

AN ITERATIVE ALGORITHM FOR GENERALIZED MIXED EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS, MONOTONE MAPPINGS AND PSEUDOCONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

JONG SOO JUNG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONG-A UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a new iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a generalized mixed equilibrium problem related to a continuous monotone mapping, the set of solutions of a variational inequality problem for a continuous monotone mapping, and the set of fixed points of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping in Hilbert spaces. Weak convergence for the proposed iterative algorithm is proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\| \cdot \|$, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping, let $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let Θ be a bifunction of $C \times C$ into \mathbb{R} , where \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers.

The generalized mixed equilibrium problem (for short, GMEP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \Theta(x, y) + \langle Bx, y - x \rangle + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

was introduced by Peng and Yao [17] (also see [24]). The set of solutions of the GMEP is denoted by $GMEP(\Theta, \varphi, B)$.

The GMEP is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, the generalized equilibrium problem (for short, GEP) in case that $\varphi = 0$ in (1.1) ([20]), the mixed equilibrium problem (for short, MEP) in case that $B = 0$ in (1.1) ([5, 22]), the equilibrium problem (for short, EP) in case that $B = 0$ and $\varphi = 0$ in (1.1) ([2, 8, 9]) and others. In particular, if $\varphi = 0$ and $\Theta(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in C$ in (1.1), the GMEP reduces the following variational inequality problem (for short, VIP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$\langle Bx, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The set of solutions of the VIP is denoted by $VI(C, B)$.

A mapping F of C into H is called *monotone* if

$$\langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

A mapping F of C into H is called α -*inverse-strongly monotone* (see [10]) if there exists a positive real number α such that

$$\langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle \geq \alpha \|Fx - Fy\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Recall that a mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is said to be *pseudocontractive* if

$$\langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H05; Secondary 47H09, 47H10, 47J05, 47J20, 47J25.
Key words and phrases. Generalized mixed equilibrium problem, Fixed point, Continuous pseudocontractive mapping, Continuous monotone mapping, Variational inequality, Metric projection, Weak convergence.
The results presented in this lecture are collected mainly from the work [13] by the author of this report.

and T is said to be k -strictly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - k\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

where I is the identity mapping. A mapping T of C into itself is called *nonexpansive* if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$, $\forall x, y \in C$. Obviously, the class of k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of nonexpansive mappings as a subclass, and the class of pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of strictly pseudocontractive mappings as a subclass. Moreover, this inclusion is strict due to an example in [7] (see, also Example 5.7.1 and Example 5.7.2 in [1]).

Recently, many authors have introduced some iterative algorithms for finding a common element of the set of the solutions of the GMEP, the GEP, the MEP, the EP, and the VIP combined with the fixed point problem for strictly pseudocontractive mappings; see [3, 4, 6, 12, 15] and the references therein.

In 2007, Tada and Takahashi [19] introduced an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the EP and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, and proved weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2008, Moudafi [16] proposed an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the GEP related to an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping B and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, and obtained weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2009, Ceng *et al.* [4] presented an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the EP and the set of fixed points of a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping, and showed weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2012, Jung [12] considered an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the GMEP related to α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping B , the set of solutions of the VIP for β -inverse-strongly monotone mapping F and the set of fixed points of a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping, and established weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm.

On the other hand, in 2003, Takahashi and Toyoda [21] proposed an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the VIP for α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping F and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, and proved weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm.

In this paper, motivated and inspired by the above mentioned results, we introduce a new iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the GMEP related to a continuous monotone mapping B , the set of solutions of the VIP for a continuous monotone mapping F and the set of fixed points of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping T in a Hilbert space. We prove weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm to a common element of three sets. As direct consequences, we obtain the results for the GEP related to a continuous monotone mapping B , the MEP and the EP, combined with the VIP for a continuous monotone mapping F and the fixed point problem for a continuous pseudocontractive mapping T . Our results extend, improve, and develop some recent results in the literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND LEMMAS

In the following, we denote by $Fix(T)$ the set of fixed points of the mapping T , and we denote the strong convergence and the weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to x by $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, respectively.

Let H be a real Hilbert space, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . In H , we have

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For every point $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in C , denoted by $P_C(x)$, such that

$$\|x - P_C(x)\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all $y \in C$. P_C is called the *metric projection* of H onto C . $P_C(x)$ is characterized by the property:

$$(2.1) \quad u = P_C(x) \iff \langle x - u, u - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in H, y \in C.$$

It is also well known that H satisfies the *Opial condition*, that is, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, the inequality

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|$$

holds for every $y \in H$ with $y \neq x$.

For solving the GMEP, the GEP, the MEP, and the EP for a bifunction $\Theta : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let us assume that Θ satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $\Theta(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
- (A2) Θ is monotone, that is, $\Theta(x, y) + \Theta(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
- (A3) for each $x, y, z \in C$,

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \Theta(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq \Theta(x, y);$$

- (A4) for each $x \in C, y \mapsto \Theta(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

We can prove the following lemma by using the same method as in [14, 24], and so we omit its proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let Θ be a bifunction form $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} satisfies (A1)–(A4), and let $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function. Let $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a continuous monotone mapping. Then, for $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $u \in C$ such that*

$$\Theta(u, y) + \langle Bu, y - u \rangle + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u, u - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Define a mapping $K_r : H \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$K_r x = \left\{ u \in C : \Theta(u, y) + \langle Bu, y - u \rangle + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u, u - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for all $x \in H$ and $r > 0$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) For each $x \in H$, $K_r(x) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) K_r is single-valued;
- (iii) K_r is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for any $x, y \in H$,

$$\|K_r x - K_r y\|^2 \leq \langle K_r x - K_r y, x - y \rangle;$$

- (iv) $\text{Fix}(K_r) = \text{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$;
- (v) $\text{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$ is closed and convex.

We also need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.2 ([18]). *Let H be a real Hilbert space, let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and let $\{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ be sequences in H such that, for some c*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\| \leq c, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\| \leq c, \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_n v_n + (1 - \alpha_n) w_n\| = c.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - w_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.3 ([21]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert spaces H , and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in H . If*

$$\|x_{n+1} - x\| \leq \|x_n - x\|, \quad \forall x \in C \text{ and } \forall n \geq 1,$$

then $\{P_C x_n\}$ converges strongly to some $z \in C$, where P_C stands for the metric projection of H onto C .

The following lemmas are Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 of Zegeye [23], respectively.

Lemma 2.4 ([23]). *Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $F : C \rightarrow H$ be a continuous monotone mapping. Then, for $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that*

$$\langle Fz, y - z \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

For $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, define $F_r : H \rightarrow C$ by

$$F_r x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle Fz, y - z \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \right\}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (i) F_r is single-valued;
- (ii) F_r is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$\|F_r x - F_r y\|^2 \leq \langle F_r x - F_r y, x - y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H;$$

- (iii) $\text{Fix}(F_r) = VI(C, F)$;
- (iv) $VI(C, F)$ is a closed convex subset of C .

Lemma 2.5 ([23]). *Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow H$ be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then, for $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that*

$$\langle Tz, y - z \rangle - \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, (1+r)z - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

For $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, define $T_r : H \rightarrow C$ by

$$T_r x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle Tz, y - z \rangle - \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, (1+r)z - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \right\}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (i) T_r is single-valued;
- (ii) T_r is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$\|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r x - T_r y, x - y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H;$$

- (iii) $\text{Fix}(T_r) = \text{Fix}(T)$;
- (iv) $\text{Fix}(T)$ is a closed convex subset of C .

3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume the following:

- H is a real Hilbert space;
- C is a nonempty closed convex subset of H ;
- Θ is a bifunction form $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} satisfies (A1)–(A4);
- $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function;
- $B : C \rightarrow H$ is a continuous monotone mapping;
- $\text{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$ is the set of solutions of the GMEP related to B ;

- $K_{r_n} : H \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$K_{r_n}x = \left\{ u \in C : \Theta(u, y) + \langle Bu, y - u \rangle + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u, u - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for all $x \in H$ and for $r_n \in (0, \infty)$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$;

- $F : C \rightarrow H$ is a continuous monotone mapping;
- $VI(C, F)$ is the set of solutions of the VIP for F ;
- $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping;
- $F_{r_n} : H \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$F_{r_n}x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle Fz, y - z \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for all $x \in H$ and for $r_n \in (0, \infty)$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$;

- $T_{r_n} : H \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$T_{r_n}x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle Tz, y - z \rangle - \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - z, (1 + r_n)z - x \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for all $x \in H$ and for $r_n \in (0, \infty)$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$;

- $\Omega_1 := GMEP(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we note that K_{r_n} , F_{r_n} and T_{r_n} are nonexpansive, and $Fix(K_{r_n}) = GMEP(\Theta, \varphi, B)$, $Fix(F_{r_n}) = VI(C, F)$ and $Fix(T_{r_n}) = Fix(T)$.

Now, we propose a new iterative algorithm for finding a common point of the set of solutions of the GMEP related to a continuous monotone mapping B , the set of solutions of the VIP for a continuous monotone mapping F , and the set of fixed points of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping T .

Algorithm 3.1. For an arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, let the iterative sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be generated by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Theta(u_n, y) + \langle Bu_n, y - u_n \rangle + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) \\ \quad + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{r_n} F_{r_n} K_{r_n} x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$ and $r_n \in (0, \infty)$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *The sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_1$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_1}(x_n)$.*

If we take $C \equiv H$ in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\Omega_2 := GMEP(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap F^{-1}(0) \cap Fix(T)$. The sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_2$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_2}(x_n)$.*

Proof. Since $D(F) = H$, we have $VI(H, F) = F^{-1}(0)$. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Now, in order to obtain direct consequences of Theorem 3.1, we recall special cases of the GMEP again.

If $\varphi = 0$ in (1.1), then the GMEP reduces the following generalized equilibrium problem (for short, GEP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x, y) + \langle Bx, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The set of solutions of the GEP is denoted by $GEP(\Theta, B)$.

If $B = 0$ in (1.1), then the GMEP reduces the following mixed equilibrium problem (for short, MEP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The set of solutions of the MEP is denoted by $MEP(\Theta, \varphi)$.

If $B = 0$ and $\varphi = 0$ in (1.1), then the GMEP reduces the following equilibrium problem (for short, EP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The set of solutions of the EP is denoted by $EP(\Theta)$.

If we take $\varphi \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\Omega_3 := GEP(\Theta, B) \cap VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be sequences generated by $x_1 \in C$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(u_n, y) + \langle Bu_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, & \forall y \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{r_n} F_{r_n} u_n, & \forall n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_3$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_3}(x_n)$.

If we take $B \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\Omega_7 := MEP(\Theta, \varphi) \cap VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be sequences generated by $x_1 \in C$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, & \forall y \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{r_n} F_{r_n} u_n, & \forall n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_7$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_7}(x_n)$.

If we take $B \equiv 0$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\Omega_5 := EP(\Theta) \cap VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be sequences generated by $x_1 \in C$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, & \forall y \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{r_n} F_{r_n} u_n, & \forall n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_5$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_5}(x_n)$.

Remark 3.1. 1) For finding a common element of $GMEP(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$, where B is a continuous monotone mapping, F is a continuous monotone mapping, and T is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping, Theorem 3.1 is a new ones different from previous those introduced by several authors. Consequently, in the sense that our convergence is for the more general class of continuous monotone and continuous pseudocontractive mappings, our results improve, develop and complement the corresponding results, which were obtained recently by several authors in references; for example, see [4, 12, 16, 19, 21] and references therein.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2014010491).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and D. R. Sahu, *Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-type Mappings with Applications*, Springer (2009).
- [2] E. Blum and W. Oettli, *From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems*, Math. Student, **63** (1994), 123–145.
- [3] A. Bnouhachem, *An iterative algorithm for system of generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problem*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2014** 2014:235 doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2014-235.
- [4] L.-C.Ceng, S. Al-Homidan, Q. H. Ansari and J.-C.Yao, *An iterative scheme for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of strict pseudo-contraction mappings*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **223** (2009), 967–974.
- [5] L.-C. Ceng and J.-C. Yao, *A hybrid iterative scheme for mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **214** (2008), 186–201.
- [6] T. Chamnanpan and P. Kumam, *A new iterative method for a common solution of a fixed points for pseudo-contractive mappings and variational inequalities*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**:67 (2012) doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-67.
- [7] C. E. Chidume and S. Mutangadura, *An expample on the Mann iteration method for Lipschitz pseudo-contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 2359–2363.
- [8] P. I. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga, *Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **6** (2005), 117–136.
- [9] S. D. Flam and A. S. Antipin, *Equilibrium programming using proximal-like algorithm*, Math. Program. **78** (1997), 29–41.
- [10] H. Iiduka and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **61** (2005), 341–350.
- [11] J. S. Jung, *A new iteration method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2010** (2010), Article ID 251761, 16 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/251761.
- [12] J. S. Jung, *Weak convergence theorems for strictly pseudocontractive mappings and generalized mixed equilibrium problems*, J. Appl. Math. **2012** (2012), Article ID 384108, 18 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/384108.
- [13] J. S. Jung, *Weak convergence theorems for generalized mixed equilibrium problems, monotone mappings and pseudocontractive mappings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015) no. 6, 1176–1194
- [14] P. Katchang, T. Jitpeera and P. Kumam, *Strong convergence theorems for solving generalized mixed equilibrium problems and general system of variational inequalities by the hybrid method*, Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Systems, **4** (2010), 838–852.
- [15] P. Kumam, U. Hamphries and P. Katchang, *Common solutions of generalized mixed equilibrium problems, variational inclusions and common fixed points for nonexpansive semigroups and strictly pseudo-contractive mappings*, J. Appl. Math. **2011** (2011) Article ID 953903, 27 pages.
- [16] A. Moudafi, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium problems*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **9** (2008), no. 1, 37–43.
- [17] J.-W. Peng and J.-C. Yao, *A new Hybrid-extragradient method for generalized mixed equilibrium problems, fixed point problems and variational inequality problems*, Taiwan. J. Math. **12** (2008), 1401–1432.
- [18] J. Schu, *Weak and strong convergence to fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 153–159.
- [19] A. Tada and W. Takahashi, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive mapping and an equilibrium problem*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **133** (2007), 359–370.
- [20] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorem for a generalized equilibrium problem and a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), 1025–1033.
- [21] W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **118** (2003), no. 2, 417–428.
- [22] Y. H. Yao, M. Aslam Noor, S. Zainab and Y.-C. Liou, *Mixed equilibrium problems and optimization problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **354** (2009), 319–329.
- [23] H. Zegeye, *An iterative approximation method for a common fixed point of two pseudocontractive mappings*, International Scholarly Reserach Network ISRN Math. Anal. **2011** Article ID 621901, 14 pages.
- [24] S. Zhang, *Generalized mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) **30** (2009), 1105–1112.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONG-A UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 49315, KOREA
 E-mail address: jungjs@dau.ac.kr