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## 1 Introduction

Among all the $\mathbb{Z}$ bases of a lattice，some are better than others．The ones whose elements are the shortest are called reduced．Since the bases all have the same discriminant，to be reduced implies also that a basis is not too far from being orthogonal．

In 1982 A．K．Lenstra，H．W．Lenstra，Jr．，and L．Lovász presented the LLL reduction algorithm．It was originally meant to find＂short＂vectors in lat－ tices，i．e．to determine a so called reduced basis for a given lattice．H．Napias generalized LLL reduction algorithm over euclidean rings or orders（［3］）．

In this paper we define LLL reduced basis over imaginary quadratic fields． We consider a lattice in the $n$－dimensional linear space $V=F^{n}$ ，so $F$ is an imaginary quadratic field．$F$ is included by the field of complex numbers． Lenstra，Lenstra，and Lovász showed some properties about reduced bases over real number fields．We proved these properties hold over imaginary quadratic fields．

## 2 Basis reduction on $\mathbb{Z}$－modules

We consider a lattice in $n$－dimensional linear space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ，where $\mathbb{R}$ is the field of real numbers．

A subset $\Lambda$ of the $n$－dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called a lattice if there exists a basis $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{b}_{i}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \boldsymbol{b}_{i} \mid r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}
$$

[^0]In this situation we say that the set $\left\{\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right\}$ of vectors forms a basis for $\Lambda$, or that it spans $\Lambda$. We call $n$ the rank of $\Lambda$.

For a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ of $\Lambda$ the discriminant $d(\Lambda)$ of $\Lambda$ is defined by $d(\Lambda)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$, where (, ) denotes the ordinary inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This does not depend on the choice of the basis. And by Hadamard's inequality, we have $d(\Lambda) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}\right\|$.

In the sequel we consider the construction of special bases of lattices $\Lambda$. For the applications and for geometrical reasons we are interested in bases consisting of vectors of small norm. Minkowski reduced is an example of reduced basis. The computation of a Minkowski reduced basis of a lattice can be very time consuming. Hence, in many cases one is satisfied with constructing bases of lattices which are reduced in a much weaker sense. The most important reduction procedure now in use is LLL-reduction which was introduced in 1982 by Lenstra, Lenstra, and Lovász in a paper [2].

Let $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be linearly independent. We recall the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process. The vectors $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the real numbers $\mu_{i j}(1 \leq j<i \leq n)$ are inductively defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}:=\boldsymbol{b}_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i j} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}, \quad \mu_{i j}:=\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}\right)}{\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}\right)},
$$

where ( , ) denotes the ordinary inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We call a basis $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ for a lattice $L L L$-reduced if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{i j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j<i \leq n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}+\mu_{i, i-1} \boldsymbol{b}_{i-1}^{*}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{3}{4}\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i-1}^{*}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { for } 1<i \leq n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the ordinary Euclidean length. Notice that the vectors $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}+\mu_{i, i-1} \boldsymbol{b}_{i-1}^{*}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{i-1}^{*}$ appearing in (2) are projections of $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{i-1}$ on the orthogonal complement of $\sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \mathbb{R} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}$. The constant $\frac{3}{4}$ in (2) is arbitrarily chosen, and may be replaced by any fixed real number $y$ with $\frac{1}{4}<y<1$.

We state without proof several key properties of LLL-reduced bases. The proof is given in [2].

Proposition 2.1 [2, Proposition(1.6), (1.11), (1.12)] If $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ is some reduced basis for a lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then
(i) $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq 2^{i-1}\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}\right\|^{2} \quad$ for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$,
(ii) $d(\Lambda) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}\right\| \leq 2^{n(n-1) / 4} d(\Lambda)$,
(iii) $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leq 2^{(n-1) / 4} d(\Lambda)^{1 / n}$,
(iv) $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq 2^{n-1}\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}$ for every $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Lambda, \boldsymbol{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$,
(v) For any linearly independent set of vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{t} \in \Lambda$ we have $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq 2^{n-1} \max \left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right\|^{2}, \cdots,\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right\|^{2}\right\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t \leq n$,
where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the ordinary Euclidean length.

## 3 Basis reduction on $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-modules

Let $F$ be a imaginary quadratic field and $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be the ring of integers in $F$, now we consider a lattice in the $n$-dimensional linear space $V=F^{n}$.

Let $n$ be a positive integer. A subset $\Lambda$ of the $n$-dimensional vector space $V$ is called a $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-lattice if there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-basis $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ of $V$ such that

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{F} \boldsymbol{b}_{i}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \boldsymbol{b}_{i} \mid r_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} .
$$

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)^{t}, \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)^{t}$ are vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The complex euclidean inner product of $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=a_{1} \bar{b}_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} \bar{b}_{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{t}$ is vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The norm of $\boldsymbol{x}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{x}\|=\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x})}=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $x_{i}(\in \mathbb{C})$ is the $i$-th component of $\boldsymbol{x}$, and $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \in \mathbb{R}$.
Let $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n} \in F^{n}$ be linearly independent. Similarly the vectors $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}(1 \leq$ $i \leq n)$ and the complex numbers $\mu_{i j}(1 \leq j<i \leq n)$ are inductively defined by $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}:=\boldsymbol{b}_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i j} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}, \mu_{i j}:=\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}\right) /\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}, \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{*}\right)$, where (, ) denotes the complex euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. And LLL-reduced basis is similarly defined by (1), (2).

From now on, we consider the imaginary quadratic field $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, where $m$ is a square free negative integer, $R=\mathcal{O}_{F}$, the ring of integers in $F$.

Given imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}):=\{a+b \sqrt{m} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ is the following:
(i) If $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{F}:=\{a+b \sqrt{m} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
(ii) If $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{F}:=\left\{\left.\frac{a+b \sqrt{m}}{2} \right\rvert\, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b(\bmod 2)\right\}$.

For above two cases about $m$, we can prove its non-zero absolute values are greater than 1 . So, we show below it as a lemma.

Lemma 3.1 If $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, where $m<0$, we get for any non-zero $r \in \mathcal{O}_{F},|r|^{2} \geq 1$.

This lemma implies the following proposition.
Proposition 3.2 Let $F$ denote the imaginally quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ and $R=\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be the ring of integers in $F$. Let $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ be a basis of $\Lambda$, and $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ be as above. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2} \geq\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { for some } i \leq n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any non-zero $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Lambda$.
These arguments imply the following main theorem.
Theorem 3.3 Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, where $m$ is a square free negative integer, If $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ is some reduced basis for a lattice $\Lambda$ in $V$, then
(i) $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq 2^{i-1}\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{*}\right\|^{2}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$,
(ii) $d(\Lambda) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{i}\right\| \leq 2^{n(n-1) / 4} d(\Lambda)$,
(iii) $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\right\| \leq 2^{(n-1) / 4} d(\Lambda)^{1 / n}$,
(iv) $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq 2^{n-1}\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}$ for every $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Lambda, \boldsymbol{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$,
(v) For any linearly independent set of vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{t} \in \Lambda$ we have $\left\|\boldsymbol{b}_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq 2^{n-1} \max \left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right\|^{2}, \cdots,\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right\|^{2}\right\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t \leq n$,
where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm defined by (4).

## 4 Absolute values of elements in some the rings of integers $\mathcal{O}_{F}$

In case $F$ is a rational number field or a imaginary quadratic field, for nonzero element of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, its absolute value is greater than 1. About this, we shall discuss about general number fields.

Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ denote its ring of integers. It is well-known that $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is a lattice (free abelian group) of rank $n$. We shall use the Pigeonhole Principle, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 Suppoose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real numbers and at least one of $\alpha, \beta$ is in $\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$. Then there are infinitely many triads $(x, y, z)$ of integers such that $|x-z \alpha|<1 / \sqrt{z}$ and $|y-z \beta|<1 / \sqrt{z}$.

Proposition 4.2 Let $L$ be a lattice of rank $n \geq 3$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then, for any positive real number $\epsilon$, there is a non-zero $z \in L$ such that $|z|<\epsilon$.

By similar way, we can prove the following.
Proposition 4.3 Let $L$ be a lattice of rank $n \geq 2$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then, for any positive real number $\epsilon$, there is a non-zero $z \in L$ such that $|z|<\epsilon$.

By these propositions, for a non-zero element of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, its absolute value is greater than 1 , if and only if $F$ is a rational number field or a imaginary quadratic field. We shall think this problem from other approaches using concept of group theory. About this we shall show as the following.

Lemma 4.4 Let $G$ be some additive subgroup of real number that has at least two elements. In this case, $G$ is either dense or cycic (has a least positive element).

Using this lemma, we shall discuss about an absolute value of a non-zero element over general number fields. Let $G$ be the ring of integers in $F$ i.e. $G=\mathcal{O}_{F}$. Then we can prove next propositions.

Proposition 4.5 Let $G=\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be the ring of integers in $F$ and rank $n \geq 2$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $G$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 4.6 Let $G=\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be the ring of integers in $F$ and rank $n \geq 3$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then 0 is an accumulation point in $\mathbb{C}$.
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[^0]:    ＊This paper is a preliminary version and a final version will be submitted to elsewhere．

