

# ON THE LATTICE OF COTILTING MODULES

ASLAK BAKKE BUAN, HENNING KRAUSE, AND ØYVIND SOLBERG

## 1. THE LATTICE

Let  $\Lambda$  be an associative ring. In this note we show that the collection of (not necessarily finitely generated) cotilting modules over  $\Lambda$  carries the structure of a lattice. We work in the category  $\text{Mod } \Lambda$  of (right)  $\Lambda$ -modules and denote by  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  the full subcategory of finitely presented  $\Lambda$ -modules. Changing slightly<sup>1</sup> the definition in [1], we say that a  $\Lambda$ -module  $T$  is a *cotilting module* if

- (T1) the injective dimension of  $T$  is finite;
- (T2)  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(T^\alpha, T) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  and every cardinal  $\alpha$ ;
- (T3) there exists an injective cogenerator  $Q$  and a long exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  with  $T_i$  in  $\text{Prod } T$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (T4)  $T$  is pure-injective.

Here,  $\text{Prod } T$  denotes the closure under products and direct factors of  $T$ . Two cotilting modules  $T$  and  $T'$  are *equivalent* if  $\text{Prod } T = \text{Prod } T'$ . Our first result is a consequence of the fact that the equivalence class of a cotilting module  $T$  is determined by

$${}^\perp T = \{X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, T) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *The equivalence classes of  $\Lambda$ -cotilting modules form a set of cardinality at most  $2^\kappa$  where  $\kappa = \max(\aleph_0, \text{card } \Lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that a class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\Lambda$ -modules is *definable* if  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under taking products, filtered colimits, and pure submodules. In this case

$$\mathcal{X} = \{X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\phi, X) \text{ is surjective for all } \phi \in \Phi\}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the set of all maps in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  such that  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\phi, X)$  is surjective for all  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ ; see [4, Section 2.3].

If  $T$  is a cotilting module, then  ${}^\perp T$  is definable. This follows from Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 in [9]. The cardinality of the set of isomorphism classes of maps in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  is bounded by  $\kappa$ , and therefore we have at most  $2^\kappa$  equivalence classes of cotilting modules.  $\square$

We denote by  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda$  the set of equivalence classes of  $\Lambda$ -cotilting modules and we have a natural partial ordering via

$$T \leq T' \iff {}^\perp T \subseteq {}^\perp T'$$

for  $T, T' \in \text{Cotilt } \Lambda$ . For finite dimensional algebras, the collection of finitely generated (co)tilting modules has some interesting combinatorial structure which is closely related to this partial ordering [10, 11, 3]. Our aim is to show that  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda$

---

<sup>1</sup>(T4) is added to avoid set-theoretic problems. For instance, the classification of modules satisfying (T1) – (T3) over a fixed Dedekind domain  $R$  seems to depend on set-theoretic properties of  $R$ .

is in fact a lattice. For this we need the concept of a cotorsion pair. We fix a pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  of full subcategories of  $\text{Mod } \Lambda$ . Let

$$\mathcal{X}^\perp = \{Y \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and } X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

$${}^\perp\mathcal{Y} = \{X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and } Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

The pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  is called a *cotorsion pair* for  $\text{Mod } \Lambda$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}^\perp$ ;
- (2) every  $A \in \text{Mod } \Lambda$  fits into exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow 0$  with  $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we write  $\mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda) = \{X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{id } X \leq n\}$  and let  $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$ , where  $\text{id } X$  denotes the injective dimension of a module  $X$ . We need the following example:

**Example 1.2.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a cotorsion pair  $({}^\perp\mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda), \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda))$ . This follows from Theorem 10 in [6] since

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda) = \{Y \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\Omega^n(\Lambda/\mathfrak{a}), Y) = 0 \text{ for all right ideals } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Lambda\}$$

by Baer's criterion.

We have a description of cotilting modules in terms of cotorsion pairs which follows directly from work of Angeleri Hügel and Coelho [1, Theorem 4.2], in combination with [9, Proposition 5.7].

**Proposition 1.3.** *For a full subcategory  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Mod } \Lambda$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp T$  for some cotilting module  $T$  with  $\text{id } T \leq n$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{X}$  is definable and there is a cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\perp)$  with  $\mathcal{X}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$ .

Moreover, in this case  $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp = \text{Prod } T$ .

Observe that Proposition 1.3 shows how to compute for a cotilting module  $T$  its injective dimension:

$$\text{id } T = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid {}^\perp\mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda) \subseteq {}^\perp T\}.$$

The next result describes the infimum of a collection of cotilting modules in  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $(T_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of cotilting modules and suppose that  $\sup\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\} < \infty$ . Then there exists a cotilting module  $T$  such that*

$${}^\perp T = \bigcap_{i \in I} {}^\perp T_i.$$

Moreover,  $\text{id } T = \sup\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\}$ . The module  $T$  is unique up to equivalence and is denoted by  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} T_i$ .

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 1.3. There exists a cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  with  $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp(\prod_i T_i)$  since  $\prod_i T_i$  is pure-injective; see [5, Corollary 10]. Each  ${}^\perp T_i$  is definable and contains  ${}^\perp\mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$  where  $n = \sup\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcap_{i \in I} {}^\perp T_i$  is definable and contains  ${}^\perp\mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$ , and we obtain  $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp T$  for some cotilting module  $T$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.5** (Happel). Fix a field  $k$  and let  $\Lambda$  be the path algebra of the quiver  $\cdot \xrightarrow{\alpha} \cdot \xrightarrow{\beta} \cdot$  which is tame hereditary. Denote by  $S_1 = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $S_2 = (0, 1, 0)$  the

quasi-simples from the unique exceptional tube of rank 2. Then there are cotilting modules

$$T_1 = (1, 0, 1) \oplus (2, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = (0, 1, 0) \oplus (2, 2, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 0)$$

such that  ${}^\perp T_1 \cap {}^\perp T_2 = {}^\perp T$  for  $T = \widehat{S}_1 \amalg \widehat{S}_2 \amalg (\coprod_S S_\infty)$  where  $S$  runs through the isomorphism classes of quasi-simples different from  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Here,  $S_\infty$  denotes the Prüfer and  $\widehat{S}$  denotes the adic module corresponding to  $S$ . Moreover, no finite dimensional cotilting module is equivalent to  $T$ .

**Corollary 1.6.** *The partially ordered set  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda$  is a lattice. More precisely, for a family  $(T_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda$  the following holds:*

- (1) *The infimum  $\inf\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$  of all  $T_i$  exists if and only if  $\sup\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\} < \infty$ . In this case  $\inf\{T_i \mid i \in I\} = \bigwedge_{i \in I} T_i$ .*
- (2) *The supremum  $\sup\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$  of all  $T_i$  equals the infimum  $\inf\{T \in \text{Cotilt } \Lambda \mid T_i \leq T \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ .*

**Corollary 1.7.** *The map  $(\text{Cotilt } \Lambda, \leq) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  sending  $T$  to  $\text{id } T$  has the following properties:*

- (1)  *$T \leq T'$  implies  $\text{id } T' \leq \text{id } T$ .*
- (2)  *$\text{id}(\inf\{T_i \mid i \in I\}) = \sup\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\}$  for every family  $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ , provided that  $\sup\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\} < \infty$ .*
- (3)  *$\text{id}(\sup\{T_i \mid i \in I\}) \leq \inf\{\text{id } T_i \mid i \in I\}$  for every family  $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ .*

## 2. FINITISTIC DIMENSION

In this section we relate the finitistic dimension of  $\Lambda$  to the structure of  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ . Recall that the *finitistic dimension*  $\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda$  is the supremum of all projective dimensions of  $\Lambda$ -modules having finite projective dimension. Restriction to finitely presented  $\Lambda$ -modules gives  $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda$ . The *finitistic injective dimension* of  $\Lambda$  is by definition

$$\text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda = \sup\{\text{id } X \mid X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \text{ and } \text{id } X < \infty\}.$$

Observe that  $\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda = \text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$  provided that  $\Lambda$  is artinian.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an artin algebra and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of finitely presented  $\Lambda$ -modules. If  $\text{id } \mathcal{C} = \sup\{\text{id } X \mid X \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty$ , then there exists a cotilting module  $T$  such that  ${}^\perp T = {}^\perp \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{id } T = \text{id } \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 1.3 to obtain the cotilting module  $T$  satisfying  ${}^\perp T = {}^\perp \mathcal{C}$ . It follows from Theorem 2 in [9] that every definable and resolving subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\text{Mod } \Lambda$  induces a cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\perp)$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *resolving* if  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under extensions, kernels of epimorphisms, and contains all projectives. Clearly,  ${}^\perp \mathcal{C}$  is resolving. Using the fact that the modules in  $\mathcal{C}$  are finitely presented, it is not difficult to check that  ${}^\perp \mathcal{C}$  is definable; see for example the proof of [9, Corollary 6.4]. Finally, we have  ${}^\perp \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$ , because  $({}^\perp \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda))^\perp = \mathcal{I}_n(\Lambda)$ . Therefore  $\text{id } T = \text{id } \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an artin algebra. Then*

$$\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda \geq \sup\{\text{id } T \mid T \in \text{Cotilt } \Lambda^{\text{op}}\} \geq \text{fin. dim } \Lambda.$$

## 3. MINIMAL COTILTING MODULES

If  $\text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda < \infty$ , then we define

$$T_{\min} = \bigwedge_{T \in \text{Cotilt } \Lambda} T$$

to be the (unique) minimal element in  $\text{Cotilt } \Lambda$ . We have always  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \subseteq {}^{\perp}T_{\min}$  and in this section we ask when both subcategories are equal. To this end we introduce another module which is of potential interest.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be right noetherian and suppose that  $\text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda < \infty$ . Then there exists a  $\Lambda$ -module  $T$  such that*

$${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \text{Add } T.$$

*Proof.* We have a cotorsion pair  $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda), \mathcal{I}(\Lambda))$  since  $\text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda < \infty$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  and  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  both are closed under taking kernels of epimorphisms. Therefore every epimorphism in  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  splits. Now fix an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow T \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  with  $T \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  and  $X \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$ . Clearly,  $T \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$ . Taking coproducts we get for each cardinal  $\alpha$  an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow T^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow X^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$  with  $T^{(\alpha)} \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  and  $X^{(\alpha)} \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$ , since  $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  is closed under coproducts. Thus every map  $\phi: \Lambda^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow Y$  with  $Y \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  factors through  $\Lambda^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow T^{(\alpha)}$  via some map  $\phi': T^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow Y$ . If  $Y \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  and  $\phi$  is an epi, then  $\phi'$  splits. Thus  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \text{Add } T$ .  $\square$

By abuse of notation we denote by  $T_{\text{inj}}$  a module satisfying  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \text{Add } T_{\text{inj}}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be right noetherian and suppose that  $\text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda = n < \infty$ . Then a  $\Lambda$ -module  $C$  has finite injective dimension if and only if there is an exact sequence*

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow T_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow T_0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $T_i \in \text{Add } T_{\text{inj}}$  for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* We have a cotorsion pair  $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda), \mathcal{I}(\Lambda))$ . Starting with  $Y_0 = C \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$ , we obtain exact sequences  $\varepsilon_i: 0 \rightarrow Y_{i+1} \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow 0$  for each  $i \geq 0$ , with  $Y_i \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  and  $T_i \in \text{Add } T_{\text{inj}}$  for all  $i$ . Using dimension shift, one sees that  $\varepsilon_n$  splits. Thus  $Y_{n+1} \in \text{Add } T_{\text{inj}}$ , and splicing together the  $\varepsilon_i$  produces a sequence of the form  $(*)$ . Conversely, if  $C$  fits into a sequence  $(*)$ , then  $C \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  since  $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  is closed under taking cokernels of monomorphisms.  $\square$

Recall that a module  $C$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure-injective if every coproduct  $C^{(\alpha)}$  is pure-injective.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be right noetherian and suppose that  $\text{Fin. inj. dim } \Lambda < \infty$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = {}^{\perp}T_{\min}$ ;
- (2)  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  is closed under taking products;
- (3)  $T_{\text{inj}}$  is product complete, that is,  $\text{Add } T_{\text{inj}} = \text{Prod } T_{\text{inj}}$ ;
- (4)  $T_{\text{inj}}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -pure-injective cotilting module.

Moreover, in this case  $T_{\min}$  and  $T_{\text{inj}}$  are equivalent cotilting modules.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Clear, since  ${}^{\perp}T_{\min}$  is closed under products.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): If  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  is closed under products, then  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$  is closed under products. Thus every product of copies of  $T_{\text{inj}}$  belongs to  $\text{Add } T_{\text{inj}}$ . It follows that

$T_{\text{inj}}$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure-injective and therefore  $\text{Add } T_{\text{inj}} \subseteq \text{Prod } T_{\text{inj}}$ ; see [8]. Thus  $T_{\text{inj}}$  is product complete.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): A product complete module is  $\Sigma$ -pure-injective. For  $T_{\text{inj}}$ , the defining conditions of a cotilting module are obviously satisfied, except (T3) which follows from Lemma 3.2.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): First observe that  $\text{Add } T_{\text{inj}} \subseteq \text{Prod } T_{\text{inj}}$  since  $T_{\text{inj}}$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure-injective. The cotilting module  $T_{\text{inj}}$  induces a cotorsion pair  $({}^{\perp}T_{\text{inj}}, ({}^{\perp}T_{\text{inj}})^{\perp})$  by Proposition 1.3. We claim that  $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = ({}^{\perp}T_{\text{inj}})^{\perp}$ . We need to check  $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) \subseteq ({}^{\perp}T_{\text{inj}})^{\perp}$  and this follows from Lemma 3.2 since  $\text{Add } T_{\text{inj}} \subseteq \text{Prod } T_{\text{inj}}$ . Thus  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = {}^{\perp}T_{\text{inj}}$  and therefore  $T_{\text{inj}}$  is equivalent to the minimal cotilting module  $T_{\text{min}}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* A cotilting module  $T$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure-injective if and only if  $({}^{\perp}T)^{\perp}$  is closed under coproducts. In this case let  $T'$  be the coproduct of a representative set of indecomposable modules in  $\text{Prod } T$ . Then  $T'$  is a product complete cotilting module which is equivalent to  $T$ .

It seems to be an interesting project to describe the minimal cotilting module for a given algebra. For example,  $T_{\text{min}} = \Lambda$  if  $\Lambda$  is a Gorenstein artin algebra.

In fact, there is a more general result which describes when  $T_{\text{min}}$  is finitely presented. This is inspired by a result about modules of finite projective dimension by Huisgen-Zimmermann and Smalø [7].

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an artin algebra. Then there exists a finitely presented minimal cotilting module if and only if the modules of finite injective dimension form a covariantly finite subcategory of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . Moreover, in this case the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied.*

A similar result has been obtained independently by Happel and Unger for the category of finitely presented  $\Lambda$ -modules.

We do not give the complete proof but sketch the argument. Suppose first that  $\mathcal{I}(\text{mod } \Lambda) = \{X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{id } X < \infty\}$  is covariantly finite. Using the correspondence for cotilting modules in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ , there exists a cotilting module  $T$  such that  ${}^{\perp}T = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{I}(\text{mod } \Lambda)$  in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ ; see [2]. The assumption implies that every module of finite injective dimension is a filtered colimit of modules in  $\mathcal{I}(\text{mod } \Lambda)$ . Using this, one proves that  $T$  is minimal. Conversely, if  $T_{\text{min}}$  is finitely presented, then one can use Proposition 2.1 to show that  $\mathcal{I}(\text{mod } \Lambda)$  is covariantly finite in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. ANGELERI HÜGEL AND F. U. COELHO: Infinitely generated tilting modules of finite projective dimension. *Forum Math.* **13** (2001), 239–250.
- [2] M. AUSLANDER AND I. REITEN: Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories. *Adv. Math.* **86** (1991), 111–152.
- [3] A. B. BUAN AND Ø. SOLBERG: Limits of pure-injective cotilting modules. Preprint (2001).
- [4] W. W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY: Infinite-dimensional modules. In: *The representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*. Algebras and modules, I (eds. I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, and Ø. Solberg), CMS Conf. Proc. **23** (1998), 29–54.
- [5] P. EKLOF AND J. TRILIFAJ: Covers induced by Ext. *J. Algebra* **231** (2000), 640–651.
- [6] P. EKLOF AND J. TRILIFAJ: How to make Ext vanish. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **33** (2001), 41–51.
- [7] B. HUISGEN-ZIMMERMANN AND S. O. SMALØ: A homological bridge between finite- and infinite-dimensional representations of algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **1** (1998), 169–188.
- [8] H. KRAUSE AND M. SAORÍN: On minimal approximations of modules. In: *Trends in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras* (eds. E. Green and B. Huisgen-Zimmermann), *Contemp. Math.* **229** (1998), 227–236.

- [9] H. KRAUSE AND Ø. SOLBERG: Filtering modules of finite projective dimension. To appear in Forum Math.
- [10] C. RIEDTMANN AND A. SCHOFIELD: On a simplicial complex associated with tilting modules. Comment. Math. Helv. **66** (1991), 70–78.
- [11] L. UNGER: Shellability of simplicial complexes arising in representation theory. Adv. Math. **144** (1999), 211–246.

[AMA - Algebra Montpellier Announcements - 01-2002] [February 2002]

Received January 2002.

ASLAK BAKKE BUAN, ØYVIND SOLBERG, INSTITUTT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, NTNU, N-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*E-mail address:* `aslakb@math.ntnu.no`, `oyvinso@math.ntnu.no`

HENNING KRAUSE, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `henning@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de`