

Borsuk's antipodal theorem for set-valued mappings

明治大学 四反田義美 (Shitanda Yoshimi)
Meiji University Izumi Campus

1 Introduction

When a non empty closed set $\varphi(x)$ in a topological space Y is assigned for each x of a topological space X , we call the correspondence a set-valued mapping and write $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ by the Greek alphabet. For single-valued mapping, we write $f : X \rightarrow Y$ etc. by the Roman alphabet. In this paper, we assume that set-valued mappings are upper semi-continuous.

In this paper, we shall prove Borsuk's antipodal theorem for an admissible mapping $\varphi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ where U is a bounded symmetric open neighborhood of the origin of \mathbf{R}^{n+k} ($k \geq 1$) and generalize to the case of an admissible mapping $\varphi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ where U is a bounded symmetric open neighborhood of the origin of the normed space \mathbf{E} .

In the second section, we review various cohomology theories and summarize some definitions and result. In this paper, we shall mainly use Alexander-Spanier cohomology theory $\bar{H}^*(X; \mathbf{F})$ with coefficient in a field \mathbf{F} .

In the third section, we define an equivariant mapping in the class of set-valued mappings (cf. Definition 3.4) and discuss about Borsuk's antipodal theorem for admissible mappings. Y.S.Chang proved a generalization of Borsuk's antipodal theorem (cf. Theorem 4 in [1]) for closed convex valued mappings by using the method of general topology and analysis. We shall prove the following theorem which is a generalization of his theorem by using the method of algebraic topology (cf. Theorem 3.6).

Main Theorem 1. *Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k} for $k \geq 1$ which is symmetric with respect to the involution $T(x) = -x$. Assume that $\varphi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is an equivariant admissible mapping. Then there exists point $x_0 \in \partial\bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \ni 0$.*

We shall prove the following theorem (cf. Theorem 3.7) which is a generalization of Theorem 6 in [1] and also a generalization of Theorem 9.1, 9.2 of §10 in [6] for set-valued mappings.

Main Theorem 2. *Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k} for $k \geq 0$ which is symmetric with respect to the involution $T(x) = -x$. Assume that $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is an admissible mapping which is equivariant on the boundary $\partial\bar{U}$ of \bar{U} . Then there exists a point $x_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \ni 0$ and a point $x_1 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_1) \ni x_1$.*

In the last section, we discuss a generalization of results of §3 to the infinite dimensional normed space. We obtain the following theorem (cf. Theorem 4.2) which is a generalization of Theorem 7 in [1] in the case of the normed space.

Main Theorem 3. *Let U be a symmetric bounded open neighborhood of the origin in a normed space \mathbf{E} . Assume that $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is upper semi-continuous, compact convex valued mapping and is equivariant on $\partial\bar{U}$. Then there exist a fixed point $z_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(z_0) \ni z_0$.*

In the above theorem, we can not deduce the existence of the zero value of φ . We shall generalize Borsuk-Ulam theorem to the case of infinite dimensional spaces.

Main Theorem 4. *Let \mathbf{E}_k be a closed subspace of codimension $k \geq 1$ of \mathbf{E} and U be a symmetric bounded open neighborhood of the origin of \mathbf{E} . If $\Phi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_k$ is a compact admissible mapping, there is a point $x_0 \in \partial\bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \cap \varphi(T(x_0)) \neq \emptyset$ where $\varphi(x) = x - \Phi(x)$.*

2 Various cohomology theories

To begin with, we give some remarks about several cohomology theories. For the detail, see Y. Shitanda [12]. The Alexander-Spanier cohomology theory $\bar{H}^*(-; G)$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology theory $H^*(-; G)$, that is,

$$\mu : \bar{H}^*(X; G) \cong H^*(X; G)$$

if the singular cohomology theory satisfies the continuity condition (cf. Theorem 6.9.1 in [13]). For a paracompact Hausdorff space X , it holds also the isomorphism between Čech cohomology theory $\check{H}^*(-; G)$ with coefficient in a constant sheaf and the Alexander-Spanier cohomology theory $\bar{H}^*(-; G)$ (cf. Theorem 6.8.8 in [13])

$$\check{H}^*(X; G) \cong \bar{H}^*(X; G).$$

An ANR space is an r -image of some open set of a normed space (cf. Proposition 1.8 in [5]). For an ANR space X , it holds also the isomorphism:

$$\check{H}^*(X; G) \cong \bar{H}^*(X; G) \cong H^*(X; G)$$

by Theorem 6.1.10 of [13]. The remarkable feature of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology theory is that it satisfies the continuity property (cf. Theorem 6.6.2 in [13]). Hereafter we mainly use the Alexander-Spanier (co)homology theory with coefficient field \mathbf{F} .

Definition 2.1. *Let X and Y be paracompact Hausdorff spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a Vietoris mapping, if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *f is proper and onto continuous mapping.*
2. *$f^{-1}(y)$ is an acyclic space for any $y \in Y$, that is, $\bar{H}^*(f^{-1}(y); \mathbf{F}) = 0$ for positive dimension.*

When f is closed and onto continuous mapping and satisfies the condition (2), we call it weak Vietoris mapping.

If $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact set for any compact subset $K \subset Y$, f is called a proper mapping. Note that a proper mapping is closed. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a compact mapping, if $f(X)$ is contained in a compact set of Y , or equivalently its closure $\overline{f(Y)}$ is compact.

The following theorem is called Vietoris's theorem and is essentially important for our purpose (cf. Theorem 6.9.15 in [13]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a weak Vietoris mapping between paracompact Hausdorff spaces X and Y . Then,*

$$f^* : \bar{H}^m(Y; \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow \bar{H}^m(X; \mathbf{F}) \quad (1)$$

is an isomorphism for all $m \geq 0$.

The graph of set-valued mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by $\Gamma_\varphi = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in \varphi(x)\}$. If φ is upper semi-continuous, Γ_φ is closed, but the converse is not true. If the image $\varphi(X)$ is contained in a compact set, the converse is true (cf. §14 in [5]).

Definition 2.3. *An upper semi-continuous mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is admissible, if there exists a paracompact Hausdorff space Γ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *there exist a Vietoris mapping $p : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and a continuous mapping $q : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$,*
2. *$\varphi(x) \supset q(p^{-1}(x))$ for each $x \in X$.*

A pair of mappings (p, q) is called a selected pair of φ .

Define $\varphi^* : \bar{H}^*(Y) \rightarrow \bar{H}^*(X)$ by the set $\{(p^*)^{-1}q^*\}$ where (p, q) is a selected pair of admissible mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$. And φ_* is similarly defined.

Let N be a paracompact Hausdorff space with a free involution T and $p : \Gamma \rightarrow N$ a Vietoris mapping. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}} & \Gamma \times \Gamma \\ \downarrow \hat{p} & & \downarrow p \times p \\ N & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & N \times N \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where Δ is given by $\Delta(x) = (x, T(x))$. $\hat{\Gamma}$ is defined by the pull-back square and \hat{p} and $\hat{\Delta}$ are induced mappings in the pull-back square, i.e. $\hat{p}(y, y') = p(y)$. Involutions on N^2 , Γ^2 are given by switching mappings $T(x, x') = (x', x)$. All mappings are equivariant with respect to their involutions. Clearly $\hat{\Gamma}$ has free involution \hat{T} . The following lemma is proved in Lemma 4.6 of [12].

Lemma 2.1. *Let N be a paracompact Hausdorff space with a free involution T and $p : \Gamma \rightarrow N$ be a Vietoris mapping. Then $\hat{p} : \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow N$ is a π -equivariant Vietoris mapping and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a paracompact Hausdorff space. $\hat{p}_\pi : \hat{\Gamma}_\pi \rightarrow N_\pi$ is a Vietoris mapping and $\hat{\Gamma}_\pi$ is a paracompact Hausdorff space. Moreover if N is a metric space and A is a π -invariant closed subspace of N , then $\bar{H}^*(\hat{\Gamma} - \hat{p}^{-1}(A); \mathbf{F}_2)$ and $\bar{H}^*(\hat{\Gamma}_\pi - \hat{p}_\pi^{-1}(A_\pi); \mathbf{F}_2)$ are isomorphic to $\bar{H}^*(N - A; \mathbf{F}_2)$ and $\bar{H}^*(N_\pi - A_\pi; \mathbf{F}_2)$ respectively.*

3 Borsuk's antipodal theorem

The classical Borsuk's antipodal theorem says that an equivariant mapping $f : S^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ has the zero value, that is, there exists a point $x_0 \in S^m$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$ (cf. Theorem 5.2 of §5 in [6]). A generalized Borsuk's antipodal theorem is also stated as follows (cf. Theorem 9.2 of §10 in [6]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let U be a bounded symmetric open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^m . Assume that the closure \bar{U} of U is a finite polyhedron and $f : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ be a continuous mapping which is equivariant on the boundary $\partial\bar{U}$ of \bar{U} . Then f has the zero value, that is, there exists a point $x_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$.*

S.Y.Chang proved the following Borsuk antipodal theorems for upper semi-continuous mappings which are closed convex set valued (cf. Theorem 4 in [1]). A set valued mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is called antipodal mapping in his paper, if F satisfies $F(x) \cap (-F(-x)) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let U be a bounded symmetric open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} , and $F : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ be upper semi-continuous, closed convex-valued, and antipodal preserving. Then F has the zero value, that is, there exists a point $x_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $F(x_0) \ni 0$.*

We prepare a theorem for later applications.

Theorem 3.3. *Let N be a paracompact Hausdorff space with a free involution T and M an m -dimensional closed manifold with a free involution T' . Assume that $c^m \neq 0$ for $c = c(N, T) \in \bar{H}^1(N_\pi; \mathbf{F}_2)$ and f is an equivariant mapping. Then $f^* : \bar{H}^*(M; \mathbf{F}_2) \rightarrow \bar{H}^*(N; \mathbf{F}_2)$ is not trivial for a positive dimension.*

Proof. Let $h : M \rightarrow S^\infty$ be an equivariant mapping such that $h_\pi^*(\omega) = c(M, T')$. Here ω is the generator of $\bar{H}^1(RP^\infty; \mathbf{F}_2)$. $hf : N \rightarrow S^\infty$ is also an equivariant mapping such that $(hf)_\pi^*(\omega) = c(N, T)$. From $c(N, T)^m \neq 0$, it holds $c(M, T')^m \neq 0$. By Gysin-Smith exact sequence, we see $\phi^*(c_M) = c(M, T')^m$ where c_M is the dual cocycle of the m -dimensional fundamental cycle $[M]$. By

$$\phi^* f^*(c_M) = f_\pi^* \phi^*(c_M) = f_\pi^*(c(M, T')^m) = c(N, T)^m \neq 0,$$

we obtain the result. □

In this paper we adopt a new definition of an equivariant mapping for set valued mappings. Our definition is a generalization of S. Y. Chang's definition.

Definition 3.4. *Let X and Y be paracompact Hausdorff spaces with involutions T and T' respectively. An admissible mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be equivariant, if there exist a paracompact Hausdorff space Γ with a free involution and an equivariant Vietoris mapping $p : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and an equivariant continuous mapping $q : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ such that $qp^{-1}(x) \subset \varphi(x)$ for $x \in X$. An admissible mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be equivariant on a closed*

subspace X_0 of X , if there exists an equivariant Vietoris mapping $p_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow X_0$ and equivariant mapping $q_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow Y$ and satisfies the following commutativity:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_0 & \xleftarrow{p_0} & \Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{q_0} & Y \\ \downarrow k & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow id \\ X & \xleftarrow{p} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \end{array}$$

where (p, q) is a selected pair of φ and i is a closed inclusion.

For an equivariant mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, it holds $qp^{-1}(x) \subset \varphi_0(x)$ for $x \in X$ where $\varphi_0(x) = \varphi(x) \cap T'\varphi(T(x))$. For an admissible mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ which is equivariant on X_0 , it holds $q_0p_0^{-1}(x) \subset \varphi_0(x)$ for $x \in X_0$.

We shall generalize Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 in what follows. Let $\partial\bar{U}$ be the boundary of \bar{U} , that is, $\partial\bar{U} = \bar{U} - \text{Int}\bar{U}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k} for $k \geq 1$ which is symmetric with respect to the involution $T(x) = -x$. Assume that the boundary $\partial\bar{U}$ is an $(m+k-1)$ -dimensional manifold and $\varphi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is an admissible mapping and is equivariant on $\partial\bar{U}$. Then there exists a point $x_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \ni 0$.*

Proof. Set $M = \bar{U} - \bar{D}$ where D is an open disk centered at 0 with a small radius $r > 0$. M is a topological manifold with boundary which has the free involution T . We have $i^*(c(M, T)) = c(\partial\bar{U}, T)$ for the inclusion $i : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow M$ and $j^*(c(M, T)) = c(\partial\bar{D}, T)$ for the inclusion $j : \partial\bar{D} \rightarrow M$. We can prove the following formula:

$$c^{m+k-1}(\partial\bar{U}, T)[(\partial\bar{U})_\pi] = c^{m+k-1}(S^{m+k-1}, T)[S_\pi^{m+k-1}]$$

by the method of Theorem 4.9 in J.Milnor [7]. Since $c^{m+k-1}(S^{m+k-1}, T)$ is not zero, we obtain

$$c^{m+k-1}(\partial\bar{U}, T) \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

By our assumption, there exists an equivariant Vietoris mapping $p_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \partial\bar{U}$ and an equivariant mapping $q_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $q_0p_0^{-1}(x) \subset \varphi(x)$ for $x \in \partial\bar{U}$. We have a formula:

$$c(\Gamma_0, T') = p_{0\pi}^*(c(\partial\bar{U}), T) \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

Assume that $\varphi(x)$ does not contain zero. q_0 is considered as $q_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m - \{0\}$. Since q_0 is equivariant, we have a formula:

$$q_{0\pi}^*(c) = c(\Gamma_0, T') \tag{5}$$

where c is the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $\mathbf{R}^m - \{0\}$. From the results (4), (5), we have

$$(q_{0\pi})^*(c^{m+k-1}) = c(\Gamma_0, T')^{m+k-1} = (p_{0\pi})^*(c(\partial\bar{U}, T)^{m+k-1}). \tag{6}$$

The left side of the equation is zero by $c^m = 0$ and the right side is not zero by the results (3) and (4) and the bijectivity of $(p_{0\pi})^*$. From the contradiction, we obtain the conclusion. \square

We must remark that φ is defined on $\partial\bar{U}$, not on \bar{U} . We can also generalize Proposition 3.5 for the case that $\partial\bar{U}$ is not an $(m+k-1)$ -dimensional closed manifold. The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 4 of S.Y.Chang [1].

Theorem 3.6. *Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k} for $k \geq 1$ which is symmetric with respect to the involution $T(x) = -x$. Assume that $\varphi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is an equivariant admissible mapping. Then there exists point $x_0 \in \partial\bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \ni 0$.*

Proof. We symmetrically cover \bar{U} by finitely many open disks $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ with a small radius below $r > 0$ such that $\bar{U} \subset \cup_{\alpha \in A} V_\alpha$. We may assume that $W = \cup_{\alpha \in A} \bar{V}_\alpha$ is a manifold with boundary. Moreover we may assume that the boundary ∂W is a manifold. If ∂W is not a manifold, it happened at a point x where two closed disks \bar{V}_1 and \bar{V}_2 are tangent each other. Since the point x is clearly outside of \bar{U} , it is sufficient to add two small disks symmetrically at x and $T(x)$. Therefore we have

$$c^{m+k-1}(\partial W, T) \neq 0. \quad (7)$$

as in the proof of Proposition 3.5.

Set $\bar{U}_r = \{x \in \bar{U} \mid d(x, \partial\bar{U}) \geq 2r\}$ where $d(x, \partial\bar{U})$ is the distance between x and $\partial\bar{U}$. We symmetrically cover \bar{U}_r by finitely many open disks $\{V'_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ with a small radius below $r > 0$ such that $\bar{U}_r \subset \cup_{\beta \in B} V'_\beta \subset \bar{U}$. Set $W' = \cup_{\beta \in B} \bar{V}'_\beta$. We may assume that W' is a manifold with boundary and satisfies $W' \subset \text{Int}\bar{U}$. By Proposition 3.5 and $\partial(W - \text{Int}W') = \partial W \cup \partial W'$, we obtain

$$c^{m+k-1}(\partial W', T) \neq 0, \quad c^{m+k-1}(W - \text{Int}W', T) \neq 0.$$

Since families $\{\text{Int}W - W'\}$ and $\{W - \text{Int}W'\}$ are cofinal coverings of $\partial\bar{U}$, we have the isomorphism

$$\bar{H}^*(\partial\bar{U}) \cong \varinjlim \bar{H}^*(\text{Int}W - W') \cong \varinjlim \bar{H}^*(W - \text{Int}W') \quad (8)$$

by the continuity of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology theory. By the naturality of Stiefel-Whitney class with respect to $\{W - \text{Int}W'\}$, we see

$$c^{m+k-1}(\partial\bar{U}, T) \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

Therefore we obtain the result by the similar method as the proof of Proposition 3.5. \square

Let ∂U be the boundary of U . Note that $\partial U = \bar{U} - U$. Generally ∂U and $\partial\bar{U}$ are different and $\partial\bar{U} \subset \partial U$. For an open set U of a normed space \mathbf{E} , it is said to be balanced if satisfies $sU \subset U$ for all s , ($0 \leq s \leq 1$). Since a bounded open symmetric balanced space U satisfies the condition of the following theorem, we obtain easily Theorem 6 in [1].

Theorem 3.7. Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k} for $k \geq 0$ which is symmetric with respect to the involution $T(x) = -x$. Assume that $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is an admissible mapping which is equivariant on the boundary $\partial\bar{U}$ of \bar{U} . Then there exists a point $x_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \ni 0$ and a point $x_1 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_1) \ni x_1$.

Proof. We define a new open neighborhood V of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} :

$$V = \{(x, s) \in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} \mid x \in \text{Int}\bar{U}, |s| < d(x, \partial\bar{U})\}. \quad (10)$$

Clearly V is an open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} and bounded symmetric with respect to the antipodal involution in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} . We easily see :

$$\bar{V} = \{(x, s) \in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} \mid x \in \bar{U}, |s| \leq d(x, \partial\bar{U})\}. \quad (11)$$

The boundary $\partial\bar{V}$ of \bar{V} is

$$\partial\bar{V} = \{(x, s) \in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} \mid x \in \bar{U}, |s| = d(x, \partial\bar{U})\}. \quad (12)$$

Define a mapping $J : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1}$ by

$$J(x) = x + d(x, \partial\bar{U})e_{m+k+1} \quad (13)$$

where $x \in \mathbf{R}^{m+k}$ and e_{m+k+1} is the $(m+k+1)$ -th unit vector in \mathbf{R}^{m+k+1} . Clearly we see $\partial\bar{V} = J(\bar{U}) \cup \{TJ(\bar{U})\}$. As Theorem 3.6, we have $c(\partial\bar{V}, T)^{m+k} \neq 0$ and $c(\partial\bar{U}, T)^{m+k-1} \neq 0$.

For the case $k > 0$ the theorem is proved by the similar method as Theorem 3.6. We shall prove for the case $k = 0$. Let $\hat{\varphi} : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ be defined as follows:

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{Int}\bar{U} \\ \varphi(x) \cup \{T\varphi(Tx)\} & \text{if } x \in \partial\bar{U}. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Since φ is upper semi-continuous, we can easily verify that $\hat{\varphi}$ is upper semi-continuous. Since φ is an equivariant admissible mapping on $\partial\bar{U}$, we can easily verify that $\hat{\varphi}$ is equivariant admissible on $\partial\bar{U}$. Note $\hat{\varphi}(Tx) = T\hat{\varphi}(x)$ for $x \in \partial\bar{U}$.

Define $\Psi : \partial\bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ by

$$\Psi(z) = \begin{cases} \hat{\varphi}(J^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } z \in J(\bar{U}) \\ T\hat{\varphi}(J^{-1}(Tz)) & \text{if } z \in TJ(\bar{U}). \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Ψ is well-defined and an upper semi-continuous mapping defined on $\partial\bar{V}$.

Let $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{U}$ and $q : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ be a selected pair of φ . We shall show that Ψ is equivariant on $\partial\bar{V}$. Let $\hat{\Gamma}$ be the space obtained by the pushout $\Gamma \xleftarrow{i} \Gamma_0 \xrightarrow{iT} \Gamma$. Here we note $i_1 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ in the place of $i : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $i_2 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ in the place of $iT : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma$. $\hat{\Gamma}$ has the involution \hat{T} induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma_1 & \xleftarrow{i_1} & \Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \Gamma_2 \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow k \\ \Gamma_2 & \xleftarrow{i_2} & \Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \Gamma_1 \end{array}$$

where $h : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$, $k : \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ are defined by the identity $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.
 $\hat{p} : \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \partial\bar{V}$ is defined by

$$\hat{p}(x) = \begin{cases} J(p(x)) & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_1 \\ TJ(p(\hat{T}x)) & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

We easily see $\hat{p} : \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \partial\bar{V}$ is a Vietoris mapping.
 $\hat{q} : \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is defined by

$$\hat{q}(x) = \begin{cases} q(x) & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_1 \\ Tq(\hat{T}x) & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.6, we obtain a point $x_0 \in \partial\bar{V}$ such that $\Psi(x_0) \ni 0$. This means $\varphi(y_0) \ni 0$ for a point $y_0 \in \bar{U}$.

For the second part, define $\varphi_1 : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+k}$ by $\varphi_1(x) = x - j\varphi(x)$ for $x \in \bar{U}$ where $j : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+k}$. $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{U}$ and $p - jq : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+k}$ are the selected pair of φ_1 . We easily verify that φ_1 is equivariant on $\partial\bar{U}$ by our hypothesis on φ . By apply the former part of this theorem to the case, there exists an element $x_1 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi_1(x_1) \ni 0$, i.e. $\varphi(x_1) \ni x_1$. \square

4 Generalization to normed spaces

For a normed space \mathbf{E} , D is defined by $\{x \in \mathbf{E} \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and S its boundary. We easily see that S is acyclic for an infinite dimensional normed space. Let S_π be the orbit space of S by the antipodal involution. The cohomology ring of S_π is the polynomial ring or truncated polynomial ring according to the infinite or finite dimensional normed spaces. This is easily proved by using the Gysin-Smith exact sequence of a double covering space.

We shall give a generalization of Theorem 3.7 to the normed space. We prepare the Schauder approximation theorem for our application (cf. Theorem 12.9 in [5]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a Hausdorff space and U an open set of a normed space \mathbf{E} and $f : X \rightarrow U$ a continuous compact mapping. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous compact mapping $f_\epsilon : X \rightarrow U$ satisfying the following condition:*

1. $f_\epsilon(X) \subset \mathbf{E}^{n(\epsilon)}$ for a finite dimensional subspace $\mathbf{E}^{n(\epsilon)}$ of \mathbf{E}
2. $\|f_\epsilon(x) - f(x)\| < \epsilon$ for any $x \in X$
3. $f_\epsilon(x)$, $f(x) : X \rightarrow U$ are homotopic, noted by $f_\epsilon \simeq f$.

In what follows, we assume that Γ is a metric space. The following theorem 4.2 is called Borsuk's fixed point theorem (cf. Theorem 3.3 in §6 in [6], Theorem 3.7). Y.S.Chang proved Theorem 4.2 for the case of a bounded symmetric balanced neighborhood of the origin in a locally convex topological space (cf. Theorem 7 in [1]). We shall extend his theorem to the case of spaces which is not necessarily contractible.

Theorem 4.2. *Let U be a symmetric bounded open neighborhood of the origin in a normed space \mathbf{E} . Assume that $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is upper semi-continuous, compact convex valued mapping and is equivariant on $\partial\bar{U}$. Then there exist a fixed point $z_0 \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(z_0) \ni z_0$.*

Proof. The normed space \mathbf{E} has the involution T defined by $T(x) = -x$. Let $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{U}$ and $q : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ be a selected pair of φ . Let $p_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \bar{U}$ and $q_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ be a selected pair of φ_0 which are equivariant mappings and $\varphi_0(x) = \varphi(x) \cap (T\varphi(T(x)))$ for $x \in \partial\bar{U}$.

For any natural number n , we find finite dimensional vector subspaces $\{\mathbf{V}_n\}$ in \mathbf{E} and $\{q_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n\}$ such that

$$\|q(y) - q_n(y)\| < \frac{1}{n} \quad (y \in \Gamma) \quad (16)$$

by the approximation theorem of Schauder. Note that we can choose vector spaces $\{\mathbf{V}_n\}$ such that $\dim \mathbf{V}_n$ increases as n increases and $\mathbf{V}_n \subset \mathbf{V}_{n+1}$ for all n by seeing the construction in the approximation theorem.

Note that Γ_0 has the involution \tilde{T} . Define $q_{n,0} : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$ by

$$q_{n,0}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\{q_n(z) - q_n(\tilde{T}(z))\} \quad (17)$$

which is equivariant. We obtain the following inequality:

$$\|q_{n,0}(z) - q_0(z)\| < \frac{1}{n} \quad (18)$$

for $z \in \Gamma_0$. This is proved by $\|q_n(z) - q_0(z)\| < \frac{1}{n}$ for $z \in \Gamma_0$ and $\|q_n(\tilde{T}z) + q_0(z)\| = \|q_n(\tilde{T}z) - q_0(\tilde{T}z)\| < \frac{1}{n}$ for $z \in \Gamma_0$. And it holds also

$$\|q_{n,0}(z) - q_n(z)\| < \frac{1}{n} \quad (19)$$

for $z \in \Gamma_{n,0}$. This is proved by $\|q_n(\tilde{T}z) + q_n(z)\| \leq \|q_n(\tilde{T}z) - q_0(\tilde{T}z)\| + \|q_0(\tilde{T}z) + q_n(z)\| \leq \|q_n(\tilde{T}z) - q_0(\tilde{T}z)\| + \|-q_0(z) + q_n(z)\| < \frac{2}{n}$ for $z \in \Gamma_0$. Especially q_n and $q_{n,0}$ are homotopic.

Let $\varphi_n : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$ be defined by

$$\varphi_n(x) = B_n(\varphi(x)) \cap \mathbf{V}_n. \quad (20)$$

where $B_n(\varphi(x)) = \{z \in \mathbf{E} \mid d(z, \varphi(x)) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$. By the inequality (16), it holds $\varphi_n(x) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in \bar{U}$. The graph of a set valued mapping $\hat{h}(x) = B_n(\varphi(x))$ is clearly a closed set in $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}$ and also the graph of φ_n is a closed set in $\mathbf{V}_n \times \mathbf{V}_n$. Since the image of $\varphi_n(\bar{U})$ is contained in a compact set by the condition of φ and the definition of φ_n , φ_n is upper semi-continuous and compact mapping. $p_n = p$ and q_n is a selected pair of φ_n . Since $q_{n,0}$ is equivariant, φ_n is equivariant on $\partial\bar{U}$ by the inequality (19).

Set $K_n = \overline{U \cap \mathbf{V}_n}$ in \mathbf{V}_n and $K_{n,0} = \partial(\overline{U \cap \mathbf{V}_n})$. Set $\Gamma_n = p^{-1}(K_n)$, $\Gamma_{n,0} = (p_0)^{-1}(K_{n,0})$. $p_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow K_n$ and $p_{n,0} : \Gamma_{n,0} \rightarrow K_{n,0}$ are the restrictions of p to Γ_n and $\Gamma_{n,0}$ respectively. The restriction of q_n to Γ_n is also written by $q_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n \subset \mathbf{E}$.

Let $\psi_n : K_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$ be the restriction of φ_n to K_n . We see $\psi_n(x) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in K_n$ by (18). Let $\psi_{n,0} : K_{n,0} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$ be defined by $\psi_{n,0}(x) = \psi_n(x) \cap T\psi_n(T(x))$ for $x \in K_{n,0}$. We see $\psi_{n,0}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in K_{n,0}$ by (19).

We apply Theorem 3.7 to the case $\psi_n : K_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$. We have a point $z_n \in K_n$ such that $z_n \in \varphi_n(z_n)$. From $z_n \in \psi_n(z_n)$, i.e. $z_n \in \varphi_n(z_n)$, we have a sequence $\{z_n\}$ satisfying $\|z_n - w_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ and $w_n \in \varphi(z_n)$. Since φ is a compact mapping, a subsequence of $\{w_n\}$ converges to w_0 . Therefore we may assume that $\{z_n\}$ converge to a point w_0 . Since φ is upper semi-continuous, we have $z_0 \in \varphi(z_0)$. □

In the above theorem, we can not prove the existence of the zero value of φ as the finite dimensional case. Now we shall give some examples. Let D be the unit disk in a Hilbert space \mathbf{H} . Let $f : D \rightarrow D$ be defined by

$$f(\{z_n\}) = (\sqrt{1 - \|z\|^2}, \{z_n\}). \quad (21)$$

Clearly f is a continuous mapping on D and equivariant on the boundary S and not a compact mapping. If f has a zero value, it holds the equations $\sqrt{1 - \|z\|^2} = 0$, $z_n = 0$ for all n . We obtain easily the contradiction from the equations. Therefore f has not a zero value. We see also easily that f has not a fixed point.

Let $g : D \rightarrow D$ be defined by

$$g(\{z_n\}) = (\sqrt{1 - \|z\|^2}, \{\frac{z_n}{n}\}). \quad (22)$$

Clearly g is a continuous mapping on D and equivariant on the boundary S and a compact mapping. If g has a zero value, it holds the equations $\sqrt{1 - \|z\|^2} = 0$, $\frac{z_n}{n} = 0$ for all n . We obtain easily the contradiction from the equations. Therefore g has not the zero value. Of course g has a fixed point (cf. §12 in [5], §3 in [12]).

Definition 4.3. Let X be a subset of a vector space \mathbf{V} and $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ a compact admissible mapping. A set-valued mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ is called an admissible compact field, if φ is defined by $\varphi(x) = x - \Phi(x)$.

Let \mathbf{E}_k be a closed subspace of codimension k of a normed space \mathbf{E} . K.Geba and L. Górniewicz [3] proved the following theorem for the case of the unit sphere of a normed space. Our method is different from their method.

Theorem 4.4. Let \mathbf{E}_k be a closed subspace of codimension $k \geq 1$ of \mathbf{E} and U be a symmetric bounded open neighborhood of the origin of \mathbf{E} . If $\Phi : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_k$ is a compact admissible mapping, there is a point $x_0 \in \partial\bar{U}$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \cap \varphi(T(x_0)) \neq \emptyset$ where $\varphi(x) = x - \Phi(x)$.

Proof. Let (p, q) a selected pair of Φ where $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \partial\bar{U}$ is a Vietoris mapping and $q : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_k$ continuous mapping. There is a k -dimensional subspace \mathbf{L}_k such that $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_k \oplus \mathbf{L}_k$.

By the approximation theorem of Schauder, there are finite dimensional vector subspace $V_n \subset E_k$ and $q_n : \Gamma \rightarrow V_n$ such that

$$\|q(y) - q_n(y)\| < \frac{1}{n}$$

for $y \in \Gamma$. We may assume that $\dim V_n$ increases and $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$. Let $\Phi_n : \partial\bar{U} \rightarrow V_n$ be a set-valued mapping defined by

$$\Phi_n(x) = B_n(\Phi(x)) \cap V_n$$

where $B_n(\Phi(x)) = \{y \in E \mid d(\Phi(x), y) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$. Since the graph of Φ_n is closed and $\Phi_n(\partial\bar{U})$ is compact, Φ_n is upper semi-continuous. Clearly Φ_n has a selected pair $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \partial\bar{U}$ and $q_n : \Gamma \rightarrow V_n$. Therefore Φ_n is a compact admissible mapping.

Set $\varphi_n(x) = x - \Phi_n(x)$. Consider $\Psi_n : W_n \rightarrow V_n$ defined by the restriction of Φ_n to W_n where $W_n = \partial\bar{U} \cap (V_n \oplus L_k)$. Note that $c(W_n, T)^{i_n+k-1} \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.5 where $\dim W_n = i_n$.

By applying Theorem 6.3 of Y. Shitanda [12] to $\psi_n(x) = x - \Psi_n(x)$, we have a point $x_n \in W_n$ such that $\psi_n(x_n) \cap \psi_n(T(x_n)) \neq \emptyset$. This means $x_n - y_n = -x_n - z_n$ for some $y_n \in \Psi_n(x_n)$ and $z_n \in \Psi_n(T(x_n))$. Since Φ is compact mapping, there are convergent points y_0 and z_0 of $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ respectively. Therefore there is a convergent point x_0 where $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $x_0 = \frac{y_0 - z_0}{2}$. We see easily $y_0 \in \Phi(x_0)$ and $z_0 \in \Phi(T(x_0))$. By $x_0 - y_0 = -x_0 - z_0$, we have $\varphi(x_0) \cap \varphi(T(x_0)) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $A(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ where $A(\varphi) = \{x \in \partial\bar{U} \mid \varphi(x) \cap \varphi(T(x)) \neq \emptyset\}$. □

Let X be a space with a free involution T and S^k a k -dimensional sphere with the antipodal involution. Define $\gamma(X)$ and $\text{Ind}(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(X) &= \inf \{k \mid f : X \rightarrow S^k \text{ equivariant mapping}\} \\ \text{Ind}(X) &= \sup \{k \mid c^k \neq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $c \in \bar{H}^1(X_\pi; \mathbf{F}_2)$ is the class $c = f_\pi^*(\omega)$ for an equivariant mapping $f : X \rightarrow S^\infty$. If X is a compact space with a free involution, it holds the following formula (cf. §3 in [2]):

$$\text{Ind}(X) \leq \gamma(X) \leq \dim X. \tag{23}$$

K. Gęba and L. Górniewicz proved $\text{Ind}A(\varphi) \geq k - 1$ (cf. Theorem 2.5 in [2]). We shall generalize their result.

Corollary 4.5. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4, it holds*

$$\text{Ind}A(\varphi) \geq k - 1.$$

Proof. We use the notation of Theorem 4.4. Consider $\varphi_n : W_n \rightarrow V_n$ where $\tilde{\varphi}_n = \varphi(x) \cap V_n$ for $x \in W_n$. Clearly it holds $A(\tilde{\varphi}_n) \subset A(\tilde{\varphi}_{n+1})$. By Theorem 6.3 of [12], we have $\text{Ind}(A(\tilde{\varphi}_n)) \geq k - 1$. Therefore we obtain the result. □

References

- [1] Chang S.Y. Borsuk's Antipodal and Fixed Point Theorems for Set-Valued Maps, Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc. vol.121,937-941, (1994).
- [2] Gęba K. and Górniewicz L. On the Bourgin-Yang Theorem for Multi-valued Maps I, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. Vol.34 No.5-6. (1986), 315-322.
- [3] Gęba K. and Górniewicz L. On the Bourgin-Yang Theorem for Multi-valued Maps II, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. Vol.34 No.5-6. (1986), 323-327.
- [4] Górniewicz,L. A Lefschetz-type fixed point theorem, Fundamenta Mathematicae 88, (1975),103-115.
- [5] Górniewicz,L. Topological Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Mappings, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1999).
- [6] Granas A. and Dugundji J. Fixed Point Theory, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, New York Inc (2003)
- [7] Milnor J. Characteristic classes, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press
- [8] Nakaoka M. Generalizations of Borsuk-Ulam Theorem, Osaka J. Math. 7, (1970),423-441.
- [9] Nakaoka M. Continuous map of manifolds with involution I, Osaka J. Math. 11, (1974),129-145.
- [10] Nakaoka M. Continuous map of manifolds with involution II, Osaka J. Math. 11, (1974),147-162.
- [11] Nakaoka M. Equivariant point theorems for involution, Japan J. Math. Vol.4,No.2, (1978),263-298.
- [12] Shitanda Y. Fixed point theorems and equivariant points for set-valued mappings, submitted.
- [13] Spanier E. H. Algebraic Topology, Springer-Verlag, New York (1966)