# DEFINABLE C<sup>r</sup>G TRIVIALITY OF G INVARIANT PROPER DEFINABLE C<sup>r</sup> MAPS

## TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact definable  $C^r$  group and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . We prove that every G invariant proper definable  $C^r$  onto submersion from an affine definable  $C^rG$ manifold to  $\mathbb{R}$  is definably  $C^rG$  trivial.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

M. Coste and M. Shiota [1] proved that a proper Nash onto submersion from an affine Nash manifold to  $\mathbb{R}$  is Nash trivial. This Nash category is a special case of the definable  $C^r$ category and it coincides with the definable  $C^{\infty}$  category based on  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, >)$  [16]. General reference on o-minimal structures are [2], [5], see also [15]. Further properties and constructions of them are studied in [3], [4], [6], [12] and there are uncountably many o-minimal expansions of  $\mathcal{R}$  [13]. Equivariant definable category is studied in [7], [9], [10], [11].

Let G be a definable  $C^r$  group, X a definable  $C^rG$  manifold and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Suppose that f is a G invariant definable  $C^r$  function from X to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that f is definably  $C^rG$ trivial if there exist a definable  $C^rG$  manifold F and a definable  $C^rG$  map  $h: X \to F$ such that  $H = (f, h): X \to \mathbb{R} \times F$  is a definable  $C^rG$  diffeomorphism. If f is definably  $C^rG$  trivial, then for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is definably  $C^rG$  diffeomorphic to F and there exists a definable  $C^rG$  diffeomorphism  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R} \times f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f = p \circ \phi$ , where  $p: \mathbb{R} \times f^{-1}(y) \to \mathbb{R}$  denotes the projection.

A map  $\psi: M \to N$  between topological spaces is *proper* if for any compact set  $C \subset N$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(C)$  is compact.

We consider an equivariant definable  $C^r$  version of [1] and an equivariant version of [1].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a compact definable  $C^r$  group and X an affine definable  $C^rG$  manifold and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Then every G invariant proper definable  $C^r$  onto submersion  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is definably  $C^rG$  trivial.

Let  $X = \{y = 0\} \cup \{xy = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $Y = \{y = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $f : X \to Y$ , f(x, y) = x. Then f is a polynomial onto submersion and it is not definably trivial. Thus proper condition is necessary.

The projection onto  $S^n$  of the tangent bundle of the standard *n*-dimensional sphere  $S^n$  with the standard O(n+1) action for  $n \ge 8$  is not piecewise definably  $C^rG$  trivial. Thus G invariant condition is necessary.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification 14P10, 14P20, 57R22, 58A05, 03C64 Keywords and Phrases. o-minimal, definable  $C^r$  manifolds, proper definable  $C^r$  functions, definable  $C^rG$  trivial, Nash G trivial.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let G be a finite group and X an affine Nash G manifold. Then every G invariant proper Nash onto submersion from X to  $\mathbb{R}$  is Nash G trivial.

### 2. Proof of results

The following is a result on piecewise definable  $C^rG$  triviality of G invariant submersive surjective definable  $C^r$  maps [9].

**Theorem 2.1** (1.1 [9]). (Piecewise definable  $C^rG$  triviality). Let X be an affine definable  $C^rG$  manifold, Y a definable  $C^r$  manifold and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Suppose that  $f: X \to Y$ is a G invariant submersive surjective definable  $C^r$  map. Then there exist a finite decomposition  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of Y into definable  $C^r$  submanifolds and definable  $C^rG$  diffeomorphisms  $\phi_i: f^{-1}(T_i) \to T_i \times f^{-1}(y_i)$  such that  $f|f^{-1}(T_i) = p_i \circ \phi_i$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ , where  $p_i$  denotes the projection  $T_i \times f^{-1}(y_i) \to T_i$  and  $y_i \in T_i$ .

The following is existence of a definable  $C^r G$  tubular neighborhood of a definable  $C^r G$  submanifold of a representation of G when  $1 \leq r < \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.2** ([8]). If  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , then every definable  $C^rG$  submanifold X of a representation  $\Omega$  of G has a definable  $C^rG$  tubular neighborhood  $(U,\theta)$  of X in  $\Omega$ , namely U is a G invariant definable open neighborhood of X in  $\Omega$  and  $\theta: U \to X$  is a definable  $C^rG$  map with  $\theta|_X = id_X$ .

Note that if  $r = \infty$  or  $\omega$ , then Proposition 2.2 is already known in [11].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying Theorem 2.1, we have a partition  $-\infty = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_j < a_{j+1} = \infty$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphisms  $\phi_i : f^{-1}((a_i, a_{i+1})) \rightarrow (a_i, a_{i+1}) \times f^{-1}(y_i)$  with  $f|f^{-1}((a_i, a_{i+1})) = p_i \circ \phi_i$ ,  $(0 \le i \le j)$ , where  $p_i$  denotes the projection  $(a_i, a_{i+1}) \times f^{-1}(y_i) \rightarrow (a_i, a_{i+1})$  and  $y_i \in (a_i, a_{i+1})$ .

Now we prove that for each  $a_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , there exist an open interval  $I_i$  containing  $a_i$  and a definable  $C^rG$  map  $\pi_i : f^{-1}(I_i) \to f^{-1}(a_i)$  such that  $F_i = (f, \pi_i) : f^{-1}(I_i) \to I_i \times f^{-1}(a_i)$  is a definable  $C^rG$  diffeomorphism. By Proposition 2.2, we have a definable  $C^rG$  tubular neighborhood  $(U_i, \pi_i)$  of  $f^{-1}(a_i)$  in X. Since f is proper, there exists an open interval  $I_i$  containing  $a_i$  such that  $f^{-1}(I_i) \subset U_i$ . Note that if f is not proper, then such an open interval does not always exist. Hence shrinking  $I_i$ , if necessary,  $F_i = (f, \pi_i) : f^{-1}(I_i) \to I_i \times f^{-1}(a_i)$  is the required definable  $C^rG$  diffeomorphism.

By the above argument, we have a finite family of  $\{J_i\}_{i=1}^l$  of open intervals and definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphisms  $h_i : f^{-1}(J_i) \to J_i \times f^{-1}(y_i)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ , such that  $y_i \in J_i$ ,  $\cup_{i=1}^l J_i = \mathbb{R}$  and the composition of  $h_i$  with the projection  $J_i \times f^{-1}(y_i)$  onto  $J_i$  is  $f|f^{-1}(J_i)$ . Now we glue these trivializations to get a global one. We can suppose that  $i \geq 2$ ,  $U_{i-1} \cap J_i = (a, b)$  and  $k_{i-1} : f^{-1}(U_{i-1}) \to U_{i-1} \times f^{-1}(y_1)$  is a definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphism with  $f|f^{-1}(U_{i-1}) = proj_{i-1} \circ k_{i-1}$ , where  $U_{i-1} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{i-1} J_s$  and  $proj_{i-1}$  denotes the projection  $U_{i-1} \times f^{-1}(y_1) \to U_{i-1}$ . Take  $z \in (a, b) = U_{i-1} \cap J_i$ . Then since  $f^{-1}(y_1) \cong f^{-1}(z) \cong f^{-1}(y_i)$ ,  $f^{-1}(y_1)$  is definably  $C^r G$  diffeomorphic to  $f^{-1}(y_i)$ . Hence we may assume that  $h_i$  is a definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphism from  $f^{-1}(J_i)$  to  $J_i \times f^{-1}(y_1)$ . Then we have a definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphism from  $f^{-1}(J_i)$  to  $J_i \times f^{-1}(y_1)$ .

$$k_{i-1} \circ h_i^{-1} : (a,b) \times f^{-1}(y_1) \to (a,b) \times f^{-1}(y_1), (t,x) \mapsto (t,q(t,x)).$$

Take a  $C^r$  Nash function  $u: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u = \frac{a+b}{2}$  on  $(-\infty, \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4}b]$  and u = id on  $[\frac{1}{4}a + \frac{3}{4}b, \infty)$ . Let

$$H:(a,b) imes f^{-1}(y_1) o f^{-1}((a,b)), H(t,x)=k_{i-1}^{-1}(t,q(u(t),x)).$$

Then *H* is a definable  $C^{r}G$  diffeomorphism such that  $H = h_{i}^{-1}$  if  $\frac{1}{4}a + \frac{3}{4}b \leq t \leq b$  and  $H = k_{i-1}^{-1} \circ (id \times \psi)$  if  $a \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4}b$ , where  $\psi : f^{-1}(y_{1}) \to f^{-1}(y_{1}), \psi(x) = q(\frac{a+b}{2}, x)$ . Thus we can define

$$k_{i}: f^{-1}(U_{i}) \to U_{i} \times f^{-1}(y_{1}),$$

$$k_{i}(x) = \begin{cases} (id \times \psi)^{-1} \circ k_{i-1}(x), & f(x) \leq \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4}b \\ H^{-1}(x), & \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4}b \leq f(x) \leq b \\ h_{i}(x), & f(x) > b \end{cases}$$

Then  $k_i$  is a definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphism. Therefore  $k_l$  is the required definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphism.

By [14] and 4.3 [9], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let G be a finite group, f a  $C^r$  Nash G map between affine Nash G manifolds and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Then f is approximated by a Nash G map.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. By Theorem 1.1, we have a  $C^r$  Nash G diffeomorphism  $F = (f, \phi) : X \to \mathbb{R} \times f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f = p \circ F$ , where  $p : \mathbb{R} \times f^{-1}(y) \to \mathbb{R}$  denotes the projection. By Proposition 2.3, we have a Nash G map  $\psi : X \to f^{-1}(y)$  as an approximation of  $\phi$ . If this approximation is sufficiently close, then  $H = (f, \psi) : X \to \mathbb{R} \times f^{-1}(y)$  is the required Nash G diffeomorphism.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- M. Coste and M. Shiota, Nash triviality in families of Nash manifolds, Invent. Math. 108 (1992), 349-368.
- [2] L. van den Dries, Tame topology and o-minimal structure, Lecture notes series 248, London Math. Soc. Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [3] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre, and D. Marker, Logarithmic-exponential power series, J. London. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 56, No.3 (1997), 417-434.
- [4] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre, and D. Marker, The elementary theory of restricted analytic field with exponentiation, Ann. Math. 140 (1994), 183-205.
- [5] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structure, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 497-540.
- [6] L. van den Dries and P. Speissegger, The real field with convergent generalized power series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350, (1998), 4377-4421.
- [7] T. Kawakami, Definable G CW complex structures of definable G sets and their applications, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. 54. (2004), 1-15.
- [8] T. Kawakami, Equivariant definable  $C^r$  approximation theorem, definable  $C^rG$  triviality of G invariant definable  $C^r$  functions and compactifications, to appear.
- [9] T. Kawakami, Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers, Topology Appl. 123 (2002), 323-349.
- [10] T. Kawakami, Every definable  $C^r$  manifold is affine, to appear.
- [11] T. Kawakami, Imbedding of manifolds defined on an o-minimal structures on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$ , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 183-201.
- [12] C. Miller, Exponentiation is hard to avoid, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), 257-259.
- J.P. Rolin, P. Speissegger and A.J. Wilkie, Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes and o-minimality, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 4, 751-777.

- [14] M. Shiota, Approximation theorems for Nash mappings and Nash manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 293 (1986), 319-337.
- [15] M. Shiota, Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets, Progress in Mathematics vol. 150, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [16] A. Tarski, A decision method for elementary algebra and geometry, 2nd edition. revised, Berkeley and Los Angeles (1951).

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University, Sakaedani Wakayama 640-8510, Japan

E-mail address: kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp