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## 1 Introduction

In this paper，we study an identification problem for physical parameters $\alpha, \beta$ and $\delta$ appearing in the one－dimensional damped sine－Gordon equation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}+\alpha \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}-\beta \Delta y+\delta \sin y=g, \quad x \in(0, L), t \in(0, T)  \tag{1.1}\\
y(t, 0)=y(t, L)=0, \quad t \in(0, T) \\
y(0, x)=y_{0}(x) \text { and } \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(0, x)=y_{1}(x), \quad x \in(0, L)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The identification problem for（1．1）consists in finding the parameters $\alpha, \beta$ and $\delta$ such that the solution of（1．1）exhibits the desired behavior．More precisely，the parameter estimation problem for（1．1）is described as follows．Let $P=\left\{q=(\alpha, \beta, \delta) \in R^{3} \mid \beta>0\right\}$ be equipped with the Euclidean norm．Let $P_{a d} \subset P$ be an admissible set of parameters and define the cost functional $J(q)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(q)=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{L}\left(y(q ; t, x)-z_{d}(t, x)\right)^{2} d x d t, \quad q \in P \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{d}$ is a given function on $(0, T) \times(0, L)$ ．The data $z_{d}$ can be thought of as the targeted behavior of（1．1）．The parameter identification problem for（1．1）with the objective function （1．2）is to find $q^{*}=\left(\alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, \delta^{*}\right) \in P_{a d}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(q^{*}\right)=\inf _{q \in P_{a d}} J(q), P_{a d} \subset P \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q^{*}$ is a set of constants，the bang bang control law can be derived from the state system （1．1）and the related adjoint state system．That is，if one chooses $P_{a d}$ to be a closed subset in $R^{3}$ ，then，under certain conditions，$q^{*}$ is uniquely determined by the extremal values of the parameters in $P_{a d}$ ．These results were obtained in［5］and they will be reviewed in Theorem
3.1. It is meaningful to check the conditions on $a, b$ and $c$ which yield the bang bang control law (see Theorem 3.1). Unfortunately, it may be difficult to find $q^{*}$ numerically by the bang bang control law, since one observes that all the parameter values approach zero.

In this paper we focus on examining the optimal values of $a, b$ and $d$. The Powell's minimization method is used for the minimization of the cost functional $J$. The numerical solution of (1.1) is obtained by a Spectral Method [6].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review error bounds for the solution of (1.1) and its approximation in a finite dimensional spectral space. In Section 3 we treat the parameter identification problem subject to (1.3) with (1.1). Finally, in Section 4 we present numerical results for the bang bang control law and the parameter estimation problem using the Powell's minimization method.

## 2 Weak solutions for the damped Sine-Gordon system

Let $I=(0, L), Q=I \times(0, T), H=L^{2}(I)$, and $H_{0}^{r}(I)$ be the Sobolev space on $I$ with the norm $\|v\|_{r}$. Let the Hilbert space $H$ have the norm $|v|$ and the inner product $(u, v)$. When $r=1$, we denote the inner product in $H_{0}^{1}(I)$ by $((u, u))=(\nabla u, \nabla u)$, and its norm by $\|u\|$. Let $<u, v>$ denote the duality pairing between $V=H_{0}^{1}(I)$ and $V^{\prime}=H^{-1}(I)$. Then we can define a selfadjoint operator $A$ with the domain $D(A)=H_{0}^{1}(I) \cap H^{2}(I)$ by the relation $<A u, v>=((u, v))$, and $A u=-\Delta u$ for $u \in D(A)$.

As in [1] the variational formulation for the weak solutions of (1.1) is given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
<\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}, v>+\alpha\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}, v\right)+\beta((y, v))+\delta(f(y), v)=(g(t), v), \quad v \in V, \quad t \in(0, T)  \tag{2.1}\\
y(0)=y_{0} \text { and } \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(0)=y_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here we considered a general nonlinear function $f: V \rightarrow H$ instead of $\sin (y)$, having in mind other results involving more general equations, including the ones considered in (1.1). Assume that $f$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with $f(0)=0$. Problem (2.1) is an initial value problem for a formal abstract second-order differential equation in $H$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha y^{\prime}+\beta A y+\delta f(y)=g, \quad t \in(0, T)  \tag{2.2}\\
y(0)=y_{0}, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where ${ }^{\prime}=d / d t$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime}=d^{2} / d t^{2}$. The weak solutions of (2.1) are the solutions of (2.2) sought in the Hilbert space

$$
W(0, T)=\left\{u \mid u \in L^{2}(0, T ; V), u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H), u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

The existence, uniqueness and regularity results for the weak solutions of (2.2) are summarized in Theorem 2.1, see [4] for the proofs.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\alpha, \delta \in R, \beta>0$ and let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \in V, \quad y_{1} \in H, \text { and } g \in L^{2}(0, T ; H) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a unique weak solution $y \in L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ of (2.2). This solution satisfies $y \in$ $C([0, T] ; V) \cap W(0, T), y^{\prime} \in C([0, T] ; H)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y(t)\|^{2}+\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq C_{1}\left[\left\|y_{0}\right\|^{2}+\left|y_{1}\right|^{2}+\|g\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; H)}^{2}\right], \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant.
Furthermore, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \in D(A), \quad y_{1} \in V \text { and } g^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $y \in C([0, T] ; D(A))$ and $y^{\prime} \in C([0, T] ; V)$.
Let $N$ be a positive integer. Now we establish error bounds for finite spectral approximations $y_{N}(t)$. Let $S_{N}$ be the subspace of $H$ spanned by the sine functions $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{n}(x):=\sin (n \pi x / L)\right\}, n=$ $1, \cdots, N$. Let $y_{N}(t)=y_{N}(\cdot, t) \in S_{N}$ be the solution of

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} y_{N}}{\partial t^{2}}, v\right)+\alpha\left(\frac{\partial y_{N}}{\partial t}, v\right)+\beta\left(\left(y_{N}, v\right)\right)+\delta\left(f\left(y_{N}\right), v\right)=(g(t), v)  \tag{2.6}\\
v \in S_{N}, t \in(0, T) \\
\left(\left(y_{N}(0)-y(0), v\right)\right)=0, \quad\left(\frac{\partial y_{N}}{\partial t}(0)-y_{1}, v\right)=0, \quad v \in S_{N}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We need the following well-known error estimate [6]: for any $s, r \in R$ with $0 \leq s \leq r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{N} u-u\right\|_{s} \leq C_{0}\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{(s-r) / 2}\|u\|_{r} \text { for } u \in H_{0}^{r}(I) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{N}: H \rightarrow S_{N}$ is the projection operator, and $C_{0}$ is a constant dependent on $L$. Using $P_{N}$ the initial value problem (2.6) can be written in an equivalent form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y_{N}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha y_{N}^{\prime}+\beta A y_{N}+\delta P_{N} f\left(y_{N}\right)=P_{N} g, \quad t \in(0, T)  \tag{2.8}\\
y_{N}(0)=P_{N} y_{0}, \quad y_{N}^{\prime}(0)=P_{N} y_{1} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The following Theorem for the error estimate is established in [3].
Theorem 2.2 Let $r>0$. If the solution $y$ of (2.2) satisfy $y \in H_{0}^{r}(I)$, then there is a $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\left|y(t)-y_{N}(t)\right| \leq C_{1}\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{-r / 2}, \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

If the solution $y$ of (2.2) satisfy $y \in H_{0}^{r+1}(I)$, then there is a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|y(t)-y_{N}(t)\right\| \leq C_{2}\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{-r / 2}, \forall t \in[0, T]
$$

## 3 Parameter identification problem

In this section we study a parameter identification problem for the one dimensional damped sine-Gordon equation of the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha y^{\prime}+\beta A y+\delta \sin y=g, \quad t \in(0, T)  \tag{3.1}\\
y(0)=y_{0}, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We will always assume that the conditions (2.3) in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for the initial data $y_{0}, y_{1}$ and the forcing term $g$. Recall that $P=\left\{q=(\alpha, \beta, \delta) \in R^{3} \mid \beta>0\right\}$ with the Euclidean norm. By Theorem 2.1 we have a well-defined solution map from $P$ into $W(0, T) \subset C([0, T] ; H)$, denoted by $y(q)$, which is the solution of (3.1).

With the solution $y(q)$ of (3.1) let us define the cost functional by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(q)=\int_{0}^{T}\left|y(q ; t)-z_{d}(t)\right|^{2} d t, \quad z_{d} \in L^{2}(Q), q \in P \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter identification problem for (3.1) with the objective function (3.2) is to find $q^{*}=$ $\left(\alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, \delta^{*}\right) \in P_{a d}$, which is an admissible subset of $P$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(q^{*}\right)=\inf _{q \in P_{a d}} J(q) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $q^{*}$ is called an optimal parameter. It is well known that the map $q \rightarrow y(q)$ from $P$ into $C([0, T] ; H)$ is continuous, see [5]. Hence it is clear that the minimization problem (3.3) has at least one solution, provided $P_{a d}$ is bounded and closed.

The following Theorem and Corollary are proved in [5].
Theorem 3.1 The optimal parameter $q^{*}$ for (3.3) with (3.1) is characterized by two equations and one constraint

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{*} y^{\prime}+\beta^{*} A y+\gamma^{*} \sin y=g \text { in }(0, T), \\
y(0)=y_{0}, y^{\prime}(0)=y_{1},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.4}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{*} w^{\prime}+\beta^{*} A w+\gamma^{*} \cos (y) w=y-z_{d} \text { in }(0, T), \\
w(T)=0, \quad w^{\prime}(T)=0,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left(\alpha^{*}-\alpha\right) y^{\prime}+\left(\beta^{*}-\beta\right) A y+\left(\gamma^{*}-\gamma\right) \sin y+g, w\right) d t \geq 0, \forall q \in P_{a d} . \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

The constraint (3.6) is known to express the necessary condition for $q^{*}$. One can obtain the formula for $q^{*}$ under the assumptions in Corollary 3.1. This is called the bang bang control law.

Corollary 3.1 Assume that the admissible set is given

$$
P_{a d}=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right] \times\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right] \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right], \quad \beta_{1}>0
$$

Then the optimal parameter $q^{*}=\left(\alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, \delta^{*}\right)$ subject to (1.2) and (1.1) is determined by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{*} & =\frac{1}{2}\{\operatorname{sign}(a)+1\} \alpha_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\{\operatorname{sign}(a)-1\} \alpha_{1}, \\
\beta^{*} & =\frac{1}{2}\{\operatorname{sign}(b)+1\} \beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\{\operatorname{sign}(b)-1\} \beta_{1}, \\
\gamma^{*} & =\frac{1}{2}\{\operatorname{sign}(c)+1\} \gamma_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\{\operatorname{sign}(c)-1\} \gamma_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\int_{Q} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(x, t) w(x, t) d x d t \neq 0 \\
b & =\int_{Q} \nabla y(x, t) \cdot \nabla w(x, t) d x d t \neq 0 \\
c & =\int_{Q} \sin y(t, x)(x, t) w(x, t) d x d t \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for a numerical analysis let us introduce the cost functional corresponding to (3.2). It can be give by the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}(q)=\int_{0}^{T}\left|y_{N}(q ; t)-z_{d}(t)\right|^{2} d t, \quad q \in P \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{N}(q)$ is the weak solution of (2.6) when $f(y)=\sin y$. Similarly to (3.3), the parameter identification problem for (3.7) is to find $q_{N}^{*} \in P_{a d}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}\left(q_{N}^{*}\right)=\min _{q \in P_{\text {ad }}} J_{N}(q) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [5], one can easily prove that the cost functional (3.8) is continuous on $P_{a d}$. Therefore the minimization problem admits a minimum in $P_{a d}$.
The following Lemma and Theorem are proved in [3].
Lemma 3.1 There exists $C_{3}>0$ independent on $N$ such that

$$
\left|J_{N}(q)-J(q)\right| \leq C_{3}\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{-\tau}
$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $\left\{q_{N}^{*}\right\}$ be a sequence satisfying (3.8) and $q^{*}$ be its limit point. Then $J\left(q^{*}\right)=$ $\min _{q \in P_{a d}} J(q)$.

## 4 Numerical results

For our numerical experiments we chose to use a spectral method for the solution of the initial and boundary value problems (3.1) and (3.5), and Powell's minimization method for the minimization of the cost functional. See [6] for a detailed discussion of spectral methods and see $[7,2]$ for the Powell's minimization method.

To accommodate the zero boundary conditions in (3.1) functions $\mathrm{u}_{n}(x)=\sin (\pi n x / L), n=$ $1,2, \ldots$ are chosen as a (non-normalized) basis in $H=L_{2}(I)$. Let $P_{N}$ be the projection operator onto $S_{N}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathrm{w}_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$ in $H$, see (2.6)-(2.8) with $f(y)=\sin y$.

Expanding the functions in (2.6) with $f(y)=\sin y$ into the Fourier sine series, and using $v=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad k=1,2 \cdots N$ there we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
Y_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha Y_{k}^{\prime}+\beta_{k} Y_{k}+\delta S_{k}(t)=F_{k}(t), t \in(0, T)  \tag{4.1}\\
Y_{k}(0)=Y_{k_{0}}, \quad Y_{k}^{\prime}(0)=Y_{k_{1}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\beta_{k}=\beta k^{2} \pi^{2} / L^{2}, S_{k}(t)$ is the $k-t h$ Fourier sine coefficient of $P_{N} \sin y_{N}(t)$, and $Y_{k}(t), F_{k}(t), Y_{k_{0}}$, and $Y_{k_{1}}$ are the Fourier coefficients of the solution $y_{N}(t)$ and the corresponding functions in (2.6). Finally the approximate solutions $y_{N}(t) \in S_{N}$ of (3.4) are given. Similarly one can define the approximate solutions $w_{N}(t) \in S_{N}$ of (3.5) by the equations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
W_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha W_{k}^{\prime}+\beta_{k} W_{k}+\delta C_{k}(t) W_{k}=Y_{k}(t)-Z_{k}(t), t \in(0, T)  \tag{4.2}\\
W_{k}(T)=0, \quad W_{k}^{\prime}(T)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $C_{k}(t)$ is the $k-t h$ Fourier sine coefficient of $P_{N} \cos y_{N}(t)$.
To test the assumptions on $a, b, c$ in Corollary 3.1 and obtain $q^{*}$ let $z_{d}(t)=P_{N} z_{d}(t)=$ $\sum Z_{k}(t) \mathrm{w}_{n}$ and introduce the time-discretized cost functional $J_{N}(q)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}(q)=\frac{L}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[Y_{k}\left(q ; t_{i}\right)-Z_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right]^{2}, \quad q \in P_{a d} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{k}(q ; t)$ is the solution $Y_{k}(t)$ of (4.1) for the given values of the parameters $q=(\alpha, \beta, \delta) \in$ $P_{a d}$. Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 hold for the cost functional (4.3), see [3].

The minimization problem for $J_{N}(q)$ is solved using a modification of Powell's minimization method. The modified method for solving our problem is described in [3].

To simulate the data let $\hat{q} \in P_{a d}$. Since real data always contain some noise, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{d}(t, x)=y(\hat{q} ; t, x)+\epsilon \eta(x) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(x)$ is a random variable uniformly distributed on interval $[-1,1]$, and $\epsilon$ is a small constant. If $\epsilon=0$, then $z_{d}(t)=y(\hat{q} ; t)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Therefore, in this case one can check the performance of the parameter identification algorithm (i.e. if the algorithm finds the original set of parameters $\hat{q}$ ) by choosing sufficiently large $N$ and $M$ in (3.7).

We conducted two sets of numerical simulations with $\epsilon=0$. See [3] for $\epsilon \neq 0$. The problem is to identify three unknown parameters $\alpha, \beta$ and $\delta$.

In all simulations the initial value problem (4.1) and (4.2) are solved using a Leap-Frog Method with the time step $h=0.01$ as follows. For example, let $Y_{k}^{j}, k=1,2, \cdots, N$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{k}^{-1}=Y_{k_{0}}-h Y_{k_{1}} \\
& Y_{k}^{j+1}=\frac{2 Y_{k}^{j}-\left[\beta_{k} Y_{k}^{j}-F_{k}\left(t_{j}\right)+\delta S_{k}\left(t_{j}\right) h^{2}\right]+(1-\alpha h / 2) Y_{k}^{j-1}}{1+\alpha h / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

表 1: Parameter values for numerical simulations

| Time and spatial intervals | $[0, T] \times[0, L]=[0,4] \times[0, \pi]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admissible set | $P_{a d}=[0.001,1] \times[0.1,1] \times[0.1,1] \times[0.1,1]$ |
| Initial conditions | $y_{0}(x)=0$ |
|  | $y_{1}(x)=\exp \left[-100(x-\pi / 2)^{2}\right]$ |
| Forcing function | $f(t, x)=0.01$ |
| $N$ | 16 |
| Observation times | $t_{i}=(T / M) i, i=1,2, \cdots, M$ |

for $j=0,1,2, \cdots$. Then $Y_{k}^{j}$ is an approximation of $Y_{k}(t)$ at $t=t_{j}=h j$.
The number of observations $M$ varied in different simulations, but it is fixed as $M=400$. The results of various observations are in [3].
Finally, let $q_{0} \in P_{a d}$ be an arbitrarily chosen set of parameters, and $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots$ be the sequence of the sets of parameters iteratively obtained in the Powell's minimization method. The stopping criterion for this iterative process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|J_{N}\left(q_{m}\right)-J_{N}\left(q_{m-1}\right)\right|}{\left|J_{N}\left(q_{0}\right)\right|}<10^{-6} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simulation 4.1 In this simulation let us consider $\hat{q}=(0.02,0.7,0.5)$ which is an interior point of $P_{a d}$, and $z_{d}$ be computed according to (4.4). Let $q_{N}^{*}=q_{m}$ be the set of parameters attained when the Powell's minimization method was terminated according to the stopping criterion (4.5). The minimizers $q_{N}^{*}$ together with the number of iterations $m$ are shown in Tables 1 for the noise level $\epsilon=0$, and the number of observations $M$.

| Table 2 | $\epsilon=0$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M$ | $m$ | $q_{N}^{*}$ | $J_{N}\left(q_{N}^{*}\right)$ |
| 400 | 5 | $(0.02000,0.70000,0.50001)$ | 0.000000 |
| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |  |
| $-0.101522 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.101384 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.295462 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |

Tables 2 shows the identification algorithm is successful. The excellent simulation results are given in [3] for a small number of observations. As we have mentioned in the Introduction one can observe that all the parameters $a, b$ and $c$ are almost equal to zero.

Simulation 4.2 In this simulation let us consider $\hat{q}=(0.01,1,0.1)$ which is a boundary point in $P_{a d}$. All the procedures are the same as in Simulation 4.1.

Table $3 \quad \epsilon=0$

| $M$ | $m$ | $q_{N}^{*}$ | $J_{N}\left(q_{N}^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | 4 | $(0.010040,0.999992,0.100026)$ | 0.000000 |
| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |  |
| $-0.893024 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.416599 \times 10^{-7}$ | $-0.517080 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |

All the parameters $a, b$ and $c$ can be regarded as zeros for the error bound $10^{-6}$ ．Based on the results shown in Tables 2 and 3，one can guess that the assumptions on the parameters $a, b, c$ specified in Corollary 3.1 for finding $q^{*}$ may be not suitable in these cases．
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