\infty m < \omega \exists k < \omega \ a_k^h \subset a_m^{h'}$ , we have that

$$\Vdash \forall^\infty m < \omega \ \dot{y} \upharpoonright a_m^{h'} \neq x \upharpoonright a_m^{h'}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 4.3** *Assume that CH holds. There are a forcing notion  $R$  and  $R$ -name  $\dot{y}$  such that*

- (1)  $R$  is proper and does not add a Cohen real and  $|R| = \omega_1$ .
- (2)  $\Vdash_R \dot{y} \in 2^\omega$  and  $\forall x \in 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{V} \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathbf{V} \forall^\infty k < \omega \ \dot{y} \upharpoonright a_k^h \neq x \upharpoonright a_k^h$ .  $\square$

Using Corollary 4.3, we can construct a generic model which satisfies  $\mathbf{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$  and  $\theta^* = \omega_2$ . Start with a ground model with CH. Take an  $\omega_2$ -stage countable support iteration by the forcing notion as in Corollary 4.3. Since the iteration does not add a Cohen real,  $\mathbf{cov}(\mathcal{M})$  remains  $\omega_1$ . On the other hand, since functions  $\dot{y} \in 2^\omega$  which satisfy (2) in the corollary is added cofinally,  $\theta^*$  must be lifted up.

## References

- [1] T. Bartoszyński, *Combinatorial aspects of measure and category*, Fund. Math., 127, pp. 225-239 (1987)
- [2] T. Bartoszyński, H. Judah and S. Shelah, *The Cichoń Diagram*, J. Symbolic Logic, 58, pp.401-423 (1993)
- [3] T. Bartoszyński and H. Judah, *Set Theory, On the structure of the real line*, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, Massachusetts (1995)