

# An Application Model of a Nonlinear Difference Equation whose the all Eigenvalues are 1

桜美林大学・リベラルアーツ学群 鈴木麻美 (Mami Suzuki)

Department of Mathematics and Science, College of Liberal Arts,  
 J. F. Oberlin University.

## 1 Introduction

In the previous work, we consider the following second order nonlinear difference equations,

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = X(x(t), y(t)), \\ y(t+1) = Y(x(t), y(t)). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $X(x, y)$ ,  $Y(x, y)$  are holomorphic functions and expanded in a neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$  in the following form

$$\begin{cases} X(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} c_{ij} x^i y^j = x + X_1(x, y), \\ Y(x, y) = y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} d_{ij} x^i y^j = y + Y_1(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $X_1(x, y) \not\equiv 0$  or  $Y_1(x, y) \not\equiv 0$ .

Hereafter we consider  $t$  to be a complex variable. We define domain  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_0)$  by

$$D_1(\kappa_0, R_0) = \{t : |t| > R_0, |\arg[t]| < \kappa_0\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\kappa_0$  is any constant such that  $0 < \kappa_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ , and  $R_0$  is sufficiently large number which may depend on  $X$  and  $Y$ . Further we define domain  $D^*(\kappa, \delta)$  by

$$D^*(\kappa, \delta) = \{x; |\arg[x]| < \kappa, 0 < |x| < \delta\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\delta$  is a small constant, and  $\kappa$  is a constant such that  $\kappa = 2\kappa_0$ , i.e.,  $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Here we defined  $g_0^\pm$  as follows for the coefficients of  $X(x, y)$  and  $Y(x, y)$

$$g_0^+(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) = \frac{-(2c_{20} - d_{11}) + \sqrt{(2c_{20} - d_{11})^2 + 8d_{30}}}{4}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) = \frac{-(2c_{20} - d_{11}) - \sqrt{(2c_{20} - d_{11})^2 + 8d_{30}}}{4}, \quad (1.6)$$

respectively, and

$$A_2 = g_0^+(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) + c_{20}, \quad A_1 = g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) + c_{20},$$

We have proved the following Theorem 1 in previous works in [7].

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $X(x, y)$  and  $Y(x, y)$  are expanded in the following forms (1.2),*

$$\begin{cases} X(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} c_{ij} x^i y^j = x + X_1(x, y), \\ Y(x, y) = y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} d_{ij} x^i y^j = y + Y_1(x, y). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

(1) *Suppose  $d_{20} = 0$ , and we assume the following conditions,*

$$A_2 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^+(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) \quad (1.7)$$

$$A_1 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) \quad (1.8)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , ( $n \geq 4$ ), then we have formal solutions  $x(t)$  of the difference system (1.1) the following forms

$$-\frac{1}{A_2 t} \left( 1 + \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k \right)^{-1}, \quad -\frac{1}{A_1 t} \left( 1 + \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k \right)^{-1}, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\hat{q}_{jk}$  are constants defined by  $X$  and  $Y$ .

(2) *Further suppose  $R_1 = \max(R_0, 2/(|A_2| \delta))$  and we assume  $A_2 < 0$ ,  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , there are two solutions  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  of (1.1) such that*

(i)  *$x_s(t)$  are holomorphic in  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ , and  $x_s(t) \in D^*(\kappa, \delta)$  for  $t \in D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ ,  $s = 1, 2$ ,*

(ii)  *$x_s(t)$  are expressible in the following form*

$$x_1(t) = -\frac{1}{A_1 t} \left( 1 + b_1 \left( t, \frac{\log t}{t} \right) \right)^{-1}, \quad x_2(t) = -\frac{1}{A_2 t} \left( 1 + b_2 \left( t, \frac{\log t}{t} \right) \right)^{-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

Here  $b_1(t, \log t/t)$ ,  $b_2(t, \log t/t)$  are asymptotically expanded in  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$  such that

$$b_1 \left( t, \frac{\log t}{t} \right) \sim \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk(1)} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k,$$

$$b_2 \left( t, \frac{\log t}{t} \right) \sim \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk(2)} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k,$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  through  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ .

In this proof, we use results of T. Kimura [2] and the following Theorem C in [6], though we need some modifications of Kimura's results.

**Theorem C.** *Suppose  $X(x, y)$  and  $Y(x, y)$  are defined in (1.2). We assume  $d_{20} = 0$  and the following conditions,*

$$A_2 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^+(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}), \quad (1.7)$$

$$A_1 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}), \quad (1.8)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , ( $n \geq 4$ ).

(1) *We have two formal solutions*

$$\Psi^+(x) = \sum_{n \geq 2}^{\infty} a_n^+ x^n, \quad \Psi^-(x) = \sum_{n \geq 2}^{\infty} a_n^- x^n$$

of

$$\Psi(X(x, \Psi(x))) = Y(x, \Psi(x)), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $a_n^+$ ,  $a_n^-$  are given by  $X$  and  $Y$ .

(2) *Further we assume  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A_2 < 0$ . For any  $\kappa$  ( $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and small  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $\delta$ , and two solutions  $\Psi^+(x)$ ,  $\Psi^-(x)$  of*

$$\Psi(X(x, \Psi(x))) = Y(x, \Psi(x)), \quad (1.11)$$

which are holomorphic and can be expanded asymptotically in  $D^*(\kappa, \delta)$  such that

$$\Psi^+(x) \sim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n^+ x^n, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^-(x) \sim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n^- x^n. \quad (1.12)$$

as  $x \rightarrow 0$  through  $D^*(\kappa, \delta)$ .

When we assume  $d_{20} \neq 0$ , then there are no analytic solution of (1.11).

## 2 An Application

Next we will consider the following population model (P)

$$u(t+2) = \alpha u(t+1) + \beta \frac{u(t+1) - \alpha u(t)}{\alpha u(t)}, \quad (P)$$

where  $\alpha = 1 + r$ ,  $\beta$  are constants. This model is proposed by Prof. D. Dendrinos [1]. Here  $r$  is the net (births minus death) endogenous population (stock) growth rate. The second term, in the right side hand, is a function depicting net immigration at  $t+1$ , which should be considered as a "momentum" to grow from  $t$  to  $t+1$ . We assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants such that  $\alpha > 0$ , i.e.,  $r > -1$  and  $\beta > 0$  in (P).

Let

$$u(t+2) = u_1(t+2) + u_2(t+2),$$

where  $u_1(t+2) = \alpha u(t+1)$ ,  $u_2(t+2) = \beta \frac{u(t+1) - \alpha u(t)}{\alpha u(t)}$ . Here  $u_1(t+2)$  is a term for endogenous population growth rate from  $t+1$  to  $t+2$ , and  $u_2(t+2)$  is a term for net in-migration rate. We have

$$u_1(t+2) = \alpha u(t+1) = \alpha \{u_1(t+1) + u_2(t+1)\},$$

$$u_2(t+2) = \beta \frac{u(t+1) - \alpha u(t)}{\alpha u(t)} = \beta \frac{u_2(t+1)}{u_1(t+1)},$$

where  $u_1(t+1)$  is the endogenous population growth rate from  $t$  to  $t+1$ , and  $u_2(t+1)$  due to net in-migration rate. We may write (P) as :

$$u(t+2) - \alpha u(t+1) = \frac{c}{u(t)} \{u(t+1) - \alpha u(t)\}, \quad c = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}.$$

When  $\alpha \neq 1$ , (P) admits the unique equilibrium value  $c = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ , and we can have general analytic solutions such that  $u(t+n) \rightarrow c$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), making use of theorems of previous studies [7] and [8].

When  $\alpha = 1$ , we note that, any value can be equilibrium point of (P). Suppose the equation (P) has a solution  $u(t)$  such that  $u(t+n) \rightarrow u_0 > 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in the case  $\alpha = 1$ . From [6], we have the following three cases.

- 1)  $u(t_0+n) \downarrow u_0 \geq c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- 2)  $u(t_0+n) \uparrow u_0 > c$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

or

- 3) there is a  $n_0$ , such that  $u(t_0+n_0) \leq 0$ , (extermination) .

However we have not been able to prove the existence of a solution of (P) in [6]. Now we will show a solution of (P). Here we have the following Proposition 6, analogous to Theorem C.

**Proposition 6.** *Suppose  $X(x, y)$  and  $Y(x, y)$  are defined  $d_{20} = 0$ , and we assume the following condition,*

$$A_1 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}), \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , ( $n \geq 4$ ).

- (1) We have a formal solution  $\Psi^-(x) = \sum_{n \geq 2}^{\infty} a_n^- x^n$  of

$$\Psi(X(x, \Psi(x))) = Y(x, \Psi(x)), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $a_n^-$  are given by  $X$  and  $Y$ .

(2) We assume  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , ( $A_1 \leq A_2$ ) and  $A_1 < 0$ . For any  $\kappa$  ( $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and small  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $\delta$ , and a solution  $\Psi^-(x)$  of (1.11) which is holomorphic and can be expanded asymptotically in  $D^*(\kappa, \delta)$  such that

$$\Psi^-(x) \sim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n^- x^n,$$

as  $x \rightarrow 0$  through  $D^*(\kappa, \delta)$ .

When we assume  $d_{20} \neq 0$ , then there are no analytic solution of (1.11).

From Proposition 6, we have the following lemma 7, analogous to Theorem 1.

**lemma 7.** Suppose  $X(x, y)$  and  $Y(x, y)$  are expanded in the following forms

$$\begin{cases} X(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} c_{ij} x^i y^j = x + X_1(x, y), \\ Y(x, y) = y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} d_{ij} x^i y^j = y + Y_1(x, y). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

(1) Suppose  $d_{20} = 0$  and we assume the following conditions,

$$A_1 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) \quad (1.8)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , ( $n \geq 4$ ). Then the difference system

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = X(x(t), y(t)), \\ y(t+1) = Y(x(t), y(t)), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

has a formal solution  $x(t)$  of the following form

$$-\frac{1}{A_1 t} \left( 1 + \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k \right)^{-1}, \quad (1.9)$$

$\hat{q}_{jk}$  : constants defined by  $X$  and  $Y$ .

(2) Further suppose  $R_1 = \max(R_0, 2/(|A_1|\delta))$ , and we assume  $A_1 < 0$ , there is a solution  $x_1(t)$  of (1.1) such that

- (i)  $x_1(t)$  is holomorphic and  $x_1(t) \in D^*(\kappa, \delta)$  for  $t \in D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ ,
- (ii)  $x_1(t)$  are expressible in the following form

$$x_1(t) = -\frac{1}{A_1 t} \left( 1 + b_1 \left( t, \frac{\log t}{t} \right) \right)^{-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

Here  $b_1(t, \log t/t)$ , is asymptotically expanded in  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$  such that

$$b_1\left(t, \frac{\log t}{t}\right) \sim \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk(1)} t^{-j} \left(\frac{\log t}{t}\right)^k,$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  through  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ .

### 3 The Population Model (P)

In the equation (P),

$$u(t+2) = \alpha u(t+1) + \beta \frac{u(t+1) - \alpha u(t)}{\alpha u(t)}, \quad (\text{P})$$

we put  $u(t) = v(t) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ . We have

$$v(t+2) = (1 + \alpha)v(t+1) - v(t) + F(v(t), v(t+1)),$$

where  $F(v(t), v(t+1))$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(v(t), v(t+1)) &= -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} v(t)v(t+1) + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} v(t)^2 \\ &\quad + (v(t+1) - \alpha v(t) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - \beta) \sum_{i \geq 2} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^i v(t)^i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Next put  $v(t+1) = \xi(t)$ ,  $v(t) = \eta(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi(t+1) \\ \eta(t+1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi(t) \\ \eta(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} F(\eta(t), \xi(t)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $\alpha = 1$ , we have eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\lambda = \mu = 1$ .

Further put  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ , we have the following difference equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t+1) \\ y(t+1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} + P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} F(x(t) + y(t), x(t) + 2y(t)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we can write the equations (3.2) as follows,

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = X(x(t), y(t)), \\ y(t+1) = Y(x(t), y(t)), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} X(x, y) = x + y - F(x + y, x + 2y) = x + \left( y + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} c_{ij} x^i y^j \right) \\ \quad = x + X_1(x, y), \\ Y(x, y) = y + F(x + y, x + 2y) = y + \left( \sum_{i+j \geq 2} d_{ij} x^i y^j \right) \\ \quad = y + Y_1(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (1.2')$$

where  $d_{ij} = -c_{ij}$ .

From the definition of the function  $F$  by (3.1), when  $\alpha = 1$ , we have

$$F(x + y, x + 2y) = -\frac{1}{\beta}(xy + y^2) + \frac{1}{\beta^2}(x^2y + 2xy^2 + y^3) + \sum_{i+j \geq 4, j \geq 1} \gamma_{ij} x^i y^j, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\gamma_{ij}$  are constants which consist of  $\beta$ . From (3.3), we have the coefficients of  $X$  and  $Y$  in (1.2') as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{20} = d_{20} = 0, \quad c_{n0} = d_{n0} = 0, \quad (n \geq 3), \\ d_{11} = -\frac{1}{\beta} < 0, \quad d_{02} = -\frac{1}{\beta} < 0, \quad d_{21} = \frac{1}{\beta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) + c_{20} &= \frac{-(0 + \frac{1}{\beta}) - \sqrt{(0 + \frac{1}{\beta})^2 + 0}}{4} + 0 = -\frac{1}{2\beta} < 0, \\ A_2 = g_0^+(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) + c_{20} &= \frac{-(0 + \frac{1}{\beta}) + \sqrt{(0 + \frac{1}{\beta})^2 + 0}}{4} + 0 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Here we can not have the condition  $A_1 \leq A_2 < 0$ , however we have  $A_1 < A_2 = 0$ . Thus we put  $a_2 = g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30})$ ,  $A_1 = a_2 + c_{20} < 0$ . Since

$$c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}) = \frac{3}{2\beta} > 0,$$

we have

$$A_1 n \neq c_{20} - d_{11} - g_0^-(c_{20}, d_{11}, d_{30}),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By Proposition 6, the functional equation

$$\Psi(X(x, \Psi(x))) = Y(x, \Psi(x)), \quad (1.11)$$

which  $X$  and  $Y$  are defined by (1.2') has a formal solution  $\Psi^-(x) = \sum_{n \geq 2}^{\infty} a_n^- x^n$ , where  $a_n^-$  are defined by  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Further, for any  $\kappa$ ,  $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , there are a  $\delta > 0$  and a solutions  $\Psi^-(x)$  of (1.11), which are holomorphic and can be expanded asymptotically such that

$$\Psi^-(x) \sim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n^- x^n,$$

as  $x \rightarrow 0$  through

$$D^*(\kappa, \delta) = \{x; |\arg[x]| < \kappa, 0 < |x| < \delta\}. \quad (1.4)$$

From Lemma 7, we have a formal solution  $x(t)$  of (3.2) such that

$$-\frac{1}{A_1 t} \left( 1 + \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k \right)^{-1} = \frac{2\beta}{t} \left( 1 + \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k \right)^{-1}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\hat{q}_{jk}$  are constants defined by  $X$  and  $Y$  in (1.2').

Further suppose  $R_1 = \max(R_0, 2/(|A_1|\delta))$ , since  $A_1 = -\frac{1}{2\beta} < A_2 = 0$ , there is a solution  $x(t)$  of (3.2) such that

- (i)  $x(t)$  are holomorphic and  $x(t) \in D^*(\kappa, \delta)$  for  $t \in D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ ,
- (ii)  $x(t)$  is expressible in the following form

$$x(t) = -\frac{1}{A_1 t} \left( 1 + b\left(t, \frac{\log t}{t}\right) \right)^{-1} = \frac{2\beta}{t} \left( 1 + b\left(t, \frac{\log t}{t}\right) \right)^{-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here  $b(t, \log t/t)$  are asymptotically expanded in  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$  such that

$$b\left(t, \frac{\log t}{t}\right) \sim \sum_{j+k \geq 1} \hat{q}_{jk(1)} t^{-j} \left( \frac{\log t}{t} \right)^k,$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  through  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ .

By the definition (1.7), we have  $y(t) = \Psi(x(t))$ . Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t+1) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \\ u(t) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v(t+1) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix},$$

we have a solution  $u(t)$  of the (P), such that

$$u(t) = x(t) + y(t) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = x(t) + \Psi(x(t)) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sim x(t) + \sum_{n \geq 2} a_n^- x(t)^n + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$$

where  $x(t)$  is given in the equation (3.6) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  through  $D_1(\kappa_0, R_1)$ .

Here we have proved existence a solution of the Population Model (P).



## References

- [1] D. Dendrinos, Private communication.
- [2] T. Kimura, *On the Iteration of Analytic Functions*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, **14**, (1971), 197-238.
- [3] M. Suzuki, *Difference Equation for a Population Model*, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, **5**, (2000), 9-18.
- [4] M. Suzuki, *Holomorphic solutions of a functional equation and their applications to nonlinear second order difference equations*, Aequationes mathematicae, **74**, (2007), 7-25.
- [5] M. Suzuki, *Analytic General Solutions of Nonlinear Difference Equations*, Annali di Matematica Pure ed Applicata, **187**, (2008), 353-368.
- [6] M. Suzuki, *Holomorphic solutions of some functional equation which has a relation with nonlinear difference systems*, Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1547 (2007), 78-86.
- [7] M. Suzuki, *Analytic sol solutions of a nonlinear two variables Difference system*, Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1582 (2008), 53-82.