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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the weak solvability for fully nonlinear parabolic variational inequalities with time dependent convex constraints．As a possible approach to such problems，there is for instance the fixed point method of the Schauder type with appropriate compactness theorems．However，there has not been prepared any compact－ ness theorem up to date that enables us the application of the fixed point method to variational inequalities of prabolic type．We have to start establishing a new compactness theorem for a wide class of prabolic variational inequalities．


## 1．Introduction

We consider a variational problem of quasi－linear parabolic type：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{Q}\left\{u_{1, t}\left(u_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+u_{2, t}\left(u_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q}\left\{a_{1}(x, t, u) \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+a_{2}(x, t, u) \nabla u_{2} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\} d x d t  \tag{1.1}\\
& \leq \int_{Q}\left\{f_{1}\left(u_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(u_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\} d x d t, \\
& \forall \xi:=\left[\xi_{1} \cdot \xi_{2}\right] \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \text { with } \xi(t) \in K(t) \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.2}\\
& \quad u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \text { in } \Omega, u=0 \text { on } \Sigma, \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, Q:=\Omega \times(0, T), 0<T<\infty, \Gamma:=\partial \Omega, \Sigma:=$ $\Gamma \times(0, T), u:=\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]$ and the diffusion coefficients $a_{i}(x, t, u), i=1,2$ ，are strictly positive，bounded and continuous in $(x, t, u) \in \bar{Q} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ as well as a constraint set $K(t)$ is convex and closed in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying some smoothness assumption in $t \in[0, T]$ ．

Functions $f:=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]$ and $u_{0}$ are prescribed in $L^{2}(Q) \times L^{2}(Q)$ and $K(0)$, respectively, as the data. Our claim is to construct a solution $u$ of (1.1)-(1.3) in a weak sense such that

$$
u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad u(t) \in K(t), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]
$$

In the case without constraint, namely $K(t)=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, our problem is the usual initial-boundary value problem for parabolic quasi-linear system of PDEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1, t}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(a_{1}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)=f_{1}(x, t) \text { in } Q, \\
& u_{2, t}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(a_{2}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)=f_{2}(x, t) \text { in } Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the solvability a huge number of results have been established (cf. [1, 19]), for instance, the Leray-Schauder principle together with some compactness theorems, such as [2, 22].

In connection with quasi-linear variational inequalities, the concept of nonlinear monotone mappings was generalized to several classes of nonlinear mappings of monotone type, for instance, semimonotone [20], pseudomonotone [3, 8, 14], and furthermore $L$-pseudomonotone mappings [4]. Especially the last class is available for parabolic variational inequalities and its simplified form is mentioned as follows: Given a linear maximal monotone mapping $L$ from $D(L)$ in a reflexive Banach space $X$ into its dual space $X^{*}$ and a single-valued bounded mapping $A: D(A)=X \rightarrow X^{*}$, we say that $A$ is $L$-pseudomonotome, if the following statement holds:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { if } w_{n} \rightarrow w \text { weakly in } X, \exists \ell_{n}^{*} \in L w_{n} \text { such that } \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\ell_{n}^{*}\right|_{X^{*}}<\infty, \\
A w_{n} \rightarrow h \text { weakly in } X^{*} \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle A w_{n}, w_{n}\right\rangle \leq\langle h, w\rangle, \text { then } A w=h .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under some coerciveness assumption, it was proved in [5] that the range of $L+A$ is the whole of $X^{*}$. In this theory the linearity of $L^{\prime}$ is crucial and it seems difficult to remove it. In a typical application of this theory to parabolic problems the linear maximal monotone $L$ is the time-derivative $\frac{d}{d t}$.

Our model problem (1.1)-(1.3) is formally written in the space $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times\right.$ $\left.H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ as

$$
f \in L u+A(u, u), \quad u(0)=u_{0}
$$

by taking as $L$ the mapping $L:=\frac{d}{d t}+\partial I_{K(t)}(\cdot): D(L) \subset X:=L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \rightarrow$ $X^{*}=L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ and as $A$ the mapping $A(v, u): D(A)=X \rightarrow X^{*}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A(v, u),[\xi, \eta]\rangle_{X^{*}, X} & =\int_{Q}\left\{a_{1}(x, t, v) \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla \xi_{1}+a_{2}(x, t, v) \nabla u_{2} \cdot \nabla \xi_{2}\right\} d x d t, \\
\text { for } u & :=\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right], v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right], \xi=\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right] \in X,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{X^{*}, X}$ stands for the duality between $X^{*}$ and $X$. We see that $L$ is maximal monotone from $D(L) \subset X$ into $X^{*}$, but $L$ is nonlinear in general. Since 1970, it remains for us to set up an abstract approach to such a quasi-linear parabolic variational inequality
as our model problem. In this paper we establish a new approach to parabolic variational inequalities with time-dependent constraints $\{K(t)\}$, based on a new compactness theorem derived from the total variation estimates for solutions of parabolic variational inequalities (cf. [13]).

There is a different approach to nonlinear variational inequalities of parabolic type with time-independent convex constraint in [1] in which the time-discretization method was employed and a compactness theorem was estsblished to ensure the strong convergence of time-discretized approximation schemes in time. This idea seems available to the case of time-dependent convex constraints.

## 2. Time-dependent convex sets

Throughout this paper, let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $V$ be a strictly convex reflexive Banach space such that $V$ is dense in $H$ and the injection from $V$ into $H$ is continuous. In this case, by identifying $H$ with its dual space, we have:

$$
V \subset H \subset V^{*} \text { with continuous embeddings. }
$$

For simplicity, we assume that the dual space $V^{*}$ is strictly convex. Therefore the duality mapping $F$ from $V$ into $V^{*}$ associated with gauge function $r \rightarrow|r|^{p-1}$ is singlevalued and demicontinuous from $V$ into $V^{*}$, where $p$ is a fixed number with $1<p<\infty$.

For the sake of simplicity for notation, we write $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ for $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{V^{*}, V}$
Let $K:=\{K(t)\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ be a family of non-empty, closed and convex sets in $V$ such that there are functions $\alpha \in W^{1,2}(0, T)$ and $\beta \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ satisfying the following property: for any $s, t \in[0, T]$ and any $z \in K(s)$ there is $\tilde{z} \in K(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{z}-z|_{H} \leq|\alpha(t)-\alpha(s)|\left(1+|z|_{V}^{\frac{p}{2}}\right), \quad|\tilde{z}|_{V}^{p}-|z|_{V}^{p} \leq|\beta(t)-\beta(s)|\left(1+|z|_{V}^{p}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\Phi(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of all such families $K:=\{K(t)\}$, and put

$$
\Phi_{S}:=\bigcup_{\alpha \in W^{1,2}(0, T), \beta \in W^{1,1}(0, T)} \Phi(\alpha, \beta) .
$$

We call $\Phi_{S}$ the strong class of time-dependent convex sets.
Given $K:=\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{S}$, we consider the following time-dependent convex function

$$
\varphi_{K}^{t}(z):=\frac{1}{p}|z|_{V}^{p}+I_{K(t)}(z)
$$

where $I_{K(t)}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of $K(t)$ on $H$. For each $t \in[0, T], \varphi_{K}^{t}(\cdot)$ is proper, l.s.c. and strictly convex on $H$ and on $V$. By the general theory on nonlinear evolution equations generated by time-dependent subdifferentials, condition (2.1) is sufficient in order that for any $u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$ (the closure of $K(0)$ in $H$ ) and $f \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)$ the Cauchy problem with real parameter $\lambda \in(0,1]$

$$
u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda \partial \varphi_{K}^{t}(u(t)) \ni f(t), \quad u(0)=u_{0}, \text { in } H,
$$

admits a unique solution $u$ such that $u \in C([0, T] ; H) \cap L^{p}(0, T ; V)$ with $u(0)=u_{0}$, $t^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)$ and $t \rightarrow t \varphi_{K}^{t}(u(t))$ is bounded on $(0, T]$, where $\partial \varphi_{K}^{t}$ denotes the subdifferential of $\varphi_{K}^{t}$ in $H$. In particular, if $u_{0} \in K(0)$, then $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)$ and $t \rightarrow \varphi_{K}^{t}(u(t))$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$.

Next, we introduce a weak class of time-dependent convex sets. Let $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ be a bounded, linear and self-adjoint operator in $H$ as well as bounded and linear in $V$, and let $\sigma_{0}$ be a function in $W^{1, p^{\prime}}(0, T ; H) \cap C([0, T] ; V), \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Then there exists an increasing continuous function $c_{0}(\varepsilon)$ of $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|z+\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_{0} z+\varepsilon \sigma_{0}(t)\right|_{V}^{p} \leq|z|_{V}^{p}+c_{0}(\varepsilon)\left\{1+|z|_{V}^{p}+\left|\sigma_{0}(t)\right|_{V}^{p}\right\}, \\
\forall t \in[0, T], \forall z \in V, \forall \varepsilon \in(0,1]
\end{gathered}
$$

in fact, for instance, we can take $c_{0}(\varepsilon)=12 p\left(\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|^{p}+1\right) \varepsilon$, where $\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|$ denotes the operator norm of $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ in the space of all bounded linaer operators from $V$ into itself.

Definition 2.1 (cf. [12]) Let $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ be as above. Then we define a class $\Phi_{W}:=$ $\Phi_{W}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ by: $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}$ if and only if $K(t)$ is a closed and convex set in $V$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ and there exists a sequence $\left\{K_{n}:=\left\{K_{n}(t)\right\}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \Phi_{S}$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$ there is a positive integer $N_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(I+\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) K_{n}(t)+\varepsilon \sigma_{0}(t) \subset K(t), \quad\left(I+\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) K(t)+\varepsilon \sigma_{0}(t) \subset K_{n}(t), \\
\forall t \in[0, T], \quad \forall n \geq N_{\varepsilon} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case, it is said that $\left\{K_{n}(t)\right\}$ converges to $\{K(t)\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is denoted by " $K_{n}(t) \Longrightarrow K(t)$ " on $[0, T]$ in this paper.

It is easy to see that $\Phi_{W}$ is strictly larger than $\Phi_{S}$, in general. Now, given $\{K(t)\} \in$ $\Phi_{W}$, we put

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\left\{v \in L^{p}(0, T ; V) \mid v(t) \in K(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}_{0}:=\left\{\eta \in \mathcal{K} \mid \eta^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)\right\} .
$$

Next, we introduce the time-derivative with constraint $K(t)$ and initial datum $u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}$ and $u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$. Then we define an operator $L_{u_{0}}$ whose graph $G\left(L_{u_{0}}\right)$ is given in $L^{p}(0, T ; V) \times L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right), \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1(1<p<\infty)$, as follows: $[u, f] \in G\left(L_{u_{0}}\right)$ if and only if

$$
f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right), u \in \mathcal{K}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\eta^{\prime}-f, u-\eta\right\rangle d t \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|u_{0}-\eta(0)\right|_{H}^{2}, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{K}_{0}
$$

The most important property of $L_{u_{0}}$ is given in the next theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}$ and $u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$. Then $L_{u_{0}}$ is maximal monotone from $D\left(L_{u_{0}}\right) \subset L^{p}(0, T ; V)$ into $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$, and the domain $D\left(L_{u_{0}}\right)$ is included in the set $\left\{u \in C([0, T] ; H) \cap \mathcal{K} \mid u(0)=u_{0}\right\}$.

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we observe the following characterization of $L_{u_{0}}: f \in$ $L_{u_{0}} u$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{K} \cap C([0, T] ; H)$ with $u(0)=u_{0}, f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and there exist sequences $\left\{K_{n}:=\left\{K_{n}(t)\right\}\right\} \subset \Phi_{S},\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}:=\{v \in$ $L^{p}(0, T ; V) \mid v(t) \in K_{n}(t)$ for a.e. $\left.t \in[0, T]\right\}, u_{n}^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ (hence $u_{n} \in C([0, T] ; H)$ ), $f_{n} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{n}(t) \Longrightarrow K(t) \text { on }[0, T], \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { in } C([0, T] ; H) \text { and weakly in } L^{p}(0, T ; V), \\
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u_{n}^{\prime}-f_{n}, u_{n}-v\right\rangle d t \leq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_{n}, \forall n, \\
f_{n} \rightarrow f \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right), \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\langle f, u\rangle d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Summarizing the structure of operator $L_{u_{0}}$, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}$. Then we have:
(a) Let $u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$ and $f \in \hat{L_{u_{0}}} u$. Then, for any $s, t \in[0, T]$ with $s \leq t$,

$$
\int_{s}^{t}\left\langle\eta^{\prime}-f, u-\eta\right\rangle d \tau+\frac{1}{2}|u(t)-\eta(t)|_{H}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}|u(s)-\eta(s)|_{H}^{2}, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{K}_{0}
$$

(b) Let $u_{i 0} \in \overline{K(0)}$, and $f_{i} \in L_{u_{i 0}} u_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then, for any $s, t \in[0, T]$ with $s \leq t$,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}(t)-u_{2}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}(s)-u_{2}(s)\right|_{H}^{2}+\int_{s}^{t}\left\langle f_{1}-f_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle d \tau .
$$

Remark 2.1. In Hilbert spaces similar operators to $L_{u_{0}}$ we considered in the timeindependent case $K=K(t)$ (cf. [6]) and it was generalized to the time-dependent case $K(t)$ (cf. [17]). In the Banach space set-up (cf. [16]), the similar results were discussed, too.
Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 gives a generalization of the results of [16, 17] in a class of weak variational inequalities. Morover it is expected to compose $L_{u_{0}}$ for various constraint set $K(t)$ in a much wider class $\Phi_{W}$ than in this paper, for instance the class in [18].

## 3. A compactness theorem

In this section, let $V, H$ and $V^{*}$ be the same as in the previous section. In order to avoid some irrelevant abstract arguments we suppose that $H, V$ and $V^{*}$ are separable.

Also we assume that $V$ is compactly embedded in $H$ and introduce another separable and reflexive Banach space $W$ such that $W$ is a dense subspace of $V$ embedded continuously in $V$. Hence the injection from $W$ into $H$ is compact. We denote by $C_{W}$ an embedding constant from $W$ into $V$ and $H$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|_{V} \leq C_{W}|z|_{W}, \quad|z|_{H} \leq C_{W}|z|_{W}, \quad \forall z \in W . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any function $w:[0, T] \rightarrow W^{*}$, we denote the total variation of $w$ by $\operatorname{Var}_{W^{*}}(w)$, which is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{W^{*}}(w):=\sup _{\substack{\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(0, T ; W),|\eta|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; W)} \leq 1}} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle w, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle_{W^{*}, W} d t .
$$

We refer to [7] or [10] for the fundamental properties of total variation functions.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}, u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$ and assume that there is a positive number $\kappa$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa B_{W}(0) \subset K(t), \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{W}(0):=\left\{\left.w \in W| | w\right|_{W} \leq 1\right\}$. Let $M_{0}$ be any positive number. Then

$$
Z\left(M_{0}\right):=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
u \in D\left(L_{u_{0}}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
|u|_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \leq M_{0}, \exists f \in L_{u_{0}} u \text { such that } \\
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \int_{0}^{t}\langle f, u\rangle d \tau \leq M_{0},|f|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)} \leq M_{0}
\end{array} \tag{3.3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

is relatively compact in $L^{p}(0, T ; H)$.
We begin with the following lemma that is crucial for the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}, u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$ and assume (3.2) holds. Further let $M_{0}$ be any positive number. Then there exists a positive constant $C^{*}:=C^{*}\left(\kappa, M_{0},\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}\right)$, depending only on $\kappa, M_{0}$ and $\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{C([0, T] ; H)} \leq C^{*}, \quad \operatorname{Var}_{W^{*}}(u) \leq C^{*}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in Z\left(M_{0}\right)$.
Proof. Let $u$ be any element in $Z\left(M_{0}\right)$, and take a function $f \in L_{u_{0}} u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \int_{0}^{t}\langle f, u\rangle d \tau \leq M_{0},|f|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)} \leq M_{0} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (a) of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}|u(t)-\eta(t)|_{H}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\eta^{\prime}-f, u-\eta\right\rangle d \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|u_{0}-\eta(0)\right|_{H}^{2}, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{K}_{0}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, note that $0 \in \mathcal{K}_{0}$ by (3.2). Take $\eta \equiv 0$ in (3.6) to get

$$
\frac{1}{2}|u(t)|_{H}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\langle f, u\rangle d \tau+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

Hence it follows from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)|_{H} \leq\left\{\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}+2 M_{0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}+\sqrt{2 M_{0}}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let $\eta$ be any function in $C_{0}^{1}(0, T ; W)$ satisfying $|\eta|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; W)}>0$ and put $\tilde{\eta}(t):=$ $\frac{\eta(t)}{\mid \eta_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; W)}}$. Then, by (3.2), $\pm \kappa \tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{K}_{0}$, so that it follows from (3.6) that

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle \pm \kappa \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}-f, u \mp \kappa \tilde{\eta}\right\rangle d t \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}
$$

whence

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u, \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right\rangle d t\right| \leq\left|\int_{0}^{T}\langle f, \tilde{\eta}\rangle d t\right|+\frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{T}\langle f, u\rangle d t+\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u, \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right\rangle d t\right| \leq|f|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)}|\tilde{\eta}|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; W)}+\frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{T}\langle f, u\rangle d \tau+\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}
$$

It is easy to obtain from the above inequality that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle d t\right| \leq\left(M_{0}+\frac{1}{\kappa} M_{0}+\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)|\eta|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; W)}
$$

for all $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(0, T ; W)$. This shows that

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{W^{*}}(u) \leq M_{0}+\frac{1}{\kappa} M_{0}+\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}
$$

By this inequality and (3.7), we obtain (3.4) with $C^{*}:=\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}+\sqrt{2 M_{0}}+M_{0}+\frac{1}{\kappa} M_{0}+$ $\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}^{2}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $M_{1}$ be any positive number and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be any sequence of functions from $[0, T]$ into $W^{*}$ such that $u_{n} \in L^{p}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \leq M_{1}, \quad\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)} \leq M_{1}, \quad \operatorname{Var}_{W^{*}}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq M_{1}, \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there are a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and a function $u \in L^{p}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$ such that $u_{n_{k}}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ weakly in $H$ for every $t \in[0, T]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $u_{n_{k}}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $W^{*}$ for every $t \in[0, T]$ and $u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)$ for every $q \in[1, \infty)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Since $W$ is separable, there is a countable dense subset $W_{0}$ in $W$. Now, we consider a sequence of real valued functions $A_{n}(t, \xi):=\left(u_{n}(t), \xi\right)_{H}\left(=\left\langle u_{n}(t), \xi\right\rangle_{W^{*}, W}\right)$ on $[0, T]$ for each $\xi \in W_{0}$. Then we note from (3.8) that the total variation of $A_{n}(t, \xi)$ is bounded by $M_{1}|\xi|_{W}$. Hence from the Helly selection theorem (cf. [10; Section 5.2.3]) it follows that there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$, depending on $\xi \in W_{0}$, such that $A_{n_{k}}(t, \xi)$ converges to a function $A_{0}(t, \xi)$ pointwise on $[0, T]$ and its total variation is not larger than $M_{1}|\xi|_{W}$.

Since $W_{0}$ is countable in $W$, by using extensively the above Helly selection theorem we can extract a subsequence, denoted by the same notation as $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ again, and a function $A_{0}(t, \xi)$ on $[0, T] \times W_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n_{k}}(t, \xi) \rightarrow A_{0}(t, \xi) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \forall \xi \in W_{0} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by density, this convergence (3.9) can be extended to all $\xi \in W$. Also, the functional $A_{n_{k}}(t, \xi)$ is linear in $\xi$ and uniformly bounded by (3.1), i.e.

$$
\left|A_{n_{k}}(t, \xi)\right| \leq M_{1}|\xi|_{H} \leq M_{1} C_{W}|\xi|_{W}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \xi \in W .
$$

This implies that $A_{0}(t, \xi)$ is linear and bounded in $\xi \in W$ and $\left|A_{0}(t, \xi)\right| \leq M_{1}|\xi|_{H}$ for all $\xi \in W$ and $t \in[0, T]$. As a consequence, by the Riesz representation theorem, there is a function $u:[0, T] \rightarrow H$ with $|u(t)|_{H} \leq M_{1}$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
A_{0}(t, \xi)=(u(t), \xi)_{H}, \quad \forall \xi \in H, \quad \forall t \in[0, T]
$$

Now it is clear by (3.9) that $u_{n_{k}}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ weakly in $H$ for $t \in[0, T]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, by the compactness of the injection from $H$ into $W^{*}$, we see that $u_{n_{k}}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $W^{*}$ for $t \in[0, T]$ and hence $u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)$ for all $q \in[1, \infty)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We first note from Lemma 3.1 and (3.3) that

$$
Z\left(M_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}:=\left\{\left.u| | u\right|_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \leq M_{0},|u|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)} \leq C^{*}, \operatorname{Var}_{W^{*}}(u) \leq C^{*}\right\}
$$

where $M_{0}$ and $C^{*}$ are the same constants as in Lemma 3.1. Therefore it is enough to prove the compactness of $\mathcal{X}$ in $L^{p}(0, T ; H)$; note that $\mathcal{X}$ is closed and convex in $L^{p}(0, T ; V)$.

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be any sequence in the set $\mathcal{X}$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, there is a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}$ and a function $u \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$ such that $u_{n_{k}}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ weakly in $H$ for every $t \in[0, T]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the injection compactness from $H$ into $W^{*}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\left|u_{n_{k}}\right|_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \leq M_{0}$ and $|u|_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \leq M_{0}$.
Here we recall the Aubin lemma [3] (or [25; Lemma 5.1]): for each $\delta>0$ there is a positive constant $C_{\delta}$ such that

$$
|z|_{H}^{p} \leq \delta|z|_{V}^{p}+C_{\delta}|z|_{W^{*}}^{p}, \quad \forall z \in V .
$$

By making use of this inequality for $z=u_{n_{k}}(t)-u(t)$, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n_{k}}(t)-u(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \leq \delta\left(2 M_{0}\right)^{p}+C_{\delta} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n_{k}}(t)-u(t)\right|_{W^{*}}^{p} d t .
$$

On account of (3.10), letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ gives that

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n_{k}}-u\right|_{L^{p}(0, T ; H)}^{p} \leq \delta\left(2 M_{0}\right)^{p}
$$

Since $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}(0, T ; H)$.
Remark 3.1. In the case of $K(t)=W$ for all $t \in[0, T], f \in L_{u_{0}} u$ implies that $u^{\prime}=f \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)$. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 says that the set

$$
\left\{u\left||u|_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \leq M_{0},\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{*}\right)} \leq M_{0}\right\}\right.
$$

is relatively compact in $L^{p}(0, T ; H)$ for each finite positive constant $M_{0}$. This is nothing but a typical case of the Aubin compactness theorem [2]. Also, see [21] for various applications.

Remark 3.2. A compactness result of the Aubin type was extended in [15] to the case when $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{S}$ and $K(t)$ is a closed linear subspace of $V$ for any $t \in[0, T]$. We refer to [9] for a further generalization to the Dubinskii's type, too.

## 4. Perturbations of semimonotone type

We assume that $H, V$ and $W$ be the same as in the previous section; $V$ is dense in $H$ with compact injection and $W$ is dense in $V$ with continuous injection.

Let $A(t, v, u)$ be a singlevalued mapping from $[0, T] \times H \times V$ into $V^{*}$, and assume that:
(a) (Boundedness) There are positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
|A(t, v, u)|_{V^{*}} \leq c_{1}|u|_{V}^{p-1}+c_{2}, \quad \forall v \in H, \quad \forall u \in V, \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

(b) (Coerciveness) There are positive constants $c_{3}, c_{4}$ such that

$$
\langle A(t, v, u), u\rangle \geq c_{3}|u|_{V}^{p}-c_{4}, \quad \forall v \in H, \forall u \in V, \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

(c) (Semimonotonicity) For each $v \in H$ and $t \in[0, T]$, the mapping $u \rightarrow A(t, v, u)$ is demicontinuous from $D(A(t, v, \cdot))=V$ into $V^{*}$ and monotone, namely

$$
\left\langle A\left(t, v, u_{1}\right)-A\left(t, v, u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in V
$$

Moreover, for each $u \in V$ the mapping $(t, v) \rightarrow A(t, v, u)$ is continuous from $[0, T] \times$ $H$ into $V^{*}$.

We have the following perturbation result of $L_{u_{0}}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A}:=\mathcal{A}(v, u)$ be an operator from $L^{p}(0, T ; V)$ into $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ given by

$$
[\mathcal{A}(\sqsubseteq, \sqcap)](t):=A(t, v(t), u(t)), \quad \forall v, u \in L^{p}(0, T ; V) .
$$

Let $\{K(t)\} \in \Phi_{W}$ and $u_{0} \in \overline{K(0)}$. Then, for any $f \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ there exists a function $u \in D\left(L_{u_{0}}\right)$ such that

$$
f \in L_{u_{0}} u+\mathcal{A}(u, u)
$$

The precise proof is refrred to [13].
(Application to the model problem (1.1)-(1.3))
We use our abstract theorems in the set-up

$$
H:=L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega), V:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), W:=W_{0}^{1, q} \times W_{0}^{1, q}, N<q<\infty
$$

Hence $V^{*}=H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega), V \subset H \subset V^{*} \subset W^{*}$ and $W \subset C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $\psi=\psi(x, t)$ be an obstacle function prescribed in $C(\bar{Q})$ so that $\psi \geq c_{\psi}$ on $\bar{Q}$ for a positive constant $c_{\psi}$, and define a constraint set $K(t)$ by

$$
K(t):=\{[\xi, \eta] \in V| | \xi|+|\eta| \leq \psi(\cdot, t) \text { a.e. in } \Omega\}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

In case $\psi$ is in $C(\bar{Q})$, it is known (cf. [12] or [18]) that $\{K(t)\}$ belongs to the weak class $\Phi_{W}$. Therefore, on account of Theorem 2.1, the maximal monotone mapping $L_{u_{0}}$ is well defined for any given $u_{0}:=\left[u_{10}, u_{20}\right] \in \overline{K(0)}$. Since any function of $B_{W}(0)$ is uniformly bounded in $C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega})$, it is easy to see that

$$
\kappa B_{W}(0) \subset K(t), \quad \forall t \in[0, T]
$$

for a certain positive constant $\kappa\left(<c_{\psi}\right)$, namely condition (3.2) is satisfied. Also, we define a nonlinear mapping $A(t, v, u):[0, T] \times H \times V \rightarrow V^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle A(t, v, u), \xi\rangle:=\int_{\Omega}\left\{a_{1}(x, t, v) \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla \xi_{1}+a_{2}(x, t, v) \nabla u_{2} \cdot \nabla \xi_{2}\right\} d x \\
v:=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \in H, u:=\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right] \in V, \xi=\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right] \in V, t \in[0, T]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a_{1}(x, t, v)$ and $a_{2}(x, t, v)$ are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega} \times[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and

$$
c_{*} \leq a_{i}(x, t, v) \leq c^{*}, \quad \forall(x, t, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{2}, i=1,2
$$

for positive constants $c_{*}, c^{*}$. Under the above assumptions, we easily check the conditions (a), (b) and (c). Accordingly we can apply Theorems 4.1 to solve our model problem for given data $u_{0}:=\left[u_{01}, u_{02}\right] \in \overline{K(0)}$ and $f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right] \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ in the form

$$
f \in L_{u_{0}} u+\mathcal{A}(u, u) .
$$

This functional inclusion is written in the following weak variational form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u:=\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{K} \cap C([0, T] ; H), u(0)=u_{0} ; \\
\int_{Q}\left\{\xi_{1, t}\left(u_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+\xi_{2, t}\left(u_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\} d x d t \\
+\int_{Q}\left\{a_{1}(x, t, u) \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+a_{2}(x, t, u) \nabla u_{2} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\} d x d t \\
\leq \int_{Q}\left\{f_{1}\left(u_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(u_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\} d x d t+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|u_{10}-\xi_{1}(0)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left|u_{20}-\xi_{2}(0)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\forall \xi=\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{K} \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; H)
$$
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