

# Cardinal Collapsing and Product Forcing

Mohammad Golshani

School of Mathematics,  
Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),  
P.O. Box:19395-5746, Tehran-Iran  
[golshani.m@gmail.com](mailto:golshani.m@gmail.com)

&

Rahman Mohammadpour

Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie,  
Technische Universität Wien,  
1040 Vienna, Austria  
[rahmanmohammadpour@gmail.com](mailto:rahmanmohammadpour@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Suppose  $\kappa$  is a singular strong limit cardinal of countable cofinality, and let  $\langle \kappa_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of regular cardinals cofinal in  $\kappa$ . In this short note, we show that if  $\text{cof}(2^\kappa) = \kappa^+$ , then forcing with the full product  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . This result gives a consistent positive answer to a question asked by Sy Friedman. We also provide a new proof of a result due to Shelah by showing that if, moreover, the sequence carries a scale of length  $\kappa^+$ , then forcing with  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  adds a generic filter for  $\text{Add}(\kappa^+, 1)$ , and thus

$$\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1) / \text{fin} \simeq \text{Add}(\kappa^+, 1).$$

Suppose  $\langle \kappa_n : n < \omega \rangle$  is an increasing sequence of regular cardinals cofinal in  $\kappa$ . In [3], Sy Friedman and Radek Honzik observed that if  $\prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$  carries a scale of length  $\kappa^+$ , then  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . On the other hand, answering a question of Friedman and Rene David, Saharon Shelah [5] showed that if  $\prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$  carries a scale of length  $\kappa^+$ , then forcing with  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  adds a generic for  $\text{Add}(\kappa^+, 1)$  over  $V$ . As the latter forcing collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ , Friedman-Honzik's result follows from Shelah's theorem. In proofs of both results, the assumption that  $\prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$  carries a scale of length  $\kappa^+$  seems to be essential. In a personal communication, Sy Friedman asked the first author if one can remove the assumption of the existence of a scale from his result with Honzik. More precisely, he asked if the following is true.

**Question 1.** Suppose  $\langle \kappa_n : n < \omega \rangle$  is an increasing sequence of inaccessible cardinals cofinal in  $\kappa$ . Does forcing with  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  collapse  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ ?

We provide a consistent positive answer to Friedman's question:

**Theorem 0.1.** Assume  $\kappa$  is a singular strong limit cardinal of countable cofinality and that  $\text{cof}(2^\kappa) = \kappa^+$ . Let  $\langle \kappa_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be any increasing sequence of regular cardinals cofinal in  $\kappa$  and let  $\langle \mathbb{P}_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be a sequence of non-trivial separative forcing notions, such that each  $\mathbb{P}_n$  is  $\kappa_n$ -closed and of size  $< \kappa$ . Suppose that every decreasing sequence of  $\mathbb{P}_n$ -conditions of length  $< \kappa_n$  has a greatest lower bound in  $\mathbb{P}_n$ . Then  $\prod_{n < \omega} \mathbb{P}_n$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ .

We also give a new proof of Shelah's theorem mentioned earlier, indeed we prove the following.

**Theorem 0.2.** Let  $\kappa$  be a singular strong limit cardinal of countable cofinality. Let  $\langle \kappa_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be any increasing sequence of regular cardinals cofinal in  $\kappa$  which carries a scale of length  $\kappa^+$ . Then  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)/\text{fin} \simeq \text{Add}(\kappa^+, 1)$ . In particular, forcing with  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  adds a generic filter for  $\text{Add}(\kappa^+, 1)$ .

## Proof of Theorem 0.1

We need two lemmas.

**Lemma 0.3** ([2]). Assume  $\text{cof}(2^\kappa) = \kappa^+$  and that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $(\kappa + 1)$ -strategically closed forcing notion of size  $2^\kappa$  such that Player II has a winning strategy where at limit stages he chooses the greatest lower bound of the previously chosen sequence. Then forcing with  $\mathbb{Q}$  adds a new sequence of ordinals of length  $\kappa^+$ .

□

**Lemma 0.4** ([1]). Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a  $(\kappa + 1)$ -strategically closed forcing notion of size  $2^\kappa$ . Let  $o(\mathbb{Q})$  be the least cardinal  $\mu$ , such that forcing with  $\mathbb{Q}$  adds a new  $\mu$ -sequence of ordinals (or equivalently of elements of  $V$ ). Then forcing with  $\mathbb{Q}$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $o(\mathbb{Q})$ .

□

**Remark 0.5.** In [1], the lemma is not stated as above, but the proof and remarks after it shows that the above stronger result holds.

Now let  $\mathbb{P} := \prod_{n < \omega} \mathbb{P}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Q} := \prod_{n < \omega} \mathbb{P}_n/\text{fin}$ . Define  $\pi : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by

$$\pi(\langle p_n : n < \omega \rangle) = [\langle p_n : n < \omega \rangle]/\text{fin},$$

where  $[\langle p_n : n < \omega \rangle]/\text{fin}$  denotes the equivalence class of  $\langle p_n : n < \omega \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Lemma 0.6.**  $\pi$  is a projection, i.e.,

1.  $\pi$  is order-preserving and  $\pi(1_{\mathbb{P}}) = 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
2. If  $[p]/\text{fin} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} [q]/\text{fin}$ , then there exists  $r \leq_{\mathbb{P}} q$  such that  $[r]/\text{fin} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} [p]/\text{fin}$ .

*Proof.* Easy! □

Observe that our forcing  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $(\kappa + 1)$ -strategically closed and there exists a winning strategy for Player II where at limit stages, he chooses the greatest lower bound of the previously chosen sequence. It follows from [Lemma 0.3](#) that forcing with  $\mathbb{Q}$  adds a new  $\kappa^+$ -sequence of ordinals. Now [Lemma 0.4](#) implies that  $\mathbb{Q}$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  to  $\kappa^+$ , and by [Lemma 0.6](#), forcing with  $\mathbb{P}$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  to  $\kappa^+$  as well.

## Proof of [Theorem 0.2](#)

The proof is given in two stages. At the first stage we show that forcing with  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . In the next stage, we analyse the forcing notion  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)/\text{fin}$  and use our results to conclude the theorem.

**Stage 1:** We show that forcing with  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . Fix a scale  $\vec{f} = \langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$  in  $\prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f \in \prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n : f =^* f_\alpha, \text{ for some } \alpha < \kappa^+ \right\}.$$

Then  $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa^+$ , and it is cofinal in  $(\prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n, \leq)$ . Let  $G_n : \kappa_n \rightarrow 2$  be the Cohen generic function, added by  $\text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$ . For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , define  $g_f : \kappa \rightarrow 2$ , so that for each  $n < \omega$ ,

$$g_f(\kappa_{n-1} + \xi) = G_{n+1}(f(n+1) + \xi),$$

where  $\kappa_{n-1} \leq \xi < \kappa_n$  and  $\kappa_{-1} = 0$ . We demonstrate that for each  $g : \kappa \rightarrow 2$  in  $V$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $g = g_f$ . But it is enough to show that the following set is dense in  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$ .

$$D_g = \left\{ p \in \prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1) : \exists f \in \mathcal{F}, \forall n, \forall \xi < \kappa_n [g(\xi) = p(n+1)(f(n+1) + \xi)] \right\}.$$

Then  $D_g$  is dense in  $\prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$ . To see this, let  $p \in \prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$ . By extending  $p$ , we may assume that for each  $n < \omega$ ,  $p(n) : \zeta_n \rightarrow 2$ , for some  $\zeta_n < \kappa_n$ . It then follows that

$$\langle \zeta_n : n < \omega \rangle \in \prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n.$$

Pick  $\alpha < \kappa^+$  such that  $g <^* f_\alpha$ . It follows that  $g < f$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Define the condition  $q \in \prod_{n < \omega} \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$  by  $q(n) : f(n) \rightarrow 2$ ,  $q(n) \supseteq p(n)$  and for all  $\xi < \kappa_n$ ,

$$q(n+1)(f(n+1) + \xi) = g(\xi).$$

Then  $q$  is well-defined, extends  $p$ , and belongs to  $D_g$ . It follows that for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $g = g_f$ .

**Stage 2:** We complete the proof of [Theorem 0.2](#). For each  $n < \omega$ , set  $\mathbb{P}_n = \text{Add}(\kappa_n, 1)$ . Let  $\mathbb{P} = \prod_{n < \omega} \mathbb{P}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Q} = \prod_{n < \omega} \mathbb{P}_n / \text{fin}$ . Let also  $\pi : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be defined as before. The next claim can be proved easily.

**Claim 0.7.** (a)  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $<\kappa^+$ -strategically closed.

(b) The quotient forcing  $\mathbb{P}/\dot{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\kappa^+$ -c.c.

As forcing with  $\mathbb{P}$  preserves cardinals  $\leq \kappa^+$  and that by Stage 1, it collapses  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . It follows from [Claim 0.7\(b\)](#) that it is the forcing  $\mathbb{Q}$  that collapse  $2^\kappa$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . Now we need the following well-known fact:

**Fact 0.8** (see [\[4\]](#)). Suppose  $\kappa < \lambda$  are infinite cardinals and  $\lambda^\kappa = \lambda$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $<\kappa^+$ -strategically closed forcing notion of size  $\lambda$  and suppose that forcing with  $\mathbb{Q}$  collapses  $\lambda$  onto  $\kappa^+$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{Col}(\kappa^+, \lambda)$ .

By [Claim 0.7\(a\)](#) and [Fact 0.8](#), we have  $\mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{Add}(\kappa^+, 1)$ , and that by [Lemma 0.6](#), forcing with  $\mathbb{P}$  adds a generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which completes the proof of [Theorem 0.2](#).

*Acknowledgements.* The first author's research has been supported by a grant from IPM (No. 1400030417). The second author was partially supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF): M 3024. The authors thank Radek Honzik for some useful comments and corrections in an earlier version of the paper.

## References

- [1] M. Golshani and Y. Hayut. "On Foreman's maximality principle". In: *J. Symb. Log.* 81.4 (2016), pp. 1344–1356. ISSN: 0022-4812. DOI: [10.1017/jsl.2016.21](https://doi.org/10.1017/jsl.2016.21).
- [2] M. Golshani and S. Shelah. "Specializing trees and answer to a question of Williams". In: *J. Math. Log.* 21.1 (2021), Paper No. 2050023, 20. ISSN: 0219-0613. DOI: [10.1142/S0219061320500233](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219061320500233).
- [3] R. Honzik. "Global singularization and the failure of SCH". In: *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 161.7 (2010), pp. 895–915. ISSN: 0168-0072. DOI: [10.1016/j.apal.2009.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apal.2009.11.002).
- [4] T. Jech. *Set theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978, pp. xi+621. ISBN: 0-12-381950-4.
- [5] S. Shelah. "Embedding Cohen algebras using pcf theory". In: vol. 166. 1-2. Saharon Shelah's anniversary issue. 2000, pp. 83–86. DOI: [10.4064/fm-166-1-2-83-86](https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-166-1-2-83-86).