# Some remarks on groups definable in certain generic structures

# Hirotaka Kikyo Graduate School of System Informatics Kobe University

#### 1 Introduction

We use notation and terminology from Kikyo [8], Baldwin-Shi [2] and Wagner [11]. We also use some terminology from graph theory [4].

Suppose A is a graph. V(A) denotes the set of vertices of A, and E(A) the set of edges of A. If  $X \subseteq V(A)$ , A|X denotes the substructure B of A such that V(B) = X. If there is no ambiguity, X denotes A|X. We usually follow this convention.  $B \subseteq A$  means that B is a substructure of A. A substructure of a graph is an induced subgraph in graph theory. A|X is the same as A[X] in Diestel's book [4].

We say that X is *connected* in A if X is a connected graph in the graph theoretical sense [4]. A maximal connected substructure of A is a *connected component* of A.

Let A, B, C be graphs such that  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . AB denotes  $C|(V(A) \cup V(B))$ ,  $A \cap B$  denotes  $C|(V(A) \cap V(B))$ , and A - B denotes C|(V(A) - V(B)). We also write X - Y in general for the relative compliment of Y in X also known as the set difference of X and Y. If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , E(A, B) denotes the set of edges xy such that  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . We put e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|. E(A, B) and e(A, B) depend on the graph in which we are working.

Let D be a graph and A, B, and C substructures of D. We write  $D = B \oplus_A C$  if D = BC,  $B \cap C = A$ , and  $E(D) = E(B) \cup E(C)$ .  $E(D) = E(B) \cup E(C)$  means that there are no edges between B - A and C - A. D is called a *free amalgam of B and C over A*. If A is empty, we write  $D = B \oplus C$ , and D is also called a *free amalgam of B and C*.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\alpha$  be a real number such that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

(1) For a finite graph A, we define a predimension function  $\delta_{\alpha}$  by

$$\delta_{\alpha}(A) = |A| - e(A)\alpha.$$

(2) Let A and B be substructures of a common graph. Put

$$\delta_{\alpha}(A/B) = \delta_{\alpha}(AB) - \delta_{\alpha}(B).$$

**Definition 1.2** Let A and B be graphs with  $A \subseteq B$ , and suppose A is finite.

 $A <_{\alpha} B$  if whenever  $A \subsetneq X \subseteq B$  with X finite then  $\delta_{\alpha}(A) < \delta_{\alpha}(X)$ .

We say that *A* is *closed* in *B* if  $A <_{\alpha} B$ . We also say that *B* is a *strong extension* of *A*.

Let  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$  be the class of all finite graphs A such that  $\emptyset <_{\alpha} A$ . Some facts about  $<_{\alpha}$  appear in [2, 11, 12]. Some proofs are given in [8].

**Fact 1.3** *Let A and B be disjoint substructures of a common graph. Then* 

$$\delta_{\alpha}(A/B) = \delta_{\alpha}(A) - e(A,B)\alpha.$$

**Fact 1.4** *If*  $A <_{\alpha} B \subseteq D$  *and*  $C \subseteq D$  *then*  $A \cap C <_{\alpha} B \cap C$ .

**Fact 1.5** *Let*  $D = B \oplus_A C$ .

- (1)  $\delta_{\alpha}(D/A) = \delta_{\alpha}(B/A) + \delta_{\alpha}(C/A)$ .
- (2) If  $A <_{\alpha} C$  then  $B <_{\alpha} D$ .
- (3) If  $A <_{\alpha} B$  and  $A <_{\alpha} C$  then  $A <_{\alpha} D$ .

Let B, C be graphs and  $g: B \to C$  a graph embedding. g is a closed embedding of B into C if  $g(B) <_{\alpha} C$ . Let A be a graph with  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq C$ . g is a closed embedding over A if g is a closed embedding and g(x) = x for any  $x \in A$ .

In the rest of the paper,  $\mathbf{K}$  denotes a class of finite graphs closed under isomorphisms.

**Definition 1.6** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ .  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$  has the *amalgamation property* if for any finite graphs  $A, B, C \in \mathbf{K}$ , whenever  $g_1 : A \to B$  and  $g_2 : A \to C$  are closed embeddings then there is a graph  $D \in \mathbf{K}$  and closed embeddings  $h_1 : B \to D$  and  $g_2 : C \to D$  such that  $h_1 \circ g_1 = h_2 \circ g_2$ .

**K** has the *hereditary property* if for any finite graphs A, B, whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathbf{K}$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ .

**K** is an *amalgamation class* if  $\emptyset \in \mathbf{K}$  and **K** has the hereditary property and the amalgamation property.

A countable graph M is a *generic structure* of  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If  $A \subseteq M$  and A is finite then there exists a finite graph  $B \subseteq M$  such that  $A \subseteq B <_{\alpha} M$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq M$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ .
- (3) For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$ , if  $A <_{\alpha} M$  and  $A <_{\alpha} B$  then there is a closed embedding of B into M over A.

Let *A* be a finite structure of *M*. There is a smallest *B* satisfying  $A \subseteq B <_{\alpha} M$ , written cl(A). The set cl(A) is called the *closure* of *A* in *M*.

**Fact 1.7** ([2, 11, 12]) Let  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$  be an amalgamation class. Then there is a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$ . Let M be a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$ . Then any isomorphism between finite closed substructures of M can be extended to an automorphism of M.

**Definition 1.8** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ .  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$  has the *free amalgamation* property if whenever  $D = B \oplus_A C$  with  $B, C \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $A <_{\alpha} B$  and  $A <_{\alpha} C$  then  $D \in \mathbf{K}$ .

By Fact 1.5 (2), we have the following.

**Fact 1.9** *Let*  $\mathbf{K}$  *be a subclass of*  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ *. If*  $(\mathbf{K}, <_{\alpha})$  *has the free amalgamation property then it has the amalgamation property.* 

**Definition 1.10** Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of non-negative real numbers. Suppose f:  $\mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a strictly increasing concave (convex upward) unbounded function. Assume that f(0) = 0, and  $f(1) \le 1$ . We assume that f is piecewise smooth.  $f'_+(x)$  denotes the right-hand derivative at x. We have  $f(x+h) \le f(x) + f'_+(x)h$  for h > 0. Define  $\mathbf{K}_f$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{K}_f = \{ A \in \mathbf{K}_\alpha \mid B \subseteq A \Rightarrow \delta_\alpha(B) \ge f(|B|) \}.$$

Note that if  $\mathbf{K}_f$  is an amalgamation class then the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$  has a countably categorical theory [12].

A graph X is normal to f if  $\delta(X) \ge f(|X|)$ . A graph A belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_f$  if and only if U is normal to f for any substructure U of A.

**Fact 1.11** ([8]) Suppose 1 > p/q > 0 where p and q are coprime positive integers. Then there is a tree W with the following properties: Let L be the set of all nodes of W and F the set of all leaves of W.

- (1) L is a path in W with p vertices and p-1 edges.
- (2) |F| = q p + 1. Every leaf is adjacent to some vertex in L.
- (3)  $\delta_{p/q}(W/F) = 0$ .
- (4)  $B \cap F <_{p/q} B$  for any proper substructure B of W.

We call W a twig for p/q.

### 2 On classes defined by bounded control functions

If the control function f is a constant function f(x) = 0, then  $\mathbf{K}_f = \mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ . The generic structures of  $(\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}, <_{\alpha})$  have a very rich (wild) structure (Brody-Laskowski, Evans-Wong).

**Fact 2.1** (Evans, Wong[5]) Let  $\alpha$  be a rational number with  $1 > \alpha > 0$ . Let M be the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}, <_{\alpha})$ . Then any finite graphs are definable in M (the domain and the edge relation are definable with parameters). More strongly, there are two formulas  $\varphi_v(x;z)$  and  $\varphi_e(x,y;z)$  such that for any finite graph G there is a tuple  $m_G$  in M such that  $(\varphi_v(M;m_G), \varphi_e(x,y;m_G))$  is isomorphic to G. Here, x, y, and z are tuples of variables.

Similarly, the finite bipartite graphs are uniformly definable in M.

*Proof.* Evans and Wong gave a proof in the case of  $\mathbf{K}_1$  where the members of  $\mathbf{K}_1$  are structures with one ternary relation which represents 3-hyperedges for the sake of simplicity. We give a proof for our case. We show that all finite graphs are uniformly definable in M with parameters.

Let *n* be a natural number. Let  $G_n = W_1 \oplus_F W_2 \oplus_F \cdots \oplus_F W_n$  where all  $W_i$  are twigs for  $\alpha$  and F is the set of leaves of  $W_i$ . Note that  $W_i$  are isomorphic over F.

Let  $c_i$  be the tuple of nodes of  $W_i$ . Let  $V = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ . We code edges on V as follows. To put an edge between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  with  $i \neq j$ , attach a twig  $W_{ij}$  for  $\alpha$  so that some leaf of  $W_{ij}$  is identified with a vertex in  $c_i$  and another leaf of  $W_{ij}$  is identified with a vertex of leaves of  $W_{ij}$  are identified with vertices in F. Let  $G'_n$  be an extension of  $G_n$  obtained by this way. Then  $G'_n$  belongs

to  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ . Embed  $G'_n$  into M so that the isomorphic image of  $G'_n$  in M is closed in M. Then there are no extension of the isomorphic image of  $G'_n$  in M by attaching some twig for  $\alpha$  to it. Note that the set of "vertices"  $V = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$  is definable over F and also the set of edges are definable over F in a uniform way. Hence, all finite graphs are uniformly definable in M with parameters.

It is likely that the following conjecture holds:

**Conjecture 2.2** Let  $\alpha$  be a rational number with  $1 > \alpha > 0$ . Assume  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$  has FAP and  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is bounded. let M be the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$ . Then any finite graphs are uniformly definable in M.

At the RIMS meeting 2021, the author announced that this conjecture is true, but it is not clear that the all substructures of the proposed structure belong to the class  $\mathbf{K}_f$ .

**Theorem 2.3** *let* M *be the generic structure of*  $(\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}, <_{\alpha})$ *. Then an infinite group is definable in some elementary extension of* M.

*Proof.* A Desarguesian projective plane is a two sorted structure with a sort for points, a sort for lines, and an incidence relation between points and lines. It can be represented as a bipartite graph. So, any finite Desarguesian projective plane are definable in M in a uniform way. In a Desarguesian projective plane, a group structure is definable on the set of points on a line by a formula independent of a particular projective plane.

Since there are arbitrarily large Desarguesian projective planes, an infinite group is definable in some elementary extension of M.

## 3 On classes defined by unbounded control functions

We begin this section by some facts.

**Fact 3.1** Assume that  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$  has the free amalgamation property. Let M be the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$ .

If f is unbounded, then Th(M) is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical.

Let A, B be finite substructures of M. If  $A <_{\alpha} M$ ,  $B <_{\alpha} M$  and  $\sigma : A \to B$  is a graph isomorphism then  $\sigma$  can be extended to an automorphism of M.

Hence, qftp(A) = qftp(B) with  $A <_{\alpha} M$ ,  $B <_{\alpha} M$  implies tp(A) = tp(B). tp(A) is determined by qftp(cl(A)).

The following is the main theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\alpha$  be a rational number with  $1 > \alpha > 0$ . Assume  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$  has FAP and  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is unbounded. let M be the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <_{\alpha})$ . Then no infinite groups are definable in any elementary extensions of M.

Proof. Note that Th(M) is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical. Suppose a formula G(x,a) defines an infinite group in an elementary extension of M, where a is a parameter. Since Th(M) is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, we can assume that  $a \in M$ . Let  $\overline{a}$  be the closure of a in M. Let g be a non-algebraic element of M over a satisfying G(x,a). Consider  $\overline{g},\overline{a}$ . We have  $\overline{a} <_{\alpha} \overline{g},\overline{a}$  with  $g \notin \overline{a}$ . Let  $D = D_1 \oplus_{\overline{a}} D_2$  where  $D_i \cong_{\overline{a}} \overline{g},\overline{a}$ . D belongs to  $\mathbb{K}_f$  by FAP. Embed D over  $\overline{a}$  so that the isomorphic image of D is closed in M. Let  $g_1, g_2$  be isomorphic images in  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of g respectively.

Let  $g_3 = g_1 \cdot g_2$  be the product in the group.

 $\overline{g_1,a} \cup \overline{g_2,a}$  is closed.  $g_3$  is definable over  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .  $g_3$  belongs to  $\overline{g_1,a} \cup \overline{g_2,a}$  because the algebraic closure and the closure in M are the same. Hence  $g_3$  belongs to  $\overline{g_1,a}$  or  $\overline{g_2,a}$ , say  $g_3 \in \overline{g_1,a}$ . Since  $g_2$  is definable over  $g_1$  and  $g_3$ , this implies that  $g_2 \in \overline{g_1,a}$ . But this is a contradiction by the construction of D and the choice of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .

The following question is natural but the author has no idea at the moment.

**Question 3.3** *Is the following statement true? Let*  $\alpha$  *be a rational number with*  $1 > \alpha > 0$ . Assume  $(\mathbf{K}_{\alpha,f},<)$  has FAP and  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is unbounded. let M be the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_{\alpha,f},<)$ . Then no infinite groups are interpretable in any elementary extensions of M.

#### Acknowledgments

The work is supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

#### References

[1] J.T. Baldwin and S. Shelah, Randomness and semigenericity, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **349**, 1359–1376 (1997).

- [2] J.T. Baldwin and N. Shi, Stable generic structures, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. **79**, 1–35 (1996).
- [3] J. Brody and M.C. Laskowski, On rational limits of Shelah-Spencer graphs, JSL 77, 580–592 (2009).
- [4] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Fourth Edition, Springer, New York (2010).
- [5] D.M. Evans and M.W.H. Wong, Some remarks on generic structures, JSL 74, 2243–1154 (2009).
- [6] E. Hrushovski, A stable  $\aleph_0$ -categorical pseudoplane, preprint, 1988.
- [7] E. Hrushovski, Simplicity and the Lascar group, preprint, 1998.
- [8] H. Kikyo, Model Completeness of Generic Graphs in Rational Cases, Archive for Mathematical Logic **57** (7-8), 769–794 (2018).
- [9] K. Ikeda, H. Kikyo, Model complete generic structures, the Proceedings of the 13th Asian Logic Conference, 114–122 (2015).
- [10] H. Kikyo, S. Okabe, On Hrushovski's pseudoplanes, the Proceedings of the 14th and 15th Asian Logic Conferences, 175–194 (2019).
- [11] F.O. Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions, In *Automorphisms of first-order structures*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 153–181 (1994)
- [12] F.O. Wagner, Simple Theories, Kluwer, 2000.

Graduate School of System Informatics Kobe University 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501 JAPAN kikyo@kobe-u.ac.jp

神戸大学大学院システム情報学研究科 桔梗 宏孝