

# Demiclosedness Principles for Total Asymptotically Nonexpansive mappings

Tae Hwa Kim and Do-Hyung Kim

Department of Applied Mathematics

Pukyong National University

Busan 608-737

Korea

E-mail: taehwa@pknu.ac.kr

## Abstract

In this paper, we first are looking over the demiclosedness principles for non-linear mappings. Next, we give the demiclosedness principle of a continuous non-Lipschitzian mapping which is called totally asymptotically nonexpansive by Alber et al. [Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2006 (2006), article ID 10673, 20 pages]. This paper is a just survey for demiclosedness principles for nonlinear mappings.

*Keywords:* totally asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, demiclosedness principle

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H09; Secondary 65J15.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $X^*$  be the dual of  $X$ . Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the duality product. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ ,  $x \in X$ . We denote by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  the strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x$  and by  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  the weak convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x$ . Also, we denote by  $\omega_w(x_n)$  the weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$ , that is,

$$\omega_w(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x\}.$$

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping. Now let  $Fix(T)$  be the fixed point set of  $T$ ; namely,

$$Fix(T) := \{x \in C : Tx = x\}.$$

Recall that  $T$  is a *Lipschitzian* mapping if, for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $k_n > 0$  such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\| \tag{1.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$  (we may assume that all  $k_n \geq 1$ ). A Lipschitzian mapping  $T$  is called *uniformly  $k$ -Lipschitzian* if  $k_n = k$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , *nonexpansive* if  $k_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and *asymptotically nonexpansive* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ , respectively. The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Goebel and Kirk [15] as a generalization of the class of nonexpansive mappings. They proved that if  $C$  is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ , then every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed point.

On the other hand, as the classes of non-Lipschitzian mappings, there appear in the literature two definitions, one is due to Kirk who says that  $T$  is a mapping of *asymptotically nonexpansive type* [18] if for each  $x \in C$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \leq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

and  $T^N$  is continuous for some  $N \geq 1$ . The other is the stronger concept due to Brück, Kuczumov and Reich [5]. They say that  $T$  is *asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense* if  $T$  is (uniformly) continuous and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \leq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

In this case, observe that if we define

$$\delta_n := \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \vee 0, \tag{1.4}$$

(here  $a \vee b := \max\{a, b\}$ ), then  $\delta_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and thus (1.3) immediately reduces to

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \delta_n \tag{1.5}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

Recently, Alber et al. [1] introduced the wider class of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings to unify various definitions of classes of nonlinear mappings associated with the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings; see also Definition 1 of [9]. They say that a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *total asymptotically nonexpansive* (TAN, in brief) [1] (or [9]) if there exists two nonnegative real sequences  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{d_n\}$  with  $c_n, d_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + c_n \phi(\|x - y\|) + d_n, \tag{1.6}$$

for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$  and

$$\phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+) \Leftrightarrow \phi \text{ is strictly increasing, continuous on } \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } \phi(0) = 0.$$

*Remark 1.1.* If  $\phi(t) = t$ , then (1.6) reduces to

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + c_n \|x - y\| + d_n$$

for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $n \geq 1$ . In addition, if  $d_n = 0$ ,  $k_n = 1 + c_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then the class of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings coincides with the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. If  $c_n = 0$  and  $d_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then (1.6) reduces to the class of nonexpansive mappings. Also, if we take  $c_n = 0$  and  $d_n = \delta_n$  as in (1.4), then (1.6) reduces to (1.5); see [9] for more details.

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space  $X$ , and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Recall that the following Mann [21] iterative method is extensively used for solving a fixed point equation of the form  $Tx = x$ :

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  and  $x_0 \in C$  is arbitrarily chosen. In infinite-dimensional spaces, Mann's algorithm has generally only weak convergence. In fact, it is known [29] that if the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ , then Mann's algorithm (1.7) converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$  provided the underlying space is a Hilbert space or more general, a uniformly convex Banach space which has a Fréchet differentiable norm or satisfies Opial's property. Furthermore, Mann's algorithm (1.7) also converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$  if  $X$  is a uniformly convex Banach space such that its dual  $X^*$  enjoys the *Kadec-Klee property* (*KK-property*, in brief), i.e.,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\| \Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow x$ . It is well known [12] that the duals of reflexive Banach spaces with a Fréchet differentiable norms have the KK-property. There exists uniformly convex spaces which have neither a Fréchet differentiable norm nor the Opial property but their duals do have the KK-property; see Example 3.1 of [14].

In this paper, we first are looking over the demiclosedness principles for nonlinear mappings. Next, we give the demiclosedness principle of continuous TAN mappings.

## 2 Geometrical properties of $X$

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $X^*$  be the dual of  $X$ . Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the duality product. When  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$ , we denote the strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x \in X$  by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and the weak convergence by  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ . We also denote the weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$  by  $\omega_w(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup x\}$ . The normalized duality mapping  $J$  from  $X$  to  $X^*$  is defined by

$$J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$$

for  $x \in X$ .

Now we summarize some well known properties of the duality mapping  $J$  for our further argument.

**Proposition 2.1.** [10, 30, 34]

- (1) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $Jx$  is nonempty, bounded, closed and convex (hence weakly compact).
- (2)  $J(0) = 0$ .
- (3)  $J(\lambda x) = \lambda J(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and real  $\lambda$ .
- (4)  $J$  is monotone, that is,  $\langle x - y, j(x) - j(y) \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $j(x) \in J(x)$  and  $j(y) \in J(y)$ .

(5)  $\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 \geq 2\langle x - y, j(y) \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $j(x) \in J(y)$ ; equivalently,

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Note that (5) in Proposition 2.1 can be quickly computed by the well known Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$2\langle x, j \rangle \leq 2\|x\|\|y\| \leq \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2.$$

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is said to be *strictly convex* (SC) [7] if any non-identically zero continuous linear functional takes maximum value on the closed unit ball at most at one point. It is also said to be *uniformly convex* if  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for any two sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1$  and  $\|(x_n + y_n)/2\| \rightarrow 1$ .

We introduce some equivalent properties of strict convexity of  $X$ ; see Proposition 2.13 in [7] for the detailed proof.

**Proposition 2.3.** ([7]) *A linear normed space  $X$  is strictly convex if and only if one of the following equivalent properties holds:*

- (a) if  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  and  $x \neq 0, y = tx$  for some  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (b) if  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| < 1$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , namely, the unit sphere (or any sphere) contains no line segment;
- (c) if  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $\|(x + y)/2\| < 1$ ;
- (d) the function  $x \rightarrow \|x\|^2, x \in X$ , is strictly convex.

*Remark 2.4.* From (b), note that any three points  $x, y, z$  satisfying  $\|x - z\| + \|y - z\| = \|x - y\|$  must lie on a line; specially, if  $\|x - z\| = r_1, \|y - z\| = r_2$ , and  $\|x - y\| = r = r_1 + r_2$ , then  $z = \frac{r_1}{r}x + \frac{r_2}{r}y$ ; see [15] for more details. Indeed, taking  $u := \frac{x-z}{r_1}, v := \frac{z-y}{r_2}$ , we see  $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$  and

$$\|\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v\| = \|(x - y)/r\| = 1$$

for some  $\lambda = \frac{r_1}{r} \in (0, 1)$ . Therefore, by (b), it must be  $u = v \Leftrightarrow z = \frac{r_1}{r}x + \frac{r_2}{r}y$ .

Let  $S(X) := \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$  be the unit sphere of  $X$ . Then the Banach space  $X$  is said to be *smooth* provided

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{2.1}$$

exists for each  $x, y \in S(X)$ . In this case, the norm of  $X$  is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable*. The space  $X$  is said to be a *uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm* if for each  $y \in S(X)$ , the limit (2.1) is attained uniformly for  $x \in S(X)$ . the norm of  $X$  is said to be *Fréchet differentiable* if for each  $x \in S(X)$ , the limit (2.1) is attained uniformly for  $y \in S(X)$ . The norm of  $X$  said to be *uniformly Fréchet differentiable*

(or  $X$  is said to be *uniformly smooth*) if the limit in (2.1) is attained uniformly for  $x, y \in S(X)$ .

A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *Kadec-Klee* property if a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $X$  satisfying that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . It is known that if  $X$  is uniformly convex, then  $X$  has the Kadec-Klee property; see [10, 34] for more details.

Again, we introduce some well known properties of the duality mapping  $J$  relating to geometrical properties of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** ([10, 30, 34])

- (1)  $X$  is smooth if and only if  $J$  is single valued. In this case,  $J$  is norm-to-weak\* continuous;
- (2) if  $X$  is strictly convex, then  $J$  is one to one (or injective), i.e.,

$$x \neq y \Rightarrow Jx \cap Jy = \emptyset.$$

- (3)  $X$  is strictly convex if and only if  $J$  is a strictly monotone operator, i.e.,

$$x \neq y, j_x \in Jx, j_y \in Jy \Rightarrow \langle x - y, j_x - j_y \rangle > 0.$$

- (4) if  $X$  is reflexive, then  $J$  is a mapping of  $X$  onto  $X^*$ .
- (5) if  $X^*$  is strictly convex (resp., smooth), then  $X$  is smooth (resp., strictly convex). Further, the converse is satisfied if  $X$  is reflexive.
- (6) if  $X$  has a Fréchet differentiable norm, then  $J$  is norm-to-norm continuous.
- (7) if  $X$  has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm, then  $J$  is norm-to-weak\* uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of  $X$ .
- (8) if  $X$  is uniformly smooth, then  $J$  is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of  $X$ .

Finally, we shall add the well-known properties between  $X$  and its dual  $X^*$ .

- (9)  $X$  is uniformly convex if and only if  $X^*$  is uniformly smooth.
- (10)  $X$  is reflexive, strictly convex, and has the Kadec-Klee property if and only if  $X^*$  has a Fréchet differentiable norm.

### 3 Demiclosedness for nonlinear mappings

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy *Opial's condition* [25] if whenever a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges weakly to  $x_0$ , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|, \quad (x \neq x_0).$$

It is well known [16] that  $L^p$  spaces,  $p \neq 2$ , do not satisfy Opial's condition while all the  $\ell^p$  spaces do ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). Thus Opial's condition is independent of uniform convexity.

Spaces which satisfy Opial's condition have another nice property related to fixed point theory. Also, a function  $f : D \subset X \rightarrow X$  is *demiclosed* at  $w$  if

$$x_n \rightarrow x, \|f(x_n) - w\| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x \in D, f(x) = w.$$

The following theorem was well known; for an example, see Theorem 10.3 in [16].

**Theorem 3.1.** ([16]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space  $X$  satisfying Opial's condition and let  $T : C \rightarrow X$  be nonexpansive. Then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed on  $C$ .*

For the demiclosedness principle on uniformly convex spaces, We need the following useful lemmas; see Proposition 10.2 in [16].

**Lemma 3.2.** ([16]) *Let  $C$  be a bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$ , and let  $T : K \rightarrow X$  be nonexpansive such that  $\inf\{\|x - Tx\| : x \in K\} = 0$ . Then  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ .*

Lemma 3.2 is a crucial tool to prove the following well known demiclosedness principle for nonexpansive mappings on uniformly convex Banach spaces; see Theorem 10.4 in [16] or [6].

**Theorem 3.3.** (Demiclosedness Principle; see [16] or [6]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : K \rightarrow X$  be nonexpansive. Then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed on  $C$ .*

We need the following notations.

$$\Delta^{n-1} = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum \lambda_i = 1\}.$$

and  $\phi \in \Gamma_c$  if and only if  $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $\phi$  is convex.

Recall that  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is said to be of type  $(\gamma)$  [3] if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_c$  and

$$\gamma(\|cTx + (1 - c)Ty - T(cx + (1 - c)y)\|) \leq \|x - y\| - \|Tx - Ty\| \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $c \in [0, 1]$ .

*Remark 3.4.* (a) Every type  $(\gamma)$  mapping is nonexpansive, and every affine nonexpansive mapping is of type  $(\gamma)$ ; but not every nonexpansive mapping is of type  $(\gamma)$  because  $F(T)$  is obviously convex by (3.1) if  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ .

(b) Note that if  $T$  is nonexpansive,  $F(T)$  is generally not convex; let us recall an example due to DeMarr [11]. Let  $X$  be the space of all ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  of real numbers. Define  $\|x\| = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$  for  $x = (a, b) \in X$ . For  $C := \{x : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , define  $T : C \rightarrow C$  by

$$Tx = (|b|, b) \quad \forall x = (a, b) \in C.$$

Then  $T$  is nonexpansive because

$$\|Tx - Ty\| = \|(|b|, b) - (|d|, d)\| = |b - d| \leq \max\{|a - c|, |b - d|\} = \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x = (a, b)$  and  $y = (c, d)$  in  $C$ . However, note that  $x = (1, 1) < y = (1, -1) \in F(T)$  but  $\frac{1}{2}(x + y) = (1, 0) \notin F(T)$ .

(c) If  $X$  is uniformly convex and  $C$  is a *bounded* closed convex subset of  $X$ , there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma_c$  such that every nonexpansive mapping is of type  $(\gamma)$ ; moreover,  $\gamma$  can be chosen to depend only on  $\text{diam}(C)$  and not on  $T$ ; see Lemma 1.1 in [3].

(d) If  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ , then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed on  $C$ ; see Lemma 1.3 in [3].

Now recall the following subsequent results due to Bruck [4]; see Lemma 2.1 of [4] for the second lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** ([4]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex  $X$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma_c$  such that*

$$\|T(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T x_i\| \leq L\gamma^{-1} \left( \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (\|x_i - x_j\| - L^{-1}\|T x_i - T x_j\|) \right) \quad (3.2)$$

for any Lipschitzian mapping  $T : C \rightarrow X$  with its Lipschitz constant  $L \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** ([4]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex  $X$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma_c$ , and let  $T : C \rightarrow X$  be of type  $(\gamma)$ . Then there exists  $\gamma_p \in \Gamma_c$  such that*

$$\gamma_p (\|T(\sum \lambda_i x_i) - \sum \lambda_i T x_i\|) \leq \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} (\|x_i - x_j\| - \|T x_i - T x_j\|)$$

for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in \Delta^{p-1}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_p \in C$ .

Using Lemma 3.5 (Bruck), Xu [36] also established the following subsequent results for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings; see Theorem 2 of [36], Lemma 2.3 of [32], respectively.

**Theorem 3.7.** ([36]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed at zero.*

**Theorem 3.8.** ([32]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed at zero in the sense that whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| = 0$$

it follows that  $x = Tx$ .

In 2001, Chang et al [8] removed the assumption of *boundedness* of  $C$  in Theorem 3.7; see Theorem 1 of [8].

**Theorem 3.9.** ([8]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed at zero.*

More generally, we easily observe the following demiclosedness principle for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then  $f = I - T$  is demiclosed at zero in the sense that whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

it follows that  $x = Tx$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| = 0$ . Then, since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded,  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $\{x_n\} \subset K := C \cap B_r$ , where  $B_r$  denotes the closed ball of  $X$  with center 0 and radius  $r$ . Then  $K$  is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset in  $C$ . For arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $k_0$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| < \epsilon, \quad k \geq k_0 \tag{3.4}$$

by (3.15). Since  $x \in \overline{co}(\{x_n\})$ , for each  $n \geq 1$ , we can also choose a convex combination

$$y_n := \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} x_{i+n}, \quad \lambda^{(n)} = (\lambda_1^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_{m(n)}^{(n)}) \in \Delta^{m(n)-1}$$

such that

$$\|y_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now for any (fixed)  $k \geq k_0$ , using (3.4), we can choose  $n_0$  such that

$$\|x_n - T^k x_n\| < \epsilon, \quad n \geq n_0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $T^k : K \rightarrow X$  is AN (hence Lipschitzian with its Lipschitz constants  $L_k := 1 + c_k$ ), use to Bruck's Lemma 3.5 (with  $d := \text{diam } K$ ) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^k y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} T^k x_{i+n}\| \\ & \leq L_k \gamma^{-1} \left( \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq m(n)} (\|x_{i+n} - x_{j+n}\| - L_k^{-1} \|T^k x_{i+n} - T^k x_{j+n}\|) \right) \\ & \leq L_k \gamma^{-1} \left( \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq m(n)} (\|x_{i+n} - T^k x_{i+n}\| + \|x_{j+n} - T^k x_{j+n}\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 - L_k^{-1}) \|T^k x_{i+n} - T^k x_{j+n}\|) \right) \\ & \leq L_k \gamma^{-1} (2\epsilon + (1 - L_k^{-1})d) \quad \text{by (3.6)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for  $k \geq k_0$  and  $n \geq n_0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^k y_n - y_n\| \\ & \leq \|T^k y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} T^k x_{i+n}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} \|T^k x_{i+n} - x_{i+n}\| \\ & \leq L_k \gamma^{-1} (2\epsilon + (1 - L_k^{-1})d) + \epsilon \quad \text{by (3.6) again.} \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  firstly on both sides, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^k y_n - y_n\| \leq L_k \gamma^{-1} (2\epsilon + (1 - L_k^{-1})d) + \epsilon \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Therefore, for  $k \geq k_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k x - x\| & \leq \|T^k x - T^k y_n\| + \|T^k y_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - x\| \\ & \leq (1 + L_k) \|y_n - x\| + \|T^k y_n - y_n\| \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.7) and  $\|y_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ , we see

$$\|T^k x - x\| \leq L_k \gamma^{-1} (2\epsilon + (1 - L_k^{-1})d) + \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This shows  $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k x$ . Hence  $Tx = x$  by continuity of  $T$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Recall that  $X$  is said to satisfy the *uniform Opial property* [28] if for each  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that

$$1 + r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| \quad (3.8)$$

for each  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq c$  and each weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq 1$ .

*Remark 3.11.* (a) It suffices to take the weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$  instead of asking that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq 1$  in (3.8).

(b) We can substitute both  $\liminf$  by  $\limsup$  in (3.8).

*Proof.* (a) Let  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq c$  and  $\liminf_n \|x_n\| \geq 1$ . Assume  $\liminf_n \|x + x_n\| = \lim_m \|x + x_m\|$  for some subsequence  $\{m\}$  of  $\{n\}$ . Also, assume without loss of generality that  $\liminf_m \|x_m\| = \lim_k \|x_{m_k}\| = 1$ ; put  $y_k := x_{m_k} / \|x_{m_k}\|$  for all sufficient large  $k \geq k_0$  (otherwise, i.e., if  $d := \liminf_m \|x_m\| > 1$ , consider  $z_m := x_m/d$ ; put  $y_k := z_{m_k} / \|z_{m_k}\| = x_{m_k} / \|x_{m_k}\|$ ). Since  $\{y_k\}$  is a weakly null sequence with  $\|y_k\| = 1$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ , it follows from assumption that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + r & \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x + y_k\| \\ & = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (x + x_{m_k}) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|x_{m_k}\|}\right) x_{m_k} \right\| \\ & \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_{m_k}\| + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\|x_{m_k}\|} \right| \cdot \|x_{m_k}\| \\ & = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_{m_k}\| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_m\| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.8) is required.

(b) Given  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that the inequality (3.8) replaced with  $\limsup_n$  is satisfied. Let  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq c$  and  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Assume  $\liminf_n \|x + x_n\| = \lim_k \|x + x_{n_k}\|$  for some subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\{n\}$ . Then it follows from (a) (with  $y_k := x_{n_k}$ ) and hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + r &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x + y_k\| \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_{n_k}\| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.8) is satisfied with  $\liminf_n$ . □

Recall also that  $X$  satisfies the  $\liminf$ -locally uniform Opial condition (in brief,  $\liminf$ -LUO) [19] if for any weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq 1$  and any  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that

$$1 + r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| \tag{3.9}$$

for all  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq c$ .

**Definition 3.12.** ([13]) A Banach space  $X$  has the  $\limsup$ -LUO if for any weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq 1$  and any  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that (3.8) replaced with  $\limsup_n$  holds for all  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq c$ .

*Remark 3.13.* Note that  $(\text{UO}) \Rightarrow (\liminf\text{-LUO}) \Rightarrow (\limsup\text{-LUO})$ . But the converse implications don't remain true in general. Consider  $X = (\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \ell_i)_{\ell_2}$ . Then  $X = (\limsup\text{-LUO})$  but it lacks  $(\text{UO})$ ; see [37]. Also, by [13],  $X \neq (\liminf\text{-LUO})$ . If we take  $X = (\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \ell_i)_{\ell_1}$ , it has  $\liminf\text{-LUO}$  but not  $(\text{UO})$ ; see [13].

For the following lemma, see Lemma 2.3 of Oka [23] or Lemma 1.5 of [38].

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists K_\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta_\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $k \geq K_\epsilon$ ,  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in C$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and if  $\|z_i - z_j\| - \|T^k z_i - T^k z_j\| \leq \delta_\epsilon$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , then*

$$\left\| T^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i T^k z_i \right\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$ .

Using Lemma 3.14, Yang, Xie, Peng and Hu [38] recently proved the following demiclosedness principle of  $I - T$  for a mapping  $T$  which is asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense.

**Theorem 3.15.** ([38]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense. Then  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero in the sense that whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^m x_n\| = 0$$

it follows that  $x = Tx$ .

*Remark 3.16.* Note that Theorem 3.15 is the slight modification of Lemma 2.5 in [23].

Here we give an easy example of an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping which is not nonexpansive.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $C = [0, 1]$ , and  $1/2 < k < 1$ . For each  $x \in C$ , define

$$Tx = \begin{cases} kx, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1/2; \\ \frac{-k}{2k-1}(x-k), & \text{if } 1/2 \leq x \leq k; \\ 0, & \text{if } k \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is asymptotically nonexpansive but not nonexpansive.

Now we shall give the demiclosedness principle of  $I - T$  for a TAN mapping  $T$ . We first begin with following slight modification of Lemma 2.1 in [38].

**Lemma 3.18.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a TAN mapping and let  $K$  a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $C$ . Then, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N_\epsilon \geq 1$  and  $\delta_{2,\epsilon}$  with  $0 < \delta_{2,\epsilon} \leq \epsilon$  such that if  $k \geq N_\epsilon$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in K$  and if  $\|x_1 - x_2\| - \|T^k x_1 - T^k x_2\| \leq \delta_{2,\epsilon}$ , then

$$\left\| T^k(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) - \lambda_1 T^k x_1 - \lambda_2 T^k x_2 \right\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Delta^1$ .

*Proof.* We employ the method of the proof in [23]. Since  $X$  is uniformly convex, the modulus of convexity  $\delta$  is a continuous and strictly increasing function on  $[0, 2]$  (see [16] for more details). Then the function  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \delta(t) dt, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 2; \\ \frac{1}{2}(x-2) + F(2), & \text{if } x > 2. \end{cases}$$

is clearly strictly increasing, continuous and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Obviously, since  $F(x) \leq \delta(x)$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ), the uniform convexity of  $X$  implies that

$$2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 F(\|x - y\|) \leq 1 - \|\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y\| \quad (3.10)$$

for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Delta^1$ ,  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and  $\|y\| \leq 1$ . If either  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$  is 1 or 0, our conclusion is clearly satisfied. So assume that  $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary given. Set

$$M := \text{diam } K \vee \sup_{x,y \in K} \phi(\|x - y\|) < \infty.$$

(Note that  $\sup_{x,y \in K} \phi(\|x - y\|) \leq \phi(\text{diam } K)$  because  $\phi$  is strictly increasing.)

Choose  $d_\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\frac{M}{2} F^{-1}\left(\frac{2d_\epsilon}{M}\right) < \epsilon$  and put  $\delta_{2,\epsilon} = \min\left\{\epsilon, d_\epsilon, \frac{M}{4}\right\}$ . For  $\bar{\delta}_{2,\epsilon} = \min\{\lambda_i \delta_{2,\epsilon} : i = 1, 2\} > 0$ , since  $c_n, d_n \rightarrow 0$ , there exists an integer  $N_\epsilon \geq 1$  (depending on the set  $K$ ) such that if  $k \geq N_\epsilon$ ,

$$c_k < \bar{\delta}_{2,\epsilon}/2M \quad \text{and} \quad d_k < \bar{\delta}_{2,\epsilon}/2.$$

Then, by (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k x - T^k y\| &\leq \|x - y\| + c_k \phi(\|x - y\|) + d_k \\ &\leq \|x - y\| + c_k M + d_k \\ &< \|x - y\| + \bar{\delta}_{2,\epsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $k \geq N_\epsilon$ ,  $x, y \in K$ . Now let  $k \geq N_\epsilon$  and let  $x_1, x_2 \in K$  with  $\|x_1 - x_2\| - \|T^k x_1 - T^k x_2\| \leq \delta_{2,\epsilon}$ . On letting

$$x := \frac{T^k x_2 - T^k(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)}{\lambda_1(\|x_1 - x_2\| + \delta_{2,\epsilon})} \text{ and } y := \frac{T^k(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) - T^k x_1}{\lambda_2(\|x_1 - x_2\| + \delta_{2,\epsilon})},$$

we have  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|y\| \leq 1$  by with help of (3.11) and

$$\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y = \frac{T^k x_2 - T^k x_1}{\|x_1 - x_2\| + \delta_{2,\epsilon}}.$$

From these facts, on letting

$$0 < t := \frac{2}{M} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \delta_{2,\epsilon}) \leq \frac{2}{M} \frac{1}{4} \left( M + \frac{M}{4} \right) < 1,$$

we have

$$\frac{2}{M} \|\lambda_1 T^k x_1 + \lambda_2 T^k x_2 - T^k(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)\| = t \|x - y\| \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2} (1 - \|\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y\|) &= \frac{\|x_1 - x_2\| - \|T^k x_1 - T^k x_2\| + \delta_{2,\epsilon}}{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \delta_{2,\epsilon})} \\ &\leq \frac{2\delta_{2,\epsilon}}{tM}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Using (3.10), (3.12), (3.13) and the convexity of  $F$  with  $F(0) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F\left(\frac{2}{M} \|\lambda_1 T^k x_1 + \lambda_2 T^k x_2 - T^k(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)\|\right) \\ &= F(t\|x - y\|) = F(t\|x - y\| + (1-t)0) \\ &\leq tF(\|x - y\|) + (1-t)F(0) \\ &= \frac{t}{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2} (1 - \|\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y\|) \leq \frac{2\delta_{2,\epsilon}}{M} \leq \frac{2d_\epsilon}{M} \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$\|\lambda_1 T^k x_1 + \lambda_2 T^k x_2 - T^k(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)\| \leq \frac{M}{2} F^{-1}\left(\frac{2d_\epsilon}{M}\right) < \epsilon$$

from the choice of  $d_\epsilon$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now on mimicking Lemma 2.2 and 2.3 in Oka [23] we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.19.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a TAN mapping and let  $K$  a bounded closed convex subset of  $C$ . Then, for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integers  $N_\epsilon \geq 1$  and  $\delta_\epsilon$  with  $0 < \delta_\epsilon \leq \epsilon$  such that  $k \geq N_\epsilon$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in K$  and if  $\|x_i - x_j\| - \|T^k x_i - T^k x_j\| \leq \delta_\epsilon$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , then*

$$\left\| T^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T^k x_i \right\| < \epsilon$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$ .

As a direct application of Lemma 3.19, we have the following demiclosedness principle for continuous TAN mapping.

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a continuous TAN mapping. Then  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero in the sense that whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x (\in C)$  and it satisfies (3.15), namely,*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| = 0.$$

Then  $x \in F(T)$ .

*Proof.* First, we claim that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k x = x$ . For this end, since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded in  $C$ , take the bounded set  $K$  in Lemma 3.19 by the closed convex hull of  $\{x_n : n \geq 1\}$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $N_\epsilon \geq 1$  and  $\delta_\epsilon$  with  $0 < \delta_\epsilon \leq \epsilon$  as in Lemma 3.19. From (3.15), there exists an integer  $k_0 (\geq N_\epsilon)$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| < \delta_\epsilon/2 \quad (k \geq k_0).$$

Also, we can choose an integer  $n_0 (\geq k_0)$  such that

$$\|x_n - T^k x_n\| \leq \delta_\epsilon/2 \quad (k, n \geq n_0). \tag{3.14}$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x \in \overline{\text{co}}\{x_i : i \geq n\}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , we can choose for each  $n \geq 1$  a convex combination

$$y_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} x_{i+n}, \quad \text{where } \lambda^{(n)} = (\lambda_1^{(n)}, \lambda_2^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_{m(n)}^{(n)}) \in \Delta^{m(n)-1}$$

such that  $\|y_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $k, n \geq n_0$ . Then it follows from (3.14) that, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m(n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{i+n} - x_{j+n}\| - \|T^k x_{i+n} - T^k x_{j+n}\| \\ & \leq \|x_{i+n} - T^k x_{i+n}\| + \|x_{j+n} - T^k x_{j+n}\| \\ & \leq \delta_\epsilon/2 + \delta_\epsilon/2 = \delta_\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and so applying Lemma 3.19 yields

$$\left\| T^k y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} T^k x_{i+n} \right\| < \epsilon$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k y_n - y_n\| &\leq \left\| T^k y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} T^k x_{i+n} \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} (T^k x_{i+n} - x_{i+n}) \right\| \\ &< \epsilon + \delta_\epsilon/2 \leq (3/2)\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $k, n \geq n_0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_n : C \rightarrow C\}$  is TAN on  $C$ , this implies that, for  $k, n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k x - x\| &\leq \|T^k x - T^k y_n\| + \|T^k y_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - x\| \\ &\leq \|x - y_n\| + c_k \phi(\|x - y_n\|) + d_k + (3/2)\epsilon + \|y_n - x\| \\ &= 2\|y_n - x\| + c_k \phi(\|x - y_n\|) + d_k + (3/2)\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Taking the lim sup as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  at first and next the lim sup as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in both sides of (3.15), we have  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T^k x - x\| \leq (3/2)\epsilon$  and since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary given,  $T^k x \rightarrow x$ . Therefore  $x \in F(T)$  by continuity of  $T$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Ya. I. Alber, C. E. Chidume and H. Zegeye, Approximating fixed points of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, 2006 (2006), article ID 10673, 20 pages.
- [2] V. Barbu and Th. Precupanu, *Convexity and Optimization in Banach spaces*, Editura Academiei, Romania, 1986.
- [3] R. E. Bruck, A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **32** (1979), 107–116.
- [4] R. E. Bruck, On the convex approximation property and the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **38** (1981), 304–314.
- [5] R. E. Bruck, T. Kuczumow and S. Reich, Convergence of iterates of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces with the uniform Opial property, *Colloq. Math.*, 65 (1993), 169–179.
- [6] F. E. Browder, Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces, in Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 18, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1976.
- [7] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **20** (1967), 197–228.

- [8] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho and H. Zhou, Demi-closedness principle and weak convergence problems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **38** (2001), 1245–1260.
- [9] C. E. Chidume and E. U. Ofoedu, Approximation of common fixed points for finite families of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 333 (2007), 128–141.
- [10] I. Cioranescu, Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [11] R. DeMarr, Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 1139–1141.
- [12] J. Diestel, Geometry of Banach spaces -Selected Topics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics,, vol. 485, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [13] H. Fetter and Berta Gamboa de Bluen, Locally uniform Opial conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* **53** (2003), 743–750.
- [14] J. Garcia Falset, W. Kaczor, T. Kuczumov and S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 43 (2001), 377–401.
- [15] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35 (1972), 171–174.
- [16] K. Geobel and W. A. Kirk, Topics in metric fixed point theory, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 1990.
- [17] T. H. Kim and H. K. Xu, Convergence of the modified Mann's iteration method for asymptotically strict pseudo-contractions, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68 (2008), 2828–2836.
- [18] W. A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems for non-Lipschitzian mappings of asymptotically nonexpansive type, *Israel J. Math.*, 17 (1974), 339–346.
- [19] P. K. Lin, K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu, Demiclosedness principle and asymptotic behavior for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* **24** (1995), 929–946.
- [20] G Lopez Acedo and H. K. Xu, Iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces, em *Nonlinear Anal.* **256** (2001), 431–445.
- [21] W. R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4 (1953), 506–510.
- [22] G. Marino and H. K. Xu, Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces, em *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329** (2007), 336–346.

- [23] H. Oka, An ergodic theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125 (1997), 1693-1703.
- [24] L. Qihou, Convergence theorems of the sequence of iterates for asymptotically demicontractive and hemicontractive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 26 (1996), 1835-1842.
- [25] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73 (1967), 591-597.
- [26] M. O. Osilike and A. Udomene, Demiclosedness principle and convergence theorems for strictly pseudocontractive mappings of Browder-Petryshn type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 256 (2001), 431-445.
- [27] M. O. Osilike, A. Udomene, D. I. Igbokwe and B. G. Akuchu, Demiclosedness principle and convergence theorems for  $k$ -strictly asymptotically pseudocontractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 326 (2007), 1334-1345.
- [28] S. Prus, Banach spaces with the uniform Opial property, *Nonlinear Anal.* 18 (1992), 697-704.
- [29] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 67 (1979), 274-276.
- [30] S. Reich, Review of Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26 (1992), 367-370.
- [31] D. R. Sahu, H. K. Xu, J. C. Yao, Asymptotically strict pseudocontractive mappings in the intermediate sense, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70 (2009) 3502-3511.
- [32] K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu, The nonlinear ergodic theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992), 399-404.
- [33] Y. Takahashi, K. Hashimoto, and M. Kato, On sharp uniform convexity, smoothness, and strong type, cotype inequalities, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 3 (2002), 267-281.
- [34] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [35] H. K. Xu, Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 16 (1991), 1127-1138.
- [36] H. K. Xu, Existence and convergence for fixed points of mappings of asymptotically nonexpansive type, *Nonlinear Anal.* 16 (1991), 1139-1146.
- [37] H.K Xu and G. Marino, Uniform property  $(K)$  and its related properties, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 57 (1998), 93-107.

- [38] L. P. Yang, X. S. Xie, S. Peng and G. Hu, Demiclosedness principle and convergence for modified three step iterative process with errors of non-Lipschitzian mappings, *J. Comput. Applied Math.* (2009), doi:10.1016/j.cam.2009.01.022.