

# Stability of stationary solutions for semilinear parabolic equations

Ryuji Kajikiya

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering,*

*Saga University, Saga, 840-8502, Japan*

E-mail: kajikiya@ms.saga-u.ac.jp

We study the stability of stationary solutions for the semilinear parabolic equation,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u &= f(x, u) && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Definition 1.** We call  $u(x, t)$  a *solution* of (1) if it belongs to the following space and satisfies (1):

$$C([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1((0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)).$$

We suppose the following assumption.

**Assumption 2.**  $f(x, u)$  is a Hölder continuous function on  $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$  which is odd with respect to  $u$  and satisfies  $|f(x, u)| \leq C(|u|^p + 1)$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , with some  $C > 0$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$  when  $N = 1, 2$  and  $1 < p < N/(N-2)$  when  $N \geq 3$ . For each  $u \neq 0$ , the second partial derivative  $f_{uu}(x, u)$  exists and continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and there exists  $L, u_0 > 0$ ,  $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|f_{uu}(x, v)| \leq L|f_u(x, u)|/u + L/u$  for  $0 < u < u_0$  and  $v \in [(1 - \theta_0)u, (1 + \theta_0)u]$ . Moreover we assume

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( \frac{f(x, u)}{u} \right) < 0 \quad \text{for } u > 0.$$

**Assumption 3.** Let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian. We assume that

$$\limsup_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} f(x, u)/u \right) < \lambda_1, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left( \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} f_u(x, u) \right) = \infty.$$

We define

$$E(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - F(x, u) \right) dx, \quad F(x, u) := \int_0^u f(x, s) ds.$$

Then  $E(u)$  becomes a Lyapunov functional of (1). The stationary problem is as follows:

$$-\Delta v = f(x, v) \quad (x \in \Omega), \quad v = 0 \quad (x \in \partial\Omega). \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 4.** *The following results are known. See [2, 3, 4].*

- (i) *There exists a unique positive solution  $\phi$  of (2); moreover  $\phi$  is a minimizer of  $E$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and all minimizers of  $E$  consist only of  $\pm\phi$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a sequence  $v_n$  of non-trivial solutions for (2) such that  $v_n$  converges to zero in  $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Definition 5.** In the following,  $u(t)$  means a solution of (1).

- (i) A stationary solution  $v$  is called *stable* if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|u(0) - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \delta$  implies  $\|u(t) - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq 0$ .
- (ii) A stationary solution  $v$  is called *asymptotically stable* if  $v$  is stable and there exists a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that if  $\|u(0) - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \delta_0$  then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = 0$ .
- (iii) A stationary solution  $v$  is called *exponentially stable* if  $v$  is stable and there exist constants  $C, \lambda, \delta_0 > 0$  such that  $\|u(0) - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \delta_0$  implies  $\|u(t) - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

We state the main results.

**Theorem 6.** *For any  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , (1) has a bounded global solution  $u(t)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The orbit of solution  $u(t)$  is relatively compact. The  $\omega$  limit set is a non-empty subset of the set of stationary solutions.*

**Theorem 7.** *There exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that if  $v$  is a stationary solution satisfying  $\|v\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon_0$ , then it is not asymptotically stable. Furthermore, if  $v$  is isolated from other stationary solutions, it is unstable. The zero solution is unstable.*

**Theorem 8.** *The unique positive stationary solution  $\phi$  is exponentially stable. Moreover the exponent is the the first eigenvalue of the linearized operator  $-\Delta - f_u(x, \phi)$ . Denote it by  $\mu > 0$ . Then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $u(t)$  is a solution of (1) satisfying  $\|u(0) - \phi\|_{H_0^1} < \delta$ , then  $\|u(t) - \phi\|_{H_0^1} \leq Ce^{-\mu t}$  for  $t \geq 0$  with some  $C > 0$ .*

The exponent  $\mu$  is optimal. Indeed, we have the theorem below.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfy either*

$$u_0(x) \geq (1 + \delta_0)\phi(x) \quad \text{or} \quad 0 < u_0(x) \leq (1 - \delta_0)\phi(x).$$

*with some  $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exists a  $c > 0$  such that a solution  $u(t)$  with the initial data  $u(0) = u_0$  satisfies*

$$\|u(t) - \phi\|_{H_0^1} \geq \|u(t) - \phi\|_2 \geq ce^{-\mu t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Let  $N = 1$ ,  $\Omega = (0, 1)$  and  $f(x, u) \equiv f(u)$ . Then the stationary problem is rewritten as

$$-v'' = f(v) \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad v(0) = v(1) = 0. \quad (3)$$

If a solution  $v(x)$  of (3) has exactly  $k$  zeros in the interval  $(0, 1)$ , we call it a  $k$ -nodal solution. The next result is known (see [6] and [7]).

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $N = 1$ ,  $\Omega = (0, 1)$  and  $f(x, u) \equiv f(u)$ . Then for each  $k \geq 1$ , there exists a unique  $(k - 1)$ -nodal solution  $v_k$  of (3) satisfying  $v'(0) > 0$ . The set of all solutions for (3) consists of  $\pm v_k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and the zero solution.*

Let  $v_k$  be a stationary solution as above. Then we have the next result.

**Theorem 11.** *The positive stationary solution  $v_1$  and the negative stationary solution  $-v_1$  are exponentially stable with the exact exponent  $\mu$  and all the other stationary solutions are unstable.*

When  $f(x, u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ , the results above were obtained in a joint work with Professor Akagi [1]. Theorems in this paper are extensions of those results to more general functions  $f(x, u)$ . From now on, we put  $f(x, u) = |u|^{p-1}u$  with  $0 < p < 1$  for simplicity. We prove the stability only of positive stationary solution.

**Lemma 12.**  *$F(u)$  is a Lyapunov functional.*

**Proof.** For a solution  $u(t)$  of (1), a direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(u(t)) &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla u_t - |u|^{p-1} u u_t) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} ((-\Delta u - |u|^{p-1} u) u_t) dx = - \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $E$  is a Lyapunov functional.  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** *The unique positive stationary solution  $\phi$  is isolated from other stationary solutions.*

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of stationary solutions which converges to  $\phi$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then the elliptic regularity theorem shows that this convergence is valid in the strong topology in  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ . Since the outward normal derivative  $\partial\phi/\partial\nu$  is negative on  $\partial\Omega$ , it holds that  $\partial u_n/\partial\nu < 0$  also for  $n$  large. Therefore  $u_n > 0$  in  $\Omega$  for  $n$  large. This contradicts the uniqueness of the positive stationary solution.  $\square$

We shall show the asymptotic stability of the unique positive stationary solution  $\phi$ .

*Proof of asymptotic stability.* Let  $\phi$  be the unique positive stationary solution. Choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  so small that there are no stationary solutions in  $B(\phi, \varepsilon_0)$  except for  $\phi$ , where

$$B(\phi, \varepsilon_0) := \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \|v - \phi\|_{H_0^1} < \varepsilon_0\}.$$

Define  $d := \inf_{H_0^1} E(u)$ . Then  $E(u) = d$  if and only if  $u = \pm\phi$ . Give  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  arbitrarily. We shall show

$$d_\varepsilon := \inf\{E(v) : v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \|v - \phi\|_{H_0^1} = \varepsilon\} > d.$$

Suppose that this claim is false, i.e.,  $d_\varepsilon = d$ . Then there exists a sequence  $v_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\|v_n - \phi\|_{H_0^1} = \varepsilon$  and  $E(v_n) \rightarrow d_\varepsilon = d$ . Since  $v_n$  is bounded in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , it has a convergent subsequence (denoted by  $v_n$  again) to a weak limit  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . This convergence is valid in the strong topology in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ . Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_n\|_2^2 &= E(v_n) + \frac{1}{p+1} \|v_n\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\ &\rightarrow d + \frac{1}{p+1} \|v\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq E(v) + \frac{1}{p+1} \|v\|_{p+1}^{p+1} = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla v_n\|_2 \leq \|\nabla v\|_2$ . The weak convergence shows that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla v_n\|_2 \geq \|\nabla v\|_2$ . Therefore  $\|\nabla v_n\|_2$  converges to  $\|\nabla v\|_2$ , and hence  $v_n$  strongly converges to  $v$ . Thus  $\|v - \phi\|_{H_0^1} = \varepsilon$  and  $E(v) = d$ . This is a contradiction. Consequently,  $d_\varepsilon > d$ .

Since  $d < d_\varepsilon$ , we can choose  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$  so small that  $E(u_0) < d_\varepsilon$  for  $u_0 \in B(\phi, \delta)$ . Let  $u_0 \in B(\phi, \delta)$  and let  $u(t)$  be a solution of (1) satisfying  $u(0) = u_0$ . We shall show that

$$u(t) \in B(\phi, \varepsilon) \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (4)$$

If this would be proved, then  $\phi$  is stable. Suppose that the assertion above is false. Then there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $u(t_0) \in \partial B(\phi, \varepsilon)$ . Then  $E(u(t_0)) \geq d_\varepsilon$ . Since  $E$  is a Lyapunov functional,

$$d_\varepsilon \leq E(u(t_0)) \leq E(u_0) < d_\varepsilon.$$

A contradiction occurs. Hence (4) is true and  $\phi$  is stable.

Since the orbit is relatively compact,  $u(t)$  converges to a stationary solution along a subsequence. Since  $\phi$  is the unique stationary solution in  $B(\phi, \varepsilon)$ ,  $u(t)$  itself (without a subsequence) converges to  $\phi$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is asymptotically stable.  $\square$

Since  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $\phi(x)^{p-1}$  has a singularity on  $\partial\Omega$ . However we have the next result (see [5]).

**Lemma 14.** *The linearized operator  $-\Delta - p\phi^{p-1}$  is self-adjoint and has a compact resolvent in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .*

By the lemma above,  $-\Delta - p\phi^{p-1}$  has discrete eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\phi$  is a positive solution of (2) with  $f(x, u) \equiv |u|^{p-1}u$ , it satisfies  $(-\Delta - \phi^{p-1})\phi = 0$ . Therefore the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta - \phi^{p-1}$  is zero. Since  $-\phi^{p-1} < -p\phi^{p-1}$ , we have the result below.

**Lemma 15.** *The first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta - p\phi^{p-1}$  is positive.*

Let  $\mu$  and  $\psi(x)$  be the first eigenvalue and the eigenfunction of  $-\Delta - p\phi^{p-1}$ , that is,

$$(-\Delta - p\phi^{p-1})\psi = \mu\psi, \quad \psi > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Moreover, we assume that  $\|\nabla\psi\|_2 = 1$ . Since  $\phi, \psi > 0$  ( $x \in \Omega$ ),  $\phi, \psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\partial\phi/\partial\nu, \partial\psi/\partial\nu < 0$  ( $x \in \partial\Omega$ ), there exists a  $c_0 > 0$  such that  $c_0 \leq \phi(x)/\psi(x)$  for  $x \in \Omega$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$U(x, t; c) := \phi(x) + ce^{-\mu t}\psi(x). \quad (5)$$

The next three lemmas are proved in our paper [5].

**Lemma 16.** For  $-c_0 < c < \infty$ ,  $U(x, t; c)$  is a positive supersolution of (1).

Let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  and let  $\phi_1$  be the corresponding eigenfunction, i.e.,

$$-\Delta\phi_1 = \lambda_1\phi_1, \quad \phi_1 > 0 \quad (x \in \Omega), \quad \phi_1 = 0 \quad (x \in \partial\Omega).$$

Define  $\xi(t) := \mu(e^{\mu t} + 1)^{-1}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, we define

$$V(x, t; \varepsilon) := \phi(x) - \varepsilon^2\xi(t)\psi(x) + \varepsilon^3e^{-2\mu t}\phi_1(x). \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 17.** For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small,  $V(x, t; \varepsilon)$  is a positive subsolution of (1).

Using the supersolution  $U(x, t; c)$  defined by (5) and the subsolution  $V(x, t; \varepsilon)$  given by (6), we can obtain the next lemma.

**Lemma 18.** Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of (1) with its initial data  $u(0)$  close to  $\phi$ . Let  $t_0 > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{u(\cdot, t)}{\phi} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq Ce^{-\mu t} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.$$

For  $1 < q < \infty$ , we define  $Au := -\Delta u$  with its domain  $D(A)$ ,

$$D(A) := W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

Then the fractional power  $A^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > 0$  is well-defined. Denote its definition domain by  $X(\alpha, q)$ , i.e.,

$$X(\alpha, q) := \{u \in L^q(\Omega) : A^\alpha u \in L^q(\Omega)\},$$

This is a Banach space equipped with the norm,

$$\|u\|_{X(\alpha,q)} := \|A^\alpha u\|_q \quad \text{for } u \in X(\alpha, q).$$

We shall prove Theorem 9 only and we refer to our paper [5] for proofs of other theorems.

*Proof of Theorem 9.* Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of (1) such that  $\|u(0) - \phi\|_{H_0^1}$  is small enough. We have only to prove

$$\|u(t) - \phi\|_{C^1} \leq Ce^{-\mu t} \quad \text{for } t \geq T,$$

with  $T > 0$  large. Fix  $T > 0$  so large that  $u(x, T) > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Rewrite it as  $u_0(x)$ . Then  $u_0 \in X(\alpha, q)$ . We have

$$u_t - \Delta u = u^p, \quad -\Delta\phi = \phi^p.$$

We define

$$v(x, t) := u(x, t) - \phi(x), \quad v_0 := u_0 - \phi, \quad g(x, t) := u(x, t)^p - \phi(x)^p.$$

Then it follows that

$$v_t - \Delta v = g(x, t), \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad v(\cdot, 0) = v_0.$$

This is rewritten as

$$v(t) = e^{-tA}v_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}g(s)ds \quad \text{in } L^q(\Omega), \quad (7)$$

Recall that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\mu$  are the first eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  and  $-\Delta - p\phi^{p-1}$ , respectively. Hence  $\lambda_1 > \mu$ . Fix  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\mu < \lambda < \lambda_1$ . Then it is known that

$$\|A^\alpha e^{-tA}v\|_q \leq C_{\alpha,q} t^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda t} \|v\|_q \quad \text{for } v \in L^q(\Omega).$$

Applying  $A^\alpha$  to both sides of (7), we obtain

$$A^\alpha v(t) = e^{-tA}A^\alpha v_0 + \int_0^t A^\alpha e^{-(t-s)A}g(s)ds \quad \text{in } L^q(\Omega).$$

Taking the  $L^q$  norm, we get

$$\|v(t)\|_{X(\alpha,q)} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|v_0\|_{X(\alpha,q)} + C_{\alpha,q} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|g(s)\|_q ds.$$

Let us estimate  $\|g(s)\|_q$ . Using the inequality  $0 \leq (t^p - s^p)/(t-s) \leq s^{p-1}$  for  $t, s > 0$ , we find

$$|g(x, s)| = \left| \frac{u^p - \phi^p}{u - \phi} (u - \phi) \right| \leq \phi^{p-1} |u - \phi|.$$

Hence

$$\|g(s)\|_\infty \leq \|\phi^p((u/\phi) - 1)\|_\infty \leq C e^{-\mu s}.$$

Employing this inequality, we get

$$\|v(t)\|_{X(\alpha,q)} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|v_0\|_{X(\alpha,q)} + C_{\alpha,q} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} e^{-\mu s} ds.$$

Putting  $\tau = t - s$  and using  $\lambda > \mu$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} e^{-\mu s} ds \leq C e^{-\mu t} \int_0^\infty \tau^{-\alpha} e^{-(\lambda-\mu)\tau} d\tau.$$

Therefore

$$\|v(t)\|_{X(\alpha,q)} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|v_0\|_{X(\alpha,q)} + \tilde{C}_{\alpha,q} e^{-\mu t}.$$

Give  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Choose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  close to 1 and take  $q$  large enough. Then the embedding  $X(\alpha, q) \hookrightarrow C^{1,\theta}(\bar{\Omega})$  holds.

$$\|v(t)\|_{C^1} \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \|v_0\|_{X(\alpha,q)} + C e^{-\mu t}.$$

Since  $\lambda > \mu$ , we have

$$\|u(t) - \phi\|_{C^1} = \|v(t)\|_{C^1} \leq C e^{-\mu t}.$$

The proof is complete. □

## References

- [1] G. Akagi and R. Kajikiya, Stability of stationary solutions for semilinear heat equations with concave nonlinearity. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, **17**, (No. 6), 1550001 (2015)
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **14**, 349–381 (1973)
- [3] H. Brézis and L. Oswald, Remarks on sublinear elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **10**, 55–64 (1986)
- [4] D.C. Clark, A variant of the Lusternik-Schnirelman theory, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22**, 65–74 (1972)
- [5] R. Kajikiya, Stability and instability of stationary solutions for sublinear parabolic equations. Submitted for publication.
- [6] Y. Naito and S. Tanaka, On the existence of multiple solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear second-order differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **56**, 919–935 (2004)
- [7] S. Tanaka, On the uniqueness of solutions with prescribed numbers of zeros for a two-point boundary value problem. *Differential Integral Equations*, **20**, 93–104 (2007)