

On unitarizability of certain lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules

三鳥川寿一 (Hisaiichi Midorikawa)

津田塾大学数学教室 (Department of Math. Tsuda College)

1 Introduction

Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a connected simply connected complex simple Lie group and G a connected noncompact simple real form of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. We denote the Lie algebras of G and $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively by \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let θ be the Cartan involution of G and K the maximal compact subgroup of G . Then G is inner if θ belongs to the adjoint group of K . We shall assume G is inner. Then K contains a Cartan subgroup B of G . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} , where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K and \mathfrak{p} is the eigenspace of θ with the eigenvalue -1 . Let \hat{K} be the unitary dual of K and (π, V) a finite generated \mathfrak{g} -module. Then (π, V) is said to be a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module if $\dim Hom_K(V_{\sigma}, V)$ are finite for all $(\sigma, V_{\sigma}) \in \hat{K}$, where $Hom_K(V_{\sigma}, V)$ is the space of all K -homomorphisms of V_{σ} to V . Let \mathfrak{b} be the Lie algebra of B and Σ the root system of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the Lie algebra of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. The root system Σ_K of $(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a subset of Σ . Let P and P_K be respectively the positive root systems of Σ and Σ_K . We assume $P_K \subset P$. Let P_n be the set of all noncompact roots in P , and assume the simple root system Ψ of P has exactly one noncompact root. For a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module (π, V) we denote by Γ_{π} the set of all P_K -dominant integral forms ν on $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $\dim Hom_K(V_{\nu}, V) \neq 0$, where (π_{ν}, V_{ν}) is a unitary simple K -module with the highest weight ν . A simple (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module (π, V) is a lowest module if there exists a P -dominant integral form μ on $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\dim Hom_K(V_{\mu}, V) = 1$ and $\mu - \omega \notin \Gamma_{\pi}$ for all $\omega \in P_n$. (π, V) is said to be a lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant data μ . Our object of this note is to give a necessary condition for the unitarizable lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant nonzero data μ under the assumption : \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^* with $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* \leq 3$. Let us state our main result after the following preparations. Let $(\pi_{\nu}, V_{\nu}) \in \hat{K}$, and consider a tensor K -module $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_{\nu}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of \mathfrak{p} in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let Γ_K be the set of all P_K -dominant integral forms on $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and Σ_n the set of

all noncompact roots in Σ . For $\omega \in \Sigma_n$ we define a projection operator $P_{\nu+\omega}$ on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_{\nu}$ by

$$P_{\mu+\omega}(X \otimes v) = \begin{cases} \int_K (Ad \otimes \pi_{\nu})(k)(X \otimes v) \chi_{\nu+\omega}(k^{-1}) dk & \text{if } \nu + \omega \in \Gamma_K \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu + \omega \notin \Gamma_K \end{cases}$$

, where $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}, v \in V_{\nu}$, dk is the Haar measure on K normalized as $\int_K dk = 1$ and $\chi_{\nu+\omega}(k) = (\dim V_{\nu+\omega}) \text{trace} \pi_{\nu+\omega}(k)$.

Theorem 1.1

Let \mathfrak{g} be an inner type noncompact real simple Lie algebra. We choose a positive root system P satisfying $P_K \subset P$ and its simple root system Ψ has exactly one noncompact root. Assume \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^* with $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* \leq 3$. If (π, V) is a unitarizable lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant nonzero data μ , then

$$\frac{2(\mu + \rho_K - \rho_n, \omega)}{|\omega|^2} \geq -1 \text{ for all } \omega \in P_n$$

satisfying $P_{\mu+\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes v_{\mu}) \neq 0$, where ρ_K (resp. ρ_n) is one half the sum of all roots in P_K (resp. P_n).

This theorem is proved by solving a system of linear equation associated with the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the tensor K -module $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_{\mu}$. This method is treated by the papers of V. Bargmann [1] for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, L.H.Thomas [9] and J. Dixmier [2] for De Sitter group (see for the related works T. Hirai [4], A.U. Klimyk and U. A. Shirokov [5]). Let Ω be the Casimir operator on G and (π, V) a lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant nonzero data μ . Then Ω acts on V as the scalar $|\mu + \rho_K - \rho_n|^2 - |\rho|^2$, where $\rho = \rho_K + \rho_n$. If $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 1$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a hermitian symmetric pair. In [8] R. Parthasarathy gives a criterion for the necessary and sufficient condition for the unitarizability of the lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant data μ under the assumptions: $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is hermitian and $\mu + \rho_K - \rho_n$ is P -regular. If $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 3$, then \mathfrak{g} is one of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1)$, $E_{III}, E_{VI}, E_{IX}, FI$ and G_2 (see Table II, p354, [3]). The detailed proof of the theorem will be appear elsewhere.

In the following I summarize an outline of the proof of the main theorem.

2 Linear equation of lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module

Let P be a positive root system of Σ containing P_K . Throughout of this note we assume the simple root system Ψ of P has exactly one noncompact root. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} : ad(H)X = \alpha(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}\}$. Let $\phi(X, Y)$ be

the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}$ the compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ satisfying

$$X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}, \sqrt{-1}(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{g}_u \text{ and } \phi(X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Then $\phi(H, H_\alpha) = \alpha(H)$ for $H \in \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $H_\alpha = ad(X_\alpha)X_{-\alpha}$. Let τ be the conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to \mathfrak{g}_u . We define a bilinear form (X, Y) on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $(X, Y) = -\phi(X, \tau(Y))$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then (X, Y) is a positive definite hermitian form on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover for $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_n$, $(X_\alpha, X_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $\delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ is Kronecker's delta. Let (π, V) be a lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant data μ . We define a K -homomorphism φ of $V_\mu \oplus (\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\mu) \oplus (\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\mu)$ to V by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v) &= v, \quad \varphi(X \otimes v) = \pi(X)v \\ \varphi(X \otimes Y \otimes v) &= \pi(X)\pi(Y)v, \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $v \in V_\mu$.

2.1 Lemma *Let (π, V) be a lowest (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a P -dominant data μ . Then for $\omega \in \Sigma_n$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $v \in V_\mu$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(P_\mu(X \otimes Y \otimes v)) &= P_\mu(\pi(X)\pi(Y)v), \\ \varphi(P_\mu(X \otimes P_{\mu+\omega}(Y \otimes v))) &= P_\mu(\pi(X)P_{\mu+\omega}(\pi(Y)v)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega \in \Sigma_n$, and assume $P_{\mu+\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\mu) \neq 0$. Then the K -module $P_\mu(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes P_{\mu+\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\mu))$ is simple. Moreover (see Corollary 4.5, [7]) there exist a unit vector $v_\omega(\mu)$ and a positive constant $c(\mu; \omega)$ such that

$$P_\mu(X_{-\gamma} \otimes P_{\mu+\omega}(X_\gamma \otimes v(\mu))) = c(\mu; \omega) |P_{\mu+\omega}(X_\gamma \otimes v(\mu))|^2 v_\omega(\mu) \quad (2)$$

for all $\gamma \in P_n$, where $v(\mu)$ is the highest weight vector of V_μ normalized as $|v(\mu)| = 1$. We remark that $v_\omega(\mu)$ is the highest weight vector of $P_\mu(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes P_{\mu+\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\mu))$. We put

$$\mathcal{S}(\mu; P_n) = \{\omega \in P_n; P_{\mu+\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\mu) \neq 0\}. \quad (3)$$

Let us enumerate the sets P_n and $\mathcal{S}(\mu; P_n)$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &= \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_N\}, \quad \gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \dots > \gamma_N, \\ \mathcal{S}(\mu; P_n) &= \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k\}, \quad \omega_1 > \omega_2 > \dots > \omega_k. \end{aligned}$$

We define two matrices $A_0(\lambda)$ and $B_0(\lambda)$ respectively by

$$A_0(\lambda) = (|P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_{\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu))|^2), \quad (4)$$

$$B_0(\lambda) = (|P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_{-\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu))|^2), \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda = \mu + \rho_K$. By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 5.5 in [6] $|P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_{\pm\gamma} \otimes v(\mu))|^2$ is a rational function in λ .

Theorem 2.1

Let (π, V) be a lowest simple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ -module with a P -dominant data μ . Define $A_0(\lambda)$ and $B_0(\lambda)$ by (2.4) and (2.5). We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= {}^t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{b}(\lambda) &= {}^t((\mu, \gamma_1), (\mu, \gamma_2), \dots, (\mu, \gamma_N)), \end{aligned}$$

where x_i is defined by $x_i = -\varphi(c(\mu; \omega_i)v_{\omega_i}(\mu))$. Then we have

$$(A_0(\lambda) - B_0(\lambda))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\lambda).$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma_n$. Since $\mu - \omega \notin \Gamma_\pi$ for $\omega \in P_n$, Lemma 2.1 and (2.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(P_\mu(X_{-\gamma} \otimes X_\gamma \otimes v(\mu))) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \varphi(P_\mu(X_{-\gamma} \otimes P_{\mu+\omega}(X_\gamma \otimes v(\mu)))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi(P_\mu(X_{-\gamma} \otimes P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_\gamma \otimes v(\mu)))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k |P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_\gamma \otimes v(\mu))|^2 \varphi(c(\mu; \omega_j)v_{\omega_j}(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(P_\mu(X_{-\gamma_i} \otimes X_{\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu) - X_{\gamma_i} \otimes X_{-\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \{|P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_{\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu))|^2 - |P_{\mu+\omega_j}(X_{-\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu))|^2\}(-x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(P_\mu(X_{-\gamma_i} \otimes X_{\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu) - X_{\gamma_i} \otimes X_{-\gamma_i} \otimes v(\mu))) \\ &= P_\mu([\pi(X_{-\gamma_i}), \pi(X_{\gamma_i})]\dot{v}(\mu)) \\ &= -(\mu, \gamma_i)v(\mu), \end{aligned}$$

we have $(A_0(\lambda) - B_0(\lambda))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$.

Let (π, V) be a finite generated $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ -module. Then (π, V) is unitarizable if there exists a positive definite hermitian form (v, w) on V such that

$$(\pi(X)v, w) + (v, \pi(X)w) = 0 \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v, w \in V.$$

2.2 Lemma Let (π, V) be a unitarizable lowest $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ -module with a P -dominant data μ . Define \mathbf{x} as in the above theorem. Then $x_i \geq 0$ for $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. By the choice of $X_\omega \in \mathfrak{g}_\omega$ (see (2.1)), we have $(\pi(X_\omega)v, w) = -(v, \pi(X_{-\omega})w)$ for $v, w \in V$. Then by Lemma 2.1 and (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
-x_i |P_{\mu+\omega_i}(X_{\omega_i} \otimes v(\mu))|^2 &= |P_{\mu+\omega_i}(X_{\omega_i} \otimes v(\mu))|^2 (\varphi(c(\mu; \omega_i)v_{\omega_i}(\mu)), v(\mu)) \\
&= (\varphi(X_{-\omega_i} \otimes P_{\mu+\omega_i}(X_{\omega_i} \otimes v(\mu))), v(\mu)) \\
&= (P_\mu(\pi(X_{-\omega_i})P_{\mu+\omega_i}(\pi(X_{\omega_i})v(\mu))), v(\mu)) \\
&= (\pi(X_{-\omega_i})P_{\mu+\omega_i}(\pi(X_{\omega_i})v(\mu))), v(\mu)) \\
&= -(P_{\mu+\omega_i}(\pi(X_{\omega_i})v(\mu)), P_{\mu+\omega_i}(\pi(X_{\omega_i})v(\mu))) \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $P_{\mu+\omega_i}(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} \otimes V_\mu) \neq 0$, $|P_{\mu+\omega_i}(X_{\omega_i} \otimes v(\mu))| > 0$ (see Corollary 3.5, [6]), and hence the lemma follows.

3 Solution of $A(\eta)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$

Let p be a nonnegative integer. We define a set Π_p by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_0 &= \{\tilde{\phi}\} \text{ for } p = 0, \\
\Pi_p &= \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p) : \alpha_i \in P_K\} \text{ for } p > 1, \text{ and put} \\
\Pi &= \bigcup_{p=0}^{\infty} \Pi_p.
\end{aligned}$$

For $I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p), J = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q) \in \Pi$ we define $I \star J$ by

$$I \star J = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q).$$

By \star -operation Π is a semigroup with the identity $\tilde{\phi}$. Let $\omega \in \Sigma_n$ and η a generic point in the dual space $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b})^*$ of the real vector space $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}$. For $I \in \Pi$, we define $R(\eta; I)$, $S(\eta; I)$ and $T(\eta; I)$ as follows:

$$R(\eta; \tilde{\phi}) = S(\eta; \tilde{\phi}) = T(\eta; \tilde{\phi}) = 1$$

and for $I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p) \in \Pi$,

$$R(\eta; I) = (|\eta + \langle I \rangle|^2 - |\eta|^2)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

$$S(\eta; I) = \prod_{J, L \in \Pi, J \star L = I, J \neq \tilde{\phi}} R(\eta; J), \quad (7)$$

$$T(\eta; I) = \prod_{J, L \in \Pi, J \star L = I} R(\eta + \langle J \rangle; L), \quad (8)$$

where $\langle I \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i$. Let $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. For $I \in \Pi$ we define $Q(I) \in U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(I) &= 1 \text{ for } I = \tilde{\phi}, \\ Q(I) &= X_{-\alpha_1} X_{-\alpha_2} \dots X_{-\alpha_p} \text{ for } I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p). \end{aligned}$$

The map $I \rightarrow Q(I)$ is a semigroup homomorphism of Π into $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$. $Q(I)$ acts on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $Q(I)X = ad(Q(I))X, X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The selfadjoint operator $Q(I)^*$ of $Q(I)$ is defined by

$$(Q(I)X, Y) = (X, Q(I)^*Y), X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\omega, \gamma \in \Sigma_n$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\omega}(I) &= 2^{\#I} |(Q(I)^*X_{\omega}, X_{\omega+\langle I \rangle})|^2, I \in \Pi \text{ and} \\ \Pi(\gamma, \omega) &= \{I \in \Pi : (Q(I)^*X_{\gamma}, X_{\omega}) \neq 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\#I = p$ for $I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p)$. Let $\mathbb{R}(\eta)$ be the field of rational functions in η over the real number field \mathbb{R} . For $\omega, \gamma \in \Sigma_n$ we define three rational functions $S(\eta; \gamma, \omega)$, $T(\eta; \gamma, \omega)$ and $f(\eta; \gamma)$ by

$$S(\eta; \gamma, \omega) = \sum_{I \in \Pi(\gamma, \omega)} (-1)^{\#I} a_{\gamma}(I) S(\eta + \gamma; I), \quad (9)$$

$$T(\eta; \gamma, \omega) = \sum_{I \in \Pi(\gamma, \omega)} a_{\gamma}(I) T(\eta + \gamma), \quad (10)$$

$$f(\eta; \gamma) = \sum_{I \in \Pi} (-1)^{\#I} a_{\gamma}(I) S(\eta; I). \quad (11)$$

Then $f(\eta; \gamma) = \sum_{\delta \in \Sigma_n} S(\eta; \gamma, \delta)$. We define two matrices $A(\eta)$ and $B(\eta)$ by

$$A(\eta) = (T(\eta; \gamma_i, \gamma_j) f(\eta + \gamma_j; \gamma_j)), B(\eta) = (T(\eta; -\gamma_i, \gamma_j) f(\eta + \gamma_j; \gamma_j)).$$

Since $\Pi(\gamma_i, \gamma_i) = \{\tilde{\phi}\}$ and $\Pi(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \emptyset$ for $i, j, i < j$, $A(\eta)$ is a lower triangular matrix. Let $\mathbf{b}(\eta)$ be the column vector in $\mathbb{R}(\eta)^N$ defined by

$$\mathbf{b}(\eta) = {}^t((\eta - \rho_K, \gamma_1), (\eta - \rho_K, \gamma_2), \dots, (\eta - \rho_K, \gamma_N)). \quad (12)$$

In §4 we shall give explicitly the solution \mathbf{x} of linear equation $(A(\eta) - B(\eta))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$ under the assumption: \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^* with $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* \leq 3$. Let μ be a P -dominant integral form on $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and define $\mathcal{S}(\mu; P_n)$ by (1.3). We remark that if $\mathcal{S}(\mu; P) = P_n$, then $A_0(\lambda) = A(\lambda)$ and $B_0(\lambda) = B(\lambda)$ (see Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 5.5, [6]).

We define for each pair α and β in Σ a complex number $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \begin{cases} \phi(ad(X_{\alpha})X_{\beta}, X_{-\alpha-\beta}) & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Sigma \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1

Let $\mathbf{x} = {}^t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be the solution of $A(\eta)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$. Then x_i is given by

$$x_i = (\eta, \gamma_i) - \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \gamma_i \rangle|^2 - (\rho_K, \gamma_i), \text{ for } i, 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

This theorem is proved by using the following three lemmas.

3.1 Lemma We put $S(\eta) = (S(\eta; \gamma_i, \gamma_j))$ and $T(\eta) = (T(\eta; \gamma_i, \gamma_j))$. Then $S(\eta)$ is the inverse matrix of $T(\eta)$.

Since $T(\eta)$ is a lower triangular matrix, the inverse matrix of $T(\eta)$ is given explicitly by a direct calculation. Moreover by using Lemma 4.4, [6] we can prove this lemma.

3.2 Lemma Let $\gamma, \omega \in \Sigma_n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle|^2 + (\rho_K, \omega) + \frac{1}{2}|\omega|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle|^2 + (\rho_K, \gamma) + \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This lemma is proved by calculating the scalar operator Ω_K on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where Ω_K is the Casimir operator on K .

3.3 Lemma Let $\omega, \gamma \in P_n$ and $\eta \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}$. Assume that $\Pi(\omega; \gamma) \neq \phi$. Then for $I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)$

$$(\eta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(|\eta + \omega + \langle I \rangle|^2 - |\eta + \omega|^2) + (\eta, \omega) + \frac{1}{2}(|\omega|^2 - |\gamma|^2).$$

Bearing in mind $\gamma = \omega + \langle I \rangle$, a direct calculation implies this lemma.

Let us now prove Theorem 3.1. We put $F(\eta) = (f(\eta + \gamma_j; \gamma_j)\delta_{i,j})$. Then $A(\eta) = T(\eta)F(\eta)$. By Lemma 3.1 $F(\eta)\mathbf{x} = T(\eta)^{-1}\mathbf{b}(\eta) = S(\eta)\mathbf{b}(\eta)$. We put $S(\eta)\mathbf{b}(\eta) = {}^t(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)$. By (3.9) we have

$$g_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{I \in \Pi(\gamma_i; \gamma_j)} (-1)^{\#I} a_{\gamma_i}(I) S(\eta + \gamma_i; I) \right\} \{(\eta, \gamma_j) - (\rho_K, \gamma_j)\}.$$

For a fixed $\omega \in P_n$ we put

$$g = \sum_{\gamma > 0} \left\{ \sum_{I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} (-1)^{\#I} a_{\omega}(I) S(\eta + \omega; I) \right\} \{(\eta, \gamma) - (\rho_K, \gamma)\}.$$

It is sufficient to prove that

$$g = \{(\eta, \omega) - \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle|^2 - (\rho_K, \omega)\} f(\eta + \omega; \omega).$$

By Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} 2g &= \{2(\eta, \omega) + |\omega|^2\} \sum_{I \in \Pi} (-1)^{\#I} a_\omega(I) S(\eta + \omega; I) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma > 0} \sum_{I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} \{|\eta + \omega + \langle I \rangle|^2 - |\eta + \omega|^2\} (-1)^{\#I} a_\omega(I) S(\eta + \omega; I) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\gamma > 0} \{|\gamma|^2 + 2(\rho_K, \gamma)\} \sum_{I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} (-1)^{\#I} a_\omega(I) S(\eta + \omega; I). \end{aligned}$$

Let $I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)$, and assume $\#I \geq 1$. Then there exist $\alpha \in P_K$ and $L \in \Pi$ such that $I = L \star \alpha$. Since

$$a_\omega(I) = a_\omega(L) a_{\omega + \langle L \rangle}(\alpha) \text{ and } S(\eta + \omega; I) = S(\eta + \omega; L) R(\eta + \omega; I),$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_\omega(I) S(\eta + \omega; I) \{|\eta + \omega + \langle I \rangle|^2 - |\eta + \omega|^2\} \\ = a_\omega(L) S(\eta + \omega; L) 2|\langle \alpha, \omega + \langle L \rangle \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\gamma > 0} \sum_{I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} \{|\eta + \omega + \langle I \rangle|^2 - |\eta + \omega|^2\} (-1)^{\#I} a_\omega(I) S(\eta + \omega; I) \\ &= - \sum_{\gamma_0 > \gamma \geq \omega} \sum_{L \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} 2(-1)^{\#L} a_\omega(L) S(\eta + \omega; L) \left(\sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\quad , \text{ where } \gamma_0 \text{ is the highest root in } P_n, \\ &= - \sum_{\gamma > 0} \sum_{I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} 2(-1)^{\#I} a_\omega(I) S(\eta + \omega; I) \left(\sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

here we used $\langle \alpha, \gamma_0 \rangle = 0$. By (3.11) and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \{(\eta, \omega) + \frac{1}{2}|\omega|^2\} f(\eta + \omega; \omega) - \sum_{\gamma > 0} \sum_{I \in \Pi(\omega; \gamma)} (-1)^{\#I} a_\omega(I) \\ &\quad \times S(\eta + \omega; I) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|^2 + (\rho_K, \gamma) \right\} \\ &= \{(\eta, \omega) - \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle|^2 - (\rho_K, \omega)\} f(\eta + \omega; \omega). \end{aligned}$$

4 Solution of $(A(\eta) - B(\eta))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$

We now assume \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^* with $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* \leq 3$. Since \mathfrak{k}^* is reductive, $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 1$ or $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 3$. When $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 1$ $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a hermitian symmetric pair. In this case, since $B(\eta) = 0$, the solution of $(A(\eta) - B(\eta))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$ is given by Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 3$, and let K^* the analytic subgroup of K corresponding to \mathfrak{k}^* . We denote the root system of $(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^*, (\mathfrak{k}^* \cap \mathfrak{b})_{\mathbb{C}})$ by $\Sigma_{K^*} = \{\alpha^*\}$, where $\alpha^* \in P_K$. We have $-\gamma + \alpha^* \in P_n$ for all $\gamma \in P_n$.

4.1 Lemma *Let $\gamma, \omega \in \Sigma_n$. Assume that $\mu + \omega \in \Gamma_K$ and $P_{\mu+\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_{\mu}) \neq 0$. Then*

$$|P_{\mu+\omega}(X_{-\gamma} \otimes v(\mu))|^2 = \frac{|\alpha^*|^2}{2(\lambda, \alpha^*)} |P_{\mu+\omega}(X_{-\gamma+\alpha^*} \otimes v(\mu))|^2.$$

Since the Casimir operator Ω_{K^*} on K^* belongs to the center of $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$, we can prove this lemma.

Let $\gamma \in P_n$, and put $\gamma^* = -\gamma + \alpha^*$. Then the map $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma^*$ is an involutive automorphism of P_n . This implies N is even. We put $N = 2p$ and $J = (\delta_{i, 2p-j+1})$. Then by Lemma 4.1 we have $A(\eta) - B(\eta) = (E - \frac{|\alpha^*|^2}{2(\eta, \alpha^*)} J)A(\eta)$. By using Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1 we can prove the following lemma.

4.2 Lemma *Assume \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^* with $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* = 3$. Then the solution $\mathbf{x} = {}^t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ of $(A(\eta) - B(\eta))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$ is given by*

$$x_i = \frac{2(\eta, \alpha^*)}{2(\eta, \alpha^*) + |\alpha^*|^2} \left\{ (\eta, \gamma_i) - \sum_{\alpha \in P_K} |\langle \alpha, \gamma_i \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha^*|^2 \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.1

Let \mathfrak{g} be an inner type noncompact real simple Lie algebra. Assume that the maximal compact subalgebra \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^ satisfying $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* \leq 3$. Let P be the positive root system which contains exactly one noncompact simple root. Then the solution $\mathbf{x}(\eta) = {}^t(x(\eta; \gamma_1), x(\eta; \gamma_2), \dots, x(\eta; \gamma_N))$ of the linear equation $(A(\eta) - B(\eta))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\eta)$ is given by the followings.*

For the case $\dim \mathfrak{k}^ = 1$*

$$x(\eta; \gamma_i) = (\eta - \rho_n, \gamma_i) + \frac{1}{2} |\gamma_i|^2.$$

For the cases $\dim \mathfrak{k}^ = 3$*

$$x(\eta; \gamma_i) = \frac{2(\eta, \alpha^*)}{2(\eta, \alpha^*) + |\alpha^*|^2} \left\{ (\eta - \rho_n, \gamma_i) + \frac{1}{2} |\gamma_i|^2 \right\}.$$

Let $\mu \in \Gamma_K$ and $\lambda = \mu + \rho_K$. We define $A(\lambda), B(\lambda)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \lambda} A(\eta), \\ B(\lambda) &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \lambda} B(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ are welldefined. Moreover we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2

Assume that \mathfrak{k} has an ideal \mathfrak{k}^* with $\dim \mathfrak{k}^* \leq 3$ and (π, V) a lowest $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ -module with a P -dominant nonzero data μ . Then $\mathbf{x} = {}^t(x(\lambda; \omega_1), x(\lambda; \omega_2), \dots, x(\lambda; \omega_k))$ is the unique solution of $(A_0(\lambda) - B_0(\lambda))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$, where $x(\lambda; \omega_i)$ is the same as in Theorem 4.1.

This theorem and Lemma 2.2 imply Theorem 1.1.

参考文献

- [1] V. Bargmann, Irreducible unitary representations of the Lorentz group, Ann. of Math., 48(1947), 568-640
- [2] J. Dixmier, Representation integrables du groupe De Sitter, Bull. Soc. Math. 89 (1961), 9-41
- [3] S. Helgason, Differential Geometry and Symmetric space, Acad. Press (1962)
- [4] T. Hirai, On irreducible representations of the Lorentz group of n-th order, Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962), 258-262
- [5] A.U. Klimyk and V.A. Shirokov, Representation of Lie groups $SU(n, 1)$, $IU(n)$ and their algebras I, preprint (1974)
- [6] H. Midorikawa, On characteristic function of the tensor K -module of inner type noncompact real simple Lie group, Tokyo Jour. Math. 26 (2003) 115-146
- [7] H. Midorikawa, On two step tensor modules of the maximal compact subgroup of inner type real simple Lie groups, Tokyo Jour Math., 27 (2004) 155-175
- [8] R. Parthasarathy, Criteria for the multiplicity of some highest weight modules, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 89 (1980) 1-24
- [9] L. H. Thomas, On unitary representations of the group of De Sitter space, Ann. of Math. 42 (1940) 113-126