

2-local isometries on spaces of differentiable functions

米子工業高等専門学校 古清水 大直

Hironao Koshimizu National Institute of Technology, Yonago College

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, a Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

Abstract

Let $C^{(2)}([0, 1])$ be the Banach space of 2-times continuously differentiable functions on the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_\sigma = |f(0)| + |f'(0)| + \|f''\|_\infty$, where $\|g\|_\infty = \sup\{|g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ for g . If $T : (C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma) \rightarrow (C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$ is a 2-local isometry, then T is a surjective complex-linear isometry.

1 Introduction

Let $(M, \|\cdot\|_M)$ and $(N, \|\cdot\|_N)$ be normed linear spaces over the complex number \mathbb{C} . A mapping $T : M \rightarrow N$ is called an *isometry* if $\|T(f) - T(g)\|_N = \|f - g\|_M$ for all $f, g \in M$. The linear isometries on various function spaces have been studied by many mathematicians (see [2]). The source of this subject is the classical Banach-Stone theorem, which characterizes the surjective complex-linear isometry on $C(X)$, the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Theorem 1.1 (Banach-Stone). *A mapping T is a surjective complex-linear isometry on $C(X)$ if and only if there exist a unimodular continuous function $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ such that $T(f) = w(f \circ \varphi)$ for all $f \in C(X)$.*

In this paper, we treat with the space of continuously differentiable functions. Let $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ be the Banach space of all n -times continuously differentiable functions on the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ with a norm. For example, $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ with one of

the following norms is a Banach space;

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_C &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|f^{(k)}(t)|}{k!}, \\ \|f\|_\Sigma &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\|f^{(k)}\|_\infty}{k!}, \\ \|f\|_\sigma &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f^{(k)}(0)| + \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty, \\ \|f\|_m &= \max\{|f(0)|, |f'(0)|, \dots, |f^{(n-1)}(0)|, \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty\},\end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$. Among them, $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$ and $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\Sigma)$ are unital semisimple commutative Banach algebras. In 1965, Cambern [1] characterized surjective complex-linear isometries on $(C^{(1)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$. In 1981, Pathak [10] extended this result to $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$. On the other hand, Rao and Roy [11] gave the characterization of surjective complex-linear isometries on $(C^{(1)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\Sigma)$ in 1971. Those results say that every surjective complex-linear isometry has the canonical form; $T(f) = w(f \circ \varphi)$. However, the author [6, 7] proved that surjective complex-linear isometries on $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$ or $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_m)$ have a different form.

In [9], Molnár introduced the notion of 2-local isometry as follows. For a Banach space \mathcal{B} , a mapping $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a *2-local isometry* if for each $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a surjective complex-linear isometry $T_{f,g} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $T(f) = T_{f,g}(f)$ and $T(g) = T_{f,g}(g)$. Note that no surjectivity or linearity of T is assumed. Molnár studied 2-local isometries on $B(H)$, the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H . Let $C_0(X)$ be the Banach algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space X which vanish at infinity equipped with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. For a first countable σ -compact Hausdorff space X , Gyóry [3] showed that every 2-local isometry on $C_0(X)$ is a surjective complex-linear isometry. Hosseini [4] studied generalized 2-local isometries on $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_m)$. The authors, in [5, 8], considered 2-local isometries on the spaces $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$, $(C^{(1)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\Sigma)$ and $(C^{(1)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$.

2 Results

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Every 2-local isometry on $(C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$ is a surjective complex-linear isometry.*

The following characterization of surjective complex-linear isometries on $(C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$ is important to the proof of the theorem. For any $f \in C([0, 1])$, define $Sf \in C^{(1)}([0, 1])$ by $(Sf)(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$ ($\forall t \in [0, 1]$).

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). *A mapping T is a surjective complex-linear isometry on $(C^{(2)}[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$ if and only if there exist unimodular constants $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$, a unimodular continuous function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that one of the following holds:*

- (i) $T(f)(t) = \lambda f(0) + \mu f'(0)t + (S^2(w(f'' \circ \varphi)))(t)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \forall t \in [0, 1]$).
- (ii) $T(f)(t) = \lambda f'(0) + \mu f(0)t + (S^2(w(f'' \circ \varphi)))(t)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \forall t \in [0, 1]$).

From now on, we write simply $C^{(2)}$ for the Banach space $(C^{(2)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\sigma)$. Let T be a 2-local isometry on $C^{(2)}$. We define the map $U : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ by $U(f) = (T(S^2 f))''$ for all $f \in C([0, 1])$.

Lemma 2.3. *There exist a unimodular continuous function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $(T(f))'' = w(f'' \circ \varphi)$ for all $f \in C^{(2)}$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in C([0, 1])$. Since T is a 2-local isometry on $C^{(2)}$, there exists a surjective complex-linear isometry $T_{S^2 f, S^2 g}$ on $C^{(2)}$ such that $T(S^2 f) = T_{S^2 f, S^2 g}(S^2 f)$ and $T(S^2 g) = T_{S^2 f, S^2 g}(S^2 g)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist a unimodular continuous function $w_{f, g} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi_{f, g} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $(T_{S^2 f, S^2 g}(h))'' = w_{f, g}(h'' \circ \varphi_{f, g})$ for all $h \in C^{(2)}$. Define $U_{f, g}(h) = w_{f, g}(h \circ \varphi_{f, g})$ for all $h \in C([0, 1])$. By the Banach-Stone theorem, we see that $U_{f, g}$ is a surjective complex-linear isometry on $C([0, 1])$. We have

$$U(f) = (T(S^2 f))'' = (T_{S^2 f, S^2 g}(S^2 f))'' = w_{f, g}(f \circ \varphi_{f, g}) = U_{f, g}(f).$$

Similarly, $U(g) = U_{f, g}(g)$. Hence U is a 2-local isometry on $C([0, 1])$. By [3, Theorem 2], U is a surjective complex-linear isometry on $C([0, 1])$. Hence the Banach-Stone theorem implies that there exist a unimodular continuous function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$U(f) = w(f \circ \varphi) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $f \in C([0, 1])$.

Let $f \in C^{(2)}$. Put $g = S^2(f'')$. Since T is a 2-local isometry on $C^{(2)}$, there exists a surjective complex-linear isometry $T_{f,g}$ on $C^{(2)}$ such that $T(f) = T_{f,g}(f)$ and $T(g) = T_{f,g}(g)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist a unimodular continuous function $w_{f,g} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi_{f,g} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $(T_{f,g}(h))'' = w_{f,g}(h'' \circ \varphi_{f,g})$ for all $h \in C^{(2)}$. Then we have

$$(T(f))'' = (T_{f,g}(f))'' = w_{f,g}(f'' \circ \varphi_{f,g}) = w_{f,g}(g'' \circ \varphi_{f,g}) = (T_{f,g}(g))'' = (T(g))'',$$

since $g'' = (S^2(f''))'' = f''$. Substituting $f = f''$ into (2.1), we have

$$(T(f))'' = (T(g))'' = (T(S^2(f'')))'' = U(f'') = w(f'' \circ \varphi).$$

Hence the lemma completes the proof. \square

We define the functions $\mathbf{1}$ and \mathbf{id} by $\mathbf{1}(t) = 1$ ($\forall t \in [0, 1]$) and $\mathbf{id}(t) = t$ ($\forall t \in [0, 1]$), respectively.

Lemma 2.4. *There exist unimodular constants $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$ such that one of the following holds:*

- (i) $T(\mathbf{1}) = \lambda \mathbf{1}$ and $T(\mathbf{id}) = \mu \mathbf{id}$.
- (ii) $T(\mathbf{1}) = \mu \mathbf{id}$ and $T(\mathbf{id}) = \lambda \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. Since T is a 2-local isometry, there exists a surjective complex-linear isometry $T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}$ on $C^{(2)}$ such that $T(\mathbf{1}) = T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(\mathbf{1})$ and $T(\mathbf{id}) = T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(\mathbf{id})$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist unimodular constants $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$, a unimodular continuous function $w_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}$ such that one of the following holds:

- (i) $T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(f)(t) = \lambda f(0) + \mu f'(0)t + (S^2(w_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(f'' \circ \varphi_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}})))(t)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}, \forall t \in [0, 1]$).
- (ii) $T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(f)(t) = \lambda f'(0) + \mu f(0)t + (S^2(w_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(f'' \circ \varphi_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}})))(t)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}, \forall t \in [0, 1]$).

If (i) holds, then we have $T(\mathbf{1})(t) = T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(\mathbf{1})(t) = \lambda$ and $T(\mathbf{id})(t) = T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(\mathbf{id})(t) = \mu t$. If (ii) holds, then we have $T(\mathbf{1})(t) = T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(\mathbf{1})(t) = \mu t$ and $T(\mathbf{id})(t) = T_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{id}}(\mathbf{id})(t) = \lambda$.

Hence the lemma is proven. \square

Lemma 2.5. *One of the following holds:*

- (a) $T(f)(0) = T(\mathbf{1})(0)f(0)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}$) and $(Tf)'(0) = (T(\mathbf{id}))'(0)f'(0)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}$).
- (b) $T(f)(0) = T(\mathbf{id})(0)f'(0)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}$) and $(Tf)'(0) = (T(\mathbf{1}))'(0)f(0)$ ($\forall f \in C^{(2)}$).

Proof. Let $f \in C^{(2)}$. Since T is a 2-local isometry, there exist surjective complex-linear isometries $T_{\mathbf{1},f}$ and $T_{\mathbf{id},f}$ such that $T(f) = T_{\mathbf{1},f}(f) = T_{\mathbf{id},f}(f)$, $T(\mathbf{1}) =$

$T_{\mathbf{1},f(\mathbf{1})}$ and $T(\mathbf{id}) = T_{\mathbf{id},f(\mathbf{id})}$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist unimodular constants $\lambda_{\mathbf{1},f}, \mu_{\mathbf{1},f}, \lambda_{\mathbf{id},f}, \mu_{\mathbf{id},f} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that one of the following (i) and (ii) and one of the following (I) and (II) hold:

- (i) $T_{\mathbf{1},f}(g)(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{1},f}g(0)$, $(T_{\mathbf{1},f}(g))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{1},f}g'(0)$ for all $g \in C^{(2)}$.
- (ii) $T_{\mathbf{1},f}(g)(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{1},f}g'(0)$, $(T_{\mathbf{1},f}(g))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{1},f}g(0)$ for all $g \in C^{(2)}$.
- (I) $T_{\mathbf{id},f}(g)(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{id},f}g(0)$, $(T_{\mathbf{id},f}(g))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{id},f}g'(0)$ for all $g \in C^{(2)}$.
- (II) $T_{\mathbf{id},f}(g)(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{id},f}g'(0)$, $(T_{\mathbf{id},f}(g))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{id},f}g(0)$ for all $g \in C^{(2)}$.

If (i) and (I) hold, we have $T(f)(0) = T_{\mathbf{1},f}(f)(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{1},f}f(0)$ and $(T(f))'(0) = (T_{\mathbf{id},f}(f))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{id},f}f'(0)$. Also, we have $T(\mathbf{1})(0) = T_{\mathbf{1},f}(\mathbf{1})(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{1},f}$ and $(T(\mathbf{id}))'(0) = (T_{\mathbf{id},f}(\mathbf{id}))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{id},f}$. Hence we obtain (a).

If (i) and (II) hold, $T(\mathbf{1})(0) = T_{\mathbf{1},f}(\mathbf{1})(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{1},f} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $T(\mathbf{id})(0) = T_{\mathbf{id},f}(\mathbf{id})(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{id},f} \in \mathbb{T}$. This contradicts Lemma 2.4.

If (ii) and (I) hold, $T(\mathbf{1})(0) = T_{\mathbf{1},f}(\mathbf{1})(0) = 0$ and $T(\mathbf{id})(0) = T_{\mathbf{id},f}(\mathbf{id})(0) = 0$. This contradicts Lemma 2.4.

If (ii) and (II) hold, we have $T(f)(0) = T_{\mathbf{id},f}(f)(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{id},f}f'(0)$ and $(T(f))'(0) = (T_{\mathbf{1},f}(f))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{1},f}f(0)$. We also have $T(\mathbf{id})(0) = T_{\mathbf{id},f}(\mathbf{id})(0) = \lambda_{\mathbf{id},f}$ and $(T(\mathbf{1}))'(0) = (T_{\mathbf{1},f}(\mathbf{1}))'(0) = \mu_{\mathbf{1},f}$. Hence we obtain (b). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let T be a 2-local isometry on $C^{(2)}$. We note that if Lemma 2.4(i) holds, then Lemma 2.5(a) holds. Suppose that Lemma 2.5(b) holds. Then $T(f)(0) = 0$ for all $f \in C^{(2)}$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, we see that if Lemma 2.4(ii) holds, then Lemma 2.5(b) holds.

By Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f)(t) &= T(f)(0) + (T(f))'(0)t + (S^2(T(f)))''(t) \\ &= T(\mathbf{1})(0)f(0) + (T(\mathbf{id}))'(0)f'(0)t + (S^2(w(f'' \circ \varphi)))(t) \\ &= \lambda f(0) + \mu f'(0)t + (S^2(w(f'' \circ \varphi)))(t) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} T(f)(t) &= T(f)(0) + (T(f))'(0)t + (S^2(T(f)))''(t) \\ &= T(\mathbf{id})(0)f'(0) + (T(\mathbf{1}))'(0)f(0)t + (S^2(w(f'' \circ \varphi)))(t) \\ &= \lambda f'(0) + \mu f(0)t + (S^2(w(f'' \circ \varphi)))(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 2.2 implies that T is a surjective complex-linear isometry on $C^{(2)}$. \square

References

- [1] M. Cambern, *Isometries of certain Banach algebras*, *Studia Math.* **25** (1965), 217–225.
- [2] R. Fleming and J. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach spaces: function spaces*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math. 129, Boca Raton, 2003.
- [3] M. Györy, *2-local isometries of $C_0(X)$* , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **67** (2001), no. 3–4, 735–746.
- [4] M. Hosseini, *Generalized 2-local isometries of spaces of continuously differentiable functions*, *Quaest. Math.* **40** (2017), no. 8, 1003–1014.
- [5] K. Kawamura, H. Koshimizu and T. Miura, *2-local isometries on $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$* , to appear in *Contemp. Math.*
- [6] H. Koshimizu, *Finite codimensional linear isometries on spaces of differentiable and Lipschitz functions*, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **9** (2011), 139–146.
- [7] H. Koshimizu, *Linear isometries on spaces of continuously differentiable and Lipschitz continuous functions*, *Nihonkai Math. J.* **22** (2011), 73–90.
- [8] H. Koshimizu and T. Miura, *2-local real-linear isometries on $C^{(1)}([0, 1])$* , preprint.
- [9] L. Molnár, *2-local isometries of some operator algebras*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **45** (2002), no. 2, 349–352.
- [10] V. D. Pathak, *Isometries of $C^{(n)}[0, 1]$* , *Pacific J. Math.* **94** (1981), 211–222.
- [11] N. V. Rao and A. K. Roy, *Linear isometries of some function spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **38** (1971), 177–192.

Hironao Koshimizu

National Institute of Technology, Yonago College

Yonago 683-8502

JAPAN

E-mail address: koshimizu@yonago-k.ac.jp