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#### Abstract

This is a shot note on the attempt to apply Kipnis－Varadhan＇s theory on central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov process to stochastic PDEs．We considered the mild solution to a stochastic heat equation with periodic coefficients， which is closely related to the dynamical sine－Gordon equation．We summarize the results on the invariant measure，ergodicity and generator，and prove a central limit theorem via the general method．We also obtain an invariance principle．


## 1 1－dimensional diffusion

A general theory of functional CLT for Markov processes is developed in［4］，based on a martingale－decomposition of the targeted functional．This method is extended to non－reversible cases in many references，e．g．［6］，［7］，［8］and［10］．Combined with Itô＇s formula，it can be used to prove the central limit theorem for diffusion processes in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with periodic coefficients，as illustrated in［5，Chapter 9］．

Heuristically，let $d=1$ and $a, U \in C_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be two functions of period 1．Furthermore， suppose that $a$ has a strictly positive infimum and let $X_{t} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the solution to the Itô stochastic differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d X_{t}=V\left(X_{t}\right) d t+a^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{t}\right) d w_{t}  \tag{1.1}\\
X_{0}=x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V(x) \triangleq-\frac{1}{2}\left[U^{\prime}(x) a(x)+a^{\prime}(x)\right]$ and $w_{t}$ is a standard 1－dimensional Brownian motion．Under regularity assumptions made about the coefficients，$X_{t}$ exists uniquely and has the Markov property．Let $P_{t}$ be the transition probability semigroup generated by $X_{t}$ ，defined as

$$
P_{t} f(x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t}\right) \mid X_{0}=x\right]
$$

for all $f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ．The generator $\mathcal{L}$ associated to $X_{t}$ is

$$
\mathcal{L} f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left[-U^{\prime}(x) a(x)+a^{\prime}(x)\right] f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

for $f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L} f(x) e^{-U(x)} d x=0, e^{-U(x)} d x$ is invariant under $P_{t}$.
To deal with the issue that $e^{-U(x)} d x$ is of infinite mass, let $\dot{X}_{t}$ be the diffusion induced by $X_{t}$ on the 1 -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T} \triangleq \mathbb{R} / \sim$, where the equivalence relation $x \sim y$ holds if and only if $x-y \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that this process is well-defined because we have the periodic condition. Denote by $\dot{P}_{t}, \dot{\mathcal{L}}$ the Markov semigroup on and generator related to $\dot{X}_{t}$ respectively. It is clear that the probability measure

$$
\pi(d \dot{x})=\frac{1}{Z} e^{-U(\dot{x})} d \dot{x}
$$

is invariant and ergodic under $\dot{P}_{t}$, where $Z$ is a normalization constant.
In order to prove the CLT for $X_{t}$ we consider the cell problem $-\dot{\mathcal{L}} f=V$. It does not take too much effort to solve it with

$$
f(\dot{x})=-\dot{x}+C_{1} \int_{0}^{x} e^{U(y)} a^{-1}(y) d y+C_{2}
$$

where $C_{1}^{-1}=\int_{0}^{1} e^{U(y)} a^{-1}(y) d y$ and $C_{2}$ is an constant such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\dot{x}) d \dot{x}=0$.
Notice that the trajectory of particle can be decomposed to the additive functional $\int_{0}^{t} V\left(X_{s}\right) d s$ and the martingale $\int_{0}^{t} a^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{s}\right) d w_{s}$. Applying the classical Itô's formula to $f$ in the cell problem, we have

$$
X_{t}=x+f(\dot{x})-f\left(\dot{X}_{t}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} a^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{s}\right)\left[f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right)+1\right] d w_{s}
$$

By the ergodic theorem and the martingale CLT (see in [11]), we obtain that as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $t^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{t}$ converges weakly to a centered normal distribution with variance given by

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{Z} \int_{0}^{1} a(x)\left[C_{1} e^{U(x)} a^{-1}(x)-1\right]^{2} e^{-U(x)} d x .
$$

## 2 Stochastic heat equation

The following dynamical sine-Gordon equation is introduced in [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\frac{1}{2} \Delta u+c \sin (\beta u+\theta)+\xi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, \beta$ and $\theta$ are real constants and $\xi$ denotes the space-time white noise. (2.1) is the natural dynamic associated to the usual quantum sine-Gordon model, whose solution can be constructed via classical Itô's theory on stochastic PDE when spatial dimension is 1 , or via Hairer's theory of regularity structures when spatial dimension is 2 , see in [3]. From a physical perspective, (2.1) describes globally neutral gas of interacting charges at different temperature $\beta$.

The strategy illustrated before is expected to be applicable to (2.1). We only deal with a 1 -dimensional general model here, written as

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u(t, x)=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x}^{2} u(t, x)-V_{x}^{\prime}(u(t, x))+\dot{W}(t, x), & t>0, x \in(0,1),  \tag{2.2}\\ \partial_{x} u(t, 0)=\partial_{x} u(t, 1)=0, & t>0, \\ u(0, x)=v(x), & x \in[0,1] .\end{cases}
$$

In (2.2), $V_{x}^{\prime}(u) \triangleq \frac{d}{d u} V_{x}(u)$ where $V_{x}(\cdot)=V(x, \cdot)$ is a family of $C^{1}$ functions on $\mathbb{R}$ indexed by $x \in[0,1]$, satisfying
(1) $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, V_{x}(u)$ is Borel-measurable in $x$;
(2) $\sup _{x \in[0,1], u \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\left|V_{x}(u)\right|+\left|V_{x}^{\prime}(u)\right|\right\}<\infty$;
(3) $\forall x \in[0,1], V_{x}^{\prime}$ is global Lipschitz continuous with the same Lipschitz constant.
(4) $\forall x \in[0,1], V_{x}$ is periodic in $u: V_{x}(u)=V_{x}(u+1)$.

The stochastic PDE (2.2) is originally defined in [2] for the purpose of describing the motion of a flexible Brownian string in some potential field. The solution $u(t)$ uniquely exists in $C[0,1]$ and forms a continuous Markov process. Furthermore, if $\left\{w_{x}\right\}_{x \in[0,1]}$ is a 1-dimensional Brownian motion whose initial distribution is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$, then the reversible measure of $u(t)$ is an infinite measure on $C[0,1]$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(d v)=\exp \left\{-2 \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}(v(x)) d x\right\} \mu_{w}(d v) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{w}$ stands for the measure induced by $w_{x}$ (see in [2]).
Similar to the 1-dimensional diffusion, we consider an equivalence relation in $C[0,1]$ such that $v_{1} \sim v_{2}$ if and only if $v_{1}-v_{2}$ equals to some integer-valued constant function. Let $\dot{E}=C[0,1] / \sim$ and identify $\dot{v} \in \dot{E}$ with its representative $v \in C[0,1]$ such that $v(0) \in[0,1)$. A function $f$ on $C[0,1]$ can be automatically regarded as a function on $\dot{E}$ if it satisfies that $f(v+\mathbf{1})=f(v)$. Let $\dot{u}(t)$ be the process induced by $u(t)$ on $\dot{E}$.

It is clear that $\dot{u}(t)$ inherits the Markov property and a finite reversible measure form $u(t)$. Precisely, suppose $\left\{w_{x}^{\prime}\right\}_{x \in[0,1]}$ to be a 1-dimensional Brownian motion whose initial distribution is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(d \dot{v})=\frac{1}{Z} \exp \left\{-2 \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}(\dot{v}(x)) d x\right\} \pi_{w}(d \dot{v}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a probability measure and is reversible for $\dot{u}(t)$, where $\pi_{w}$ stands for the measure of $w_{x}^{\prime}$ and $Z$ is a normalization constant. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\dot{E}, \pi)$, with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\pi}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\pi}$. Denote by $\left\{\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{t}\right\}$ the Markov semigroup generated by $\dot{u}(t)$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Recall the results in [9] on the strong Feller property and irreducibility of $\left\{\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{t}\right\}$, we can conclude that $\pi$ is the only one invariant measure, thus it is ergodic.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{A}(H)$ be the linear span of all real and imaginary parts of functions on $H$ of the form $h \mapsto e^{i\langle l, h\rangle}$ where $l \in C^{2}[0,1]$ such that $l^{\prime}(0)=l^{\prime}(1)=0$. Moreover, suppose $\mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E})$ to be the collection of functions in $\mathcal{E}_{A}(H)$ such that $f(v)=f(v+1)$ for all $v \in E$. For $f \in \mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E})$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{0} f(\dot{v})=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\partial_{x}^{2} D f(\dot{v}), v\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[D^{2} f(\dot{v})\right]-\left\langle D f(\dot{v}), V_{\cdot}^{\prime}(v(\cdot))\right\rangle \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ denotes the Fréchet derivative. The integration-by-part formula for Wiener measure suggests that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\pi}\|D f\|^{2}=2\left\langle f,-\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{0} f\right\rangle_{\pi} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{0}$ is dissipative on $\mathcal{H}$. Denote its closure by $(\mathcal{D}(\dot{\mathcal{K}}), \dot{\mathcal{K}})$. Along a similar strategy used in [1], we can conclude that $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ generates $\left\{\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{t}\right\}$ on $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 2.1. For all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\dot{\mathcal{K}})$, the following equation holds $\pi$-a.s. and in $\mathcal{H}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\dot{u}(t))=f(\dot{u}(0))+\int_{0}^{t} \dot{\mathcal{K}} f(\dot{u}(r)) d r+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle D f(\dot{u}(r)), d W_{r}\right\rangle . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E})$, (2.7) follows from the classical Itô's formula easily.
For general $f$, pick $f_{m} \in \mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E})$ such that $f_{m} \rightarrow f, \dot{\mathcal{K}} f_{m} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{K}} f$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Then (2.6) suggests that $\left\|D f_{m}-D f\right\|$ also vanishes in $\mathcal{H}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, (2.7) follows from the Itô isometry.

## 3 CLT and invariance principle

Theorem 3.1. Under an initial probability distribution $\nu$ such that $\nu \ll \mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E_{\nu}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left.f\left(\frac{u(t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{0}\right]-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\mathbf{1} \cdot y) N_{\sigma^{2}}(d y)\right|=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $f \in C_{b}(C[0,1])$, where $\sigma$ is a constant introduced later and $N_{\sigma^{2}}$ stands for a 1-dimensional centered Gaussian distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with variance $\sigma^{2}$.

We first define two Hilbert spaces related to the operator $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$. For $f \in \mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E})$ let

$$
\|f\|_{1}^{2}=\langle-\dot{\mathcal{K}} f, f\rangle_{\pi}=\frac{1}{2} E_{\pi}\|D f\|^{2} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ be completion of $\mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E})$ under $\|\cdot\|_{1}$, which turns to be a Hilbert space if all $f$ such that $\|f\|_{1}=0$ are identified with 0 . On the other hand, let

$$
\mathcal{I}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H} ;\|f\|_{-1} \triangleq \sup _{g \in \mathcal{E}_{A}(\dot{E}),\|g\|_{1}=1}\langle f, g\rangle_{\pi}<\infty\right\}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{I}_{-1}$ under $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$, which also becomes a Hilbert space if all $f$ with $\|f\|_{-1}=0$ are identified with 0 . Denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{-1}$ the inner products defined by polarization in $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ respectively.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Pick $\varphi \in C^{2}[0,1]$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=\varphi^{\prime}(1)=0$. The trajectory of $\langle u(t), \varphi\rangle$ can be written as a sum of the additive functional $\int_{0}^{t} V^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(r)) d r$ plus a Brownian motion $\left\langle W_{t}, \varphi\right\rangle$, where $V^{\varphi}$ is a functional on $\dot{E}$ defined as

$$
V^{\varphi}(\dot{v}) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} v(x) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) d x-\int_{0}^{1} V_{x}^{\prime}(v(x)) \varphi(x) d x
$$

It is not hard to verify that $V^{\varphi} \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ and $\left\|V^{\varphi}\right\|_{-1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|\psi\|$, however to solve the cell problem in $\mathcal{H}$ turns to be not easy. Instead, for $\lambda>0$ we consider the resolvent equation written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}-\dot{\mathcal{K}} f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}=V^{\varphi} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking inner product with $f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}$ in (3.2), since $\dot{u}(t)$ is reversible under $\pi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda>0}\left\|\dot{\mathcal{K}} f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}\right\|_{-1}=\sup _{\lambda>0}\left\|f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|V^{\varphi}\right\|_{-1}<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Decompose the additive functional as $\int_{0}^{t} V^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(r)) d r=M_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(t)+R_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(t)$, where $M_{\lambda}^{\varphi}$ is the Dynkin's martingale and $R_{\lambda}^{\varphi}$ is the residual term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(t)=f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(t))-f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(0))-\int_{0}^{t} \dot{\mathcal{K}} f_{\lambda}^{\psi}(\dot{u}(r)) d r \\
& R_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(t)=f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(0))-f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(t))+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} f_{\lambda}^{\psi}(\dot{u}(r)) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (2.7) to $f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}$, combining it with this decomposition, we have

$$
\langle u(t), \varphi\rangle=\langle u(0), \varphi\rangle+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle D f_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(r))+\varphi, d W_{r}\right\rangle+R_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(t) .
$$

Condition (3.3) implies that (see in [5, Chapter 2]) there exists some $f^{\varphi} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ and an adapted process $R^{\varphi}(t)$ such that

$$
\langle u(t), \varphi\rangle=\langle u(0), \varphi\rangle+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle D f^{\varphi}(\dot{u}(r))+\varphi, d W_{r}\right\rangle+R^{\varphi}(t) .
$$

Now the vanishment of $R^{\varphi}(t)$ (see in [5, Chapter 2]) and martingale CLT show that under initial distribution $\nu \ll \mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E_{\nu}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left.f\left(\frac{\langle u(t), \varphi\rangle}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{0}\right]-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) N_{\sigma^{2}}(d y)\right|=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\sigma_{\varphi}^{2}=E_{\pi}\left\|D f^{\varphi}+\varphi\right\|^{2}$.
Finally, to prove Theorem 3.1 we only need to pick $\varphi=e_{j}$ in (3.4) such that $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ forms a CONS of $L^{2}[0,1]$ including the constant function 1 and sum them up.

Now fix $T>0$ and consider the $C[0,1]$-valued process $\left\{u^{(\epsilon)}(t)=\epsilon u\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right)\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$. By checking the tightness we can prove the next invariance principle.

Theorem 3.2. Under initial distribution $\nu \ll \mu,\left\{\epsilon u\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right), t \in[0, T]\right\}$ converges weakly to a Gaussian process $\left\{\sigma B_{t} \cdot \mathbf{1}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, where $B_{t}$ is a 1-dimensional Brownian motion on $[0, T]$ and $\sigma$ is the same constant as in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. It is sufficient to verify the tightness. Recall that $u(t)$ satisfies that

$$
u(t)=S(t) v+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-r)\left[-V^{\prime}(u(r, \cdot))\right] d r+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-r) d W_{r}
$$

Denote the three terms in the right-hand side by $X(t), Y(t)$ and $Z(t)$ respectively. Furthermore, let $X^{\perp}(t) \triangleq X(t)-\int_{0}^{1} X(t, x) d x$ and define $Y^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}$ similarly. Then

$$
\epsilon u\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right)=\epsilon \int_{0}^{1} u\left(\epsilon^{-2} t, x\right) d x+\epsilon X^{\perp}\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right)+\epsilon Y^{\perp}\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right)+\epsilon Z^{\perp}\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right) .
$$

When $\epsilon \downarrow 0,\left[5\right.$, Theorem 2.32] yields that the integral term is tight, while $\left\{\epsilon X^{\perp}\left(\epsilon^{-2} t\right), t \in\right.$ $[0, T]\}$ vanishes uniformly since the heat semigroup is contractive.

The tightness of the two terms about $Y^{\perp}$ and $Z^{\perp}$ follows from the following estimates. For all $p>1$, there exists a finite constant $C_{p}$ only depending on $\left\{V_{x}\right\}$ such that for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, \infty)$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left|Y^{\perp}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)-Y^{\perp}\left(t_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2 p} \leq C_{p}\left(\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{p}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& E\left|Z^{\perp}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)-Z^{\perp}\left(t_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2 p} \leq C_{p}\left(\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{p}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.5) and (3.6) are standard estimates for stochastic heat equations and the proof only involves computations, so we omit them here.
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