

# Fast decomposition of $p$ -groups in the Roquette category, for $p > 2$

Serge Bouc

**Abstract :** Let  $p$  be a prime number. In [9], I introduced the *Roquette category*  $\mathcal{R}_p$  of finite  $p$ -groups, which is an additive tensor category containing all finite  $p$ -groups among its objects. In  $\mathcal{R}_p$ , every finite  $p$ -group  $P$  admits a canonical direct summand  $\partial P$ , called *the edge* of  $P$ . Moreover  $P$  splits uniquely as a direct sum of edges of *Roquette  $p$ -groups*.

In this note, I would like to describe a fast algorithm to obtain such a decomposition, when  $p$  is odd.

**AMS Subject classification :** 18B99, 19A22, 20C99, 20J15.

**Keywords :**  $p$ -group, Roquette, rational, biset, genetic.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime number. The Roquette category  $\mathcal{R}_p$  of finite  $p$ -groups, introduced in [9], is an additive tensor category with the following properties :

- Every finite  $p$ -group can be viewed as an object of  $\mathcal{R}_p$ . The tensor product of two finite  $p$ -groups  $P$  and  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{R}_p$  is the direct product  $P \times Q$ .
- In  $\mathcal{R}_p$ , any finite  $p$ -group has a direct summand  $\partial P$ , called *the edge* of  $P$ , such that

$$P \cong \bigoplus_{N \trianglelefteq P} \partial(P/N) .$$

Moreover, if the center of  $P$  is not cyclic, then  $\partial P = 0$ .

- In  $\mathcal{R}_p$ , every finite  $p$ -group  $P$  decomposes as a direct sum

$$P \cong \bigoplus_{R \in \mathcal{S}} \partial R ,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finite sequence of *Roquette groups*, i.e. of  $p$ -groups of normal  $p$ -rank 1, and such a decomposition is essentially unique. Given the group  $P$ , such a decomposition can be obtained explicitly from the knowledge of a *genetic basis* of  $P$ .

- The tensor product  $\partial P \times \partial Q$  of the edges of two Roquette  $p$ -groups  $P$  and  $Q$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of a certain number  $\nu_{P,Q}$  of copies of the edge  $\partial(P \diamond Q)$  of another Roquette group (where both  $\nu_{P,Q}$  and  $P \diamond Q$  are known explicitly).

- The additive functors from  $\mathcal{R}_p$  to the category of abelian groups are exactly the *rational  $p$ -biset functors* introduced in [4].

The latter is the main motivation for considering this category : any structural result on  $\mathcal{R}_p$  will provide for free some information on such rational functors for  $p$ -groups, e.g. the representation functors  $R_K$ , where  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0 (see [2], [3], and L. Barker's article [1]), the functor of units of Burnside rings ([6]), or the torsion part of the Dade group ([5]).

The decomposition of a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  as a direct sum of edges of Roquette  $p$ -groups can be read from the knowledge of a genetic basis of  $P$ . The problem is that the computation of such a basis is rather slow, in general. For most purposes however, the full details encoded in a genetic basis are useless, and it would be enough to know the direct sum decomposition.

Hence it would be nice to have a fast algorithm taking any finite  $p$ -group  $P$  as input, and giving its decomposition as direct sum of edges of Roquette groups in the category  $\mathcal{R}_p$ . This note is devoted to the description of such an algorithm, when  $p > 2$ .

## 2. Rational $p$ -biset functors

**2.1.** Recall that the characteristic property of the edge  $\partial P$  of a finite  $p$ -group in the Roquette category  $\mathcal{R}_p$  is that for any rational  $p$ -biset functor  $F$

$$\partial F(P) = \hat{F}(\partial P) ,$$

where  $\partial F(P)$  is the faithful part of  $F(P)$ , and  $\hat{F}$  denotes the extension of  $F$  to  $\mathcal{R}_p$ . Also recall the following criterion ([7], Theorem 3.1):

**2.2. Theorem :** *Let  $p$  be a prime number, and  $F$  be a  $p$ -biset functor. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The functor  $F$  is a rational  $p$ -biset functor.*
2. *For any finite  $p$ -group  $P$ , the following conditions hold:*
  - *if the center of  $P$  is non cyclic, then  $\partial F(P) = \{0\}$ .*
  - *if  $E \trianglelefteq P$  is a normal elementary abelian subgroup of rank 2, and if  $Z \leq E$  is a central subgroup of order  $p$  of  $P$ , then the map*

$$\text{Res}_{C_P(E)}^P \oplus \text{Def}_{P/Z}^P : F(P) \rightarrow F(C_P(E)) \oplus F(P/Z)$$

*is injective.*

**2.3.** Let  $K$  be a commutative ring in which  $p$  is invertible. When  $P$  is a finite group, denote by  $\text{CF}_K(P)$  the  $K$ -module of central functions from  $P$  to  $K$ . The correspondence sending a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  to  $\text{CF}_K(P)$  is a rational  $p$ -biset functor:

**2.4. Proposition :** *If  $P$  and  $Q$  are finite  $p$ -groups, if  $U$  is a finite  $(Q, P)$ -biset, and if  $f \in \text{CF}_K(P)$ , define a map  $\text{CF}_K(U) : \text{CF}_K(P) \rightarrow \text{CF}_K(Q)$  by*

$$\forall s \in Q, \text{CF}_K(U)(f)(s) = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{u \in U, x \in P \\ su=ux}} f(x) .$$

*With this definition, the correspondence  $P \mapsto \text{CF}_K(P)$  becomes a rational  $p$ -biset functor, denoted by  $\text{CF}_K$ .*

**Proof :** A straightforward argument shows that  $\text{CF}_K(U)(f)$  is indeed a central function on  $Q$ , hence the map  $\text{CF}_K(U)$  is well defined. It is also clear that this map only depends on the isomorphism class of the biset  $U$ , and that for any two finite  $(H, G)$ -bisets  $U$  and  $U'$ , we have

$$\text{CF}_K(U \sqcup U') = \text{CF}_K(U) + \text{CF}_K(U') .$$

Moreover if  $U$  is the identity biset at  $P$ , i.e. if  $U = P$  with biset structure given by left and right multiplication, then for  $f \in \text{CF}_K(P)$  and  $s \in P$

$$\text{CF}_K(U)(f)(s) = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{u \in U, x \in P \\ su=ux}} f(x) = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{u \in P} f(s^u) = f(s) ,$$

hence  $\text{CF}_K(U)$  is the identity map.

Now if  $R$  is a third finite  $p$ -group, and  $V$  is a finite  $(R, Q)$ -biset, then for any  $t \in R$ , setting  $\lambda = \text{CF}_K(V) \circ \text{CF}_K(U)(f)(t)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{\substack{v \in V, s \in Q \\ tv=vs}} \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{u \in U, x \in P \\ su=ux}} f(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q||P|} \sum_{\substack{(v,u) \in V \times U \\ s \in Q, x \in P \\ tv=vs, su=ux}} f(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q||P|} \sum_{\substack{(v,u) \in V \times U, x \in P \\ t(v, {}_Q u) = (v, {}_Q u)x}} |\{s \in Q \mid tv = vs, su = ux\}| f(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \frac{1}{|Q||P|} \sum_{\substack{(v,Q) \in V \times_Q U, x \in P \\ t(v,Q) = (v,Q)x}} |Q : Q_v \cap_u P| |Q_v \cap_u P| f(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{(v,Q) \in V \times_Q U, x \in P \\ t(v,Q) = (v,Q)x}} f(x) = \text{CF}_K(V \times_Q U)(f)(t) .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{CF}_K(V) \circ \text{CF}_K(U) = \text{CF}_K(V \times_Q U)$ , and  $\text{CF}_K$  is a  $p$ -biset functor.

To prove that this functor is rational, we use the criterion given by Theorem 2.2. Suppose first that the center  $Z(P)$  of  $P$  is non-cyclic. Let  $E$  denote the subgroup of  $Z(P)$  consisting of elements of order at most  $p$ . Then saying that  $\partial \text{CF}_K(P) = \{0\}$  amounts to saying that for any  $f \in \text{CF}_K(P)$ , the sum

$$S = \sum_{Z \leq E} \mu(\mathbf{1}, Z) \text{Inf}_{P/Z}^P \text{Def}_{P/Z}^P f$$

is equal to 0, where  $\mu$  denotes the Möbius function of the poset of subgroups of  $P$  (or of  $E$ ). Equivalently, for any  $s \in P$

$$S(s) = \sum_{Z \leq E} \mu(\mathbf{1}, Z) \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{aZ \in P/Z, x \in P \\ saZ = aZx}} f(x) = 0 .$$

This also can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
S(s) &= \sum_{Z \leq E} \mu(\mathbf{1}, Z) \frac{1}{|P||Z|} \sum_{\substack{a \in P, x \in P \\ saZ = aZx}} f(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} \sum_{a \in P, z \in Z} f(s^a \cdot z) \\
&= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} \sum_{a \in P, z \in Z} f((sz)^a) \\
&= \sum_{Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} \sum_{z \in Z} f(sz) \\
&= \sum_{z \in E} \left( \sum_{Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} \right) f(sz) .
\end{aligned}$$

**2.5. Lemma :** *Let  $E$  be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of rank at least 2. Then for any  $z \in E$*

$$\sum_{z \in Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} = 0 .$$

**Proof :** For  $z \in E$ , set  $\sigma(z) = \sum_{z \in Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|}$ . Assume first that  $z \neq 1$ , i.e.

$|z| = p$ . If  $Z \ni z$  is elementary abelian of rank  $r$ , then  $\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z) = (-1)^r p^{\binom{r}{2}}$ , hence  $\frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} = (-1)^r p^{\binom{r-1}{2}-1} = -\frac{1}{p} \mu(\mathbf{1}, Z/\langle z \rangle)$ . Hence setting  $\bar{Z} = Z/\langle z \rangle$  and  $\bar{E} = E/\langle z \rangle$ ,

$$\sigma(z) = -\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mathbf{1} \leq \bar{Z} \leq \bar{E}} \mu(\mathbf{1}, \bar{Z}) = 0 ,$$

since  $|\bar{E}| > 1$ . Now

$$\sum_{z \in E} \sigma(z) = \sigma(1) + \sum_{e \in E - \{1\}} \sigma(z) = \sum_{z \in Z} \sum_{z \in Z \leq E} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{1}, Z)}{|Z|} = \sum_{\mathbf{1} \leq Z \leq E} \mu(\mathbf{1}, Z) = 0$$

hence  $\sigma(1) = 0$ , completing the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

It follows that  $S(s) = 0$ , hence  $S = 0$ , as was to be shown.

For the second condition of Theorem 2.2, suppose that  $E$  is a normal elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  of rank 2, and that  $Z$  is a central subgroup of  $P$  of order  $p$  contained in  $E$ . Let  $f \in \text{CF}_K(P)$  which restricts to 0 to  $C_P(E)$ , and such that

$$\forall sZ \in P/Z, \quad (\text{Def}_{P/Z}^P f)(sZ) = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{z \in Z} f(sz) = 0 .$$

Thus  $f(s) = 0$  if  $s \in C_P(E)$ . Assume that  $s \notin C_P(E)$ . Then for  $e \in E$ , the commutator  $[s, e]$  lies in  $Z$ . Moreover the map  $e \in E \mapsto [s, e] \in Z$  is surjective. it follows that for any  $z \in Z$ , there exists  $e \in E$  such that  $s^e = sz$ . Thus  $f(sz) = f(s^e) = f(s)$ . Hence  $\text{Def}_{P/Z}^P f(s) = f(s) = 0$ . Hence  $f = 0$ , as was to be shown.  $\square$

### 3. Action of $p$ -adic units

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, i.e. the inverse limit of the rings  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ . The group of units  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is the inverse limits of the unit groups  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , and it acts on the functor  $\text{CF}_K$  in the following way: if  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group, choose an integer  $r$  such that  $p^r$  is a multiple of the exponent of  $P$ , and let  $\zeta_{p^r}$  denote the component of  $\zeta$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . For  $f \in \text{CF}_K(P)$ , define  $\widehat{\zeta}_P(f) \in \text{CF}_K(P)$  by

$$\forall s \in P, \quad \widehat{\zeta}_P(f)(s) = f(s^{\zeta_{p^r}}) .$$

Then clearly  $\widehat{\zeta}_P(f)$  only depends on  $\zeta$ , and this gives a well defined map

$$\widehat{\zeta}_P : \text{CF}_K(P) \rightarrow \text{CF}_K(P) .$$

One can check easily (see [8] Proposition 7.2.4 for details) that if  $Q$  is a finite  $p$ -group, and  $U$  is a finite  $(Q, P)$ -biset, then the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CF}_K(P) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\zeta}_P} & \text{CF}_K(P) \\ \text{CF}_K(U) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{CF}_K(U) \\ \text{CF}_K(Q) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\zeta}_Q} & \text{CF}_K(Q) \end{array}$$

is commutative. In other words, we have an endomorphism  $\widehat{\zeta}$  of the functor  $\text{CF}_K$ . It is straightforward to check that for  $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , we have  $\widehat{\zeta\zeta'} = \widehat{\zeta} \circ \widehat{\zeta'}$ , and that  $\widehat{1}$  is the identity endomorphism of  $\text{CF}_K$ . So this yields an action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  on  $\text{CF}_K$ .

It follows in particular that when  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , and  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group, if we set

$$F_n(P) = \{f \in \text{CF}_K(P) \mid \forall s \in P, f(s^{1+p^n}) = f(s)\} ,$$

then the correspondence  $P \mapsto F_n(P)$  is a subfunctor of  $\text{CF}_K$ : indeed  $F_n$  is the subfunctor of invariants by the element  $1 + p^n$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

It follows that  $F_n$  is a rational  $p$ -biset functor, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , hence it factors through the Roquette category  $\mathcal{R}_p$ . In particular, for any finite  $p$ -group  $P$ , if  $P$  splits as a direct sum

$$P \cong \bigoplus_{R \in \mathcal{S}} \partial R$$

of edges of Roquette groups in  $\mathcal{R}_p$ , then there is an isomorphism

$$F_n(P) \cong \bigoplus_{R \in \mathcal{S}} \partial F_n(R) .$$

**3.1. Notation :** For a finite  $p$ -group  $P$ , and an integer  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , let  $l_n(P)$  denote the number of conjugacy classes of elements  $s$  of  $P$  such that  $s^{1+p^n}$  is conjugate to  $s$  in  $P$ . Also set  $l_0(P) = 1$ .

With this notation, for any finite  $p$ -group  $P$ , and any  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , the  $K$ -module  $F_n(P)$  is a free  $K$ -module of rank  $l_n(P)$ . In particular, if  $P = C_{p^m}$  is cyclic of order  $p^m$ , then  $F_n(P)$  has rank  $l_n(P) = p^{\min(m,n)}$ . Thus if  $m > 0$ ,

then  $\partial F_n(C_{p^m})$  has rank  $p^{\min(m,n)} - p^{\min(m-1,n)}$ , since  $C_{p^m} \cong \partial C_{p^m} \oplus C_{p^{m-1}}$  in  $\mathcal{R}_p$ .

**3.2. Theorem :** *Assume that a  $p$ -group  $P$  splits as a direct sum*

$$P \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \partial C_{p^m}$$

*of edges of cyclic groups in the Roquette category  $\mathcal{R}_p$ , where  $a_m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\forall m \geq 1, \quad a_m = \frac{l_m(P) - l_{m-1}(P)}{p^{m-1}(p-1)} .$$

**Proof :** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , we have

$$l_n(P) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (p^{\min(m,n)} - p^{\min(m-1,n)}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^n a_m (p^m - p^{m-1}) .$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , this gives  $l_n(P) - l_{n-1}(P) = a_n (p^n - p^{n-1})$ . □

**3.3. Corollary :** *Suppose  $p > 2$ . If  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group, then*

$$P \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{l_m(P) - l_{m-1}(P)}{p^{m-1}(p-1)} \partial C_{p^m}$$

*in the Roquette category  $\mathcal{R}_p$ .*

**Proof :** Indeed for  $p$  odd, all the Roquette  $p$ -groups are cyclic, hence the assumption of Theorem 3.2 holds for any  $P$ . □

## Appendix

**3.1. A GAP function :** The following function for the GAP software ([10]) computes the decomposition of  $p$ -groups for  $p > 2$ , using Corollary 3.3:

```
#
# Roquette decomposition of an odd order p-group g
# output is a list of pairs of the form [p^n, a_n]
# where a_n is the number of summands of g
# isomorphic to the edge of the cyclic group of order p^n
#
```

```

roquette_decomposition:=function(g)
local prem,cg,s,i,x,y,z,pn,u;
  if IsTrivial(g) then return [[1,1]];fi;
  prem:=PrimeDivisors(Size(g));
  if Length(prem)>1 then
    Print("Error : the group must be a p-group\n");
    return fail;
  fi;
  prem:=prem[1];
  if prem=2 then
    Print("Error : the order must be odd\n");
    return fail;
  fi;
  cg:=ConjugacyClasses(g);
  s=[];
  for i in [2..Length(cg)] do
    x:=cg[i];
    y:=Representative(x);
    pn:=1;
    u:=y;
    repeat
      pn:=pn*prem;
      u:=u^prem;
      z:=y*u;
    until z in x;
    Add(s,pn);
  od;
  s:=Collected(s);
  s:=List(s,x->[x[1],x[2]*prem/(prem-1)/x[1]]);
  s:=Concatenation([[1,1]],s);
  return s;
end;

```

### 3.2. Example :

```

gap> l:=AllGroups(81);;
gap> for g in l do
> Print(roquette_decomposition(g),"\n");
> od;
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 1 ], [ 9, 1 ], [ 27, 1 ], [ 81, 1 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 4 ], [ 9, 12 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 7 ], [ 9, 3 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 7 ], [ 9, 3 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 4 ], [ 9, 3 ], [ 27, 3 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 4 ], [ 9, 4 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 8 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 5 ], [ 9, 1 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 5 ], [ 9, 1 ] ]
[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 5 ], [ 9, 1 ] ]

```



[ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 13 ], [ 9, 9 ] ]  
 [ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 16 ] ]  
 [ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 16 ] ]  
 [ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 13 ], [ 9, 1 ] ]  
 [ [ 1, 1 ], [ 3, 40 ] ]

For example, the group on line 6 of the previous list, isomorphic to the semidirect product  $C_{27} \rtimes C_3$ , is isomorphic to  $1 \oplus 4\partial C_3 \oplus 4\partial C_9$  in  $\mathcal{R}_3$ .

## References

- [1] L. Barker. Rhetorical biset functors, rational  $p$ -biset functors, and their semisimplicity in characteristic zero. *J. of Algebra*, 319(9):3810–3853, 2008.
- [2] S. Bouc. Foncteurs d'ensembles munis d'une double action. *J. of Algebra*, 183(0238):664–736, 1996.
- [3] S. Bouc. The functor of rational representations for  $p$ -groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 186:267–306, 2004.
- [4] S. Bouc. Biset functors and genetic sections for  $p$ -groups. *J. of Algebra*, 284(1):179–202, 2005.
- [5] S. Bouc. The Dade group of a  $p$ -group. *Inv. Math.*, 164:189–231, 2006.
- [6] S. Bouc. The functor of units of Burnside rings for  $p$ -groups. *Comm. Math. Helv.*, 82:583–615, 2007.
- [7] S. Bouc. Rational  $p$ -biset functors. *J. of Algebra*, 319:1776–1800, 2008.
- [8] S. Bouc. *Biset functors for finite groups*, volume 1990 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 2010.
- [9] S. Bouc. The Roquette category of finite  $p$ -groups. preprint, <http://fr.arxiv.org/abs/1111.3469>, 2011.
- [10] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.6.3*, 2013. (<http://www.gap-system.org>).

---

Serge Bouc - CNRS-LAMFA, Université de Picardie, 33 rue St Leu, 80039, Amiens Cedex 01 - France.

email : [serge.bouc@u-picardie.fr](mailto:serge.bouc@u-picardie.fr)

web : <http://www.lamfa.u-picardie.fr/bouc/>