

# Base change lift type spinor L-function of $GSp_2(\mathbb{Q})$

京都大学・理学部 岡崎武生 (Takeo Okazaki)\*  
 Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

In [4], we happened to construct Siegel modular cuspform  $F$  and non-cuspform  $E$  of degree 2 having the same spinor L-function:  $L^{spin}(s, E) = L^{spin}(s, F)$ , which is equal to the Hasse-Weil zeta function of hyper-elliptic curve  $y^2 = x^5 - x$ . The CAP representation has a L-function of a non-cuspidal one, but, our phenomenon is not the case. In this article, we consider the problem ‘What type of spinor L-function is related to cuspform and non-cuspform, simultaneously?’. To do it, we will classify the spinor L-functions of non-cuspforms. The classical ‘Zharkovskaya relation’ describes L-function of Siegel non-cuspform by that of the elliptic modular form obtained by the Siegel operator, as follows. If  $E \in M_\kappa(Sp_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is an eigenform, then it holds

$$L^{spin}(s, F) = L(s, \Phi(E))L(s - \kappa + 2, \Phi(E)).$$

where the elliptic modular eigenform  $\Phi(E) \in M_\kappa(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is

$$\Phi(E)(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & it \end{bmatrix}\right), z \in \mathfrak{H}. \tag{1}$$

We will generalize her relation for non-holomorphic and non-full modular cases. Let  $N_1, N_2$  be the unipotent radicals of the two parabolic subgroups, such as

$$N_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, N_2(\mathbb{A}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ * & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset Sp_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

If  $E$  is not cuspidal, then

$$\int_{U_i(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U_i(\mathbb{A})} E(ug) du \neq 0$$

for  $i = 1$  or  $2$  where  $dh$  is a suitable Haar measure  $du$ . We label the former case as (CASE 1), and the latter as (CASE 2). In the both cases, we obtain automorphic forms on  $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$  by

$$\int_{U_i(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U_i(\mathbb{A})} E(ue_i(g)h) du,$$

for some  $h \in Sp_2(\mathbb{A})$ . Here we write

$$e_1(g) = \begin{bmatrix} {}^t g^{-1} & \\ & g \end{bmatrix}, e_2(g) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & \det(g) & d \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in GSp_2(\mathbb{A})$$

---

\*This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows.

for  $g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ . So, after the original Siegel operator (1),

**DEFINITION 1** We define ‘Siegel operator along  $N_i$ ’ at  $h \in Sp_2(\mathbb{A})$

$$\Phi_i(E)(g; h) = \int_{N_i(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash N_i(\mathbb{A})} E(ue_i(g)h)du,$$

where  $du$  is the Haar measure so that  $vol(N_i(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash N_i(\mathbb{A})) = 1$ .

Remark that the Siegel operator (1) is equal to  $\Phi_2$  at  $h = 1$ , and that holomorphic  $E$  is cuspidal iff  $\Phi_2(E) = 0$ . Let  $\psi$  be the standard additive character on  $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}$  so that  $\psi_\infty(x) = \exp(2\pi ix), x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For automorphic form  $F$  and  $T = {}^tT \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $F_T$  denote the fourier coefficient;

$$F_T(g) = \int_{U_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U_1(\mathbb{A})} \psi(-tr(S \cdot T))F(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & S \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}g)dS.$$

(CASE 1) Suppose that irreducible  $\pi \in \widehat{Sp_2(\mathbb{A})}$  is in the (CASE.1). Take  $E \in \pi$ , so that  $f = \Phi_1(E)(*; 1) \neq 0$ . If an eigenform  $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{A}(GSp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is an extension of  $E$ , then there exists  $\delta \in (\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A})^\times$  such as

$$F_0(e_1(g) \begin{bmatrix} & 1_2 \\ t \cdot 1_2 & \end{bmatrix}) = \delta(t)F_0(e_1(g)). \tag{2}$$

Since  $E_0, \tilde{E}_0$  and  $f$  have the informations of L-parameters of themselves, by comparing the action of Hecke operator on them, we can obtain the following.

**PROPOSITION 1** Let  $S$  be the collection of bad primes of  $E$ . With the assumption as above,  $f$  is an eigenform at every  $p \notin S$ , and the standard L-function  $L_S^{st}(s, E)$  is written as

$$L_S^{st}(s, E) = \zeta_S(s)L_S(s-1, f)L_S(s+2, f, w_f). \tag{3}$$

If an eigenform  $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{A}(GSp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is an extension of  $E$ , then

$$L_S^{spin}(s, \tilde{E}, \delta^{-1}) = \zeta_S(s)L_S(s-3, w_f^{-1})L_S(s-1, f). \tag{4}$$

Here  $L(s, f, w_f)$  means the  $w_f$ -twist of  $L(s, f, w_f)$ , and so on.

(CASE 2) Suppose that irreducible  $\pi \in \Pi(Sp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is in the (CASE.2) and take  $E \in \pi$  so that  $\Phi_2(E)(*; 1) \neq 0$ . Let  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  with  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2$  be the highest weight of  $E$ , and  $E$  is an eigenvector with respect to  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ , the center of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Then, for a certain  $T_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $E_{T_\alpha}$  is not zero.  $E_{T_\alpha}$  has the property

$$E_{T_\alpha} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & * \\ * & 1 & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} e_2(g) \right) = \psi(ax)E_{T_\alpha}(e_2(g)), \quad x \in \mathbb{A}. \tag{5}$$

There exists a unique  $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})})$  such as  $E_{T_\alpha}(e_2(z * g)) = \xi(z)E_{T_\alpha}(e_2(g))$  for  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$  is generated by two elements  $L_1, L_2$  as in [3].

In particular, since  $L_1$  acts on  $E_{T_a}$  as an element of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ ,  $E_{T_a}$  is an eigenvector with respect to  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ . Thus  $f(g) = \Phi_2(E)(g; 1) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} E_{T_b}(e_2(g)) \in \mathcal{A}(SL_2(K_A))$  is of weight  $\kappa_1$  and corresponds to  $\xi$ . From  $E_{T_a}$ , for some  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times}$ , cut out a nonzero  $\chi$ -section, that is,

$$E_{T_a}^{(\chi)}(e_2(g) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & y & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & y^{-1} \end{bmatrix}) = E_{T_a}^{(\chi)} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & y & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & y^{-1} \end{bmatrix} e_2(g) \right) = \chi(y) E_{T_a}^{(\chi)}(e_2(g)).$$

Further, if an eigenform  $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{A}(GSp_2(K_A))$  is an extension of  $E$ , we cut out a nonzero  $\omega$ -section

$$\tilde{E}_{T_a}^{(\chi, \omega)}(e_2(zg)) = \omega(z) \tilde{E}_{T_a}^{(\chi, \omega)}(e_2(g)) \quad z \in \mathbb{A}^\times.$$

Remark that  $f^{(\chi)}(g) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} E_{T_b}^{(\chi)}(e_2(g))$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}(SL_2(K_A))$ , and that  $f^{(\chi, \omega)}(g) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} E_{T_b}^{(\chi, \omega)}(e_2(g))$  to  $\mathcal{A}(GL_2(\mathbb{A}))$ .

**PROPOSITION 2** *With the assumptions as above, at  $p \notin S$ ,  $f^{(\chi)}$  is an eigenform such as*

$$L_S^{st}(s, E) = L_S(s - 2, \chi) L_S(s + 2, \chi^{-1}) L_S^{st}(s, f^{(\chi)}). \tag{6}$$

*If an eigenform  $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{A}(GSp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is an extension of  $E$ , then  $f^{(\chi, \omega)}$  has the following properties:*

- i) *the central character of  $f^{(\chi, \omega)}$  is  $|\cdot|^2 \chi \omega$ .*
- ii) *If  $\chi_p(p) \neq -p^{-2}$ , then  $f^{(\chi, \omega)}$  is also an eigenform at  $p$ , such as*

$$L^{spin}(s, \tilde{E})_p = L(s, f^{(\chi, \omega)})_p L(s - 2, f^{(\chi, \omega)}, \chi)_p; \tag{7}$$

- iii) *Otherwise,  $f^{(\chi, \omega)}$  is not an eigenform in general.*

*However, in the case iii), instead of  $f^{(\chi, \omega)}$ , we can take an eigenform  $f' \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_1}(GL_2(\mathbb{A}))$  having the same central character and satisfies (7) at every  $p \notin S$ .*

**REMARK 1** *The above  $\chi$  is determined uniquely by  $\pi$ , and  $\omega$  is by extended  $\tilde{\pi}$  which contains  $\tilde{E}$ , indeed.*

Summing up the above results, we can give the following answer to the first problem.

**THEOREM 1** *Suppose that a non CAP-type spinor L-function of  $\Pi(GSp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is related to a cuspform and a non-cuspform, simultaneously. Then it is a Base change lift type, i.e.,  $L(s, \sigma) L(s, \sigma, \chi_{E/\mathbb{Q}})$  for  $\sigma \in \Pi(GL_2(\mathbb{A}))$  and quadratic character  $\chi_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  associated to an extension  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that cuspidal  $\pi$  and non-cuspidal  $\tau$  have an identical spinor L-function up to finitely many primes. We can assume  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  are unitalized. In the (CASE.1) of  $\tau$ , by Proposition 1, we can write

$$L_S^{spin}(s, \pi, \omega_\tau^{-1}) = L_S^{spin}(s, \tau, \omega_\tau^{-1}) = \zeta_S(s - z_0) L_S(s + z_0, \omega_\sigma^{-1}) L_S(s, \sigma) \tag{8}$$

for a certain  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\sigma \in \Pi(GL_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is related to  $\tau$  and unitalized. According to Jacquet, Shalika [1], Shahidi [7],  $L_S(s, \sigma)$  and  $L_S(s, \sigma, \omega_\sigma)$  does not vanish in the region  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ . Hence the right hand side of (8) has a pole at  $1 + z_0$ , or its  $\omega_\sigma$ -twist has a pole at  $1 - z_0$ . By lemma 3.1 of Piatetski-Shapiro [6],  $\pi$  is written as  $\pi_1 \otimes (\mu \circ \nu)$  by the similtude norm  $\nu$  of  $GS\!p(2)$ , certain  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times}$  and  $\pi_1 \in \Pi(GSp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  with  $\omega_\pi = 1$ . And by Thoerem 2.2 of [6], we conclude the spinor  $L$ -function is related to some CAP representation associated to Siegel parabolic subgroup.

In the (CASE.2) of  $\tau$ ,  $L_S^{spin}(s, \tau)$  is written in the form (7), and  $\omega_\tau = \omega_\sigma \chi$ . From (7), the character  $\xi := \omega_\pi(\omega_\sigma \chi)^{-1}$  satisfies  $\xi^2 = 1$ . In the case of  $\xi = 1$  (i.e.,  $\omega_\pi = \omega_\tau$ ),  $\pi_v$  is equivalent to  $\tau_v$  at almost all  $v$  since they have identical Satake parameters. Hence  $\pi$  is a CAP representation assooiated to Klingen parabolic subgroup. In the case that  $\xi \neq 1$ , calculating  $L_S(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} & L_S(s, \omega_\pi)L_S^{st}(s, \pi, \omega_\pi) \\ = & L_S(s, \omega_\pi \xi^{-1})L_S(s - 2, \chi \omega_\pi \xi^{-1})L_S(s + 2, \chi^{-1} \omega_\pi \xi^{-1})L_S^{st}(s, \sigma, \omega_\pi \xi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Twisting both sides by  $\omega_\pi^{-1} \xi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_S(s, \xi)L_S^{st}(s, \pi, \xi) &= \zeta_S(s)L_S(s - 2, \chi)L_S(s + 2, \chi^{-1})L_S^{st}(s, \sigma) \\ &= \zeta_S(s)L_S(s + t, \chi_1)L_S(s - t, \chi_1^{-1})L_S^{st}(s, \sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Here  $\chi_1$  is the unitalization of  $\chi$  and we write  $\chi_\infty = |\cdot|^{2+t(\text{sign})^{\frac{1+t}{2}}}$ . Applying lemma 1 to (9), we find that  $L_S^{st}(s, \pi, \xi \chi_1)$  (resp.  $L_S^{st}(s, \pi, \xi \chi_1^{-1})$ ) has a simple pole at  $s = 1 + t$  (resp.  $s = 1 - t$ ), if  $\Re(t) > 0$  (resp.  $\Re(t) < 0$ ). However,  $\pi$  is cuspidal, so  $t$  is allowed to be  $\pm 1$  and  $\pi$  is a CAP representation along Klingen parabolic subgroup. If  $\Re(t) = 0$ , we can also coclude  $t = 0$  by considering the possibility of the location of the poles. In this case, if  $\chi_1^2 \neq 1$ , then  $(\xi \chi_1)^2 \neq 1$  and we find that  $L_S^{st}(s, \pi, \xi \chi_1)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 1$ , twisting (9) by  $\chi_1$ . This conflicts to [2]. If  $\xi^2 = 1$  but  $\chi_1 \xi \neq 1$ , we find that  $L_S^{st}(s, \pi, \xi \chi_1, st)$  has at least double pole at  $s = 1$ , which conflicts to [2], too. Thus, the remained possibility of  $\chi_1$  is only  $\chi_1 = \xi$ , i.e., some quadratic character. This is just the Base Change lift type.  $\square$

**REMARK 2** *Conversely, for given spinor  $L$ -function  $L(s, \sigma)L(s, \sigma, \chi_{K/\mathbb{Q}})$  of base change type, [5] gives generic non-cuspporm and cuspporm which is fixed by paramodular groups, if  $\sigma$  is holomorphic.*

The next lemma used in the proof of previous theorem follows from the results of Jacquet, Shalika [1] and Shahidi [7].

**LEMMA 1** *Let  $\pi \in \Pi(GL_2(\mathbb{A}))$  be cuspidal. Then,*

- i)  $L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st) \neq 0$  for every unitary  $\eta \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times}$  at  $\Re s \geq 1$ ,
- ii) if  $\pi$  comes from a größencharacter of a quadratic extension  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \text{ord}_{s=1} L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st) = -1 & \text{if } \eta = \chi_{K/\mathbb{Q}}. \\ \text{ord}_{s=1} L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st) = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- iii) if  $\pi$  does not come from größencharacters,  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st) = 0$  for every unitary  $\eta \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times}$ .

Complementing Kudla-Rallis [2] by Proposition 2, we can give the following characterization of cuspidality of  $\Pi(Sp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  by standard  $L$ -functions:

**THEOREM 2** *Non CAP  $\pi \in \Pi(Sp_2(\mathbb{A}))$  is cuspidal, iff all the  $i) \sim iii)$  are satisfied:  
For unitary  $\eta \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times}$ ,*

- i)  $L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st)$  is entire at  $\Re s > 1$ ;*
- ii) if  $\eta^2 = 1$ ,  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st) \geq -1$ ;*
- iii) if  $\eta^2 \neq 1$ ,  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L_S(s, \pi, \eta, st) \geq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By corollary 7.2.3, Theorem 7.2.5 of [2], and Soudry [8], cuspidal  $\pi$  satisfies *i), ii)*. (If the standard  $L$ -function has a simple pole at  $s = 2$ , then  $\pi$  is liftable to  $O(2)$ , and is a CAP representation.) Hence, our task is to show that both of *i), ii)* are not satisfied by non-cuspidal  $\pi'$  which is induced from by cuspidal  $\sigma \in \Pi(GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A}))$ . Put  $\chi_1 = \chi/|\chi|$  and let  $\chi_\infty = |\cdot|^{t+si} \text{sign}^a$  with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a = 0$  or  $1$ . In the case of  $|\chi_\infty| \neq |\cdot|, |\cdot|^3$ , it holds

$$\begin{cases} L_S(s, \pi', \chi_1, st) \text{ has a double pole at a point in the region } \Re s \geq 1 & \text{if } \chi_1^2 = 1 \\ L_S(s, \pi', \chi_1, st) \text{ is not entire in } \Re s \geq 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, from (6),  $L_S(s, \pi', \chi_1, st) = \zeta_S(s-t)\zeta_S(s+t)L_S(s, \sigma, \chi_1, st)$ , if  $\chi_1^2 = 1$ . Obviously, this  $L$ -function has a simple pole at  $1+|t|$ , if  $t \neq 0$ . In the case of  $|\chi_\infty| = |\cdot|$  or  $|\cdot|^3$ , we can say

$$\begin{cases} L_S(s, \pi, \chi_1, st) \text{ has a simple pole at } s = 2 & \text{if } \chi_1^2 = 1 \\ L_S(s, \pi, \chi_1, st) \text{ is not entire in } \Re s \geq 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We are going to see that  $L_S(s, \pi, \chi_1, st)$  has a double pole at a point in the region  $\Re s \geq 1$  if  $\chi_1^2 = 1$ , and that  $L_S(s, \pi, \chi_1, st)$  is not entire in  $\Re s \geq 1$  otherwise. If  $\chi_1^2 = 1$ , then

$$L_S(s, \pi, \chi_1, st) = \zeta_S(s)^2 L(s, \sigma, \chi_1, st),$$

which has a double (at least) pole at  $s = 1$ . If  $\chi_1^2 \neq 1$ , then

$$L_S(s, \pi, \chi_1, st) = \zeta_S(s) L(s, \chi_1^2) L(s, \sigma, \chi_1, st),$$

which has a simple (at least) pole at  $s = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**REMARK 3** *If  $\pi$  satisfies the generalized Ramanujan conjecture, we only need to see *ii), iii)* for the cuspidality of  $\pi$ .*

## References

- [1] H. Jacquet, J.A. Shalika: On the Euler products and the classification of automorphic representation I, Amer.J. Math **103**, (1981) 499-558.
- [2] S. Kudla, S. Rallis: A regularized Siegel-Weil formula, Annals of Math. **140** (1994), 1-80.

- [3] S. Niwa: Commutation Relation of Differential Operators and Whittaker Functions on  $Sp_2(\mathbb{R})$ , Proc. Japan Acad. **71** Ser.A (1995), 189-191.
- [4] T. Okazaki: Proof of R. Salvati Manni and J. Top's conjectures on Siegel modular forms and Abelian surfaces, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 139-165.
- [5] T. Okazaki: Paramodular forms associated to certain Galois representations of  $GSp(2)$ -types, preprint.
- [6] I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro: On the Saito-Kurokawa Lifting, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 309-338.
- [7] F. Shahidi: On certain L-function, Amer. J. Math., **103** (1981), 297-355.
- [8] D. Soudry: The CAP representation of  $GSp(4, \mathbb{A})$ , J. reine angew. Math. **383** (1988), 87-108.
- [9] N.A. Zharkovskaya, The Siegel operator and Hecke operators, Funct. Anal. Appl. **8**(1974),113-120.