

## IKEDA TYPE CONSTRUCTION OF CUSP FORMS

HENRY H. KIM AND TAKUYA YAMAUCHI

ABSTRACT. This is a survey of results on the construction of holomorphic cusp forms on tube domains originally initiated by Ikeda [9]. Besides a survey it includes conjectures and possible applications of our work [19].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are five simple tube domains (cf. [6]). They are of the form  $\mathfrak{D} = \{Z = X + iY \mid X \in \mathbb{R}^n, Y \in C\}$ , where  $C$  is a self-adjoint homogeneous cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $G$  be (the real points of) the simply connected, simple real algebraic group which acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We list the group  $G$  and the cone  $C$ :

- (1)  $Sp_{2n}$  (rank  $n$ );  $n \times n$  positive definite matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- (2)  $SU(n, n)$ ;  $n \times n$  positive definite hermitian matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
- (3)  $SU(2n, H) = Spin^*(4n)$ ;  $n \times n$  positive definite hermitian matrices over  $H$  (quaternions);
- (4)  $SO(2, n)^0$ ; the cone in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  of  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_0 > (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;
- (5)  $E_{7,3}$ ;  $3 \times 3$  positive definite hermitian matrices over  $\mathfrak{C}$  (Cayley numbers).

It is an important problem to explicitly construct holomorphic cusp forms on  $\mathfrak{D}$  with respect to  $G(\mathbb{Z})$  (we will call such a modular form on  $\mathfrak{D}$  “a level one form”). In particular, we focus on the lifting from normalized Hecke cusp eigenforms on the complex upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  with respect to  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  to holomorphic cusp forms on  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

Ikeda [9] (see also [8]) gave a (functorial) construction of Siegel cusp forms of weight  $n + k$ ,  $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$  (so that  $n + k$  is even) for  $Sp_{4n}$  from normalized Hecke eigenforms in  $S_{2k}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  which has been conjectured by Duke and Imamoglu (Independently Ibukiyama formulated a conjecture in terms of Koecher-Maass series). He made use of the uniform property of the Fourier coefficients of Siegel Eisenstein series for  $Sp_{4n}$  and together with various deep facts established in [9] to prove Duke-Imamoglu conjecture. When  $n = 1$ , it is nothing but a Saito-Kurokawa lift. Since then, his construction was generalized to unitary

---

*Key words and phrases.* Ikeda type lift, Eisenstein series, Langlands functoriality.

The first author is partially supported by NSERC. The second author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No.15K04787.

groups  $U(n, n)(K/\mathbb{Q})$  or  $SU(n, n)$  for an imaginary quadratic field  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  ([10]), quaternion unitary groups  $SU(2n, H)$  for a definite quaternion algebra  $H$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  ([25]), symplectic groups  $Sp_{2n}$  over totally real fields ([11],[12] including some levels), and the exceptional group of type  $E_{7,3}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank 3 [19].

In this note we explain main ideas of Ikeda and how they generalize to above cases. We do not discuss a further development by Ikeda [11] though it is important because his new ideas will work beyond “level one” case. We can give a uniform treatment except the case (4), which we will omit since it has been studied thoroughly by Oda [21] and Sugano [22].

Let  $G$  be  $Sp_{4n}$ ,  $SU_{2n+1} := SU(2n + 1, 2n + 1)(K/\mathbb{Q})$  (to ease the notation, we restrict ourselves to this case),  $SU(2n, H)$ , or  $E_{7,3}$ , and  $P = MN$  the Siegel parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with the Levi subgroup  $M$  and the abelian unipotent radical  $N$ . For any ring  $R$ , let  $\text{Tr}_G : N(R) \rightarrow R$  be the trace on  $N$ , which is defined as:

$$\text{Tr}_G(n(B)) := \begin{cases} \text{Tr}(B) & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n}, N = \left\{ n(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2n} & B \\ 0_{2n} & 1_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^t B = B \right\} \\ \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(B + \bar{B}) & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1}, N = \left\{ n(B) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2n+1} & B \\ 0_{2n+1} & 1_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^t \bar{B} = B \right\} \\ \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(B + \tau(B)) & \text{if } G = SU(2n, H), N = \left\{ n(B) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & B \\ 0_n & 1_n \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^t({}^l B) = B \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^l x = x_0 - ix_1 - jx_2 - kx_3$  for  $x = x_0 + ix_1 + jx_2 + kx_3 \in H$ , and  $\tau(x) = x + {}^l x$ .

For  $E_{7,3}$ , see [19].

Set  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  if  $G = Sp_{4n}$  or  $E_{7,3}$ , and  $K = \mathbb{H}$  if  $G = SU(2n, H)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $K$  if  $G \neq SU(2n, H)$ , and a maximal order of  $H$  if  $G = SU(2n, H)$ . An element  $T$  of  $N(K)$  is semi-integral if  $\text{Tr}_G(TX) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $N(\mathcal{O})$ . We denote by  $L$  the set of all semi-integral elements in  $N(K)$  and denote by  $L^+$  the subset of  $L$  consisting of positive definite elements. Here the positivity has the usual meaning as matrices for  $G \neq E_{7,3}$ , and see [19] for  $E_{7,3}$ . For instance, if  $G = Sp_{4n}$ ,  $L$  consists of matrices  $(x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}$  so that  $x_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_{ij} = x_{ji} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

For the integers  $k$  and  $d$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{d}_d$  the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^k d})/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\chi_d$  the Dirichlet character associated to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^k d})/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{f}_d$  be the positive rational number so that  $d = \mathfrak{d}_d \mathfrak{f}_d^2$ . Let  $L(s, \chi_d)$  be the Dirichlet L-function of  $\chi_d$ . For  $T \in L^+$ , put  $D_T = \det(2T)$  (resp.  $\gamma(T) = (-D_K)^n \det(T)$  where  $-D_K$  stands for the fundamental discriminant of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ) if  $G = Sp_{4n}$  (resp. if  $G = SU_{2n+1}$ ). For  $G = SU(2n, H)$ , put  $D_T = (D_H)^{\frac{n}{2}} \text{Paf}(T)$  where  $D_H$  is the product of rational primes  $p$  so that  $H \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$  is a skew field and  $\text{Paf}$  is defined in

Section 1 of [25]. When  $G = E_{7,3}$ ,  $\det(T)$  is as in [19]. Set

$$\ell(k) := \begin{cases} k + n & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n}, \\ 2k + 2n & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1}, \\ 2k + 2n - 2 & \text{if } G = SU(2n, H), \\ 2k + 8 & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}. \end{cases}$$

For each  $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{R})$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{D}$ , one can associate the automorphic factor  $j(\gamma, Z) \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $j(\gamma, Z)^k$  is used to define modular forms on  $\mathfrak{D}$  of weight  $k$  for any integer  $k \geq 0$ . For example, if  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $j(\gamma, Z) = \det(CZ + D)$ . Put  $\Gamma := G(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\Gamma_\infty = \Gamma \cap N(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let us consider the Siegel Eisenstein series of weight  $\ell(k)$ :

$$E_{\ell(k)}(Z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} j(\gamma, Z)^{-\ell(k)}.$$

Then we have the Fourier expansion

$$\mathcal{E}_{\ell(k)}(Z) = \frac{1}{C(\ell(k))} E_{\ell(k)}(Z) = \sum_{T \in L} A(T) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{Tr}_G(TZ)),$$

for a constant  $C(\ell(k))$ , and for  $T \in L^+$ ,  $A(T)$  is given as follows:

$$A(T) = \begin{cases} L(1 - k, \chi_{D_T}) f_T^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|D_T} \tilde{F}_p(T; p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n} \\ |\gamma(T)|^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|\gamma(T)} \tilde{F}_p(T; p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1} \\ D_T^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|D_T} \tilde{f}_{p,T}(p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } G = SU(2n, H) \\ \det(T)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|\det(T)} \tilde{f}_T^p(p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{F}_p(T; X)$ ,  $\tilde{f}_{p,T}(X)$  and  $\tilde{f}_T^p(X)$  are Laurent polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with one variable  $X$  which are depending only on  $T, p$  and both are identically 1 for all but finitely many  $p$ . Introducing multi-variables  $\{X_p\}_p$  indexed by rational primes  $p$ , we may consider

$$A(\{X_p\}_p) := \begin{cases} L(1 - k, \chi_{D_T}) f_T^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|D_T} \tilde{F}_p(T; X_p) & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n} \\ |\gamma(T)|^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|\gamma(T)} \tilde{F}_p(T; X_p) & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1} \\ D_T^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|D_T} \tilde{f}_{p,T}(X_p) & \text{if } G = SU(2n, H) \\ \det(T)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|\det(T)} \tilde{f}_T^p(X_p) & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $A(\{X_p\}_p)$  can be regarded as an element of  $\otimes'_p \mathbb{C}[X_p, X_p^{-1}]$ . For each normalized Hecke eigenform  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ ,  $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  in  $S_{2k}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and each rational prime  $p$ , we define the Satake  $p$ -parameter  $\alpha_p$  by  $a(p) = p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_p + \alpha_p^{-1})$ . For such  $f$ , consider the following formal series on  $\mathfrak{D}$ :

$$F_f(Z) := \sum_{T \in L^+} A_{F_f}(T) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}_G(TZ)), \quad Z \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad A_{F_f}(T) = A(\{\alpha_p\}_p).$$

Then

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $H$  is the Hurwitz quaternion when  $G = SU(2n, H)$ . Then  $F_f$  is a non-zero Hecke eigen cusp form on  $\mathfrak{D}$  of weight  $\ell(k)$  with respect to  $G(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

Of course, we have to specify what kind of Hecke theory we use for each case. At any late, the issue is only on the normalization factor of a Hecke action and it does not matter as long as we deal with the adelic form attached to  $F_f$  on  $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  because since  $G$  is semi-simple, it does not contain the central torus. By virtue of Theorem 1.1,  $F_f$  gives rise to a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_F = \pi_{\infty} \otimes \otimes'_p \pi_p$  of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ . Here  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic discrete series of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  of the lowest weight  $\ell(k)$ , and for each prime  $p$ ,  $\pi_p$  is unramified at every finite place (but a few exception when  $G = SU(2n, H)$ ), since  $F_f$  is of “level one”. In fact,  $\pi_p$  turns out to be a degenerate principal series  $\pi_p \simeq I(s_p)$ , where  $s_p \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $p^{s_p} = \alpha_p$  and

$$I(s) = \begin{cases} \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |\nu(g)|_p^s & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n} \\ \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |\nu(g)|_p^s & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1}, \\ \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |\nu(g)|_p^s & \text{if } G = SU(2n, H) \text{ and } p \nmid D_H, \\ \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |\nu(g)|_p^{2s} & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu : P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  is defined as follows:

$$\nu(g) := \begin{cases} \det(A) & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n}, P = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0_{2n} & {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^t B = B \right\} \\ |\det(A)|^2 & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1}, P = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0_{2n+1} & {}^t \bar{A}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^t \bar{B} = B \right\} \end{cases}$$

For  $SU(2n, H)$  and  $E_{7,3}$ , see [25] and [19], resp. The relationship between  $I(s)$  and the Eisenstein series is explained in [18]: Let  $\Phi(g, s) = \Phi_{\infty}(g, s) \otimes \otimes_p \Phi_p(g, s)$  be a standard section in  $I(s)$  such that  $\Phi_{\infty}(k, s) = \nu(\mathbf{k})^{\ell(k)}$ , and  $\Phi_p(g, s) = \Phi_p^0(g, s)$  is the normalized spherical section for all  $p$ . Then one can define the adelic and classical Eisenstein series

$$E(g, s, \Phi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})} \Phi(\gamma g, s), \quad E_{\ell(k), s}(Z) = \det(Y)^{\frac{s}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \Gamma} j(\gamma, Z)^{-\ell(k)} |j(\gamma, Z)|^{-s}.$$

Then we have

$$E(g, s, \Phi) = \begin{cases} \det(Y)^{\ell(k)} E_{\ell(k), s+\frac{1}{2}-k}(Z), & \text{if } G \neq E_{7,3}, \\ \det(Y)^{\frac{s+9}{2}+\ell(k)} E_{\ell(k), s+1-2k}(Z), & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}, \end{cases}$$

Hence the degenerate principal series  $I(k - \frac{1}{2})$  corresponds to  $E_{\ell(k)}(Z)$  if  $G \neq E_{7,3}$ , and  $I(2k - 1)$  corresponds to  $E_{\ell(k)}(Z)$  if  $G = E_{7,3}$ .

In terms of representation theory, Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated as follows: Let  $\pi_\infty$  be the holomorphic discrete series of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  of the lowest weight  $\ell(k)$ , and let  $\pi_p$  be the above degenerate principal series which is irreducible. Then we can form an irreducible admissible representation of  $G(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ :  $\pi = \pi_\infty \otimes \otimes'_p \pi_p$ . Then Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the fact that  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $G(\mathbb{A})$ . In this formulation, at least for  $Sp_{4n}$ , Arthur's trace formula [1] may give a more general result as follows: By Adams-Johnson's result on  $A$ -packets,  $\pi_\infty$  belongs to a packet with the local character  $(-1)^n$ . Since  $\pi$  is unramified at every finite place, by the multiplicity formula,  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation if and only if the global character  $(-1)^n$  is equal to the root number of  $L(s, f)$  which is  $(-1)^k$ . Hence we have the parity condition  $k \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . We have similar results for  $SU_{2n+1}$  and  $SU(2n, H)$ . However, the advantage of Theorem 1.1 is that one can write down the modular form explicitly. Let  $L(s, \pi_f) = \prod_p ((1 - \alpha_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha_p^{-1} p^{-s}))^{-1}$  be the (normalized) automorphic  $L$ -function of the cuspidal representation  $\pi_f$  attached to  $f$ . In the case of  $SU_{2n+1}$ , let  $\chi(p) = \left(\frac{-D_K}{p}\right)$  be the quadratic character attached to  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $L(s, f, \chi) = \prod_p ((1 - \alpha_p \chi(p) p^{-s})(1 - \alpha_p^{-1} \chi(p) p^{-s}))^{-1}$ . For each local component  $\pi_p$ , one can associate the local  $L$ -factor  $L(s, \pi_p, St)$  of the standard  $L$ -function of  $\pi_F$ . Set  $L(s, \pi_F, St) = \prod_p L(s, \pi_p, St)$ :

**Theorem 1.2.**

$$L(s, \pi_F, St) = \begin{cases} \zeta(s) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} L(s + n + \frac{1}{2} - i, f) & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n}, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} L(s + n + 1 - i, f) L(s + n + 1 - i, f, \chi) & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1} \\ \prod_{i=1}^{2n} L(s + n + \frac{1}{2} - i, f) & \text{if } G = SU(2n, H) \\ L(s, \text{Sym}^3 \pi_f) L(s, f)^2 \prod_{i=1}^4 L(s \pm i, f)^2 \prod_{i=5}^8 L(s \pm i, f) & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}, \end{cases}$$

where  $L(s, \text{Sym}^3 \pi_f)$  is the symmetric cube  $L$ -function.

Notice that  $\pi_p$  for  $G = E_{7,3}$  is slightly different from other cases (Note  $2s_p$  rather than  $s_p$ ) and the third symmetric power  $L$ -function appears in the standard  $L$ -function. Note also that in the case  $G = SU_{2n+1}$ ,  $L(s, f)L(s, f, \chi) = L(s, \pi_K)$ , the  $L$ -function of the base change  $\pi_K$  of  $\pi_f$  to  $K$ .

In Section 2, we review the tube domains. In Section 3, we review the Jacobi group, Jacobi forms, and a key property of the Fourier-Jacobi expansion of Siegel Eisenstein series, namely, the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of Eisenstein series are a sum of products of theta functions and Eisenstein series. In Section 4, we will give a sketch of proof of the main theorem. Except for  $G = Sp_{4n}$ , the situations are similar, in that we do not need to consider half-integral modular forms. Finally in Section 5, we discuss conjectures and problems related to the results in [19].

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank H. Narita and S. Hayashida for their invitation to participate in the RIMS workshop on Modular Forms and Automorphic Representations on February 2-6, 2015.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF TUBE DOMAINS

2.1.  $Sp_{2n}$ . The tube domain is given by

$$\mathbb{H}_n := \{Z \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t Z = Z, \operatorname{Im}(Z) > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$$

and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}_n$  as  $\gamma(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ . Put  $j(\gamma, Z) = \det(CZ + D)$ .

2.2.  $SU_{2n+1}$ . The tube domain is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{2n+1} := \{Z \in M_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(Z - {}^t \bar{Z}) > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{(2n+1)^2}$$

and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in SU_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}$  as  $\gamma(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ . Put  $j(\gamma, Z) = \det(CZ + D)$ .

2.3.  $SU(2n, H)$ . Let  $H$  be a definite quaternion algebra with basis  $1, i, j, k = ij$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By Lemma 1.1 of [25], there exists a unique polynomial map (with  $4n$  variables)  $P : M_n(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\nu(X) = P(X)^2$  and  $P(I_n) = 1$ . Put  $\operatorname{Paf}(X) = P(X)$  for any  $X \in M_n(H)$ . The tube domain is given by

$$\mathfrak{H}_n := \{Z \in M_n(H \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}) \mid {}^* Z = Z, \operatorname{Im}(Z) > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n(n+1)}$$

and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2n, H)(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathfrak{H}_n$  as  $\gamma(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ . Put  $j(\gamma, Z) = \nu(CZ + D)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

2.4.  $E_{7,3}$ . This group is defined by using Cayley numbers and the structure is rather complicated than previous cases. We refer [2],[4], [15], and Section 2 of [19]. For any field  $K$  whose characteristic is different from 2 and 3, the Cayley numbers  $\mathfrak{C}_K$  over  $K$  is an eight-dimensional vector space over  $K$  with basis  $\{e_0 = 1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$  satisfying certain rules of multiplication. Let  $\mathfrak{J}_K$  be the exceptional Jordan algebra consisting of the element:

$$X = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} a & x & y \\ \bar{x} & b & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & c \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b, c \in Ke_0 = K$  and  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{C}_K$ . We also define

$$\mathfrak{J}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \bar{x} & b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in K, x \in \mathfrak{C}_K \right\}.$$

Then the exceptional domain is

$$\mathfrak{D} := \{Z = X + Y\sqrt{-1} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}, Y > 0\}$$

which is a complex analytic subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{27}$ . We also define

$$\mathfrak{D}_2 := \{X + Y\sqrt{-1} \in \mathfrak{J}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{J}_2(\mathbb{R}), Y > 0\}$$

which is the tube domain of  $Spin(2, 10)$ , i.e.,  $Spin(2, 10)$  acts on  $Z \in \mathfrak{D}_2$ .

### 3. JACOBI GROUPS AND JACOBI FORMS

In this section we review Jacobi groups and Jacobi forms with a matrix index.

3.1. **Jacobi groups.** We are concerned with the Jacobi group  $J$  realized in  $G$ , which is a semi-direct product  $J \simeq V \rtimes H$  of a semisimple group  $H$  and a Heisenberg group  $V$  with a 2 step unipotency which has a form  $V = X \cdot Y \cdot Z$ , where each factor is an additive group (scheme),  $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$ , and the center of  $V$  is  $Z$ . We further require that the action of  $H$  on  $Z$  is trivial.

In our case,  $H = SL_2$  if  $G \neq SU_{2n+1}$ , and  $H = SU_1$  if  $G = SU_{2n+1}$ . If we write an element as  $v = v(x, y, z)$ , then by definition, an alternating form  $\langle *, * \rangle$  is furnished on  $X \oplus Y$  such that the multiplication of two elements in  $V$  is given by

$$v(x, y, z) \cdot v(x', y', z') = v(x + x', y + y', z + z' + \frac{1}{2}\langle(x, y), (x', y')\rangle)$$

and further  $SL_2$  or  $U_1$  acts on  $V$  as

$$\gamma \cdot v(x, y, z) = v(ax + cy, bx + dy, z), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2 \text{ or } U_1.$$

We shall give a table of the dimension  $\dim(X)$  of  $X$  as a vector scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$  which will be related to the difference of the weights between the original form and the lift.

$G$	$Sp_{4n}$	$SU_{2n+1}$	$SU(2n, H)$	$E_{7,3}$
$\dim(X)$	$2n - 1$	$4n$	$4(n - 1)$	$16$

TABLE 1

The difference between  $\ell(k)$  and  $2k$  is given by  $\frac{1}{2}\dim(X)$  except for  $Sp_{4n}$ . For  $Sp_{4n}$ , we first obtain a cusp form of the half-integral weight  $k + \frac{1}{2}$  via Shimura correspondence  $S_{2k}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) \simeq S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))^+$  from the cusp form  $f \in S_{2k}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Then the difference should be understood as  $\ell(k) - (k + \frac{1}{2}) = n - \frac{1}{2}$ , which is nothing but  $\frac{1}{2}\dim(X)$  for  $Sp_{4n}$ .

For  $Sp_{4n}$ ,

$$V = \left\{ v(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2n-1} & x & z & y \\ 0 & 1 & {}^t y & 0 \\ & & 1_{2n-1} & 0 \\ & & -{}^t x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_{4n} \mid {}^t z - y({}^t x) = z - x({}^t y) \right\} = X \cdot Y \cdot Z,$$

where  $X = \{v(x, 0, 0) \in V\}$ ,  $Y = \{v(x, 0, 0) \in V\}$ , and  $Z = \{v(0, 0, z) \in V\}$ , and

$$(3.1) \quad SL_2 \simeq H := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2n-1} & 0 & 0_{2n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b \\ 0_{2n-1} & 0 & 1_{2n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_{4n} \right\}.$$

For  $SU_{2n+1}$ ,

$$V = \left\{ v(\bar{x}, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2n} & x & z & y \\ 0 & 1 & {}^t \bar{y} & 0 \\ & & 1_{2n} & 0 \\ & & -{}^t \bar{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SU_{2n+1} \mid {}^t \bar{z} - y({}^t \bar{x}) = z - x({}^t \bar{y}) \right\} = X \cdot Y \cdot Z,$$



where  $X = \{v(x, 0, 0) \in V\}$ ,  $Y = \{v(x, 0, 0) \in V\}$ , and  $Z = \{v(0, 0, z) \in V\}$ , and

$$(3.2) \quad U_1 \simeq H := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2n} & 0 & 0_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b \\ 0_{2n} & 0 & 1_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in SU_{2n+1} \right\}.$$

We omit details for  $SU(2n, H)$  or  $E_{7,3}$ . Instead we refer Section 5 of [25], and Section 3 and 4 of [19].

Recall  $L$  in the introduction. This is the parameter space of Fourier expansion of a modular form on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Let  $Z'$  be a subgroup of the unipotent radical  $N$  of the Siegel parabolic subgroup consisting of matrices whose last low and last column are zero. Then  $Z'$  is naturally identified with  $Z$ . We denote by  $L'$  (resp.  $L'_+$ ) the subset of  $Z'(\mathbb{Q})$  consisting of semi-positive (resp. positive), semi-integral matrices. For any  $T \in L^+$ , there exists  $S \in L'_+$  such that  $T =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S & \alpha \\ \beta & x \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } \beta = \begin{cases} {}^t\alpha, & \text{if } G = Sp_{2n} \\ {}^t\bar{\alpha}, & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1} \text{ or } SU(2n, H) \\ {}^t\bar{\alpha}, & \text{if } G = E_{7,3}. \end{cases}$$

Henceforth we fix  $S \in L'_+$ . We define the map  $\lambda_S$  on  $Z$  by  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_G(Sz)$  if  $G \neq E_{7,3}$  and  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(S, z)$  for  $E_{7,3}$ . Then for any domain ring  $R$  with characteristic zero, the map  $V(R) \rightarrow X \oplus Y \oplus R$ ,  $v(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \lambda_S(z))$  gives rise to the Heisenberg structure on  $X \oplus Y \oplus R$ . Hence for any two elements  $(x, y, a), (x', y', b) \in X \oplus Y \oplus R$ , the multiplication is given by

$$(x, y, a) * (x', y', b) = (x + x', y + y', a + b + \frac{1}{2}\langle (x, y), (x', y') \rangle_S)$$

where  $\langle (x, y), (x', y') \rangle_S = \sigma_S(x, y') - \sigma_S(x', y)$ . Here  $\sigma_S(*, *)$  on  $X \oplus Y$  is given by

$$\sigma_S(x, y) = \begin{cases} {}^t_x S y & \text{if } G = Sp_{4n}, \\ {}^t_{\bar{x}} S y & \text{if } G = SU_{2n+1} \text{ or } SU(2n, H) \\ (S, x({}^t\bar{y}) + y({}^t\bar{x})) & \text{if } G = E_{7,3} \end{cases}$$

Put  $\mathfrak{X} := X(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_1 := \mathbb{H} \times \mathfrak{X}$ . The group  $J(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  by

$$\beta(\tau, u) := \left( \gamma\tau, \frac{u}{c\tau + d} + x(\gamma\tau) + y \right), \quad \beta = v(x, y, z)h, \quad v(x, y, z) \in V(\mathbb{R}), \quad h = h(\gamma) \in H(\mathbb{R})$$

where  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Here  $\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$  and put  $j(\gamma, \tau) := c\tau + d$  for simplicity.

For each positive half integer  $k$ , the automorphy factor on  $J(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{D}_1$  is defined by

$$j_{k,S}(\beta, (\tau, u)) := j(\gamma, \tau)^k e^{-2\lambda_S(z) + \frac{c}{j(\gamma, \tau)}\sigma_S(u, u) - \frac{2\sigma_S(x, u)}{j(\gamma, u)} - \sigma_S(x, x)(\gamma\tau) - \sigma_S(x, y)},$$

where  $e(*) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}*)$ . When  $k$  is not an integer,  $j(\gamma, z)^k = (j(\gamma, z)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2k}$  is defined by the automorphy factor  $j(\gamma, z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of the metaplectic covering  $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

For each function  $f : \mathfrak{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\beta \in V(\mathbb{R})$ , we define the “slash” operator  $f|_{k,S}[\beta] : \mathfrak{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f|_{k,S}[\beta](\tau, u) := j_{k,S}(\beta, (\tau, u))^{-1}f(\beta(\tau, u)).$$

**3.2. Jacobi forms with a matrix index.** We define and study Jacobi forms of matrix index on  $\mathfrak{D}_1 = \mathbb{H} \times \mathfrak{X}$  in the classical setting. Set

$$\Gamma_J := J(\mathbb{Q}) \cap G(\mathbb{Z}).$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $k$  be a positive even integer if  $G \neq Sp_{4n}$ , a positive half-integral integer if  $G = Sp_{4n}$ , and  $S$  be an element of  $L'_+$ . We say a holomorphic function  $\phi : \mathfrak{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a Jacobi form (resp. Jacobi cusp form) of weight  $k$  and index  $S$  if  $\phi$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\phi|_{k,S}[\beta] = \phi$  for any  $\beta \in \Gamma_J$
- (2)  $\phi$  has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\phi(\tau, u) = \sum_{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}), N \in \mathbb{Z}} c(N, \xi)e(N\tau + \sigma_S(\xi, u)),$$

where  $c(N, \xi) = 0$  unless  $S_{\xi,N} := \begin{pmatrix} S & S\xi \\ * \xi S & N \end{pmatrix}$  belongs to  $L'$  (resp.  $L'_+$ ) where  $*\xi$  stands for  ${}^t\xi$  if  $G = Sp_{4n}$ ,  ${}^t\bar{\xi}$  if  $G = SU_{2n+1}$  or  $SU(2n, H)$ , and  ${}^t\bar{\xi}$  if  $G = E_{7,3}$ .

We denote by  $J_{k,S}(\Gamma_J)$  (resp.  $J_{k,S}^{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma_J)$ ) the space of Jacobi forms (resp. Jacobi cusp forms) of weight  $k$  and index  $S$ .

Let us extend the quadratic form  $\sigma_S$  linearly to that on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_f))$  the space of Schwartz functions on  $X(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . For each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_f))$ , the classical theta function on  $\mathfrak{D}_1 := \mathbb{H} \times \mathfrak{X}$  is given by

$$\theta_\varphi^S(\tau, u) := \sum_{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q})} \varphi(\xi)e(\sigma_S(\xi, \xi)\tau + 2\sigma_S(\xi, u)).$$

Define the dual of the lattice  $\Lambda := X(\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to the quadratic form  $\sigma_S$  by

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(S) = \{x \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \sigma_S(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in \Lambda\}.$$

If  $S \in L'_+$ , then the quotient  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(S)/\Lambda$  is a finite group. Fix a complete representative  $\Xi(S)$  of  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(S)/\Lambda$  and denote by  $\varphi_\xi$  the characteristic function  $\xi + \prod_{p < \infty} X(\mathbb{Z}_p) \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_f))$ . Any

Jacobi form turns to be the linear combination of products of elliptic modular forms and theta functions by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $S \in L'_+$ . Let  $\Xi(S)$  be a complete representative of  $\tilde{\Lambda}(S)/\Lambda$ . Then any Jacobi form  $\phi \in J_{k,S}(\Gamma_J)$  can be written as*

$$\phi(\tau, u) = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(S)} \phi_{S,\xi}(\tau) \theta_{\varphi_\xi}^S(\tau, u), \quad \phi_{S,\xi}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{Z} \\ N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi) \geq 0}} c(N, \xi) \mathbf{e}((N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))\tau).$$

Furthermore, for each  $\xi \in \Xi(S)$ ,  $\phi_{S,\xi}(\tau)$  is an elliptic modular form of weight  $k - \frac{1}{2} \dim(X)$ .

*Proof.* See example (iv) at Section 2 of [17] and also the argument at p.656 of [9]. □

Let  $k$  be a positive integer and  $F$  be a modular form of weight  $k$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ . We rewrite the variable  $Z$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} W & u \\ v & \tau \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $u \in X(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ , and  $W \in \mathbb{H}_{2n-1}, \mathcal{H}_{2n}, \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ , or  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . Note that  $v$  is determined by  $u$ . Then we have the Fourier-Jacobi expansion

$$(3.3) \quad F \left( \begin{matrix} W & u \\ v & \tau \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{S \in L'} F_S(\tau, u) \mathbf{e}((S, W)).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Keep the notation as above. Assume  $S \in L'_+$ . Then  $F_S(\tau, u) \in J_{k,S}(\Gamma_J)$ .*

**Remark 3.4.** *Consider any holomorphic function  $F(Z)$  with  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} W & u \\ v & \tau \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  which is invariant under  $P(Z)$ . Then one has the Fourier and the Fourier-Jacobi expansion*

$$F(Z) = \sum_{T \in L} A_F(T) \mathbf{e}((T, Z)) = \sum_{S \in L'} F_S(\tau, u) \mathbf{e}((S, W)),$$

as in (3.3). By Lemma 3.2,

$$F_S(\tau, u) = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(S)} F_{S,\xi}(\tau) \theta_{\varphi_\xi}^S(\tau, u), \quad F_{S,\xi}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{Z} \\ N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi) \geq 0}} A_F(S_{\xi,N}) \mathbf{e}((N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))\tau),$$

where  $S_{\xi,N} = \begin{pmatrix} S & S\xi \\ * \xi S & N \end{pmatrix}$ . The function  $F_{S,\xi}$  will be called  $(S, \xi)$ -component of  $F$ .

Recall the following definition from [9, 10].

**Definition 3.5.** For a sufficiently large  $k_0$ , a compatible family of Eisenstein series is a family of elliptic modular forms, for even integer  $k' \geq k_0$ ,

$$g_{k'}(\tau) = b_{k'}(0) + \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} N^{\frac{k'-1}{2}} b_{k'}(N) q^N, \quad q = \mathbf{e}(\tau),$$

satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1)  $g_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}(E_{k'}^1)$  for all  $k' \geq k_0$
- (2) for each  $N \in \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$ , there exists  $\Phi_N \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $b_{k'}(N) = \Phi_N(\{p^{\frac{k'-1}{2}}\}_p)$ .
- (3) there exists a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $g_{k'} \in M_{k'}(\Gamma)$  for all  $k' \geq k_0$ .

Here  $M_{k'}(\Gamma)$  stands for the space of elliptic modular forms of weight  $k$  with respect to  $\Gamma$ .

The following theorem plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 3.6.** *Keep the notations above. Let  $E_{\ell(k)}$  be the Siegel Eisenstein series in Section 1. Assume  $S \in L'_+$ . Then any  $(S, \xi)$ -component of  $E_{\ell(k)}$  is an Eisenstein series of weight  $k - \frac{1}{2}\dim(X)$ .*

This theorem was first proved by Böcherer [3] for  $G = Sp_{4n}$  in the classical language. However the proof there involves many complicated terms and seems difficult to read off what we need. More sophisticated proof was given by Ikeda [7]. He made a good use of Weil representation and worked over the adelic language. In [25], [19], the authors followed his method. However in case  $E_{7,3}$ , the group structure is much more complicated than others. So the proof is not a routine at all.

The following Lemma 10.2 of [10] is a crucial ingredient.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c(n)q^n$  be a Hecke eigenform of weight  $k$  with respect to  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $c(p) = p^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(\alpha_p + \alpha_p^{-1})$ . Assume that there is a finite dimensional representation  $(u, \mathbb{C}^d)$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and*

$$\vec{\Phi}_N := {}^t(\Phi_{1,N}, \dots, \Phi_{d,N}) \in \mathcal{R}^d, \quad N \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) there exists a vector valued modular form  $\vec{g}_{k'} = {}^t(g_{1,k'}, \dots, g_{d,k'})$  which has

$$\vec{g}_{k'}(\tau) = \vec{b}_{k'}(0) + \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} N^{\frac{k'-1}{2}} \vec{b}_{k'}(N)q^N, \quad (\vec{b}_{k'}(N) = {}^t(b_{1,k'}(N), \dots, b_{d,k'}(N)), \quad N \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$$

of weight  $k'$  with type  $u$  for each sufficiently large even integers  $k'$ , hence this means that

$$\vec{g}_{k'}(\tau)|_{k'}[\gamma] := {}^t(g_{1,k'}|_{k'}[\gamma], \dots, g_{d,k'}|_{k'}[\gamma]) = u(\gamma)\vec{g}_{k'}(\tau) \text{ for any } \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

- (2) each component  $g_{i,k'}$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq d)$  of  $\vec{g}_{k'}(\tau)$  is a compatible family of Eisenstein series such that

$$b_{i,k'}(N) = \Phi_{i,N}(\{p^{\frac{k'-1}{2}}\}_p).$$

Then  $\vec{h}(\tau) := \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} N^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \vec{\Phi}_N(\{\alpha_p\}_p) q^N$  is a vector valued modular form of weight  $k$  with type  $u$ , hence it satisfies

$$\vec{h}(\tau)|_k[\gamma] = u(\gamma)\vec{h} \text{ for any } \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND 1.2

Recall that for each normalized Hecke eigenform  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \in S_{2k}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ , we have considered the following formal series on  $\mathfrak{D}$ :

$$F_f(Z) := \sum_{T \in L^+} A_{F_f}(T) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}_G(TZ)), \quad Z \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad A_{F_f}(T) = A(\{\alpha_p\}_p).$$

The first task is to check the absolute convergence for  $F_f$ : This is done by using explicit formula of Fourier coefficients of Siegel Eisenstein series and Ramanujan bound  $|a(p)| \leq 2p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Next, we use the fact that  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by  $P(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $H$  is in (3.1) or (3.2). We can easily check, by property of Fourier coefficients of Siegel Eisenstein series, that  $F_f$  is invariant under the action of  $P(\mathbb{Z})$ . Therefore to prove the automorphy of  $F_f$ , we have to check only the invariance of  $F_f$  under the action of  $H(\mathbb{Z})$ . For this, we need to use the Fourier-Jacobi expansion.

To unify notation we write the Fourier coefficient of  $F_f$  as  $A_{F_f}(T) = C_1(T)C_2(T)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_p \tilde{F}_p(T; \alpha_p)$  for  $T \in L^+$  where  $C_1(T) = L(1-k, \chi_T)$  if  $G = Sp_{4n}$ ,  $C_1(T) = 1$  otherwise, and other terms should be clear from the definition as in the introduction. Since  $F(Z) := F_f(Z)$  is invariant under  $P(\mathbb{Z})$ , by Remark 3.4, one has the Fourier-Jacobi expansion:

$$F \begin{pmatrix} W & u \\ v & \tau \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{S \in L'_+} F_S(\tau, u) \mathbf{e}(\text{Tr}_G(SW)), \quad F_S(\tau, u) = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(S)} F_{S,\xi}(\tau) \theta_{\varphi_\xi^S}(\tau, u),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{S,\xi}(\tau) &= \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{Z} \\ N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi) \geq 0}} A_F(S_{\xi, N}) \mathbf{e}((N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))\tau), \quad S_{\xi, N} := \begin{pmatrix} S & S\xi \\ \eta S & N \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{Z} \\ N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi) \geq 0}} C_1(S_{\xi, N}) C_2(S_{\xi, N})^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_p \tilde{F}_p(S_{\xi, N}; \alpha_p) \mathbf{e}((N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))\tau) \\ &= D(S)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{Z} \\ N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi) \geq 0}} C_1(S_{\xi, N}) (N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_p \tilde{F}_p(S_{\xi, N}; \alpha_p) \mathbf{e}((N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))\tau) \end{aligned}$$

where there exists the constant  $D(S)$  depending only on  $S$  such that  $C_2(S_{\xi, N}) = D(S)(N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))$ . The invariance under  $H(\mathbb{Z})$  is equivalent to claiming that  $F_S(\tau, u) \in J_{k,S}(\Gamma_J)$  for any  $S \in L'_+$ .

By (2,1), p.124 of [23], for each  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , there exists a unitary matrix  $u_S(\gamma) = (u_S(\gamma)_{\xi\eta})_{\xi, \eta \in \Xi(S)}$  such that

$$\theta_{\varphi_\xi}^S|_{k,S}[\gamma](\tau, u) = \sum_{\eta \in \Xi(S)} u_S(\gamma)_{\xi\eta} \theta_{\varphi_\eta}^S(\tau, u).$$

Further there exists a positive integer  $\Delta_S$  depending on  $S$  such that  $u_S$  is trivial on  $\Gamma(\Delta_S) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\{\theta_{\varphi_\xi}^S \mid \xi \in \Xi(S)\}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ , it suffices to prove that  $\{F_{S,\xi}\}_{\xi \in \Xi(S)}$  is a vector valued modular form with type  $u_S$ .

For a sufficiently large positive integer  $k'$ , we now turn to consider  $(S, \xi)$ -component  $(\mathcal{E}_{\ell(k')})_{S,\xi}$  of the classical Eisenstein series

$$\mathcal{E}_{\ell(k')}(Z) = \sum_{T \in L} A(T) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{Tr}_G(TZ)), \quad A(T) = C_1(T)C_2(T)^{k'-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_p \tilde{F}_p(T; p^{k'-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} & D(S)^{-k'+\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_{\ell(k')})_{S,\xi}(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{Z} \\ N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi) \geq 0}} C_1(S_{\xi, N}) (N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))^{-k'+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p \mid \det(S_{\xi, N})} \tilde{F}_p(S_{\xi, N}; p^{k'-\frac{1}{2}}) e((N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi))\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 3.6,  $\{D(S)^{-k'+\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_{\ell(k')})_{S,\xi}\}_{k' \gg 0}$  makes up a compatible family of Eisenstein series in the sense of Ikeda (see Section 10 of [9] for  $G = Sp_{4n}$  and Section 7 of [10] for other cases). Applying Lemma 3.7, one can conclude that

$$F_{S,\xi} = D(S)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ n = N - \sigma_S(\xi, \xi), N \in \mathbb{Z}}} C_1(S_{\xi, N}) n^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p \mid \det(S_{\xi, N})} \tilde{F}_p(S_{\xi, N}; p^{k-\frac{1}{2}}) q^n,$$

is a vector valued modular form with type  $u_S$ . The non-vanishing is easy to check except for  $Sp_{4n}$ . In this case, a bit of careful study was needed (see p.651 of [9]). At any late one can prove the non-vanishing of  $F_f$ .

Since we know Satake parameters of  $\pi_F$ , it is easy to compute  $L(s, \pi_F, St)$ . For  $G = E_{7,3}$ , we can use the Langlands-Shahidi method for the case  $GE_7 \subset E_8$  (cf. [16], section 2.7.8).

### 5. SOME CONJECTURES AND PROBLEMS

In this section we are concerning with some conjectures and problems related to the results in [19].

**5.1. Conjectural Arthur parameter.** It is worth considering the compatibility with Arthur conjecture in the case  $E_{7,3}$ : We write the degree 56 standard  $L$ -function of  $F := F_f$  as

$$L(s, \pi_F, St) = L(s, Sym^3 \pi_f) \prod_{i=-4}^4 L(s+i, \pi_f) \prod_{i=-8}^8 L(s+i, \pi_f).$$

This suggests the following parametrization of  $\pi_F$ :

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the (hypothetical) Langlands group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\rho_f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  be the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to  $\pi_f$ . Let  $Sym^n$  be the irreducible  $(n+1)$ -dimensional representation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that if  $n = 2m - 1$ ,  $Im(Sym^n) \subset Sp_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ , and if  $n = 2m$ ,  $Im(Sym^n) \subset SO_{2m+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . We have the tensor product maps  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times Sp_{2m}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Sp_{4m}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SO_{2m+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Sp_{4m+2}(\mathbb{C})$ . Hence

$$\rho_f \otimes Sym^{16} : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Sp_{34}(\mathbb{C}), \text{ and } \rho_f \otimes Sym^8 : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Sp_{18}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let  $Sym^3 \rho_f : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Sp_4(\mathbb{C})$  be the parameter of  $Sym^3 \pi_f$ , where it is trivial on  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Consider the parameter

$$\rho = Sym^3 \rho_f \oplus (\rho_f \otimes Sym^{16}) \oplus (\rho_f \otimes Sym^8) : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Sp_4(\mathbb{C}) \times Sp_{34}(\mathbb{C}) \times Sp_{18}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Sp_{56}(\mathbb{C})$$

Note that  $E_7(\mathbb{C}) \subset Sp_{56}(\mathbb{C})$ . We expect that  $\rho$  will factor through  $E_7(\mathbb{C})$ , and give rise to a parameter  $\rho : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow E_7(\mathbb{C})$ , which parametrizes  $\pi_F$ .

**5.2. Ikeda lift as CAP form.** If  $G = Sp_{4n}$ , the Ikeda lift  $F_f$  is a CAP form. Namely,  $\pi_F$  is nearly equivalent to the quotient of the induced representation

$$Ind_{P_{2,\dots,2}}^{Sp_{4n}} \pi_f |det|^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \pi_f |det|^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_f |det|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $P_{2,\dots,2}$  is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $Sp_{4n}$  with the Levi subgroup  $GL_2 \times \dots \times GL_2$  ( $n$  factors) (see also p.114 of [8]).

If  $G = E_{7,3}$ ,  $\pi_F$  cannot be a CAP form in a usual sense since there are not many  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroups of  $E_{7,3}$ . We expect that  $\pi_F$  will be a CAP form in a more general sense: Namely, there exists a parabolic subgroup  $Q = M'N'$  of the split  $E_7$ , and a cuspidal representation  $\tau = \otimes'_p \tau_p$  of  $M'$ , and a parameter  $\Lambda_0$  such that for all finite prime  $p$ ,  $\tau_p$  is a quotient of  $Ind_{Q(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{E_7(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \tau_p \otimes exp(\Lambda_0, H_Q(\ ))$ .

**5.3. Miyawaki type lift to  $GSpin(2, 10)$ .** This work is in progress [20]. For  $Z \in \mathfrak{D}_2$ , let

$\begin{pmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{D}$ . For  $f \in S_{2k}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ , let  $F$  be the Ikeda lift of  $f$ , which is a cusp form of weight  $2k + 8$  on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . For  $h \in S_{2k+8}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ , consider the integral

$$\mathcal{F}_{f,h}(Z) = \int_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}} F \begin{pmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \overline{h(\tau)} (Im\tau)^{2k+6} d\tau.$$

When  $\mathcal{F}_{f,h}$  is not zero, it is a cusp form of weight  $2k+8$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_2$ . It is expected that  $\mathcal{F}_{f,h}$  is a Hecke eigen form, and it would give rise to a cuspidal representation  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_{f,h}}$  on  $GSpin(2, 10)$ : Let  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_{f,h}} = \pi_\infty \otimes \otimes'_p \pi_p$ . Let  $\{\alpha_p, \alpha_p^{-1}\}$  and  $\{\beta_p, \beta_p^{-1}\}$  be the Satake parameter of  $f$  and  $h$  at the prime  $p$ , resp. Then for each prime  $p$ , it is expected that the Satake parameter of  $\pi_p$  is

$$\{(\beta_p \alpha_p)^{\pm 1}, (\beta_p \alpha_p^{-1})^{\pm 1}, 1, 1, p^{\pm 1}, p^{\pm 2}, p^{\pm 3}\}.$$

Then the standard  $L$ -function of  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_{f,h}}$  is

$$L(s, \pi_{\mathcal{F}_{f,h}}, St) = L(s, h \times f) \zeta(s)^2 \zeta(s \pm 1) \zeta(s \pm 2) \zeta(s \pm 3),$$

where the first factor is the Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -function. This can be explained by Arthur parameter as follows: Let  $\phi_f, \phi_h : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  be the hypothetical Langlands parameter. Then due to the tensor product map  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO_4(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $\phi_f \otimes \phi_h : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow SO_4(\mathbb{C})$ . The distinguished unipotent orbit  $(7, 1)$  of  $SO_8(\mathbb{C})$  gives rise to the map  $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO_8(\mathbb{C})$ . It defines the map  $\phi_u : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO(8, \mathbb{C})$ . Then consider

$$\phi = (\phi_h \otimes \phi_f) \oplus \phi_u : \mathcal{L} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO_4(\mathbb{C}) \times SO_8(\mathbb{C}) \subset GSO_{12}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We expect that  $\phi$  parametrizes  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_{f,h}}$ .

**5.4. Petersson formula and its possible application.** In case  $E_{7,3}$ , it may be interesting to give an explicit formula of the Petersson inner product formula for  $F_f$ . (See [5] for its importance.) Since  $L(s, \pi_F, St)$  involves the third symmetric power  $L$ -function  $L(s, Sym^3 \pi_f)$ , we expect to somehow figure out an “algebraic part” of  $L(s, Sym^3 \pi_f)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.G. Arthur, The Endoscopic Classification of Representations. Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 61. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [2] W.L. Baily Jr., *An exceptional arithmetic group and its Eisenstein series*, Ann. of Math. **91** (1970), 512-549.
- [3] S. Böcherer, *Über die Fourierkoeffizienten der Siegelischen Eisensteinreihen I,II*. Manuscripta Math. 45 (1984), no. 3, 273-288, Math. Z. 189 (1985), no. 1, 81-110
- [4] H.S.M. Coxeter, *Integral Cayley numbers*, Duke Math. J. **13** (1946). 561-578.
- [5] A. Ichino and T. Ikeda, *On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the Gross-Prasad conjecture*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 19 (2010), no. 5, 1378-1425.
- [6] B. Gross, *A remark on tube domains*, Math. Res. Lett. 1 (1994), 1-9.



- [7] T. Ikeda, *On the theory of Jacobi forms and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of Eisenstein series*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **34** (1994), 615–636.
- [8] ———, *On the lifting of elliptic cusp forms to Siegel cusp forms of degree  $2n$  (Japanese article)*, Second Autumn Workshop on Number Theory, On Eisenstein series, September 10-14, (1999) at Hakuba High Mount Hotel, p.87-115.
- [9] ———, *On the lifting of elliptic cusp forms to Siegel cusp forms of degree  $2n$* , Ann. of Math. **154** (2001), 641–681.
- [10] ———, *On the lifting of Hermitian modular forms*, Comp. Math. **144** (2008), 1107-1154.
- [11] ———, *On the lifting of automorphic representation of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{A})$  to  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$  of  $Sp_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A})$  over a totally real algebraic field*, preliminary version.
- [12] T. Ikeda and K. Hiraga, *On the Kohnen plus space for Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight  $I$* , Comp. Math. **149** (2013), no. 12, 1963-2010.
- [13] M. Karel, *Fourier coefficients of certain Eisenstein series*, Ann. of Math. **99** (1974), 176-202.
- [14] ———, *On certain Eisenstein series and their Fourier coefficients*, Ph.D. thesis, 1972.
- [15] H. Kim, *Exceptional modular form of weight 4 on an exceptional domain contained in  $\mathbb{C}^{27}$* , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **9** (1993), 139-200.
- [16] ———, *On local  $L$ -functions and normalized intertwining operators*, Can. J. Math. **57** (2005), 535–597.
- [17] A. Krieg, *Jacobi forms of several variables and the Maass space*, J. Number Theory **56** (1996), 242-255.
- [18] S. Kudla, *Some extensions of the Siegel-Weil formula*, Eisenstein series and applications, 205-237, Progr. Math., 258, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2008.
- [19] H. Kim and T. Yamauchi, *Cusp forms of exceptional group of type  $E_7$* , to appear in Comp. Math.
- [20] H. Kim and T. Yamauchi, *Miyawaki type lift for  $GSpin(2, 10)$* , in preparation.
- [21] T. Oda, *On modular forms associated with indefinite quadratic forms of signature  $(2, n - 2)$* , Math. Ann. **231** (1977), 97–144.
- [22] T. Sugano, *Jacobi forms and the theta lifting*. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **44** (1995), no. 1, 1-58.
- [23] K. Takase, *On two-fold covering group of  $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$  and automorphic factor of weight  $1/2$* , Comment Math. Univ. St. Paul. **45** (1996), 117–145.
- [24] S. Rallis and G. Schiffmann, *Automorphic forms constructed from the Weil representation: holomorphic case*. Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), no. 5, 1049-1122.
- [25] S. Yamana, *On the lifting of elliptic cusp forms to cusp forms on quaternionic unitary groups*, J. Number Th. **130** (2010), 2480–2527.

HENRY H. KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 2E4, CANADA, AND KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL, KOREA

*E-mail address:* henrykim@math.toronto.edu

TAKUYA YAMAUCHI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, KAGOSHIMA UNIVERSITY, KORIMOTO 1-20-6 KAGOSHIMA 890-0065, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* yamauchi@edu.kagoshima-u.ac.jp