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## 1 Introduction

This report is based on my talk at RIMS International Conference on＂Geom－ etry Related to Integrable Systems＂organized by Reiko Miyaoka．In my talk I showed many interesting pictures of one－dimensional Teichmüller spaces and related spaces created by Yasushi Yamashita（Nara Women＇s Univ．） which were already appeared in［3］．In this report I would like to explain the background of these pictures，which are explained more extensively in［2］． I would like to thank Yasushi Yamashita for his kind assistance with com－ puter graphics，and Yoshihiro Ohnita for his constant encouragement for me to write this report．

## 2 Definition of $T(X)$

Let $X$ be a Riemann surface of genus $g$ with $n$ punctures．Here we assume that $X$ is uniformized by the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ ，which implies the inequality $2 g-2+n>0$ ．The Teichmüller space $T(X)$ of $X$ is the set of equivalent classes of quasi－conformal homeomorphisms from $X$ to other Riemann surface $Y, f: X \rightarrow Y$ ：two maps $f_{1}: X \rightarrow Y_{1}$ and $f_{2}: X \rightarrow Y_{2}$ are equivalent if $f_{2} \circ f_{1}^{-1}: Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{2}$ is homotopic to a conformal map．If we assume $f: X \rightarrow Y$ as a quasi－conformal deformation of $X, T(X)$ can be considered as the space of quasi－conformal deformations of $X$ ．

We will consider a complex manifold structure on $T(X)$ ，embed it holo－ morphically into complex affine space and try to draw its figure．For this purpose，we give another characterization of $T(X)$ due to Ahlfors and Bers in the next section．

## 3 Complex structure on $T(X)$

Let $\Gamma \subset P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a Fuchsian group uniformizing $X=\mathbb{H} / \Gamma$. A measurable function $\nu(z)$ on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ whose essential sup norm is less than 1 is called a Beltrami differential for $\Gamma$ if $\mu$ is equal to 0 on the lower half plane $\mathbb{L}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$
\mu(\gamma(z)) \cdot \overline{\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma^{\prime}(z)}}=\mu(z)
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This functional equality implies that $\mu$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is a lift of $(-1,1)$ form on X . We denote the set of Beltrami differentials by $B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H})$ which has a structure of a unit ball of complex Banach space. The measurable Riemann's mapping theorem due to Ahlfors and Bers guarantees that for any $\mu \in B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H})$ there exists a quasi-conformal map $f^{\mu}: \mathbb{C} P^{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ such that $f^{\mu}$ satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$
\frac{\partial f^{\mu}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z)=\mu(z) \frac{\partial f^{\mu}}{\partial z}(z) .
$$

Also $f^{\mu}$ is unique up to post-composition by Möbius transformations.
Here we have two remarks: (i) $f^{\mu}$ is conformal on $\mathbb{L}$. (ii) The quasiconformal conjugation of $\Gamma$ by $f^{\mu}, \Gamma^{\mu}=f^{\mu} \Gamma\left(f^{\mu}\right)^{-1}$ is also a discrete subgroup of $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ acting conformally on $f^{\mu}(\mathbb{H})$.

Now we say $\mu_{1} \sim \mu_{2}$ for $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H})$ if $\Gamma^{\mu_{1}}=\Gamma^{\mu_{2}}$. Then $T(X)$ can be identified with the quotient space $B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H}) / \sim$ as follows: For any $[\mu] \in B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H}) / \sim$, we have a quasi-conformal deformation of $X$

$$
f^{\mu}: X=\mathbb{H} / \Gamma \rightarrow f^{\mu}(\mathbb{H}) / \Gamma^{\mu}
$$

which defines a point of $T(X) . T(X)$ becomes a complex manifold of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} T(X)=$ $3 g-3+n$ through the complex structure of $B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H})$. We will embed $T(X)$ holomorphically into the complex linear space by means of complex projective structures on $\bar{X}$, the mirror image of $X$ which will be explained in the next section.

## 4 Complex projective structures on $\bar{X}$

Let $S$ be a surface. A complex projective structure, so called $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure on $S$ is a maximal system of charts with transition maps in $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Since
elements of $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ are holomorphic, any $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure on $S$ determines its underlying complex structure. Suppose we consider a $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure whose underlying complex structure is equal to $\bar{X}=\mathbb{L} / \Gamma$, the mirror image of $X$. For a local coordinate function of this $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure, we can take its analytic continuation along any curve on $\bar{X}$ and have a multi-valued locally univalent holomorphic map from $\bar{X}$ to $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. This map is lifted to $\mathbb{L}$ a locally univalent meromorphic function $W: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ called the developing map of this $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure. It is uniquely determined by the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure up to post-composition by Möbius transformations.

When we take an analytic continuation of a local coordinate function along a closed curve on $\bar{X}$ and come back to the initial point, it differs from the previous one by a Möbius transformation since the transition maps are in $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Consequently we have a homomorphism $\chi: \Gamma \cong \pi_{1}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow$ $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ which is called the holonomy representation and satisfies $\chi(\gamma) \circ W=$ $W \circ \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure on $\bar{X}$ determines the pair $(W, \chi)$ up to the action of $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and vice versa. Here we show the most basic example of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structures on $\bar{X}$ : Let $W$ be the identity map $W: \mathbb{L} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and $\chi$ also be the identity homomorphism $\chi: \Gamma \hookrightarrow P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ which induces a local coordinate function as a local inverse of the universal covering map $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \bar{X}$. We call this $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure the standard $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure on $\bar{X}$.

Let $P(\bar{X})=\{(W, \chi)\} / P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structures on $\bar{X}$. We will parametrize $P(\bar{X})$ by holomorphic quadratic differentials on $\bar{X}$ as follows: A holomorphic function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{L}$ is called a holomorphic quadratic differential for $\Gamma$ if it satisfies

$$
\varphi(\gamma(z)) \gamma^{\prime}(z)^{2}=\varphi(z)
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It is a lift of holomorphic quadratic differentials on $\bar{X}=\mathbb{L} / \Gamma$. Let $Q(\bar{X})$ be the set of holomorphic quadratic differentials for $\Gamma$ whose hyperbolic sup norm $\|\varphi\|=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{L}}|\Im z|^{2}|\varphi(z)|$ is bounded. $Q(\bar{X})$ has a structure of complex linear space of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} Q(\bar{C})=3 g-3+n$ which is equal to the dimension of $T(X)$. We show that there is a canonical bijection between $P(\bar{X})$ and $Q(\bar{X})$ which maps the standard $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure to the origin: Given a $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structures on $\bar{X}$, take the Schwarzian derivative of W

$$
S_{W}:=\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

which is an element of $Q(\bar{X})$. Conversely given a holomorphic quadratic differential $\varphi$ for $\Gamma$, solve the differential equation $S_{f}=\varphi$ on $\mathbb{L}$. In practice
to find the solution $f$, we consider the following linear homogeneous ordinary differential equation of the second order

$$
2 \eta^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \eta=0
$$

on $\mathbb{L}$. Since $\mathbb{L}$ is simply connected, a unique solution $\eta$ exists on $\mathbb{L}$ for the given initial data $\eta(-i)=a$ and $\eta^{\prime}(-i)=b$. Let $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ be the solution defined by the conditions $\eta_{1}(-i)=0$ and $\eta_{1}^{\prime}(-i)=1$, and $\eta_{2}(-i)=1$ and $\eta_{2}^{\prime}(-i)=0$. Then the ratio $f_{\varphi}=\eta_{1} / \eta_{2}$ is a locally univalent meromorphic function on $\mathbb{L}$, the developing map associated with $\varphi$. A direct computation shows that $\eta(\gamma(z))\left(\gamma^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ also satisfies the above equation hence there is a matrix of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\binom{\eta_{1}(\gamma(z))\left(\gamma^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{2}(\gamma(z))\left(\gamma^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. As a result we have a homomorphism $\chi_{\varphi}: \Gamma \rightarrow P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, the holonomy representation associated with $\varphi$. We can also consider $\chi_{\varphi}$ as the monodromy representation of the above differential equation.

## 5 Bers embedding of $T(X)$

Now we embed $T(X)$ into $Q(\bar{X}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{3 g-3+n}$ by means of the identification $P(\bar{X}) \cong Q(\bar{X})$. For each element $[\mu] \in T(X)=B_{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{H}) / \sim,\left.f^{\mu}\right|_{\mathbb{L}}$ is conformal and $\Gamma^{\mu}=f^{\mu} \Gamma\left(f^{\mu}\right)^{-1}$ is a quasi-fuchsian group. Therefore it determines a $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-structure on $\mathbb{L} / \Gamma$ where the developing map is $W=\left.f^{\mu}\right|_{\mathbb{L}}$ and the holonomy representation $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mu}$ is defined by $\chi(\gamma)=f^{\mu} \gamma\left(f^{\mu}\right)^{-1}$. After the identification $P(\bar{X}) \cong Q(\bar{X}), T(X)$ can be embedded into $Q(\bar{X})$, which is called the Bers embedding of $T(X)$.

We will show not only the picture of $T(X)$ but also other $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structures on $\bar{X}$ : Let $K(\bar{X})$ be the set of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structures on $\bar{X}$ whose holonomy groups are Kleinian groups, discrete subgroups of $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Shiga [4] showed that the connected component of the interior of $K(\bar{X})$ containing the origin coincides with $T(X)$. Shiga and Tanigawa [5] proved that any $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-structure of the interior of $K(\bar{X})$ has a quasi-fuchsian holonomy representation. Nehari showed that $T(X)$ is bounded in $Q(\bar{X})$ with respect to the hyperbolic sup norm $\|\varphi\|=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{L}}|\Im z|^{2}|\varphi(z)|$, while Tanigawa proved that $K(\bar{X})$ is unbounded.

## 6 Pictures of $T(X)$ and $K(X)$

We will show pictures of $T(X)$ and $K(X)$, all of which depends on the underlying complex structure of $\bar{X}$. All picture were drawn by Yasushi Yamashita. Figure 1 and figure 2 are the case that $\bar{X}$ has a hexagonal symmetry. Figure 3 and figure 4 are the case that $\bar{X}$ has a square symmetry. Black colored region consists of $\varphi$ whose holonomy representation has an indiscrete image. For both cases, $T(X)$ looks like an isolated planet, while $K(X)$ itself looks like the galaxy: Some planets seem to bump each other... When we take $\bar{X}$ anti-symmetric, $T(X)$ and $K(X)$ become distorted, which we can see in figure 5 and figure 6.

To draw these pictures we need

1. to calculate the holonomy representation $\chi_{\varphi}$ for $\varphi \in Q(\bar{X})$, and
2. to check whether $\chi_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ is discrete or not.

First we will explain (1). To determine $\chi_{\varphi}$, we must solve $\mathcal{S}_{f}=\varphi$ on $\mathbb{L}$. In general $\varphi \in Q(\bar{X})$ is highly transcendental function on $\mathbb{L}$ and it is very difficult for us to handle it. Here is an idea: If $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} T(X)=3 g-3+n=1$, then $(g, n)=(0,4)$ or $(1,1)$. Take $\bar{X}=\mathbb{C P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty, \lambda\}$, then we can find a basis of $Q(\bar{X})$ like $Q(\bar{X})=\mathbb{C} \cdot \pi^{*}\left(\frac{1}{w(w-1)(w-\lambda)}\right)$. Even in this case, it is still difficult to solve

$$
\mathcal{S}_{f}=\pi^{*}\left(\frac{t}{w(w-1)(w-\lambda)}\right)
$$

where $\pi: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty, \lambda\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C} \cong Q(\bar{X})$. But we can push down the above equation onto $\bar{X}=\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty, \lambda\}$

$$
\mathcal{S}_{f \circ \pi-1}=\frac{t}{w(w-1)(w-\lambda)}+\left(\frac{1}{2 w^{2}(w-1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2(w-\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{c(\lambda)}{w(w-1)(w-\lambda)}\right)
$$

where $c(\lambda)$ is called the accessory parameter of $\pi: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \bar{X}$.
To get the solution we take the ratio of two linearly independent solution of

$$
2 y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{2 w^{2}(w-1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2(w-\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{t+c(\lambda)}{w(w-1)(w-\lambda)}\right) y=0
$$

and calculate the monodromy group of this equation with respect to closed paths of $\pi_{1}(\bar{X}) \cong F_{3}$. Since the above ordinary differential equation has rational coefficients on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$, we can use computer to get the image of 3
generators of $\pi_{1}(\bar{X})$ in $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ numerically. Here we remark that to draw the picture of $K(X)$ up to parallel translation, we don't need to determine the accessory parameter $c(\lambda)$ in practice.

For (2), we apply Shimizu lemma to check whether $\chi_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ is indiscrete, and Poincaré theorem to construct the Ford fundamental domain to check whether $\chi_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ is discrete. This part is so called Jorgensen theory and has been proved recently by Akiyoshi, Sakuma, Wada and Yamashita [1].
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Figure 1: $T(X)$ for hexagonal symmetry


Figure 2: $K(X)$ for hexagonal symmetry


Figure 3: $T(X)$ for square symmetry


Figure 4: $K(X)$ for square symmetry


Figure 5: distorted $T(X)$


Figure 6: distorted $K(X)$

