

## FURTHER COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF COHEN FORCING

VERA FISCHER AND JURIS STEPRĀNS

ABSTRACT. The combinatorial properties of Cohen forcing imply the existence of a countably closed,  $\aleph_2$ -c.c. forcing notion  $\mathbb{P}$  which adds a  $\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)$ -name  $\mathbb{Q}$  for a  $\sigma$ -centered poset such that forcing with  $\mathbb{Q}$  over  $V^{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_2)}$  adds a real not split by  $V^{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)} \cap [\omega]^\omega$  and preserves that all subfamilies of size  $\omega_1$  of the Cohen reals are unbounded.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The results presented in this paper originate in the study of the combinatorial properties of the real line and in particular the bounding and the splitting numbers. A special case of the developed techniques appeared in [5]. Following standard notation for  $\kappa, \lambda$  regular cardinals,  $[\kappa]^\lambda$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $\lambda$  of size  $\kappa$ .  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  is the power set of  $\lambda$  and  ${}^\lambda\kappa$  is the collection of all functions from  $\lambda$  into  $\kappa$ . Throughout  $V$  denotes the ground model. If  $f, g$  are functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$ , then  $g$  dominates  $f$ , denoted  $f \leq^* g$  if  $\exists n \forall k \geq n (f(k) \leq g(k))$ . A family  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  is unbounded, if  $\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega \exists g \in \mathcal{B} (g \not\leq^* f)$ . The bounding number  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal size of an unbounded family (see [9]). If  $A, B \in [\omega]^\omega$  then  $A$  is split by  $B$  if both  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cap B^c$  are infinite. A family  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  is splitting, if  $\forall A \in [\omega]^\omega \exists B \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $B$  splits  $A$ . The splitting number  $\mathfrak{s}$  is the minimal size of splitting family (see [9]). It is relatively consistent with the usual axioms of set theory, that  $\mathfrak{s} < \mathfrak{b}$  as well as  $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{s}$ . The consistency of  $\mathfrak{s} < \mathfrak{b}$  holds in the Hechler model (see [2]) and the consistency of  $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1 < \mathfrak{s} = \omega_2$  is due to S. Shelah (see [7]). J. Brendle (see [3]) showed the consistency of  $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1 < \mathfrak{s} = \kappa$ , for  $\kappa$  regular uncountable cardinal and V. Fischer, J. Steprāns (see [6]) showed the consistency of  $\mathfrak{b} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \kappa^+$ .

However the consistency of  $\omega_1 < \mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{b}^+ < \mathfrak{s}$  remains open. One way to approach this more general problem, is to obtain a ccc poset which preserves the unboundedness of a given unbounded family, adds a real not split by  $V \cap [\omega]^\omega$  and iterate it with finite supports (note that in the desired generic extension  $\aleph_3 < \mathfrak{c}$ ). There are two results which should be mentioned in this context. In 1988 [4], M. Canjar showed that if  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$ , where  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the dominating number, defined as the minimal size of a family  $D \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  such that  $\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega \exists g \in D (f \leq^* g)$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  is the size of the continuum, then there is an ultrafilter  $U$  such that the relativized Mathias forcing  $\mathbb{M}_U$ , preserves the unboundedness of  $V \cap {}^\omega\omega$  and certainly adds a real not split by the ground model infinite subsets of  $\omega$ . This poset  $\mathbb{M}_U$  however, can not be used to obtain a model in which  $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{c}$ , since in order to obtain such a model, along the iteration one has to preserve the unboundedness of a chosen witness for  $\mathfrak{b}$ . That is in fact the main result of [6], where

with a given unbounded directed family  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  of size  $\mathfrak{c}$ , one associates a  $\sigma$ -centered poset  $Q_{\mathcal{H}}$  which preserves the unboundedness of  $\mathcal{H}$  and adds a real not split by  $V \cap [\omega]^\omega$ . Consequently an appropriate iteration of  $Q_{\mathcal{H}}$  gives the consistency of  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{b}^+$  mentioned earlier. However the restriction  $|\mathcal{H}| = \mathfrak{c}$ , prevents the method of [6] from solving the more general consistency problem, since for this at certain stages of the iteration one has to preserve the unboundedness of a fixed family of size  $< \mathfrak{c}$ .

In the following we obtain a generic extension  $V_1$ , in which there is a  $\sigma$ -centered poset  $Q$  which preserves the unboundedness of a given family of size  $< \mathfrak{c}$  and adds a real not split by  $V_1 \cap [\omega]^\omega$ . Thus the construction can be considered a first step towards obtaining the consistency of  $\omega_1 < \mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{b}^+ < \mathfrak{s}$ .

## 2. LOGARITHMIC MEASURES AND COHEN FORCING

The notion of logarithmic measure is due to S. Shelah. In the presentation of logarithmic measures (Definitions 1, 2, 3) we follow [1].

**Definition 1.** Let  $s \subseteq \omega$  and let  $h : [s]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \omega$ , where  $[s]^{<\omega}$  is the family of finite subsets of  $s$ . Then  $h$  is a *logarithmic measure* if  $\forall A \in [s]^{<\omega}$ ,  $\forall A_0, A_1$  such that  $A = A_0 \cup A_1$ ,  $h(A_i) \geq h(A) - 1$  for  $i = 0$  or  $i = 1$  unless  $h(A) = 0$ . Whenever  $s$  is a finite set and  $h$  a logarithmic measure on  $s$ , the pair  $x = (s, h)$  is called a *finite logarithmic measure*. The value  $h(s) = \|x\|$  is called *the level of  $x$* , the underlying set of integers  $s$  is denoted  $\text{int}(x)$ . Whenever  $h$  is a finite logarithmic measure on  $x$  and  $e \subseteq x$  is such that  $h(e) > 0$ , we will say that  $e$  is  *$h$ -positive*.

If  $h$  is a logarithmic measure and  $h(A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}) \geq \ell + 1$  then  $h(A_j) \geq \ell - j$  for some  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $P \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}$  be an upwards closed family which does not contain singletons. Then  $P$  induces a logarithmic measure  $h$  on  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  defined inductively on  $|s|$  for  $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  as follows:

- (1)  $h(e) \geq 0$  for every  $e \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$
- (2)  $h(e) > 0$  iff  $e \in P$
- (3) for  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $h(e) \geq \ell + 1$  iff whenever  $e_0, e_1 \subseteq e$  are such that  $e = e_0 \cup e_1$ , then  $h(e_0) \geq \ell$  or  $h(e_1) \geq \ell$ .

Then  $h(e) = \ell$  if  $\ell$  is maximal for which  $h(e) \geq \ell$ . The elements of  $P$  are called *positive sets* and  $h$  is said to be *induced by  $P$* .

If  $h$  is an induced logarithmic measure and  $h(e) \geq \ell$ , then for every  $a$  such that  $e \subseteq a$ ,  $h(a) \geq \ell$ . A known example of induced logarithmic measure is the standard measure (see Shelah, [8]). That is the measure  $h$  induced by  $P = \{a \subseteq \omega : |a| < \omega \text{ and } |a| \geq 2\}$ . Note that  $\forall x \in P$ ,  $h(x) = \min\{i : |x| \leq 2^i\}$ . Let LM be the set of finite logarithmic measures and for  $n \in \omega$  let  $L_n = \{x \in LM : \|x\| \geq n, \min \text{int}(x) \geq n\}$ . By [LM] denote the set of all families of finite logarithmic measures  $X$  such that  $\forall n \in \omega (X \cap L_n \neq \emptyset)$ . For  $X \in [LM]$  let  $\text{int}(X) = \cup\{\text{int}(t) : t \in X\}$  be the underlying set of integers.

*Claim.* If  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq [LM]$  is a centered, then there is  $U \subseteq [LM]$  which is centered and such that for every  $X \in [LM]$  either  $X \in U$  or  $\exists Y \in U (X \cap Y \notin [LM])$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $Q$  be the partial order of all  $(u, X) \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \times [\text{LM}]$  such that  $\forall x \in X (\max u < \min \text{int}(x))$ . If  $u = \emptyset$  we say that  $(\emptyset, X)$  is a *pure condition* and denote it by  $X$ . Then  $(u_2, X_2)$  extends  $(u_1, X_1)$ , denoted  $(u_2, X_2) \leq (u_1, X_1)$ , if  $u_2$  is an end-extension of  $u_1$ ,  $u_2 \setminus u_1 \subseteq \text{int}(X_1)$ ,  $\text{int}(X_2) \subseteq \text{int}(X_1)$ ,  $\forall x \in X_2 \exists B_x \in [X_1]^{<\omega}$  such that  $\text{int}(x) \subseteq \cup \{\text{int}(y) : y \in B_x\}$ ,  $\forall y \in B_x (u_2 \cap \text{int}(y) = \emptyset)$  and  $\forall e \subseteq \text{int}(x)$  which is  $x$ -positive  $\exists y \in B_x (e \cap \text{int}(y)$  is  $y$ -positive).

**Definition 4.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of pure conditions, then  $Q(\mathcal{F})$  is the suborder of  $Q$  consisting of all  $(u, X) \in Q$  such that  $\exists Y \in \mathcal{F} (Y \leq X)$ .

If  $C$  is a centered family of pure conditions, then  $Q(C)$  is  $\sigma$ -centered. Conditions of  $Q(C)$  are compatible as conditions in  $Q(C)$  if and only if they are compatible as conditions in  $Q$ .

Unless specified otherwise  $\Gamma$  denotes a countable subset of  $\omega_2$ . Also  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  is the forcing notion of all partial functions  $p : \Gamma \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$  with finite domain and extension relation  $p \leq q$  if  $q \subseteq p$ . Thus  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  is the forcing notion for adding  $\Gamma$  Cohen reals, e.g.  $\mathbb{C}(\{0\}) = \mathbb{C}$  is just Cohen forcing,  $\mathbb{C}_n = \mathbb{C}(n)$  is the forcing for adding  $n$  Cohen reals, etc. If  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(p) = \{q \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma) : q \leq p\}$ . A family  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  for some ordinal  $\lambda$ , where  $n \in \omega$  and  $\forall j \in n - 1 \sup \Gamma_j < \min \Gamma_{j+1}$  is called a *finite ordered partition of  $\Gamma = \cup_{j \in n} \Gamma_j$* . Note that if  $\Gamma$  is a countable set of ordinals, then  $\Gamma$  has only countably many finite ordered partitions.  $\mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$  denotes the set of all finite ordered partitions of  $\Gamma$ . For  $k, n \in \omega$  let  ${}^{\leq n}k = \cup_{j=0}^{n-1} \{0, \dots, j\}^k$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $k \in \omega$ . Then  $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$  is the set of all matrices  $P = (p_i^j)_{i \in k, j \in n}$  with  $k$  rows and  $n$  columns, where the  $(i, j)$ -th entry  $p_i^j$  is a condition in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{M}_1(\Gamma')$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  can be identified. A matrix  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$  is below  $p = (p^j) \in \mathbb{M}_1(\Gamma')$  if  $\forall i, j (p_i^j \leq p^j)$ . Let  $\mathbb{M}_{k,p}(\Gamma') = \{P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma') : P \text{ is below } p\}$ ,  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma') = \cup_{k \in \omega} \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$  and  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma) = \cup \{\mathbb{M}(\Gamma') : \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)\}$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in \omega} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$  and  $t : {}^{\leq n}k \rightarrow \cup_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$  such that  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k (t(a) \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j))$ . Then  $t$  induces a tree  $T = \{T(a)\}_{a \in {}^{\leq n}k}$  where  $T(a) = (T(b), t(a))$  whenever  $a = (b, i), i \in k$  and  $T(a) \leq_T T(b)$  iff  $a \upharpoonright |b| = b$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_k(\Gamma')$  be the set of all trees induced by some  $t$  as above,  $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma') = \cup_{k \in \omega} \mathcal{T}_k(\Gamma')$  and  $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma) = \{\mathcal{T}(\Gamma') : \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)\}$ .

We use the convention that trees are denoted by a capital letter, while the inducing function is denoted by the corresponding small letter, e.g.  $T$  is induced by  $t$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k(\Gamma')$ ,  $\max T$  is the set of all maximal nodes of  $T$ . Note that  $\max T \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\cup \Gamma')$ . If  $\phi$  is a formula in the  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -language of forcing,  $T$  a tree in  $\mathcal{T}_k(\Gamma')$ ,  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$  then  $T \Vdash \phi$  if  $\forall t \in \max T (t \Vdash \phi)$ . To emphasize that  $\Gamma'$  is a partition of  $\Gamma$ , we write  $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_k(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ , etc.

**Definition 7.** Let  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$ . Then  $\text{ext}(P)$  is the set of all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k(\Gamma')$  such that if  $T$  is induced by  $t : {}^{\leq n}k \rightarrow \cup_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$  then  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k (t(a) \leq p_i^j)$ . The elements of  $\text{ext}(P)$  are called trees of extensions of  $P$ .

**Definition 8.** A  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -name  $\dot{X}$  for a pure condition is  $\Gamma'$  *symmetric*,  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ , if  $\forall k \in \omega \forall P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma') \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{ext}(P) \exists x \in L_M(T \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{X})$ . Also  $\dot{X}$  is *symmetric* if  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$   $\dot{X}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric.

**Definition 9.** A  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -name for a pure condition  $\dot{X}$  is  $\Gamma'$  *symmetric below*  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ , if  $\forall k \in \omega \forall P \in \mathbb{M}_{k,p}(\Gamma') \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{ext}(P) \exists x \in L_M(T \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{X})$ . Also  $\dot{X}$  is *symmetric below*  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  if  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$   $\dot{X}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $p$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Gamma \in [\omega_2]^\omega$ ,  $\phi$  a formula in the  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -language of forcing such that  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma) \forall k \in \omega \forall P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma') \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{exp}(P) \exists x \in L_M$  such that  $\phi(T, x)$ . Then there is a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric name  $\dot{X}$  for a pure condition such that  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma) \forall k \in \omega \forall P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma') \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{exp}(P) \exists x \in L_M$  for which  $\phi(T, x)$  holds and  $T \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  enumerate all finite ordered partitions of  $\Gamma$ , for every  $n \in \omega$  let  $\{P_{n,m}\}_{m \in \omega}$  enumerate  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma_n)$  and let  $\tau : \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$  such that  $\forall (n, m) \in \omega \times \omega |\tau^{-1}(n, m)| = \omega$ . Now for every  $i \in \omega$  let  $P_i = P_{\tau(i)}$ . Then  $\{P_i\}_{i \in \omega}$  is an enumeration of  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma)$  such that each matrix  $P_{n,m}$  appears cofinally often. Let  $i \in \omega$ ,  $P_i = P_{n,m}$  for some  $n, m$ . By hypothesis there is  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_n)$  extending  $P_i$  and  $x_i \in L_i$  such that  $\phi(T_i, x_i)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_i = \{a_{is}\}_{s \in \omega}$  be a maximal antichain in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma) - \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(\{t\}_{t \in \max T_i})$  such that  $\forall s \in \omega \exists x_{is} \in L_i(\phi(a_{is}, x_{is}))$ . Let  $\dot{X} = \cup_{i \in \omega} (\{\check{x}_i, t\} : t \in \max T_i) \cup \{\langle \check{x}_{is}, a_{is} \rangle\}_{s \in \omega}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.* Whenever a name  $\dot{X}$  is constructed by the method of Lemma 1, we say that  $\dot{X}$  is obtained by diagonalization of  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma)$  with respect to  $\phi(T, x)$ . If  $C$  is a countable centered family of symmetric names for pure conditions, then there is a name  $\dot{X} = \langle \dot{X}(i) : i \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\forall P \in \mathbb{M}(\Gamma) \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{ext}(P) \exists x \in L_M$  such that  $T \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}$ ,  $\forall m \in \omega \dot{X}_m = \langle \dot{X}(i) : i \geq m \rangle$  is symmetric and  $\Vdash C \subseteq Q(\{\dot{X}_m\}_{m \in \omega})$ . Such names are called *strongly symmetric*. Since all names constructed by diagonalization of  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma)$  are strongly symmetric, for every  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  symmetric name  $\dot{X}$  there is a strongly symmetric name  $\dot{X}'$  such that  $\Vdash \dot{X}' \leq \dot{X}$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  symmetric below  $e$ , then there is a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  symmetric name  $Y_e^*$  such that  $e \Vdash Y_e^* \leq \dot{Y}$ .

*Proof.* Fix a maximal antichain  $E = \{e_i\}_{i \in \omega}$  in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  such that  $e_0 = e$ . For every  $i \in \omega$  let  $\Phi_i$  be an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(e_i)$  onto  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(e_0)$  such that  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \Phi_i''\mathbb{C}(\{\gamma\}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\{\gamma\})$ .

Let  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$ ,  $M \in \omega$ . Then  $\forall i \in \omega$ ,  $p_i = \cup_{j \in n} p_i^j \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  and so  $\exists s(i)$  such that  $p_i \not\leq e_{s(i)}$  with common extension  $q_i$ . Then  $\forall j \in n$  let  $q_i^j = q_i \upharpoonright \Gamma_j \times \omega$ . Thus  $P_E = Q = (q_i^j)$  is a componentwise extension of  $P$ . Then  $\forall i, j$ ,  $\hat{q}_i^j = \Phi_{s(i)}(q_i^j) = \Phi_{s(i)}(q_i \upharpoonright \Gamma_j \times \omega) = \Phi_{s(i)}(q_i) \upharpoonright \Gamma_j \times \omega \leq e_0 \upharpoonright \Gamma_j \times \omega$ . Therefore  $\hat{Q} = (\hat{q}_i^j)$  is a matrix below  $e$ . Since  $\dot{Y}$  is symmetric below  $e$ ,  $\exists \hat{T} \in \text{ext}(\hat{Q}) \exists x \in L_M$  such that  $\hat{T} \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{Y}$ . If  $\hat{t} : {}^n k \rightarrow \cup_{j \in n} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$  induces  $\hat{T}$ , define  $t : {}^n k \rightarrow \cup_{j \in n} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$  as follows:  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1} k$ ,  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$  let  $t(a) = \Phi_{s(i)}^{-1}(\hat{t}(a))$ . Then since  $\hat{t}(a) \leq \Phi_{s(i)}(q_i^j)$ , we have  $t(a) \leq q_i^j$ . Thus if  $T$  is induced by  $t$ , then  $T \in$

## FURTHER COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF COHEN FORCING

$\text{ext}(P_E) \subseteq \text{ext}(P)$ . Let  $I : \text{ext}(\hat{P}_E) \rightarrow \text{ext}(P_E)$ ,  $I(\hat{T}) = T$ . Similarly define  $J : \text{ext}(P_E) \rightarrow \text{ext}(\hat{T}_E)$  where if  $T$  is induced by  $t$ , then  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k$ ,  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$  let  $\hat{t}(a) = \Phi_{s(i)}(t(a))$  and let  $J(T) = \hat{T}$  be the tree induced by  $\hat{t}$ . Then  $\forall T \in \text{ext}(P_E)(J \circ I(T) = T)$  and  $\forall R \in \text{ext}(\hat{P}_E)(I \circ J(R) = R)$ .

The above construction did not depend on the choice of  $\Gamma'$ . Therefore  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma) \forall k \in \omega \forall P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma') \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{ext}(P) \exists x \in L_M$  such that  $\hat{T} \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{Y}$ . To obtain  $Y_e^*$  diagonalize  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma)$  with respect to  $\phi(T, x)$  where  $\phi(T, x)$  holds iff  $\hat{T}$  is defined and  $\hat{T} \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{Y}$ . If  $t \leq e$  and  $\langle t, \check{x} \rangle \in Y_e^*$ , then  $\hat{t} = t \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{Y}$ . Therefore  $e \Vdash Y_e^* \leq \dot{Y}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -generic filter,  $X \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V[G]$ . If  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$   $X$  has a  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric name, then  $X$  has a symmetric name.*

*Proof.* Proceed by the method of Lemma 1. At stage  $i$  of the construction if  $P_i = P_{m,n} \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_m)$  for some partition  $\Gamma_m$ , use the  $\Gamma_m$  symmetry of a name for  $X$  to obtain  $T_i \in \text{ext}(P_i)$  and  $x \in L_i$  such that  $T_i \Vdash \check{x}_i \leq \dot{X}$ .  $\square$

## 3. AN ULTRAFILTER OF SYMMETRIC NAMES

**Definition 10.** Let  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\phi : {}^{\leq n}\omega_1 \rightarrow \bigcup_{j \in n} \Gamma_j \times \omega$  such that  $\forall j \in n \forall u \in {}^{j+1}\omega_1 (\phi(u) \in \Gamma_j \times \omega)$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a tree  $\Phi = \{\Phi(u)\}_{u \in {}^{\leq n}\omega}$  where  $\Phi(u) = (\Phi(v), \phi(u))$  where  $u = (v, i)$ ,  $i \in k$  and  $\Phi(u) \leq_\Phi \Phi(v)$  if  $u \upharpoonright |v| = v$ . Let  $\Phi(\Gamma')$  be the set of all trees induced by some injective  $\phi : {}^{\leq n}k \rightarrow \bigcup_{j \in n} \Gamma_j \times \omega$ . Again, capital letters will denote trees while the corresponding small letters will denote the inducing functions.

Consider  $\Gamma \times \omega$  as the Tychonoff product of  $\Gamma$  copies of the Baire space  $\omega$ . Then for every basic open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Gamma \times \omega$ , there is  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  such that  $U = [p]_\Gamma = \{f \in \Gamma \times \omega : f \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p) = p\}$ . If  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ , consider  $\prod_{j=0}^n \Gamma_j \times \omega$  as a Tychonoff product of  $\Gamma_j \times \omega$ . Then every basic open neighborhood is of the form  $\prod_{j=0}^n [p_j]_{\Gamma_j}$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ ,  $p_j = p \upharpoonright \Gamma_j \times \omega$ .

**Definition 11.**  $\Phi \in \Phi(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n})$  is nowhere meager (denoted nwm), if  $\forall j \in n \forall u \in {}^j\omega_1 \{\phi(u, \alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$  is a nowhere meager subset of  $\Gamma_j \times \omega$ .

**Definition 12.** An injective mapping  $\psi : {}^{\leq n}k \rightarrow {}^{\leq n}\omega_1$  such that  $|\psi(a)| = |a|$ ,  $a \subseteq b \rightarrow \psi(a) \subseteq \psi(b)$  is called a tree embedding.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . For every ordered partition  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n}$ , for every nwm tree  $\Phi \in \Phi(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n-1})$  and every  $R : {}^{n-1}\omega_1 \times \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  either  $(I)_n$  or  $(II)_n$  holds, where:*

$(I)_n \exists p = (p_i) \in \mathbb{M}_1(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n})$  s.t.  $\forall k \in \omega \forall P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n-1})$  below  $p \upharpoonright n-1$  there is a tree embedding  $\psi : {}^{\leq n-1}k \rightarrow {}^{\leq n-1}\omega_1$  such that  $\forall j \in n-1 \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k$  if  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$ , then  $\phi \circ \psi(a) \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$  and  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k$ ,  $R(\psi(a), p_{n-1}) = 1$ .

$(II)_n \forall k \in \omega \forall P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n-1})$  there is a tree embedding  $\psi : {}^{\leq n-1}k \rightarrow {}^{\leq n-1}\omega_1$  such that  $\forall j \in n-1 \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k$  if  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$ , then  $\phi \circ \psi(a) \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$  and  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k \forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1}) R(\psi(a), p) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The statement is proved by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 2$ , let  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in 2}$  be a finite ordered partition, let  $\Phi \in \Phi(\Gamma_0)$  be a nwm tree (that is  $\{\phi(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$

is a nwm subset of  $\Gamma_0 \times \omega \times \omega$ ,  $R^{\{0\}} \omega_1 \times \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . If there is  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_1)$  such that  $B_p = \{\phi(\alpha) : R(\alpha, p) = 1\}$  is not meager, then there is  $q \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_0)$  such that  $B_p \cap [q]_{\Gamma_0}$  is everywhere non-meager. Let  $P = (p_i) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_0)$  below  $q$ . Then  $\forall i \in k \exists \phi(\alpha_i) \in [p_i]_{\Gamma_0} \cap B_p$  and so  $\forall i \in k R(\alpha_i, p) = 1$ . Take  $\psi : k \rightarrow \omega_1$  where  $\psi(i) = \alpha_i$ . Then  $(I)_2$  holds with witness  $(q, p)$ .

Assume the statement holds for some  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n+1}$  be a finite ordered partition,  $\Phi \in \Phi(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n})$  nwm tree,  $R : {}^n \omega_1 \times \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Now, for every  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ , let  $\Phi_\alpha \in T(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n)$  be a nwm tree induced by  $\phi_\alpha : \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{1, \dots, j\} \omega_1 \rightarrow \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_j \times \omega \times \omega$  where  $\phi_\alpha(u) = \phi(\langle \alpha, u \rangle)$  and let  $R_\alpha : \{1, \dots, n\} \omega_1 \times \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $R_\alpha(u, p) = R(\langle \alpha, u \rangle, p)$ . Then for every  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ , by the inductive hypothesis applied to  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n$ ,  $\Phi_\alpha$ ,  $R_\alpha$  either  $(I)_n$  or  $(II)_n$  holds. To specify the dependence on  $\alpha$ , we say that  $(I)_{n,\alpha}$  or  $(II)_{n,\alpha}$  holds. For completeness of notation we state explicitly  $(I)_{n,\alpha}$  and  $(II)_{n,\alpha}$ . If  $(I)_{n,\alpha}$  holds with witness  $p^\alpha = (p_i^\alpha)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{M}_1(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n)$  then for every  $k \in \omega$ , every  $P = (p_i^j)_{i \in k} \in \mathbb{M}_k(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1})$  below  $(p_i^\alpha)_{i=1}^{n-1}$ , there is a tree embedding  $\psi_\alpha : \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{1, \dots, j\} k \rightarrow \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{1, \dots, j\} \omega_1$  such that  $\forall a \in \{1, \dots, j\} k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$ ,  $\phi_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$  and  $\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} k$   $R_\alpha(\psi_\alpha(a), p_n^\alpha) = 1$ . If  $(II)_{n,\alpha}$ , then for all  $k \in \omega$ ,  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1})$  there is a tree embedding  $\psi_\alpha : \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{1, \dots, j\} k \rightarrow \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{1, \dots, j\} \omega_1$  such that  $\forall a \in \{1, \dots, j\} k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$ ,  $\phi_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$  and  $\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} k \forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_n) R_\alpha(\psi_\alpha(a), p) = 0$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\phi(\alpha) : (I)_{n,\alpha}\}$  is non-meager in  $\Gamma_0 \times \omega \times \omega$ , then  $\exists \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$  which is non-meager and such that  $\forall \phi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_1$   $(I)_{n,\alpha}$  holds with the same witness  $(p_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{M}_1(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is non-meager,  $\exists p_0 \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_0)$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap [p_0]_{\Gamma_0}$  is everywhere non-meager in  $[p_0]_{\Gamma_0}$ . It will be shown that  $(I)_{n+1}$  holds with witness  $(p_i)_{i=0}^n$ . Let  $k \in \omega$  and let  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n})$  be a matrix below  $(p_i)_{i \in n}$ . Then  $\forall i \in k \exists \alpha_i \in \omega_1 \phi(\alpha_i) \in [p_i^0] \cap \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then  $\psi : {}^{\leq n} k \rightarrow {}^{\leq n} \omega_1$  where  $\psi(\langle i, a \rangle) = \alpha_i \widehat{\psi}_{\alpha_i}(a)$  is a tree embedding and  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1} k$ ,  $a = (s, b, i)$ ,  $s, i \in k$ ,  $\phi \circ \psi(a) = \phi(\alpha_s \widehat{\psi}_{\alpha_s}(b, i)) = \phi_{\alpha_s} \circ \psi_{\alpha_s}(b, i) \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$ , as well as  $\forall a \in {}^n k$ ,  $a = (s, b)$ ,  $s \in k$ ,  $R(\psi(a), p_n) = R(\alpha_s \widehat{\psi}_{\alpha_s}(b), p_n) = R_{\alpha_s}(\psi_{\alpha_s}(b), p_n) = 1$ . Otherwise  $\mathcal{C}'_0 = \{\phi(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1} \setminus \mathcal{C}_0 = \{\phi(\alpha) : (II)_{n,\alpha}\}$  is everywhere non-meager. Let  $k \in \omega$ ,  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n})$ . Then  $\forall i \in k \exists \alpha_i \in \omega_1 \phi(\alpha_i) \in \mathcal{C}'_0 \cap [p_i^0]_{\Gamma_0}$ . Then  $\psi : {}^{\leq n} k \rightarrow {}^{\leq n} \omega_1$  where  $\psi(i, \alpha) = \alpha_i \widehat{\psi}_{\alpha_i}(a)$  ( $i \in k$ ) is a tree embedding and  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1} k$ ,  $a = (s, b, i)$ ,  $s, i \in k$ ,  $\phi \circ \psi(a) = \phi(\alpha_s \widehat{\psi}_{\alpha_s}(b, i)) = (\phi_{\alpha_s} \circ \psi_{\alpha_s})(b, i) \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$ , as well as  $\forall a \in {}^n k$ ,  $a = (s, b)$  ( $s \in k$ )  $\forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_n)$ ,  $R(\psi(a), p) = R(\alpha_s \widehat{\psi}_{\alpha_s}(b), p) = R_{\alpha_s}(\psi_{\alpha_s}(b), p) = 0$ .  $\square$

In the following  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes a countable transitive model of sufficiently large portion of ZFC.

**Definition 13.** A tree  $\Phi \in \Phi(\Gamma')$  is Cohen generic over  $\mathcal{M}$ , if  $\forall j \in n \forall u \in {}^{j+1} \omega_1$  where  $u = (v, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ ,  $\phi(u)$  is  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$ -generic over  $\mathcal{M}[\Phi(v)]$  (thus  $\phi(u)$  is a  $\Gamma_j$ -sequence of Cohen generic reals). Whenever the tree  $\Phi$  is clear from context we will write  $\mathcal{M}[u]$  for  $\mathcal{M}[\Phi(u)]$ .

## FURTHER COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF COHEN FORCING

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\dot{X}$  a  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric name for a pure condition,  $\Vdash \dot{X} = \dot{Y} \cup \dot{Z}$ . Then  $\forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma) \exists q \leq p$  such that  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $q$ , or  $\dot{Z}$  is  $\Gamma'$  symmetric below  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $|\Gamma'| = 1$ , i.e.  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma\}$ . Note that  $\dot{X}$  is  $\{\Gamma\}$ -symmetric below  $p$  iff for every finite tuple  $(p_i)_{i \in k} \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(p)$  and every  $M \in \omega$ , there are  $(q_i)_{i \in k}$ ,  $x \in L_M$  such that  $\forall i \in k (q_i \leq p_i)$  and  $q_i \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{X}$ . For every  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  let  $\text{hull}_p(\dot{X}) = \{x \in LM : \exists q \leq p (q \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{X})\}$ . Then  $\dot{X}$  is  $\{\Gamma\}$ -symmetric below  $p$  iff for every finite tuple  $(p_i)_{i \in k} \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(p)$  and  $n \in \omega$ , the set  $\bigcap_{i \in k} \text{hull}_{p_i}(\dot{X})$  meets  $L_n$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  be a counterexample to the claim of the Lemma. Since  $\dot{Y}$  is not  $\{\Gamma\}$ -symmetric below  $p$ , there are a tuple  $(p_i)_{i \in k} \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(p)$  and  $m \in \omega$  such that  $(\bigcap_{p_i} \text{hull}(\dot{Y})) \cap L_m = \emptyset$ . For every  $i \in k$  there are a finite tuple  $(q_{ij})_{j \in n_i} \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)^+(p_i)$  and  $m_i \in \omega$  such that  $(\bigcap_{j \in n_i} \text{hull}(\dot{Z})) \cap L_{m_i} = \emptyset$ . Consider  $\{q_{ij}\}_{i \in k, j \in n_i}$ . Since  $\dot{X}$  is  $\{\Gamma\}$ -symmetric below  $p$ , for all  $i, j$  there are  $t_{ij} \leq q_{ij}$  and  $x \in L_M$  where  $M > \{m, \max_{i \in k} m_i\}$  such that  $t_{ij} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}$ . Since  $\Vdash \dot{X} = \dot{Y} \cup \dot{Z}$ , for every  $i, j$  there is a further extension  $r_{ij} \leq t_{ij}$  such that  $r_{ij} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Y}$  or  $r_{ij} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Z}$ . If  $\exists i \in k \forall j \in n_i (r_{ij} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Z})$ , we reach a contradiction since  $x \in L_{m_i}$ . Otherwise  $\forall i \in k \exists j_i \in n_i (r_{ij_i} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Y})$ . But  $r_{ij_i} \leq p_i$  and so  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in k} \text{hull}_{p_i}(\dot{Y})$  which is a contradiction since  $x \in L_m$ .

Let  $|\Gamma'| \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n}$ ,  $\Phi \in \Phi(\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n-1})$  a nowhere meager tree of Cohen generics over  $\mathcal{M}$ . For  $u \in {}^{n-1}\omega_1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1})$  let  $E(u, p) = \{x \in LM : \mathcal{M}[u] \Vdash (\exists q \leq p) q \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}[u]\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_{n-1} = \{\bigcap_{i,j}^{k,\ell} E(u_i, p_j) : \{u_i\}_{i \in k} \subseteq {}^{n-1}\omega_1, \{p_j\}_{j \in \ell} \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1}), k, \ell \in \omega\} \subseteq [LM]$  is centered. Let  $U \subseteq [LM]$  be such that  $\mathcal{E}_{n-1} \subseteq U$  and  $\forall X \in [LM]$  either  $X \in U$  or  $\exists Y \in U (Y \cap X \notin [LM])$ . For  $u \in {}^{n-1}\omega_1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1})$  let  $D(u, p) = \{x \in LM : \mathcal{M}[u] \Vdash p \Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1})} \check{x} \in (\dot{X}^c \cup \dot{Y})[u]\}$  and for  $v \in {}^{n-2}\omega_1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1})$  let  $B(v, p) = \{\phi(v \hat{\ } \alpha) : D(v \hat{\ } \alpha, p) \in U\}$ . Let  $R : {}^{n-1}\omega_1 \times \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $R(u, p) = 1$  if  $D(u, p) \in U$  and  $R(u, p) = 0$  otherwise. By Lemma 4 (I)<sub>n</sub> or (II)<sub>n</sub> holds.

If (I)<sub>n</sub> holds with witness  $p = (p_i)_{i \in n} \in \mathbb{M}_1(\Gamma')$ , let  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$  below  $p$  and  $M \in \omega$ . Then there is a tree embedding  $\psi : \leq^{n-1}k \rightarrow \leq^{n-1}\omega_1$  such that  $\forall j \in n - 1 \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k$  where  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$   $\phi \circ \psi(a) \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$  and  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k$   $D(\psi(a), p_{n-1}) \in U$ . Since  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k$   $E(\psi(a), p_i^{n-1}) \in U$ , also  $A = (\bigcap E(\psi(a), p_i^{n-1}) \cap (\bigcap D(\psi(a), p_{n-1})) \in U$ . Then  $\exists x \in L_M \cap A$  and so  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}[\psi(a)] \Vdash (\exists p_{a,i} \leq p_i^{n-1}) p_{a,i} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}[\psi(a)]$  and  $\mathcal{M}[\psi(a)] \Vdash p_{n-1} \Vdash \check{x} \in (\dot{X}^c \cup \dot{Y})[\psi(a)]$ . Then since  $\forall i (p_i^{n-1} \leq p_{n-1})$  we obtain that for all  $a \in {}^{n-1}k$   $\mathcal{M}[\psi(a)] \Vdash p_{a,i} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}[\psi(a)]$  and  $\check{x} \in (\dot{X}^c \cup \dot{Y})[\psi(a)]$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{M}[\psi(a)] \Vdash p_{a,i} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Y}[\psi(a)]$ . In finitely many steps obtain  $T \in \text{ext}(P) (T \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Y})$ . Otherwise (II)<sub>n</sub> holds. Let  $k \in \omega$ ,  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma')$ ,  $M \in \omega$ . Then there is a tree embedding  $\psi : \leq^{n-1}k \rightarrow \leq^{n-1}\omega_1$  such that  $\forall j \in n \forall a \in {}^{j+1}k$  where  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$ ,  $\phi \circ \psi(a) \in [p_i^j]_{\Gamma_j}$  and  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k \forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{n-1})$   $D(\psi(a), p) \notin U$ . Then  $\exists x \in L_M$  such that  $x \notin \bigcup_{a \in {}^{n-1}k, i \in k} D(\psi(a), p_i^{n-1})$  and so  $\forall a \in {}^{n-1}k$   $\mathcal{M}[\psi(a)] \Vdash p_i^{n-1} \not\Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{X}^c[\psi(a)] \cup \dot{Y}[\psi(a)]$ . Therefore  $\forall a \exists p_{a,i} \leq p_i^{n-1}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}[\psi(a)] \Vdash p_{a,i} \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Z}[\psi(a)]$ . In finitely many steps obtain  $T \in \text{ext}(P) (T \Vdash \check{x} \in \dot{Z})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *If  $\dot{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  symmetric name for a pure condition,  $\dot{A}$  is a name for an infinite subset of  $\omega$ , then there is a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric name  $\dot{Y}$  such that  $\dot{Y} \leq \dot{X}$  and  $\forall i \in \omega \Vdash \text{int}(\dot{Y}(i)) \subseteq \dot{A}$  or  $\text{int}(\dot{Y}(i)) \subseteq \dot{A}^c$ .*

*Proof.* Diagonalize  $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma)$  with respect to  $\phi(T, x)$  where  $\phi(T, x)$  holds iff  $\forall t \in \max T \ t \Vdash \text{“}\dot{x} \leq \dot{X}, \text{int}(x) \subseteq \dot{A}\text{”}$  or  $t \Vdash \text{“}\dot{x} \leq \dot{X}, \text{int}(x) \subseteq \dot{A}^c\text{”}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\dot{X}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric name for a pure condition,  $\dot{A}$  a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -name for a set of integers,  $G$  a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -generic filter. Then in  $V[G]$  there is a pure condition  $X^*$  with a symmetric name which extends  $\dot{X}[G]$  and such that  $\text{int}(X^*) \subseteq \dot{A}[G]$  or  $\text{int}(X^*) \subseteq \dot{A}^c[G]$ .*

*Proof.* Passing to a name for an extension if necessary, by Lemma 6 we can assume that  $\forall P \in \mathbb{M}(\Gamma) \forall M \in \omega \exists T \in \text{ext}(P) \exists x \in L_M$  such that  $T \Vdash \dot{x} \in \dot{X}$  and for all  $i$ ,  $\Vdash \text{“}\text{int}(\dot{X}(i)) \subseteq \dot{A}$  or  $\text{int}(\dot{X}(i)) \subseteq \dot{A}^c\text{”}$ . Then there are  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -names  $\dot{Y}, \dot{Z}$  such that  $\Vdash \dot{Y} = \langle \dot{X}(i) : \text{int}(\dot{X}(i)) \subseteq \dot{A} \rangle$  and  $\Vdash \dot{Z} = \langle \dot{X}(i) : \text{int}(\dot{X}(i)) \subseteq \dot{A}^c \rangle$ . By Lemma 5  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma) \forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma) \exists q \leq p$  such that  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma'$  symmetric below  $p$ , or  $\dot{Z}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $p$ . For every  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$  let  $E(\Gamma')$  be a maximal antichain in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\forall e \in E(\Gamma')$  either there is no  $t \leq e$  such that  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $t$  and  $\dot{Z}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $e$ , or  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $e$ . For every  $\Gamma'$  let  $\{e(\Gamma')\} = G \cap E(\Gamma')$ . If  $\forall \Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $e(\Gamma')$ , then by Lemmas 2 and 3,  $\dot{Y}[G]$  has a symmetric name. Otherwise there is  $\Gamma'$  such that  $\forall t \leq e(\Gamma')$   $\dot{Y}$  is not  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $t$  and so by the choice of  $E(\Gamma')$ ,  $\dot{Z}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $e(\Gamma')$ . Let  $\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$  be distinct from  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\forall D \in \Gamma_0$  either  $D \in \Gamma'$  or  $D \in \Gamma''$ . If  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma_0$ -symmetric below  $e(\Gamma_0)$ , then  $\dot{Y}$  is  $\Gamma'$ -symmetric below  $t$ , where  $t \in G$  is a common extension of  $e(\Gamma_0)$  and  $e(\Gamma')$  which is a contradiction. Then  $\dot{Z}[G]$  has a symmetric name.  $\square$

#### 4. UNBOUNDEDNESS

**Definition 14.** Let  $\Gamma \in [\omega_2]^\omega$ ,  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n}$  a finite ordered partition of  $\Gamma$ ,  $k \in \omega$ . Let  $\{\Gamma_a : a \in {}^n k\}$  be a family of pairwise disjoint sets of ordinals such that  $\forall j \leq n \forall a \in {}^j k \ \Gamma_a \cong \Gamma_{j-1}$  with an isomorphism  $i_a$  such that  $a <_{\text{lex}} b \rightarrow \sup \Gamma_a < \min \Gamma_b$ . Let  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \cup \{\Gamma_a : a \in {}^n k\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$  is said to be a Cohen tree defined by  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  and  $k$ . For every  $a \in {}^n k$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -generic filter  $G$ , let  $G^a = G \cap \prod_{i \in n} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{a_i})$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\dot{X}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric name for a pure condition,  $\Gamma \in [\omega_2]^\omega$ ,  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n} \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma)$ ,  $k \in \omega$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  a Cohen tree defined by  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$ ,  $k \in \omega$ ,  $A \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V$  and  $G$  a  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -generic filter. Then in  $V[G]$  there is a pure condition  $\tilde{X}$  with strongly  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -symmetric name such that  $\forall a \in {}^n k \ \tilde{X} \leq \dot{X}[G^a]$  and  $\text{int}(\tilde{X}) \subseteq A$  or  $\text{int}(\tilde{X}) \subseteq A^c$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $a \in {}^n k$  let  $\Gamma^a = \cup_{j \in n} \Gamma_{a_j}$  and  $I_a : \Gamma^a \cong \Gamma$  where  $I_a \upharpoonright \Gamma_{a_j} = i_{a_j}$ . If  $\tilde{\Gamma}' \in \mathcal{FP}(\tilde{\Gamma})$   $P \in \mathbb{M}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\Gamma}')$  and  $M \in \omega$ , then there is a tree of extensions  $T \in \text{ext}(P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\Gamma}')$  and  $x \in L_M$  such that  $\forall t \in \max T \ t \upharpoonright \Gamma^a \Vdash \dot{x} \leq I_a(\dot{X})$ , and  $\text{int}(x) \subseteq A$  or  $\text{int}(x) \subseteq A^c$  (for such  $T, x$  we will say that  $\phi(T, x)$  holds). Diagonalizing  $\mathbb{M}(\tilde{\Gamma})$  obtain a  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -symmetric name  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $\forall P \in \mathbb{M}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \forall M \in \omega$  there are  $T \in \text{ext}(P)$ ,  $x \in L_M$  such that



$\phi(T, x)$  and  $T \Vdash \check{x} \in \check{X}$ . Repeating the proof of Lemma 7 one can show that if  $\check{Y}, \check{Z}$  are  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -names such that  $\Vdash \check{Y} = \langle \check{X}(i) : \text{int}(\check{X}(i)) \subseteq \check{A} \rangle, \Vdash \check{Z} = \langle \check{X}(i) : \text{int}(\check{X}(i)) \subseteq \check{A}^c \rangle$ , then  $\check{Y}[G]$  or  $\check{Z}[G]$  has a symmetric name.  $\square$

The following sufficient condition for an induced logarithmic measure to take arbitrarily high values can be found in [1]

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $P \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}$  be an upwards closed family and let  $h$  be the logarithmic measure induced by  $P$ . Then if  $\forall n \in \omega$  and every partition  $\omega = A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}$ ,  $\exists j \in n$  such that  $A_j$  contains a positive set, then  $\forall k \in \omega \forall n \in \omega$  and partition  $\omega = A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}$ .  $\exists j \in n$  such that  $A_j$  contains a set of  $h$  measure greater or equal  $k$ .*

**Definition 15.** A  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma) * Q(C)$ -name for a real  $\dot{f}$ , where  $C$  is a centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric names for pure conditions is *good*. if for every centered family  $C'$  of  $\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)$ -symmetric names for pure conditions,  $\dot{f}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}(\omega_2) * Q(C')$ -name for a real. For every  $i \in \omega$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_i(\dot{f})$  be a maximal antichain in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma) * Q(C)$  of conditions deciding  $\dot{f}(i)$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\dot{X} = \langle \dot{X}(i) \rangle_{i \in \omega}$  be a strongly symmetric  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -name,  $P \in \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ ,  $\dot{f}$  a good  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma) * Q(C)$ -name for a real, where  $C = \{\dot{X}_m\}_{m \in \omega}$ ,  $\dot{X}_m = \langle \dot{X}(i) \rangle_{i \geq m}$ . Then the logarithmic measure induced by the family  $\mathcal{P}_k(\dot{X}, \dot{f}(i), P)$  of all  $x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that there is a tree of extensions  $T$  of  $P$  which has the property that for every  $a \in {}^n k$*

- (1)  $T(a) \Vdash (\check{x} \subseteq \text{int}(\dot{X}) \wedge (\exists l \in \omega (x \cap \text{int}(\dot{X}(l)) \text{ is } \dot{X}(l)\text{-positive}))$
- (2)  $\exists N \in \omega \forall v \subseteq k \exists w_v^a \subseteq x \exists A_{va} \in \mathcal{A}_i(\dot{f})(T(a), (v \cup w_v^a, \dot{X}_N)) \leq A_{va}$

*takes arbitrarily high values.  $T$  is said to witness that  $x \in \mathcal{P}_k(\dot{X}, \dot{f}, P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  be a Cohen tree on  $\Gamma, \Gamma', k$ . Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -symmetric and  $\omega = A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{M-1}$  a finite partition of  $\omega$ . Then by Lemma 8, there is a pure condition with a  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ -symmetric name  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $\forall a \in {}^n k \tilde{X}[G] \leq \dot{X}[G^a]$  and for some  $j_0 \in M$   $\text{int}(\tilde{X}[G]) \subseteq A_{j_0}$ . Then in particular  $\tilde{C} = \{\tilde{X}_m[G]\}_{m \in \omega}$  where  $\tilde{X}_m = \langle \tilde{X}(i) : i \geq m \rangle$  extends all of  $C_a = \{X_m[G^a]\}_{m \in \omega}$ ,  $a \in {}^n k$ .

Let  $v \subseteq k$ ,  $a \in {}^n k$ . Since  $\dot{f}_a = \dot{f}/G^a$  is  $Q(\tilde{C})$ -name for a real, there is  $\dot{R}_{av}$  a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric name for a pure condition,  $u_{av} \subseteq \omega$  and  $q_{av} \in G^a$  such that  $A_{av} = (q_{av}, (u_{av}, \dot{R}_{av})) \in \mathcal{A}_i(\dot{f})$  such that  $(u_{av}, \dot{R}_{av}[G^a])$  and  $(v, \tilde{X}[G])$  are compatible with common extension  $(v \cup w_{av}, \tilde{T}[G])$ . Since  $\dot{R}_{av}$  belongs to  $Q(C)$  there is  $N_{av}$  such that  $\Vdash \dot{R}_{av} \leq \dot{X}_{N_{av}}$ . Then there is  $t_{av} \in G^a$  extending  $q_{av}$  and  $p^a$  such that  $(t_{av}, (v \cup w_a, \dot{X}_{N_{av}})) \leq A_{av}$ . In finitely many steps find  $x \in [\text{int}(\tilde{X})]^{<\omega}$  such that for all  $v \subseteq k$ ,  $a \in {}^n k$  there are  $w_{av} \subseteq x$ ,  $N_{av} \in \omega$ ,  $t_{av} \in G^a$  such that  $(t_{av}, (v \cup w_{av}, \dot{X}_{N_{av}})) \leq A_{av}$  and such that for some  $\ell \in \omega$ ,  $x \cap \text{int}(\tilde{X}(\ell))[G]$  is  $\tilde{X}(\ell)$ -positive. Since  $\tilde{X}[G] \leq \dot{X}[G^a]$  (for all  $a \in {}^n k$ ) we have  $x \subseteq \text{int}(\dot{X}[G^a])$  and furthermore  $\forall a \in {}^n k \exists \ell_a \in \omega$  such that  $x \cap \text{int}(\dot{X}(\ell_a))[G^a]$  is a positive subset of  $\dot{X}(\ell_a)[G^a]$ . Then  $\forall a \in {}^n k \exists r_a \in G^a$  extending  $p^a$  and  $\{t_{av}\}_{v \subseteq k}$  such that  $r_a \Vdash (\check{x} \subseteq \text{int}(\dot{X}) \text{ and } x \cap \text{int}(\dot{X}(\ell_a)) \text{ is } \dot{X}(\ell_a)\text{-positive})$ . Furthermore for all  $v \subseteq k$ ,  $a \in {}^n k$  we have  $(p^a, (v \cup w_{av}, \dot{X}_{N_{av}})) \leq A_{av}$ . Let  $N = \max_{a \in {}^n k, v \subseteq k} N_{av}$ . Then for all  $v \subseteq k$ ,  $a \in {}^n k$ ,  $(r^a, (v \cup w_{av}, \dot{X}_N)) \leq A_{av}$ . From  $\{r^a\}_{a \in {}^n k}$  one can obtain a tree of extensions of the given matrix, the maximal nodes of

which have the desired properties. By Lemma 9 and  $x \subseteq A_{j_0}$ , the induced logarithmic measure takes arbitrarily high values.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\dot{X} = \langle \dot{X}(i) \rangle_{i \in \omega}$  be a strongly  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric name for a pure condition,  $\dot{f}$  a good  $Q(C)$ -name for a real. Then there is a strongly symmetric name  $\dot{Y} = \langle \dot{Y}(i) : i \in \omega \rangle$  for a pure condition such that  $\forall m \in \omega$ ,  $\dot{Y}_m = \langle \dot{Y}(i) : i \geq m \rangle \leq \dot{X}_m$  and  $\forall i \in \omega \forall v \subseteq i \forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma) \forall$  and every  $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $p \Vdash \check{s} \subseteq \dot{Y}(i)$  is  $\dot{Y}(i)$ -positive" there are  $w_v \subseteq s$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}_i(\dot{f})$  such that  $(p, (v \cup w_v, \dot{Y})) \leq A$ .*

*Proof.* Proceed by the method of Lemma 1. At stage  $i$  of the construction apply Lemma 10, to obtain  $T_i \in \text{ext}(P_i)$  and  $x_i \in L_i$  such that  $T_i$  witnesses that  $x_i \in P_i(\dot{X}_i, \dot{f}(i), P_i)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $C$  be a countable centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -symmetric names for pure conditions,  $\Gamma \in [\omega_2]^\omega$ ,  $\dot{f}$  a good  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma) * Q(C)$ -name for a real,  $\delta \in \omega_1 \setminus \Gamma$ ,  $\dot{h} = \cup \dot{G}_\delta$ , where  $\dot{G}_\delta$  is the canonical name for the  $\mathbb{C}(\{\delta\})$ -generic filter. Then  $\exists C'$  countable centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma \cup \{\delta\})$ -symmetric names for pure conditions extending  $C$  such that  $\forall C''$  of  $\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)$ -symmetric names extending  $C'$ .  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2) * Q(C'')} \check{h} \not\leq^* \dot{f}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1, we can assume that  $C = \{\dot{Y}_m\}_{m \in \omega}$  where  $\dot{Y}_m = \langle \dot{Y}(i) : i \geq m \rangle$ ,  $\dot{Y} = \dot{Y}_0$  has the property that  $\forall i \in \omega \forall v \subseteq i \forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  and  $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $p \Vdash \check{s} \subseteq \dot{Y}(i)$  is  $\dot{Y}(i)$ -positive" there are  $w_v \subseteq s$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}_i(\dot{f})$  such that  $(p, (v \cup w_v, \dot{Y})) \leq A$ . Let  $\dot{g}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ -name for a function in  ${}^\omega\omega$  such that  $\forall p \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma) \forall i \in \omega$ ,  $p \Vdash \dot{g}(i) = \check{k}$  if and only if  $k$  is maximal such that there are  $v \subseteq i, w \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, A \in \mathcal{A}_i(\dot{f})$  such that  $p \Vdash \check{w} \subseteq \dot{Y}(i)$ ,  $(p, (v \cup w, \dot{Y})) \leq A$  and  $A \Vdash \dot{f}(i) = \check{k}$ . Let  $\dot{J}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma \cup \{\delta\})$ -name such that  $\Vdash \dot{J} = \langle i : \dot{g}(i) < \dot{h}(i) \rangle$  and  $\forall m \in \omega$ , let  $\dot{Z}_m$  be a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma \cup \{\delta\})$ -name such that  $\Vdash \dot{Z}_m = \langle \dot{Y}(i) : i > m \text{ and } i \in \dot{J} \rangle$ .

*Claim.* For all  $m \in \omega$  the name  $\dot{Z}_m$  is  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma \cup \{\delta\})$ -symmetric.

*Proof.* Let  $P = (p_i^j) \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma \cup \{\delta\}, \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n+1})$ ,  $M \in \omega$  be given. Without loss of generality  $\Gamma_n = \{\delta\}$ . Then  $Q = (p_i^j)_{i \in k, j \in n} \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in n})$ . Pick  $\ell \in \omega$ , such that  $\ell > m$  and  $\ell > \max\{s : \text{dom}(\delta, s) \in p_i^n, i \in k\}$ . By the properties of  $\dot{Y}$  there is  $T \in \text{ext}(Q)$ ,  $x \in L_\ell$  such that  $T \Vdash \check{x} = \dot{Y}(\ell)$ . Successively on the lexicographic order on  $\{a\}_{a \in {}^n k}$  extend the maximal nodes  $\{T(a)\}_{a \in {}^n k}$  of  $T$ , to a tree  $T' \in \text{ext}(Q)$  consisting of Cohen conditions in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\forall a \in {}^n k \exists k_a \in \omega T'(a) \Vdash \dot{g}(\ell) = \check{k}_a$ . Let  $L > \max\{k_a\}_{a \in {}^n k}$  and  $\forall i \in k$  let  $q_i^n = p_i^n \cup \{\langle \delta, \ell \rangle, \check{L}\}$ . If  $T'$  is induced by  $t' : \leq^n k \rightarrow \cup_{j \in n} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$ , then  $r : \leq^{n+1} k \rightarrow \cup_{j \in n+1} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma_j)$  where  $\forall a \in \leq^n k$   $r(a) = t'(a)$  and  $\forall a \in \leq^{n+1} k$ ,  $a = (b, i)$ ,  $i \in k$   $r(a) = q_i^n$  induces a tree  $R \in \text{ext}(P)$  such that  $R \Vdash \dot{g}(\ell) < \dot{h}(\ell) \wedge \check{x} = \dot{Y}(\ell)$ . That is  $R \Vdash \check{\ell} \in \dot{J} \wedge \dot{Y}(\ell) = \check{x}$ . Since  $\ell > m$ ,  $R \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{Z}_m$  and so  $\dot{Z}_m$  is symmetric.  $\square$

Let  $C' = \{\dot{Z}_m\}_{m \in \omega}$ ,  $\dot{Z} = \dot{Z}_0$  and let  $C''$  be a centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)$ -symmetric names extending  $C'$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\forall a \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ ,  $\forall k \in \omega$ ,  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)} \langle (a, \dot{Z}) \Vdash_{Q(C'')} \exists i > k (\dot{f}(i) < \dot{h}(i)) \rangle$  since  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)} \langle \{(a, \dot{Z}) : a \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} \text{ is predense in } Q(C'') \rangle$ . Let  $a \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ ,  $k \in \omega$  and  $(p, (b, \dot{R})) \in$

## FURTHER COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF COHEN FORCING

$\mathbb{C}(\omega_2) * Q(C'')$  such that  $p \Vdash "(b, \dot{R}) \leq (a, \dot{Z})"$ . Then  $p \Vdash b \setminus a \subseteq \text{int}(\dot{Z})$  and  $p \Vdash \dot{R} \leq \dot{Z}$ . By definition of the extension relation there are  $\ell > k$  such that  $b \subseteq \ell$ ,  $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  and  $\bar{p} \leq p$  such that  $\bar{p} \Vdash "\check{\ell} \in \dot{J}$  and  $\check{s} = \text{int}(\dot{R}) \cap \text{int}(\dot{Z}(\ell))$  is  $\dot{Z}(\ell)$ -positive". By definition of  $\dot{Z}(\ell)$  there is  $w \subseteq s$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\ell(\dot{f})$  such that  $(\bar{p}, (b \cup w, \dot{Y})) \leq A$  and so  $(\bar{p}, (b \cup w, \dot{Z})) \leq A$  as well as  $(\bar{p}, (b \cup w, R)) \leq A$ . Note that  $\bar{p} \Vdash \check{w} \subseteq \text{int}(\dot{R})$  and so  $(\bar{p}, (b \cup w, R)) \leq (p, (b, \dot{R}))$ . Furthermore  $(\bar{p}, (b \cup w, \dot{R})) \Vdash "\dot{f}(\ell) \leq \dot{g}(\ell) < \dot{h}(\ell)"$ .  $\square$

5. COUNTABLY CLOSED AND  $\aleph_2$ -C.C.

**Definition 16.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the partial order of all pairs  $p = (\Gamma_p, C_p)$  where  $\Gamma$  is a countable subset of  $\omega_2$ ,  $C_p$  is a countable centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_p)$ -symmetric names for pure conditions with extension relation  $p \leq q$  if  $\Gamma_q \subseteq \Gamma_p$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_p)} C_q \subseteq Q(C_p)$ .

The partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  has the  $\aleph_2$ -chain condition. Indeed, consider a model of  $CH$  and a subset  $\{p_i : i \in I\}$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  of size  $\aleph_2$ ,  $I \subseteq \omega_2$ . By the Delta System Lemma there is  $J \subseteq I$ ,  $|J| = \aleph_2$  such that  $\{\Gamma_i : i \in J\}$  form a delta system with root  $\Delta$  where  $\forall i \in I (\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{p_i})$ . Furthermore  $J$  might be chosen so that for all  $i < j$  in  $J$  there is an isomorphism  $\alpha_{ij} : \Gamma_i \cong \Gamma_j$ , such that  $\alpha_{ij} \upharpoonright \Delta$  is the identity and  $C_j = C_{p_j} = \{\alpha_{ij}(\dot{X}) : \dot{X} \in C_{p_i}\}$ . Suppose we have the proof of Lemma 12 below and let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_i$ ,  $\Theta = \Gamma_j$  for some  $i < j$  from  $J$ , and  $\alpha_{ij} = i$ . Let  $\Omega = \Gamma \cup \Theta$ ,  $C = C_i \cup C_j \cup \{\check{X}_X\}_{X \in C_i}$  where for every  $X \in C_i$ ,  $\check{X}_X$  is the  $\mathbb{C}(\Omega)$  symmetric name for a common extension of  $\dot{X}$  and  $i(\dot{X})$  constructed in Lemma 12. Suppose  $\dot{R} \in C_i$ ,  $\dot{Y} \in C_j$ . Then  $\dot{Y} = i(\dot{Z})$  for some  $\dot{Z} \in C_i$ . However  $C_i$  is centered, so there is  $\dot{X} \in C_i$  which is a common extension of  $\dot{R}$  and  $\dot{Z}$ . Then  $\check{X}_X$  is a common extension of  $\dot{R}$  and  $\dot{Y}$ . This implies that  $C$  is a centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\Omega)$  symmetric names for pure conditions and so  $p = (\Omega, C)$  is a common extension of  $p_i, p_j$ . Thus it is sufficient to obtain Lemma 12. Note that this is a particular case of Lemma 8.

**Lemma 12.** Let  $\Gamma, \Theta$  be countable subsets of  $\omega_2$ ,  $\Delta = \Gamma \cap \Theta$  such that  $\text{sup} \Delta < \min \Gamma \setminus \Delta \leq \text{sup} \Gamma \setminus \Delta < \min \Theta \setminus \Delta$  and let  $i : \Gamma \cong \Theta$  be an isomorphism such that  $i \upharpoonright \Delta = \text{id}$ . If  $\dot{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$  symmetric name for a pure condition, then there is a  $\mathbb{C}(\Omega)$  symmetric name  $\check{X}$  for a pure condition such that  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\Omega)} \check{X} \leq \dot{X} \wedge i(\check{X}) \leq i(\dot{X})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Omega)$ . We can assume that  $\Omega' = \Delta' \cup \Gamma' \cup \Theta'$  where  $\Delta' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Delta)$ ,  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma - \Delta)$ ,  $\Theta' \in \mathcal{FP}(\Theta - \Delta)$ . We can also assume that  $\Delta' = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in n}$ ,  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in [n, 2n]}$ ,  $\Theta' = \{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in [2n, 3n]}$  and also that  $\forall j \in [n, 2n] i(\Gamma_j) = \Gamma_{j+n}$ . Let  $P \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Omega, \Omega')$ . Thus  $P = (p_i^j)_{j \in [3n, i \in k]}$ . From  $P$  obtain a matrix  $R \in \mathbb{M}_{2k}(\Gamma, \Delta' \cup \Gamma')$  as follows: if  $(i, j) \in k \times 2n$  let  $r_i^j = p_i^j$ , if  $(i, j) \in [k, 2k] \times n$  let  $r_i^j = \emptyset$  and for  $(i, j) \in k \times [n, 2n]$  let  $r_{i+k}^j = i^{-1}(p_i^{j+n})$ . By symmetry of  $\dot{X}$  there is  $x \in L_M$  and a tree of extensions  $T = \{T(a) : a \in {}^{\leq 2n} 2k\}$  of  $R$  such that  $T \Vdash \check{x} \leq \dot{X}$ . Having  $T$  obtain a tree of extensions  $T' = \{T'(a) : a \in {}^{\leq 3n} k\}$  of  $P$  as follows. If  $a \in {}^{\leq 2n} k$  let  $T'(a) = T(a)$ . If  $a \in {}^{2n+m} k$  where  $1 \leq m \leq n$  let  $T'(a) = T(a) \upharpoonright 2n \cup i(T(c))$  where  $c = (a \upharpoonright n) \frown b$  and  $b = \langle a(j) + k : j \in [2n, 2n+m] \rangle$ . That is  $T(c) \upharpoonright n = T(a) \upharpoonright n$  and since  $\text{id} \upharpoonright \Delta = \text{id}$ ,  $T(a) \upharpoonright n = i(T(c)) \upharpoonright n$ . Note

that  $i(T(c)) \upharpoonright [n, 2n) \in \mathbb{M}_{1 \times n}(\Theta \setminus \Delta, \Theta')$ . Then in particular the maximal nodes of  $T'$  belong to  $\mathbb{M}_{1 \times 3n}(\Omega, \Omega')$  and force “ $\dot{x} \leq \dot{X} \wedge \dot{x} \leq i(\dot{X})$ ”

To obtain  $\tilde{X}$ , diagonalize  $\mathbb{M}(\Omega)$  with respect to  $\phi(T, x)$  where  $\phi(T, x)$  holds iff  $T \in \mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ ,  $x \in \text{LM}$  and  $T \Vdash_{\mathbb{C}(\Omega)} \dot{x} \leq \dot{X} \wedge \dot{x} \leq i(\dot{X})$ .  $\square$

The partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  is countably closed and adds a centered family of  $\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)$ -symmetric names for pure conditions  $C_H = \cup\{C_p : p \in H\}$  where  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic. By Lemma 7, forcing with  $Q(C_H)$  over  $V^{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_2)}$  adds a real not split by  $V^{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2)} \cap [\omega]^\omega = V^{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2) \times \mathbb{P}} \cap [\omega]^\omega$ . By Lemma 11 any family of  $\omega_1$  Cohen reals remains unbounded in  $V^{(\mathbb{C}(\omega_2) \times \mathbb{P}) * Q(C_H)}$  where  $\dot{H}$  is the canonical name  $\mathbb{P}$  name for the generic filter.

**Theorem 1.** [CH] There is a countably closed,  $\aleph_2$ -cc forcing notion  $\mathbb{P}$  such that in  $V_1 = V^{\mathbb{C}(\omega_2) \times \mathbb{P}}$  there is a  $\sigma$ -centered poset  $Q$  which preserves the unboundedness of every family of  $\omega_1$  Cohen reals and adds a real not split by  $V_1 \cap [\omega]^\omega$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] U. Abraham *Proper forcing*, for the Handbook of Set-Theory. Amer. Math. Soc. (1984), pp. 184-207.
- [2] J. Baumgartner and P. Dordal *Adjoining dominating functions*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 50(1985), pp.94-101.
- [3] J. Brendle *How to force it* lecture notes.
- [4] M. Canjar *Mathias forcing which does not add dominating reals*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 104, no. 4, 1988, pp. 1239-1248.
- [5] V. Fischer *The consistency of arbitrarily large spread between the bounding and the splitting numbers*, doctoral dissertation, York University, 2008.
- [6] V. Fischer, J. Steprāns *The consistency of  $\mathfrak{b} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \kappa^+$* . preprint.
- [7] S. Shelah *On cardinal invariants of the continuum[207]* In (J.E. Baumgartner, D.A. Martin, S. Shelah eds.) Contemporary Mathematics (The Boulder 1983 conference) Vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc. (1984), 184-207.
- [8] S. Shelah *Vive la difference I: nonisomorphism of ultrapowers of countable models* Set theory of the continuum (Berkeley, CA, 1989), pp. 357-405, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 26, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [9] Eric K. Van Douwen *The Integers and Topology* Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, edited by K.Kunen and J.E. Vaughan

KURT GÖDEL RESEARCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, WÄHRINGER STRASSE  
25, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

*E-mail address:* `vfischer@logic.univie.ac.at`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE  
STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO M3J 1P3, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `steprans@mathstat.yorku.ca`