

# NON-AUTONOMOUS CONFORMAL ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS WITH OVERLAPS

YUTO NAKAJIMA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Non-autonomous Iterated Function System (NIFS)  $\Phi = (\{\phi_i^{(j)}\}_{i \in I^{(j)}})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  on a compact subset  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  consists of a sequence of finite collections of uniformly contracting maps  $\phi_i^{(j)} : X \rightarrow X$ , where  $I^{(j)}$  is a finite set. The system  $\Phi$  is an Iterated Function System (for short, IFS) if the collections  $\{\phi_i^{(j)}\}_{i \in I^{(j)}}$  are independent of  $j$ . In comparison to usual IFSs, we allow the contractions  $\phi_i^{(j)}$  applied at each step  $j$  to vary as  $j$  changes.

Rempe-Gillen and Urbański [9] introduced Non-autonomous Conformal Iterated Function Systems (NCIFSs). An NCIFS  $\Phi = (\{\phi_i^{(j)}\}_{i \in I^{(j)}})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  on a compact subset  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  consists of a sequence of collections of uniformly contracting conformal maps  $\phi_i^{(j)} : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying some mild conditions containing the Open Set Condition (OSC) which is defined as follows. We say that a sequence  $(\{\phi_i^{(j)}\}_{i \in I^{(j)}})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of finite collections of maps on a compact subset  $X$  with  $\text{int}(X) \neq \emptyset$  satisfies the OSC if for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and all distinct indices  $a, b \in I^{(j)}$ ,

$$\phi_a^{(j)}(\text{int}(X)) \cap \phi_b^{(j)}(\text{int}(X)) = \emptyset. \quad (1)$$

Then the limit set of the NCIFS  $\Phi = (\{\phi_i^{(j)}\}_{i \in I^{(j)}})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is defined as the set of possible limit points of sequences  $\phi_{\omega_1}^{(1)}(\phi_{\omega_2}^{(2)}(\dots(\phi_{\omega_i}^{(i)}(x))\dots))$ ,  $\omega_j \in I^{(j)}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ ,  $x \in X$ . Rempe-Gillen and Urbański introduced *the lower pressure function*  $\underline{P}_{\Phi} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  of the NCIFS  $\Phi$ . Then *the Bowen dimension*  $s_{\Phi}$  of the NCIFS  $\Phi$  is defined by  $s_{\Phi} = \sup\{s \geq 0 : \underline{P}_{\Phi}(s) > 0\} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \underline{P}_{\Phi}(s) < 0\}$ . Rempe-Gillen and Urbański proved that the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set is the Bowen dimension of the NCIFS ([9, 1.1 Theorem]). For related results for non-autonomous systems, see [2].

In this paper, we study NIFSs with overlaps on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Here, we do not assume the OSC. We introduce transversal families of non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We show that if a  $d$ -parameter family of such systems satisfies the transversality condition, then for almost every parameter value the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set is the minimum of  $m$  and the Bowen dimension. Moreover, we give an example of a family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  of parameterized NIFSs such that  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  satisfies the transversality condition but  $\Phi_t$  does not satisfy the OSC for any  $t \in U$ . The method of transversality is utilized for parametrized IFSs involving some complicated overlaps (e.g., [8], [11], [4], [5], [10]). For some general family of functions with the transversality condition, see [10], [6], [13].

## 2. MAIN RESULT

In this section we present the framework of transversal families of non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems and we present the main results on them. For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

let  $I^{(j)}$  be a finite set. For any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \leq k$ , we set

$$I_n^k := \prod_{j=n}^k I^{(j)}, I_n^\infty := \prod_{j=n}^\infty I^{(j)}, I^n := \prod_{j=1}^n I^{(j)}, \text{ and } I^\infty := \prod_{j=1}^\infty I^{(j)}.$$

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . For any  $t \in U$ , let  $\Phi_t = (\Phi_t^{(j)})_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of collections of maps on a set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , where

$$\Phi_t^{(j)} = \{\phi_{i,t}^{(j)} : X \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I^{(j)}}.$$

Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \leq k$ . For any  $\omega = \omega_n \omega_{n+1} \cdots \omega_k \in I_n^k$ , we set

$$\phi_{\omega,t} := \phi_{\omega_n,t}^{(n)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\omega_k,t}^{(k)}.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $\omega = \omega_n \omega_{n+1} \cdots \in I_n^\infty$  and any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$\omega|_j := \omega_n \omega_{n+1} \cdots \omega_{n+j-1} \in I_n^{n+j-1}.$$

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open set and let  $\phi : V \rightarrow \phi(V)$  be a diffeomorphism. We denote by  $D\phi(x)$  the derivative of  $\phi$  evaluated at  $x$ . We say that  $\phi$  is *conformal* if for any  $x \in V$   $D\phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a similarity linear map, that is,  $D\phi(x) = c_x \cdot A_x$ , where  $c_x > 0$  and  $A_x$  is an orthogonal matrix. For any conformal map  $\phi : V \rightarrow \phi(V)$ , we denote by  $|D\phi(x)|$  its scaling factor at  $x$ , that is, if we set  $D\phi(x) = c_x \cdot A_x$  we have  $|D\phi(x)| = c_x$ . For any set  $A \subset V$ , we set

$$\|D\phi\|_A := \sup\{|D\phi(x)| : x \in A\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_d$  the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We introduce *the transversal family of non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems* by employing the settings in [9] and [10].

**Definition 2.1** (Transversal family of non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems). Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be a non-empty compact convex set. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open set. For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $I^{(j)}$  be a finite set. Let  $t \in U$ . For any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Phi_t^{(j)}$  be a collection  $\{\phi_{i,t}^{(j)} : X \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I^{(j)}}$  of maps  $\phi_{i,t}^{(j)}$  on  $X$ . Let  $\Phi_t = (\Phi_t^{(j)})_{j=1}^\infty$ . We say that  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  is a Transversal family of Non-autonomous Conformal Iterated Function Systems (TNCIFS) if  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  satisfies the following six conditions.

1. *Conformality* : There exists an open connected set  $V \supset X$  (independent of  $i, j$  and  $t$ ) such that for any  $i, j$  and  $t \in U$ ,  $\phi_{i,t}^{(j)}$  extends to a  $C^1$  conformal map on  $V$  such that  $\phi_{i,t}^{(j)}(V) \subset V$ .
2. *Uniform contraction* : There is a constant  $0 < \gamma < 1$  such that for any  $t \in U$ , any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , any  $\omega \in I_n^\infty$  and any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|D\phi_{\omega|_j,t}(x)| \leq \gamma^j$$

for any  $x \in X$ .

3. *Bounded distortion* : There exists a Borel measurable locally bounded function  $K : U \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  such that for any  $t \in U$ , any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , any  $\omega \in I_n^\infty$  and any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|D\phi_{\omega|_j,t}(x_1)| \leq K(t) |D\phi_{\omega|_j,t}(x_2)| \tag{2}$$

for any  $x_1, x_2 \in V$ .

4. *Distortion continuity*: For any  $\eta > 0$  and  $t_0 \in U$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(\eta, t_0) > 0$  such that for any  $t \in U$  with  $|t - t_0| \leq \delta$ , for any  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $\omega \in I_n^\infty$ ,

$$\exp(-j\eta) \leq \frac{\|D\phi_{\omega|_j, t_0}\|_X}{\|D\phi_{\omega|_j, t}\|_X} \leq \exp(j\eta). \quad (3)$$

We define the *address map* as follows. Let  $t \in U$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\omega \in I_n^\infty$ ,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\omega|_j, t}(X)$$

is a singleton by the uniform contraction property. It is denoted by  $\{y_{\omega, n, t}\}$ . The map

$$\pi_{n, t}: I_n^\infty \rightarrow X$$

is defined by  $\omega \mapsto y_{\omega, n, t}$ . Then  $\pi_{n, t}$  is called *the  $n$ -th address map corresponding to  $t$* . Note that for any  $t \in U$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the map  $\pi_{n, t}$  is continuous with respect to the product topology on  $I_n^\infty$ .

5. *Continuity*: Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The function  $I_n^\infty \times U \ni (\omega, t) \mapsto \pi_{n, t}(\omega)$  is continuous.  
 6. *Transversality condition*: For any compact subset  $G \subset U$  there exists a sequence of positive constants  $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log C_n}{n} = 0$$

such that for all  $\omega, \tau \in I_n^\infty$  with  $\omega_n \neq \tau_n$  and for all  $r > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_d(\{t \in G : |\pi_{n, t}(\omega) - \pi_{n, t}(\tau)| \leq r\}) \leq C_n r^m.$$

**Remark 2.2.** If  $m \geq 2$ , the Conformality condition implies the Bounded distortion condition. For the details, see [9, page. 1984 Remark].

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $t \in U$ . Then for any  $\omega \in I_n^\infty$ ,

$$\pi_{n, t}(\omega) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{\omega|_j, t}(x),$$

where  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 2.4.** In the case of usual IFSs, the constants  $C_n$  in the transversality condition are independent of  $n$  since the  $n$ -th address maps  $\pi_{n, t}$  are independent of  $n$ .

Let  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  be a TNCIFS. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in U$ , the  *$n$ -th limit set  $J_{n, t}$*  of  $\Phi_t$  is defined by

$$J_{n, t} := \pi_{n, t}(I_n^\infty).$$

For any  $t \in U$ , we define the lower pressure function  $\underline{P}_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  of  $\Phi_t$  as the following. For any  $s \geq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$Z_{n, t}(s) := \sum_{\omega \in I_n^\infty} (\|D\phi_{\omega, t}\|_X)^s,$$

and

$$\underline{P}_t(s) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_{n, t}(s) \in [-\infty, \infty].$$

By [9, Lemma 2.6], the lower pressure function has the following monotonicity. If  $s_1 < s_2$ , then either both  $\underline{P}_t(s_1)$  and  $\underline{P}_t(s_2)$  are equal to  $\infty$ , both are equal to  $-\infty$ , or  $\underline{P}_t(s_1) > \underline{P}_t(s_2)$ . Then for any  $t \in U$ , we set

$$s(t) := \sup\{s \geq 0 : \underline{P}_t(s) > 0\} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \underline{P}_t(s) < 0\},$$

where we set  $\sup \emptyset = 0$  and  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . The value  $s(t)$  is called the Bowen dimension of  $\Phi_t$ . We set  $J_t := J_{1,t}$  for any  $t \in U$ . We now give the main result of this paper.

**Main Theorem.** Let  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  be a TNCIFS. Suppose that the function  $t \mapsto s(t)$  is a real-valued and continuous function on  $U$ . Then

$$\dim_H(J_t) = \min\{m, s(t)\}$$

for  $\mathcal{L}_d$ -a.e.  $t \in U$ .

Main Theorem is a generalization of [10, Theorem 3.1 (i)].

### 3. EXAMPLE

In this section, we give an example of a family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  of parameterized NCIFSs such that  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  satisfies the transversality condition but  $\Phi_t$  does not satisfy the open set condition for any  $t \in U$ . We set  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . For any holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , we denote by  $f'(z)$  the complex derivative of  $f$  evaluated at  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . For the transversality condition, we now give a slight variation of [11, Lemma 5.2]. For the reader's convenience we include the proof in Appendix.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a compact subset of the space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  endowed with the compact open topology. We set*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_H := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{D} : \text{there exists } f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } f(\lambda) = f'(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

*Let  $G$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_H$ . Then there exists  $K = K(\mathcal{H}, G) > 0$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and any  $r > 0$ ,*

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\{\lambda \in G : |f(\lambda)| \leq r\}) \leq Kr^2. \quad (4)$$

We now give a family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  of parametrized systems such that  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  is a TNCIFS but  $\Phi_t$  does not satisfy the open set condition (1) for any  $t \in U$ . In order to do that, we set

$$U := \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 2 \times 5^{-5/8}, t \notin \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Note that  $2 \times 5^{-5/8} \approx 0.73143 > 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Let  $t \in U$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$\Phi_t^{(j)} = \{z \mapsto \phi_{1,t}^{(j)}(z), z \mapsto \phi_{2,t}^{(j)}(z)\} := \left\{ z \mapsto tz, z \mapsto tz + \frac{1}{j} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $t \in U$ , the system  $(\Phi_t^{(j)})_{j=1}^\infty$  does not satisfy the open set condition.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the system  $(\Phi_t^{(j)})_{j=1}^\infty$  satisfies the open set condition (1). Then there exists a compact subset  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{int}(X) \neq \emptyset$  such that  $\phi_{1,t}^{(j)}(\text{int}(X)) \cap \phi_{2,t}^{(j)}(\text{int}(X)) = \emptyset$ . Hence there exist  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$  such that

$$\phi_{1,t}^{(j)}(B(x, r)) \cap \phi_{2,t}^{(j)}(B(x, r)) = B(tx, |t|r) \cap B(tx + 1/j, |t|r) = \emptyset.$$

In particular, we have for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$2|t|r < \frac{1}{j}.$$

This is a contradiction. □

We set

$$X := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2 \times 5^{-5/8}} \right\}.$$

Then we have that for any  $t \in U$ , for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $i \in I^{(j)} := \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\phi_{i,t}^{(j)}(X) \subset X$ . We set  $b_1^{(j)} = 0$  and  $b_2^{(j)} = 1/j$  for each  $j$ . Let  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We give the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $t \in U$ . For any  $\omega = \omega_n \cdots \omega_{n+j-1} \in I_n^{n+j-1}$  and any  $z \in X$  we have*

$$\phi_{\omega,t}(z) = \phi_{\omega_n,t}^{(n)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\omega_{n+j-1},t}^{(n+j-1)}(z) = t^j z + \sum_{i=1}^j b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} t^{i-1},$$

where  $b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} \in \{0, \frac{1}{n+i-1}\}$ . In particular, for any  $\omega = \omega_n \cdots \omega_{n+j-1} \cdots \in I_n^\infty$ ,

$$\pi_{n,t}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} t^{i-1}.$$

*Proof.* This can be shown by induction on  $j$ . □

We can show that the family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  of systems is a TNCIFS as follows.

1. *Conformality* : Let  $t \in U$ . For any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $i \in I^{(j)}$ ,  $\phi_{i,t}^{(j)}(z) = tz + b_i^{(j)}$  is a similarity map on  $\mathbb{C}$ .
2. *Uniform Contraction* : We set  $\gamma = 2 \times 5^{-5/8}$ . Then for any  $\omega \in I_n^{n+j-1}$  and  $z \in X$ ,

$$|D\phi_{\omega,t}(z)| = |t|^j \leq \gamma^j$$

by Lemma 3.3.

3. *Bounded distortion* : By Lemma 3.3, for any  $\omega = \omega_n \cdots \omega_{n+j-1} \in I_n^{n+j-1}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|D\phi_{\omega,t}(z)| = |t|^j$ . We define the Borel measurable locally bounded function  $K : U \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by  $K(t) = 1$ . Then for any  $\omega \in I_n^{n+j-1}$ ,

$$|D\phi_{\omega,t}(z_1)| \leq K(t) |D\phi_{\omega,t}(z_2)|$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

4. *Distortion continuity* : Fix  $t_0 \in U$ . Since the map  $t \mapsto \log |t|$  is continuous at  $t_0 \in U$ , for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\eta, t_0) > 0$  such that for any  $t \in U$  with  $|t_0 - t| < \delta$ ,

$$|\log |t_0| - \log |t|| < \eta.$$

Hence we have

$$|\log |t_0|^j / |t|^j| < j\eta,$$

which implies that for any  $\omega \in I_n^{n+j-1}$ ,

$$\exp(-j\epsilon) < \frac{\|D\phi_{\omega,t_0}\|}{\|D\phi_{\omega,t}\|} = \exp(\log |t_0|^j / |t|^j) < \exp(j\epsilon).$$

5. *Continuity* : By Lemma 3.3, we have for any  $t \in U$  and any  $\omega \in I_n^\infty$ ,

$$\pi_{n,t}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} t^{i-1}.$$

Hence the map  $(\omega, t) \mapsto \pi_{n,t}(\omega)$  is continuous on  $I_n^\infty \times U$ .

6. *Transversality condition* : We introduce a set  $\mathcal{G}$  of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  and the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$  of double zeros in  $\mathbb{D}$  for functions which belong to  $\mathcal{G}$ .

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ f(t) = \pm 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j t^j : a_j \in [-1, 1] \right\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 := \{t \in \mathbb{D} : \text{there exists } f \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } f(t) = f'(t) = 0\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a compact subset of the space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  endowed with the compact open topology. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have for any  $t \in U$  and any  $\omega, \tau \in I_n^\infty$  with  $\omega_n \neq \tau_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n,t}(\omega) - \pi_{n,t}(\tau) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} t^{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\tau_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} t^{i-1} \\ &= b_{\omega_n}^{(n)} - b_{\tau_n}^{(n)} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left( b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} - b_{\tau_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} \right) t^{i-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \pm 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} n \left( b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} - b_{\tau_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} \right) t^{i-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the function  $t \mapsto \pm 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} n \left( b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} - b_{\tau_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} \right) t^{i-1}$  is a holomorphic function which belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $G \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$  be a compact subset. By Lemma 3.1, there exists  $K = K(\mathcal{G}, G) > 0$  such that for any  $\omega, \tau \in I_n^\infty$  with  $\omega_n \neq \tau_n$  and any  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_2(\{t \in G : |\pi_{n,t}(\omega) - \pi_{n,t}(\tau)| \leq r\}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_2(\{t \in G : |\pm 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} n \left( b_{\omega_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} - b_{\tau_{n+i-1}}^{(n+i-1)} \right) t^{i-1}| \leq nr\}) \\ &\leq K(nr)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $C_n := Kn^2$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\{t \in G : |\pi_{n,t}(\omega) - \pi_{n,t}(\tau)| \leq r\}) \leq C_n r^2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \log C_n = \frac{1}{n} \log K + \frac{2}{n} \log n \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, we use the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** [12, Proposition 2.7] *A power series of the form  $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j z^j$ , with  $a_j \in [-1, 1]$ , cannot have a non-real double zero of modulus less than  $2 \times 5^{-5/8}$ .*

By using the above theorem, we have that  $U = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 2 \times 5^{-5/8}, t \notin \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ . Hence the family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  satisfies the transversality condition.

By the above arguments, we get the following.

**Proposition 3.5.** *The family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in U}$  of parametrized systems is a TNCIFS.*

We calculate the lower pressure function  $\underline{P}_t$  for  $\Phi_t$ ,  $t \in U$  as the following. For any  $s \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{P}_t(s) &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \|D\phi_{\omega,t}\|^s \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} |t|^{ns} \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(2^n |t|^{ns}) \\
&= \log 2 + s \log |t|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for each  $t \in U$ ,  $\underline{P}_t(s)$  has the zero

$$s(t) = \frac{\log 2}{-\log |t|}$$

and the function  $t \mapsto s(t)$  is continuous on  $U$ . Let  $J_t$  be the (1st) limit set corresponding to  $t$ . Then by Main Theorem, we have

$$\dim_H(J_t) = \min\{2, s(t)\} = s(t)$$

for a.e.  $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}, t \notin \mathbb{R}\}$  and

$$\dim_H(J_t) = \min\{2, s(t)\} = 2$$

for a.e.  $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{C} : 1/\sqrt{2} \leq |t| < 2 \times 5^{-5/8}, t \notin \mathbb{R}\}$ .

## APPENDIX

In order to prove Lemma 3.1, we give some definition and remark.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $G$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that a family of balls  $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is *packing for*  $G$  if for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $x_i \in G$  and for each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  with  $i \neq j$ ,  $B(x_i, r_i) \cap B(x_j, r_j) = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.7.** Let  $G$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $r > 0$  and let  $\{B(x_i, r)\}_{i=1}^k$  be a family of balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $\{B(x_i, r)\}_{i=1}^k$  is packing for  $G$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  which depends only on  $G$  and  $r$  such that  $k \leq N$ .

*Proof.* There exists a finite covering  $\{B(y_j, r/2)\}_{j=1}^N$  for  $G$  since  $G$  is compact. Here,  $N$  depends only on  $G$  and  $r$ . Since  $x_i \in G$  for each  $i$ , there exists  $j_i$  such that  $x_i \in B(y_{j_i}, r/2)$ . Since  $\{B(x_i, r)\}_{i=1}^k$  is a disjoint family, if  $i \neq l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , then  $j_i \neq j_l$ . Thus  $k \leq N$ .  $\square$

We give a proof of Lemma 3.1.

(*proof of Lemma 3.1*). Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact and the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_H$  is the set of possible double zeros, we have that there exists  $\delta = \delta_{\mathcal{H}, G} > 0$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$|f(\lambda)| < \delta \Rightarrow |f'(\lambda)| > \delta \text{ for } \lambda \in G. \quad (5)$$

We assume that  $r < \delta$ , otherwise (4) holds with  $K = \mathcal{L}_2(G)/\delta^2$ . Let

$$\Delta_r := \{\lambda \in G : |f(\lambda)| \leq r\}.$$

Let  $\text{Co}(G)$  be the convex hull of  $G$ . We set  $M = M_G := \sup\{|g''(\lambda)| \in [0, \infty) : \lambda \in \text{Co}(G), g \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Since  $\text{Co}(G)$  is compact and  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact,  $M < \infty$ . Fix  $z_0 \in \Delta_r$ . By Taylor's formula, for  $z \in G$ ,

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| = |f'(z_0)(z - z_0) + \int_{z_0}^z (z - \xi)f''(\xi)d\xi|,$$

where the integration is performed along the straight line path from  $z_0$  to  $z$ . Then  $|f'(z_0)| > \delta$  by (5). Hence

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| \geq |f'(z_0)||z - z_0| - M|z - z_0|^2 > \delta|z - z_0| - M|z - z_0|^2.$$

Now if we set

$$A_{z_0, r} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^* : \frac{4r}{\delta} < |z - z_0| < \frac{\delta}{2M} \right\},$$

then for any  $z \in A_{z_0, r}$ ,

$$\delta|z - z_0| - M|z - z_0|^2 = |z - z_0|(\delta - M|z - z_0|) > \frac{4r}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{2} = 2r,$$

and  $|f(z)| \geq |f(z) - f(z_0)| - |f(z_0)| > r$ . It follows that the annulus  $A_{z_0, r}$  does not intersect  $\Delta_r$ .

Assume that  $4r/\delta \leq \delta/4M$ , otherwise (4) holds with  $K = \mathcal{L}_2(G)(16M/\delta^2)^2$ . Then the disc  $B(z_0, \delta/4M)$  centered at  $z_0$  with the radius  $\delta/4M$  covers  $\Delta_r \cap \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta/2M\}$ . Then fix  $z_1 \in \Delta_r \setminus \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta/2M\}$ . Since the annulus  $A_{z_1, r}$  does not intersect  $\Delta_r$ ,  $B(z_1, \delta/4M)$  covers  $(\Delta_r \setminus \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta/2M\}) \cap \{z : |z - z_1| < \delta/2M\}$  and  $B(z_0, \delta/4M) \cap B(z_1, \delta/4M) = \emptyset$ . If we repeat the procedure, we get a finite covering  $\{B(z_i, \delta/4M)\}_{i=0}^k$  for  $\Delta_r$  since  $\Delta_r$  is compact. Then  $\{B(z_i, \delta/4M)\}_{i=0}^k$  is packing for  $G$ . By Remark 3.7, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  which depends only on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $G$  such that  $k \leq N$ . Since the annulus  $A_{z_i, r}$  does not intersect  $\Delta_r$  for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ ,  $\{B(z_i, 4r/\delta)\}_{i=0}^k$  is also a covering for  $\Delta_r$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2(\Delta_r) &\leq \mathcal{L}_2\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^k \{B(z_i, 4r/\delta)\}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \mathcal{L}_2(\{B(z_i, 4r/\delta)\}) \\ &\leq NC\left(\frac{4r}{\delta}\right)^2 = NC\left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right)^2 r^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $r$ . If we set  $K := NC(4/\delta)^2$ , we get the desired inequality.

**Acknowledgement.** This study is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP 19J21038. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Falconer, *Fractal geometry-Mathematical foundations and applications(Third edition)*, WILEY, 2014.
- [2] M. Holland and Y. Zhang, *Dimension results for inhomogeneous Moran set constructions*, Dyn. Syst. 28(2) (2013), 222-250.
- [3] P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces. Fractals and rectifiability*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] T. Jordan, *Dimension of fat Sierpiński gaskets*, Real Anal. Exchange, 31(1) (2005/06), 97-110.



- [5] T. Jordan and M. Pollicott, *Properties of measures supported on fat Sierpiński carpets*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 26(3) (2006), 739-754.
- [6] E. Mihailescu and M. Urbański, *Transversal families of hyperbolic skew-products*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A, 2008, 21 (3): 907-928.
- [7] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, *Graph directed Markov systems*, Geometry and dynamics of limit sets, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 148, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [8] M. Pollicott and K. Simon, *The Hausdorff dimension of  $\lambda$ -expansions with deleted digits*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 347, no. 3 (1995), 967-983.
- [9] L. Rempe-Gillen and M. Urbański, *Non-autonomous conformal iterated function systems and Moran-set constructions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 368(3) (2016), 1979-2017.
- [10] K. Simon, B. Solomyak and M. Urbański, *Hausdorff dimension of limit sets for parabolic IFS with overlaps*, Pacific J. Math. 201 (2001), 441-478.
- [11] B. Solomyak, *Measure and dimension for some fractal families*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 124, 531-46 (1998).
- [12] B. Solomyak and H. Xu, *On the 'Mandelbrot set' for a pair of linear maps and complex Bernoulli convolutions*, Nonlinearity 16, no. 5, 1733-1749 (2003).
- [13] H. Sumi and M. Urbański, *Transversality family of expanding rational semigroups*, Adv. Math. 234 (2013) 697-734.

Yuto Nakajima

Course of Mathematical Science, Department of Human Coexistence,  
 Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University  
 Yoshida Nihonmatsu-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan  
 E-mail: nakajima.yuuto.32n@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp