A Note on Controlled Assembly Maps

岡山理科大学・理学部 山崎 正之 (Masayuki Yamasaki)¹ Faculty of Science, Okayama University of Science

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], I considered an $L^{-\infty}$ -theory functor $\mathbb{L}(-;-)$. To avoid confusion with the ordinary L^h -theory functor, $\mathbb{L}(X;p)$ will be denoted $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(X;p)$ with decoration $-\infty$ in this paper. $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ is functorial; there is a "forget-control" map

$$F: \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(X; p: E \to X) \to \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(*; E \to *) = \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(E).$$

If E has the homotopy type of a connected CW-complex, then the homotopy groups of $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(E)$ are isomorphic to the groups $L^{-\infty}(\pi_1(E))$ of Wall and Ranicki.

The following is the key technical result of [4, Theorem 3.9]:

Theorem 1.1 (Characterization Theorem). Suppose $p : E \to |K|$ is a simplicially stratified fibration on a finite polyhedron K. Then there is a homotopy equivalence ("controlled assembly map")

$$A_j: \mathbb{H}_j(K; \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(p)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}_{-j}(|K|; p)$$

such that its composition with the foreget-control map F is the ordinary assembly map $a_j: \mathbb{H}_j(K; \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(p)) \to \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}_{-j}(E).$

Unfortunately there were errors in the construction of the controlled assembly map A_j . In this paper, I will give an analysis of the errors. A correction will be given in a separate paper [6].

2. Errors

The proof of the Characterization Theorem is divided into two parts: the first part is the construction of the controlled assembly map A, and the second part is to check that A is a homotopy equivalence. In this section I identify the errors which occurs in the first part of the proof.

First of all, there is a problem concerning glueing and splitting problems over a triangulation as discussed in [5]. This problem can be overcome by using a different

¹This work was partially supported by KAKENHI Grant Numbers 20540100 and 22540212.

On p. 589 of [4], I tried to construct a map

$$FS\Omega^{n-j}(|\mathbb{L}_{-n}^{-\infty}(p)|/s(X)) \to \mathbb{L}_{-j}^{-\infty}(X;p)$$

where $F : \mathbf{css} \to \Delta$ is the forgetful functor from the category of css-sets to the category of Δ -sets, and $S : \mathbf{CW} \to \mathbf{css}$ is the singular complex functor from the category of CWcomplexes to \mathbf{css} [3]. First I represented a k-simplex of $FS\Omega^{n-j}(|\mathbb{L}_{-n}^{-\infty}(p)|/s(X))$ by a map $\rho : S^{n-j} \times \Delta^k \to |\mathbb{L}_{-n}^{-\infty}(p)|/s(X)$. Then I argued that there exist a codimension 0 submanifold V of $S^{n-j} \times \Delta^k$ and a cellular map $\rho' : V \to |\mathbb{L}_{-n}^{-\infty}(p)|$ such that ρ sends the complement of the interior of V to the basepoint [s(X)] and $\rho|V$ factors through ρ' . I further modified ρ' so that it is a realization of a Δ -map, also denoted ρ' , from V to a subcomplex $\left(\coprod_{\Delta \in X} \mathbb{L}_{-n}^{-\infty}(p^{-1}(\Delta)) \times FG(\Delta) \right) / \sim$ of $\mathbb{L}_{-n}^{-\infty}(p)$, where $G : \Delta \to \mathbf{css}$ is the left adjoint of F^2 .

Now, for each top dimensional simplex σ of V, $\rho'(\sigma)$ is a pair of a geometric quadratic Poincaré (n - j + k + 2)-ad c_{σ} on $\mathbb{R}^l \times p^{-1}(\Delta)$ (and hence on $\mathbb{R}^l \times E$) and a (possibly degenerate) (n - j + k)-face of Δ for some simplex Δ of X. In [4], I used the same symbol Δ instead of σ , and started to confuse the simplices of V with the simplices of X. The size of c_{σ} measured in X is smaller than or equal to the diameter of Δ . After stabilization we can assemble all these to get a geometric quadratic Poincaré special (k + 2)-ad on $\mathbb{R}^m \times E$, for some m, and it defines a k-simplex of the uncontrolled $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ -theory spectrum $\mathbb{L}_{-j}^{-\infty}(E)$ (denoted $\mathbb{P}'_{-j}(X;p)$ in [4]). I claimed that its size is the maximum of the diameters of the simplices of V, and it is wrong because gluing operations increase size. When we glue things over a finite polyhedron, there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ which depends on the triangulation and the dimensions of objects involved such that the union has size $\leq \lambda \cdot$ (the maximum size of the pieces). So each piece must have vey small size compared with λ in order to get a union with very small size.

And I made another serious error immediately after this, in what I called the barycentric subdivision argument. In the theorem, I assumed that p is a simplicially stratified fibratiom. This means that X is a geometric realization of a finite ordered simplicial complex K and that p has an iterated mapping cylinder decomposition with respect to K in the sense of Hatcher [1, p.105] [2, p.457]. I tried to squeeze each piece

²This uses the fact that $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(p^{-1}(\Delta))$ is Kan. Recall that, for Kan complexes, the two products \times and \otimes are 'homotopy equivalent'.

using the iterated mapping cylinder structure of $p^{-1}(\Delta) \subset E$. But this may not be possible since the ad-structure of c_{σ} may not respect the iterated mapping cylinder structure at all! The iterated mapping cylinder structure restricts the directions for squeezing, and we need to be very careful how we squeeze things.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hatcher, A. E., Higher simple homotopy theory, Ann. of Math., 102 (1975), 101-137.
- 2. Hatcher, A. E., *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 2002. Also available at the author's web site: http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/
- C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, Δ-sets I: homotopy theory, Quart. J. Math. 22 (1971) 321 338.
- 4. M. Yamasaki, L-groups of crystallographic groups, Invent. Math. 88 (1987), 571-602.
- 5. M. Yamasaki, Assembly in surgery, Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. 1569 (2007), 59-62.
- 6. M. Yamasaki, On controlled assembly maps, (preprint), available on line at the following URL: http://surgery.matrix.jp/math/research.html